

January 1996



FOM-Instituut voor Plasmafysica Rijnhuizen **Associatie Euratom-FOM**

Postbus 1207
3430 BE Nieuwegein
Nederland
Edisonbaan 14
3439 MN Nieuwegein
Tel. + 31(0)30-6096999
Fax. + 31(0)30-6031204

CASTOR Installation and User Guide

S. POEDTS, H.A. HOLTIES, J.P. GOEDBLOED,
G.T.A. HUYSMANS*, and W. KERNER*

* JET Joint Undertaking, Culham, United Kingdom.

**RIJNHUIZEN
REPORT
96-229**



Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Resistive MHD eigenvalue problem	1
1.2	Projections and discretization	2
1.3	Eigenvalue solvers	4
2	Installation guide	7
2.1	CASTOR and satellite programs	7
2.2	Installation of REVISE	7
2.3	Installation of PPPLIB, HGOLIB, and EISLIN	7
2.4	Installation of CASTOR	8
3	User guide	9
3.1	Setting the dimensions	9
3.2	Pre-compiling, compiling, and linking	10
3.3	Input files	10
3.3.1	Namelist NEWRUN	11
3.3.2	Namelist NEWLAN	13
3.3.3	Mapping file	13
3.3.4	T-matrix	13
3.4	Submitting a job	13
3.5	Output files	15
3.5.1	Listing	15
3.5.2	Vector plot files	15
3.5.3	Plot file	16
A	REVISE	17
A.1	Introduction	17
A.2	Contents and use	17
A.3	Installation of REVISE	18
A.4	IBM-VM version of REVISE	18
A.5	List of files	19
B	Installation script for PPPLIB	20
C	Installation script for HGOLIB	21
	References	22

1 Introduction

The aim of this ‘Installation and user guide’ for the program CASTOR is to provide *practical information* for the installation of the program and for using it to determine the ideal or resistive MHD spectrum, or part of it (e.g. instabilities or ‘gap modes’) of axisymmetric toroidal plasmas. For a more technical and detailed description of the applied numerical methods the reader is referred to the literature cited below.

CASTOR is a Fortran 77 program that solves the linear, resistive MHD eigenvalue problem in a general toroidal geometry, where the equilibria are assumed to be axisymmetric. The eigenfunctions are essentially two dimensional since their dependence on the toroidal angle can be described with one toroidal mode number (due to the axisymmetry of the equilibrium).

CASTOR is used by many people and different versions of the program are available. The REVISE package (see Appendix A) is used too keep track of the different versions of the program. This installation and user guide applies to version 9e.

1.1 Resistive MHD eigenvalue problem

CASTOR considers the resistive MHD equations ¹ linearized around a *static* axisymmetric equilibrium. The required information about the equilibrium is passed to CASTOR through the ‘equilibrium mapping file’ produced by the equilibrium code HELENA [6]. In CASTOR, a coordinate system (s, θ, ϕ) is used. The coordinate $s \equiv \sqrt{\bar{\psi}} = \sqrt{\psi/\psi_1}$ labels the magnetic flux surfaces (ψ is the poloidal flux [6]). The coordinate ϕ is the usual toroidal angle of the cylindrical (R, Z, ϕ) coordinate system and the poloidal angle θ is determined such that the field lines are straight in the (θ, ϕ) plane, i.e.:

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\theta} = q(\bar{\psi}). \quad (1)$$

More information on the coordinate system, e.g. the metric coefficients, can be found in the PhD thesis of Guido Huysmans [1].

The equilibrium density ρ_0 can be chosen arbitrarily since it does not appear in the Grad-Shafranov equation. In CASTOR, the following equilibrium density profiles can be chosen:

$$\rho_0 = \rho_0(s) = [1 - (1 - D)s^2]^\nu, \quad (2)$$

$$\rho_0 = \rho_0(s) = (1 - D_1 s^2)(1 + D s^2), \quad (3)$$

$$\rho_0 = \rho_0(s) = 1 + D s^2 + D_1 s^4 + D_2 s^6 + D_3 s^8, \quad (4)$$

where D , D_1 , D_2 , D_3 , and ν are input parameters. However, other density profiles can easily be implemented in the code.

The linear resistive MHD equations are made dimensionless by normalizing the lengths to the major radius of the equilibrium (R_M , the radius of the magnetic axis), the magnetic field to the equilibrium toroidal field at the position of the magnetic axis (B_0), and the density to the equilibrium density at the magnetic axis (ρ_0). [Notice that the distance and the magnetic field are normalized differently in HELENA and CASTOR. The distances

¹There also exists a version of CASTOR that includes thermal conduction and radiation which is used to study the thermal MHD spectrum [11]

and the magnetic field are re-scaled in HELENA when the mapping file for CASTOR is produced.] The normalization of the other quantities follow from these, e.g. the velocity is normalized with the Alfvén velocity at the magnetic axis, i.e. $V_{A0} \equiv B_0/\sqrt{\mu_0\rho_0}$. Hence, the following normalizations are used:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{l}{R_M} &\rightarrow \bar{l}, & \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} &\rightarrow \bar{\rho}, & \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B_0} &\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{B}}, \\ \frac{\mu_0 P}{B_0^2} &\rightarrow \bar{P}, & \frac{\mathbf{V}}{V_{A0}} &\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{V}}, & t \frac{V_{A0}}{R_M} &\rightarrow \bar{t}, \\ \frac{\mu_0 R_M}{B_0} \mathbf{J} &\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{J}}, & \frac{\eta}{\mu_0 R_M V_{A0}} &\rightarrow \bar{\eta}, & \frac{\mathbf{E}}{V_{A0} B_0} &\rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{E}}, \\ \frac{k_B \mu_0 \rho_0}{m B_0^2} &\rightarrow \bar{T}, \end{aligned}$$

where k_B denotes the Boltzmann constant and m the mean particle mass. Below, only dimensionless quantities are considered and the notation is simplified by dropping the bars.

Using the vector potential \mathbf{A} :

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad (5)$$

instead of \mathbf{B} itself, the resistive MHD eigenvalue problem can be written in the following form (in dimensionless units):

$$\lambda \rho_1 = -\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_1), \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda \rho_0 \mathbf{v}_1 = -\nabla (\rho_0 T_1 + \rho_1 T_0) + (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_0) \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_1) - \mathbf{B}_0 \times (\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_1), \quad (7)$$

$$\lambda \rho_0 T_1 = -\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla T_0 - (\gamma - 1) p_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 + 2\eta(\gamma - 1)(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_0) \cdot (\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_1), \quad (8)$$

$$\lambda \mathbf{A}_1 = -\mathbf{B}_0 \times \mathbf{v}_1 - \eta \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_1, \quad (9)$$

with the time dependence of the linear perturbations taken to be $\sim e^{\lambda t}$. The eigenvalue λ can be complex. The Ohmic term in Eq. (8) has been neglected in CASTOR.

1.2 Projections and discretization

The above system of linear MHD equations can be written in the matrix form:

$$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} = \lambda \mathbf{R} \mathbf{U}, \quad (10)$$

where \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{R} are differential operators and \mathbf{U} is the state vector defined by

$$\mathbf{U}^T \equiv (\rho_1 \ v_{1s} \ v_{1\theta} \ v_{1\phi} \ T_1 \ A_{1s} \ A_{1\theta} \ A_{1\phi}). \quad (11)$$

In CASTOR, the Galerkin method is used and the test functions in the weak form are chosen to be equal to the discretization functions of the state vector \mathbf{U} . The actual variables used in the numerical solution of the eigenvalue problem are defined as follows.

For the velocity, \mathbf{v}_1 , the following representation is chosen, which is basically the ERATO representation [10].

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = R^2 \bar{v}_1 \nabla \theta \times \nabla \phi - i R^2 \bar{v}_2 \nabla \phi \times \nabla s - i \frac{R^2}{f} \bar{v}_3 \mathbf{B}, \quad (12)$$

where $f = \nabla \psi / \nabla s$. In terms of the contravariant components $v^s = \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla s$, $v^\theta = \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla \theta$, and $v^\phi = \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla \phi$ one gets simple combinations:

$$\bar{v}_1 = \frac{f q}{F} v^s, \quad \bar{v}_2 = \frac{i f}{F} (q v^\theta - v^\phi), \quad \bar{v}_3 = \frac{i f}{F} v^\phi, \quad (13)$$

where $F = R B_\phi$. For the vector potential, on the other hand, a covariant representation is chosen:

$$\mathbf{A} = -i \bar{A}_1 \nabla s - \bar{A}_2 \nabla \theta + \bar{A}_3 \nabla \phi. \quad (14)$$

In terms of the covariant components A_s , A_θ , and A_ϕ this yields

$$\bar{A}_1 = i A_s, \quad \bar{A}_2 = A_\theta, \quad \bar{A}_3 = A_\phi. \quad (15)$$

Finally, the perturbed density and temperature are multiplied with s :

$$\bar{\rho} = s \rho_1, \quad \bar{T} = s T_1, \quad (16)$$

so that the actual state vector used in the numerical solution reads:

$$\bar{\mathbf{U}}^T \equiv (\bar{\rho} \ \bar{v}_1 \ \bar{v}_2 \ \bar{v}_3 \ \bar{T} \ \bar{A}_1 \ \bar{A}_2 \ \bar{A}_3). \quad (17)$$

The components of the state vector are discretized by means of a combination of cubic Hermite and quadratic finite elements for the radial (s -) direction and a truncated series of Fourier modes for the poloidal direction. Due to the considered axisymmetric equilibrium, the dependence on the toroidal angle can be described by a single Fourier mode. This yields

$$\bar{U}^k(s, \theta, \phi; t) = \sum_{m=M_1}^{M_2} \sum_{j=1}^N (a_{mj1}^k h_{j1}^k(s) + a_{mj2}^k h_{j2}^k(s)) e^{im\theta} e^{in\phi} e^{\lambda t}, \quad (18)$$

where k labels the eight components of $\bar{\mathbf{U}}$, m labels the Fourier harmonics in the poloidal direction and j labels radial grid points. Notice that two finite elements, $h_{j1}^k(s)$ and $h_{j2}^k(s)$, are used in each radial gridpoint. For \bar{v}_1 , \bar{A}_2 , and \bar{A}_3 cubic Hermite finite elements are used, while $\bar{\rho}$, \bar{v}_2 , \bar{v}_3 , \bar{T} , and \bar{A}_1 are approximated by quadratic finite elements [8].

With two finite elements per variable and per gridpoint, the total number of unknowns (a_{mj1}^k and a_{mj2}^k) amounts to $2 \times 8 \times M \times N_r$, with $M = M_2 - M_1 + 1$ the number of poloidal Fourier harmonics and N_r the number of radial gridpoints. In the Galerkin procedure the discretization (18) is used in the system (10) and the weak form of this system is obtained by multiplying it with each of the $2 \times 8 \times M \times N_r$ finite elements and integrating over the plasma volume [8]. The application of the Galerkin procedure then yields an algebraic system of the form

$$A \cdot \mathbf{U} = \lambda B \cdot \mathbf{U}, \quad (19)$$

where A is a non-Hermitian matrix and B a positive-definite matrix. Both A and B are tridiagonal block matrices with sub-blocks of dimension $16M \times 16M$ (see [1] where the matrix elements of A and B are given explicitly).

The resistive MHD system (10) is of order six in s and, hence, the solution is fully determined when six boundary conditions are specified. When the plasma is surrounded by a perfectly conducting wall at the plasma boundary ($s = 1$), the normal component of the velocity and the magnetic field and the tangential electric field must vanish at the wall. On the axis, regularity conditions need to be specified. In terms of the variables used in CASTOR one gets:

$$\bar{v}_1(s = 1) = 0, \quad \bar{A}_2(s = 1) = 0, \quad \bar{A}_3(s = 1) = 0, \quad (20)$$

at the wall and

$$\bar{v}_1(s = 0) = 0, \quad \bar{A}_2(s = 0) = 0, \quad \bar{A}_3(s = 0) = 0, \quad (21)$$

at the magnetic axis. For the case where the plasma is surrounded by a vacuum and an ideally conducting wall, the boundary conditions are discussed in [1], Chapter 4.

1.3 Eigenvalue solvers

Above we have seen that the Galerkin formulation of the resistive MHD eigenvalue problem leads to the complex non-Hermitian matrix eigenvalue problem 19. CASTOR contains five different solvers for the numerical solution of this eigenvalue problem. For more information of numerical methods in non-Hermitian eigenvalue problems see the review paper by Kerner [7].

Solver 1 uses the standard QR algorithm of the LINPACK library to determine the complete spectrum of eigenvalues. However, the QR algorithm transforms the banded matrices in full matrices and the resulting memory requirements restrict the spatial resolution considerably. As a matter of fact, only small problems with a relatively low number of harmonics and radial grid points can be solved with the QR algorithm (see Table (1)).

Solvers 2, 3, and 4 apply so-called ‘inverse vector iteration’ schemes to calculate single eigenvalues. These solvers are used when one is only interested in a few modes, e.g. the largest positive eigenvalues in MHD stability studies or ‘gap modes’ (discrete global modes in continuum gaps). As the inverse vector iteration algorithm conserves the band structure of the matrices, the memory requirements are much less restrictive and much larger problems (much higher spatial resolutions) can be treated (see Table (1)). The three inverse vector iteration solvers differ in the way the matrices are stored and, hence, they have different memory requirements. Solver 2 uses a standard band storage mode and keeps both matrices in core. This is the fastest inverse vector iteration solver in CASTOR but the most demanding as far as computer memory is concerned. Solver 3 is an ‘out of core’ solver which means that the matrices are stored on disk. This has the advantage that very big problems can be handled with matrices that do not fit into the central memory of the computer. The disadvantage is of course that a lot of I/O is required to read/write parts of the matrices from/on disk which makes this solver slow. Solver 4 keeps the matrices in core but stores them in a more efficient way than solver 2 does. As a result, the memory requirements are less restrictive than for solver 2 but with this solver more CPU time is needed for the same job. A disadvantage of the inverse vector iteration solver is that a fairly accurate initial guess has to be supplied in order to find an eigenvalue. In principle, the solver iterates towards the eigenvalue closest to the initial guess. In practice, the initial guess must typically be within a factor of two or three of the actual eigenvalue to get convergence.

Solver 5, finally, is a Lanczos solver. This is a kind of combination of the two kinds of solvers described above. It computes more than one eigenvalue at once, typically 50 eigenvalues, but it does not generate full matrices so that fairly big problems with high spatial resolutions can be handled. A major disadvantage is that the Lanczos algorithm is not as 'reliable' as the QR algorithm for non-Hermitian problems. The results depend sensitively on the provided initial guesses in the studied window of the complex eigenvalue plane.

Memory	Solver 1	Solver 2	Solver 3	Solver 4	Solver 5
M_{words} (MB)	$M \times N_r$ (NDIM1)	$M \times N_r$	M_{max}	$M \times N_r$	$M \times N_r$
4 (32)	< 59	3 × 128 5 × 45 7 × 23	11	3 × 250 5 × 89 7 × 44	3 × 84 5 × 30 7 × 15
8 (64)	< 86	3 × 271 5 × 97 7 × 49 9 × 29	17	3 × 530 5 × 191 7 × 97 9 × 58	3 × 177 5 × 64 7 × 32 9 × 19
16 (128)	< 123	5 × 201 7 × 102 9 × 61 11 × 41 13 × 29	25	5 × 396 7 × 202 9 × 121 11 × 80 13 × 57	5 × 132 7 × 67 9 × 40 11 × 27 13 × 19
32 (256)	< 175	5 × 408 7 × 208 9 × 125 11 × 84 13 × 60 15 × 44	37	5 × 805 7 × 410 9 × 249 11 × 166 13 × 118 15 × 88	5 × 269 7 × 137 9 × 83 11 × 55 13 × 39 15 × 29
64 (512)	< 249	7 × 420 9 × 254 11 × 170 13 × 121 15 × 91 17 × 70 19 × 56 21 × 46	53	7 × 831 9 × 503 11 × 336 13 × 240 15 × 180 17 × 140 19 × 111 21 × 91	7 × 277 9 × 168 11 × 112 13 × 80 15 × 60 17 × 47 19 × 37 21 × 30
128 (1024)	< 352	9 × 510 11 × 341 13 × 244 15 × 183 17 × 142 19 × 114 21 × 93	75	9 × 1012 11 × 678 13 × 485 15 × 364 17 × 283 19 × 226 21 × 185	9 × 338 11 × 226 13 × 162 15 × 121 17 × 94 19 × 75 21 × 62

Table 1: Possible spatial resolutions for given computer memory. For Solver 1 (QR) $M \times N_r$ must be smaller than the number given in column 2. For Solver 3 (out-of-core) N_r is ‘unlimited’.

2 Installation guide

This installation guide contains information to install CASTOR from scratch, i.e. assuming none of the help programs (see below) has been installed yet. First, it is explained what subroutine libraries are used by CASTOR. Next, it is explained how to install these subroutine libraries and the program CASTOR.

2.1 CASTOR and satellite programs

CASTOR is not a stand-alone program. It makes use of PPPLIB (Plasma Physics Plot LIBrary) subroutines for generating graphics and of HGOLIB (Hans GOedbloed LIBrary) subroutines for the numerics. However, both PPPLIB and HGOLIB are written in standard Fortran 77, so these subroutine libraries are portable. They need to be installed first, before running CASTOR. In addition, CASTOR uses some BLAS routines (Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines) and subroutines from EISPACK and LINPACK, all available on Cray (standard). On other machines, the required EISPACK and LINPACK routines need to be linked to CASTOR after compilation. They are provided in the file `eislin.f` which needs to be installed as a library first. Moreover, on Crays CASTOR uses some standard Cray routines. On other machines, these routines are replaced by HGOLIB routines and NAG routines.

Moreover, CASTOR and PPPLIB are provided in REVISE format. This means REVISE needs to be installed first. More information on the use of REVISE and on how to install this package is given in Appendix A.

Hence, the following files are required for a successful installation of CASTOR version 9e, in addition to REVISE: `cas9e.source`, `ppp15e.source`, `hgolib.f`, `eislin.f`, `ppplib.mk`, `hgolib.mk`, `eislin.mk`. The latter three files are Unix scripts which create the libraries PPPLIB, HGOLIB, and EISLIN as discussed below.

2.2 Installation of REVISE

First of all, the REVISE package needs to be installed. The way this is done is described in Appendix A.

2.3 Installation of PPPLIB, HGOLIB, and EISLIN

CASTOR 9e works with version 15e of PPPLIB. To install this version of the Plasma Physics Plot library the file `ppp15e.source` first needs to be pre-compiled. The resulting fortran file has to be split in different files, one for each subroutine. This can be done with the 'fsplit' command. Next, each of these files needs to be compiled and the compiled files have to be put in a library (with the 'ar' command). For Unix machines, a script is available to do all this with just one command. The script is called `ppplib.mk`. Its contents is printed out in Appendix B.

The installation of HGOLIB is very similar to the installation of PPPLIB except for the fact that HGOLIB is not provided in REVISE format so that the pre-compilation step is not required here. Again, a script is available to install HGOLIB on Unix machines. This script is called `hgolib.mk`. Its contents is printed out in Appendix C.

The installation of EISLIN is only required on computers other than Cray and is identical to the installation of HGOLIB. Hence, it suffices to replace 'hgolib' by 'eislin' each time it occurs in the script `hgolib.mk` (see Appendix C) to install EISLIN on Unix machines.

2.4 Installation of CASTOR

CASTOR is programmed in a modular structure and consists of the following parts:

PRESET: initialization of input parameters

TEST: built-in test cases

EQUIL: module specifying the equilibrium

VACUUM: module computing vacuum perturbation

MAT1-5: module computing the matrices A and B

SOLV1-5: module containing the different eigenvalue solvers

DIAG1-5: module containing the diagnostic routines

However, as mentioned above, CASTOR is provided in REVISE format. Hence, the source file `cas9e.source` contains the main program as well as all the modules. This makes the installation of CASTOR very simple. It suffices to make a directory, e.g. `castor/`, and to copy the file `cas9e.source` to it.

3 User guide

This user guide describes the different steps required to run CASTOR successfully. All input parameters are described as well as all the output produced by a successful run of the code.

3.1 Setting the dimensions

Before compiling CASTOR, one has to specify some parameters in the source file of the code. These parameters determine the dimensions of the vectors and matrices required in the different algorithms. The parameters are to be specified in the COMDECKs COMMAX and COMPAR at the beginning of the source file. In COMDECK COMMAX one has to specify:

LANZ = number of Fourier harmonics used for equilibrium quantities (must be lower than or equal to MANZ);

MANZ = number of Fourier harmonics used for perturbed quantities (usually MANZ = LANZ);

MDIF = difference between two succeeding Fourier harmonics of the perturbed quantities (usually MDIF = 1, but for cylindrical plasmas with elliptical cross-sections MDIF = 2 since even and odd Fourier numbers decouple in that case);

LMAX = number of Fourier harmonics used for the Fourier transforms of equilibrium quantities (LMAX > LANZ, usually LMAX = 30)

LVANZ = number of Fourier harmonics used for vacuum equilibrium quantities (similar to LANZ);

MVANZ = number of Fourier harmonics used for vacuum perturbed quantities (similar to MANZ, must be equal to LVANZ);

LVMAX = number of Fourier harmonics used for the Fourier transforms vacuum equilibrium quantities (similar to LMAX);

NGMAX = maximum number of radial grid points.

The other parameters in COMDECK COMMAX do not need to be changed. In order to connect the vacuum correctly to the plasma, LVANZ must be equal to MVANZ and LVMAX and *at least twice the maximum m-value specified* (see below).

In COMDECK COMPAR one has to specify:

KILWOR = number of 64-bit words that can be used by the code;

NDIM1 = dimension of the matrices generated by the QR-algorithm.

Both these parameters depend on the resolution specified in COMDECK COMMAX (see above). An estimate of the resolutions that fit in a given core memory (specified by KILWOR) can be found in Table (1) (for each of the solvers). The recommended value for NDIM1 is $16 \times NG \times MANZ$

3.2 Pre-compiling, compiling, and linking

First, `cas9e.source` needs to be pre-compiled. The resulting fortran file `cas9e.f` can then be compiled and linked to PPPLIB and HGOLIB. On a Cray computer the following two commands do the job:

- 1) `pre cas9e`
- 2) `cf77 -o cas9e -lnag -Wf"-em -l cas9e.lst" cas9e.f $HOME/libs/ppplib.a $HOME/libs/hgolib.a`

and putting them in one script makes it even easier.

3.3 Input files

CASTOR uses NAMELIST formatting to read in data from input unit 'NIN' which is specified in COMDECK COMPIO (the default is $NIN = 10$). Two namelists are defined, viz. NEWRUN and NEWLAN. The parameters in namelist NEWRUN determine the solver that is used, the radial resolution, the mode numbers, the plasma density, and the output diagnostics. The parameters in namelist NEWLAN determine input and output parameters for the Lanczos solver only.

In order to test the solvers after installation, a test case has been built in in CASTOR. This test case is based on a well-known Solovév equilibrium, so that the results can be compared to other numerical codes, such as NOVA, ERATO, and PEST [9]. The definitions of the inverse aspect ratio and the ellipticity (or elongation) used in CASTOR differ from those used by Solovév:

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\epsilon_S^2}\right)}{2\epsilon_S}, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{b}{a} \equiv E_S \sqrt{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}}, \quad (23)$$

where ϵ_S and E_S denote the inverse aspect ratio and the ellipticity as defined by Solovév, respectively. The inverse transformation formulae read:

$$\epsilon_S \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon^2)}, \quad (24)$$

$$E_S \equiv \left(\frac{b}{a}\right) / \sqrt{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}}. \quad (25)$$

For the test case that is built in in CASTOR we have $\epsilon_S = 1/3$ and $E_S = 2$.

The s -grid can be accumulated in two points s_1 and s_2 , e.g. in order to resolve two (nearly-)singular layers or a singular layer and a boundary layer. This is done in the subroutine MESHAC which arranges the grid points such that the integral of a given function is the same for all intervals $[s_i, s_{i+1}]$. The function is specified in FGAUS as:

$$f_B + \frac{(1 - f_B)}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(s-s_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2}} + \alpha \frac{1}{\sigma_2 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(s-s_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2}} \right], \quad (26)$$

where f_B is a constant (< 1) ‘back ground function’ that can be used to influence the number of grid points that participate in the accumulation, and s_1, σ_1 and s_2, σ_2 determine the centers and widths of the Gaussian functions that are used for the mesh accumulation. The parameter α is a weight factor that allows to obtain a different degree of accumulation in the points s_1 and s_2 ($\alpha < 1$). The parameters $s_1, s_2, \sigma_1,$ and σ_2 are determined in namelist NEWRUN (see below), but the parameters f_B and α are specified in the code, viz. in subroutine EQUIL ($f_B = \text{BGF}=0.3$ and $\alpha = \text{FACT}=1.$).

3.3.1 Namelist NEWRUN

MODE = control parameter, switch for used solver and testcases:

- 0: termination of execution;
- 1: QR algorithm;
- 2: Inverse vector iteration, in-core solver;
- 3: Inverse vector iteration, out-of-core solver;
- 4: Inverse vector iteration, in-core version of out-of-core solver;
- 5: Lanczos algorithm;
- 11-15: testcase, Solovév equilibrium with $\epsilon_S = 1/3$ and $E_S = 2$ ($\epsilon = 0.381966,$
 $b/a = 1.9631867,$ see Sect. 3.3), solver 1 - 5;

EQNAME = name of the equilibrium (printed out as info on listing);

NLTOR = logical switch parameter:

- .T.: toroidal equilibrium;
- .F.: cylindrical equilibrium;

NG = number of radial grid points ($\leq \text{NGMAX}$);

RFOUR(1) = the lowest poloidal mode number (others are computed with MANZ and MDIF);

NTOR = the toroidal mode number;

ETA = the plasma resistivity (η);

ASPECT = the aspect ratio (for NLTOR = .F. only);

Q0ZYL = the value of the safety factor at the magnetic axis (q_0);

SIG1 = parameter for mesh accumulation (see Eqn. (26));

SIG2 = parameter for mesh accumulation (see Eqn. (26));

XR1 = parameter for mesh accumulation, first acc. point (see Eqn. (26));

XR2 = parameter for mesh accumulation, second acc. point (see Eqn. (26));

RWALL = position of the wall;

NVPSI = number of radial points in the vacuum metric;

NGV = number of radial points in the vacuum potential solution;

SIGV = sigma for mesh accumulation in the vacuum at the plasma-vacuum interface;

IEQ = parameter to select the equilibrium density profile:

- 1: density profile given by Eq. (2);
- 2: density profile given by Eq. (3);
- 3: density profile given by Eq. (4);

IDPOW = parameter ν for density profile (see formula (2));
 DSURF = parameter D for density profile (see formulas (2), (3), and (4));
 DSURF1 = parameter D_1 for density profile (see formulas (3), and (4));
 DSURF2 = parameter D_2 for density profile (see formula (4));
 DSURF3 = parameter D_3 for density profile (see formula (4));
 NDIAGFK = print switch for Fourier components of the metric;
 VSHIFT(i) = i-th estimate of the eigenvalue for inverse vector iteration ($i \leq 100$); for solver 3, the values of VSHIFT are calculated automatic:

NRS = number of automatic shifts of the real part	(solver 3 only);
NIS = number of automatic shifts of the imaginary part	(solver 3 only);
DRS = size of the automatic shifts of the real part	(solver 3 only);
DIS = size of the automatic shifts of the imaginary part	(solver 3 only);

EPS = relative accuracy of the eigenvalue (for vector iteration only);
 NPLOT = plot switch for solver 1-4, number of plots for QR solver, solvers 2 - 4: no diagnostics if NPLOT = 0;
 XMINQR(i) = lower limit x -axis for i-th QR-plot;
 YMINQR(i) = lower limit y -axis for i-th QR-plot;
 XMAXQR(i) = upper limit x -axis for i-th QR-plot;
 YMAXQR(i) = upper limit y -axis for i-th QR-plot;
 ALPHIN = multiplication factor for equilibrium temperature, !!! HANDLE WITH CARE !!! ALPHIN SHOULD BE EQUAL TO ONE !!!;
 IAS = switch parameter for symmetry in equilibrium:

0: up-down symmetric equilibrium;
1: asymmetric equilibrium;

IBVAC = switch parameter for writing vacuum field data (only if .NE. 0);
 GAMMA = ratio of specific heats;
 IVAC = switch parameter for shape of wall around vacuum:

1: wall shape identical to plasma shape but RWALL times larger with respect to geometric axis (default);
2,3: the wall radius with respect to geometric axis is given as a Fourier series with elements specified in FW(1,...,NFW) (see below);
4: use JET wall given in procedure JETGEO (only for IAS.EQ.1!);

FW = array with Fourier elements of vacuum wall (should have the same normalization as RMIN);
 NFW = number of elements in FW (see above);
 RMIN = minor radius plasma used to scale wall radius (IVAC = 2, 3, 4). For JET wall: minor radius in meters;
 ZCNTR = shift (in meters) of the plasma center with respect to the vacuum wall mid-plane (IVAC = 4);

3.3.2 Namelist NEWLAN

ISTART = switch parameter:

- 0: start with shift (EWSHIFT) and computation of the T-matrix;
- 1: read T-matrix from disk (see Sect. 3.3.4);

ISTOP = switch parameter:

- < 0: write T-matrix on disk and continue computation;
- ≥ 0: write T-matrix on disk and stop;

KMAX = dimension of the T-matrix;

MXLOOP = maximum number of shifts;

ISHIFT = switch parameter controlling the shifts:

= 1: makes shifts for EWSHIFT and OWS(1:NUS), in this case specify:

- NUS: number of given shifts in addition to EWSHIFT;
- OWS: vector with the specified shifts;

else: makes shifts for EWSHIFT and region determined by:

- XLIML: minimum real part of investigated region;
- XLIMR: maximum real part of investigated region;
- YLIMB: minimum imaginary part of investigated region;
- YLIMT: maximum imaginary part of investigated region;
- IHOLE: .TRUE.: there is a region XHOLEL, XHOLER, YHOLEB, YHOLET, part of the region XLIML, XLIMR, YLIMB, YLIMT, within which no eigenvalues are to be searched for;
- XHOLEL: minimum real part of the hole;
- XHOLER: maximum real part of the hole;
- YHOLEB: minimum imaginary part of the hole;
- YHOLET: maximum imaginary part of the hole;

3.3.3 Mapping file

CASTOR needs a mapping file of the equilibrium. This file is created by HELENA. For a description of its contents, see the 'HELENA user and installation guide' [6]. CASTOR reads the equilibrium mapping file in on the input unit 'NMAP' specified in COMDECK COMPIO. The default specification is NMAP = 12.

3.3.4 T-matrix

When Solver 5 (Lanczos) is used with ISTART = 1 (see Sect. 3.3.2) the 'T-matrix' has to be supplied. CASTOR reads it in from unit 'NIN2' which is specified in COMDECK COMPIO (default: NIN2 = 11). The T-matrix can be computed with the option ISTART = 0 (see Sect. 3.3.2).

3.4 Submitting a job

CASTOR is usually run in batch because it requires a lot of core memory and usually the amount of core memory is limited for interactive jobs. When submitting CASTOR in batch, memory and maximum run time have to be specified. These parameters determine

the jobclass in which the job will run and depend on the specified resolution and solver. The memory is determined by the parameter KILWOR (see Sect. 3.1). Also, the namelist input file needs to be allocated to unit 10, i.e. the input file must be called fort.10, and the equilibrium mapping file needs to be allocated to unit 12 (NMAP = 12 is the default, see COMDECK COMPIO). The following example script makes a new directory, copies the file sol203.data to fort.10 and the file sol203.mapping (for the built-in test case) to fort.12, runs cas9e, and renames the output files on a Cray computer (see below).

```
#!/bin/sh
# This is a shell script to run CASTOR in batch
# Usage: qsub castor

# Job parameters
# =====
#QSUB -lM 64.0mw
#QSUB -lT 60
#QSUB

# Working directory
# =====
mkdir $HOME/castor/Test
cd $HOME/castor/Test

# File definitions
# =====
cp $HOME/castor/sol203.data fort.10
cp $HOME/castor/sol203.mapping fort.12

# Run
# ===
assign -O -b 20 -s cos u:15
assign -O -b 20 -s cos u:16
assign -O -b 20 -s cos u:17
assign -O -b 20 -s cos u:18
$HOME/castor/cas9e

# Output
# =====
mv fort.10 input.data
mv fort.20 listing.data
mv fort.25 flowplot.data
mv fort.26 qrplot.data
mv fort.27 vacuumfield.data
mv CASPLOT plot.data
rm fort.12
```


End of shell script

3.5 Output files

The CASTOR output is specified in the diagnostics module DIAG which contains three parts, viz. DIAG1, which determines the output for Solver 1 (QR), DIAG234, which determines the output for the inverse vector iteration solvers (2, 3, and 4), and DIAG5, which determines the output for Solver 5 (Lanczos). The output is different for the different solvers and some output is only generated for debugging purposes. Below, only the ‘standard’ output of CASTOR is described.

The CASTOR standard output consists of three files, viz. a ‘listing’ with information on the input parameters, the equilibrium that was used in the run, and the convergence procedure in case of the inverse vector iteration solvers; a ‘flowplot file’ with the information on the velocity field that is required to make a ‘flowplot’ with `flowplot.f` (this file is an input file for `flowplot.f`); and a ‘plot file’ which is a postscript file which contains plots of parts of the spectrum for solvers 1 and 5 and plots of the components of the eigenvector for solvers 2, 3, and 4. More details on each of these output files is given below in the following subsections.

3.5.1 Listing

The listing is printed out on unit ‘NOUT’ which is specified in COMDECK COMPIO. The default unit number is 20. The listing contains:

- 1) a print-out of the input parameters;
- 2) a print-out of some calculated parameters, such as the dimension of the matrices, q_1 , R_0 , etc.;
- 3) information on the equilibrium after the rescaling with respect to the given value of q_0 (QOZYL);
- 4) information on the s -grid that is used (can be accumulated);
- 5) information on the iteration procedure (inverse vector iteration solvers) or the obtained eigenvalues (solvers 1 and 5).

3.5.2 Vector plot files

The inverse vector iteration solvers provide information on the velocity field and the magnetic field in a ‘vector plot files’. The velocity flowplot file is printed out on unit 25. Together with the ‘vector plot file’ from HELENA, which contains the information on the grid points on which the velocity components are specified by CASTOR, the program `flowplot.f` can make a flow plot of that eigenvector.

The program `vacfield.f` makes a similar ‘vector plot’ of the vacuum magnetic field, using the data printed out by CASTOR on units 27 and 28.

The output files described above are the main output files. There are more output files used by CASTOR. An overview and short description is given below in Table 2.

unit	parameter	subroutine	contents
10	NIN	CASTOR	namelists 'T-matrix' (only Solver 5 with ISTART = 1) mapping of the equilibrium (from HELENA)
11	NIN2	SOLV5	
12	NMAP	IODSK	
20	NOUT	IODSK, MESHAC, EQUILV, FKEQ, FFTRAN, SPLINE, VFKEQ, VACUUM, SOLV1-SOLV5, DIAG(1,234,5)	listing (described in Sect. 3.5.1)
22	NOUT2	SOLV5	'T-matrices α and β ' (only Solver 5)
23	NOUT3	CASTOR	order of T-matrix and eigenvalues (only Solver 5)
24	NOUTP	CASTOR	text for first plot pages (input parameters)
25	NOUTV	EIGVFK	velocity data for vector plot of flow
26	NOUTE	QRPLOT EIGVFK (DIAG234)	eigenvalues found by QR eigenvalue and eigenvector
27	NOUTVB	DIAG5 EQVAC, VACUUM	eigenvalues found by Lanczos data for vacuum magnetic field reconstruction
28	NOUTB	DIAG234	data for vacuum magnetic field reconstruction

Table 2: Standard input and output units as specified in COMDECK COMPIO in CASTOR and their use.

3.5.3 Plot file

In the PPPLIB file 'CASPLOT', CASTOR plots information on the input parameters (on the first plot pages) and the parts of the spectrum specified in namelist NEWRUN (for the QR and the Lanczos solver) or the components of the eigenvector (for the inverse vector iteration solvers).

A REVISE

A.1 Introduction

The REVISE package provides a portable facility for the systematic maintenance of large computer programs which combines the positive features of updating and screen editing (rigor and speed), while avoiding the negative ones (slowness and generation of errors). The REVISE package was developed to control and exchange the progression of changes, avoiding the introduction of mistakes, informing fellow collaborators in an international group of scientists of new versions of the numerical tools they are all using for different purposes on different computers.

The basic information of a current version of a changing program (deck names, numbered lines, index, etc.) is stored into a LIST file which serves as a starting point for comparison with later versions of the same program. A REVISE LIST file has all COMMON blocks in the beginning and contains switches for parts of the program (a single Fortran statement or a block of statements) that need to be different at different sites and/or on different computers.

A.2 Contents and use

REVISE consists of five programs, written in Fortran 77:

- 1) `new.f` makes a listing `fn.list` out of a source file `fn.source`. This listing contains information e.g. date and time it was made, absolute line numbers, line numbers per subroutine, and an index at the end. The optional parameter `l` in `new` (and also in `mod`) controls the output format (it suppresses carriage control characters, see the comment in the Unix script files `new` and `mod`).
- 2) `pre.f` is a pre-compiler that converts a REVISE source file `fn.source` into a Fortran file `fn.f` which then has to be compiled with a standard Fortran compiler in order to get an executable file. The pre-compiler places the COMMON blocks where necessary and selects Fortran statements applicable on the specified computer at the specified site.
- 3) `com.f` makes a list of modifications from an old listing (previous version of a code) and a new source file (new version of a code).
- 4) `mod.f` makes a new listing out of an old listing and a list of modifications.
- 5) `ext.f` makes a source file out of a listing (opposite of `new.f`).

In `new.f` and in `mod.f` the parameter `ILMAX` (maximum number of lines per page) needs to be set (depending on local printer) and calls to `DATE` and `TIME` functions may need to be adjusted (depending on the computer that is used, e.g. `DATE` and `CLOCK` on Cray but `DATIM` on IBM(VM)). In `pre.f` the switches for single and block line activation need to be set (depending on site and computer).

After compilation one gets executable files, viz. `new.o`, `pre.o`, `com.o`, `mod.o`, and `ext.o` (when these names are specified with the `-o` option). However, one would then have to allocate all necessary files explicitly. Therefore, scripts have been written which take care of the proper file allocations. The call, the parameters, the input files, and the generated output files are specified in Table A.1. For the parameters `fn`, `nfn`, `mfn` the user has to specify the file names. If `mfn` is omitted in `com`, a file name “`m+nfn.modif`”

Call	Input files	Output files
new fn [l]	fn.source	fn.list
pre fn [l]	fn.source	fn.f
com fn nfn [mfn]	fn.list nfn.source	mfn.modif
mod fn mfn [nfn] [l]	fn.list mfn.modif	mfn.listm nfn.listr
ext fn	fn.list(r)	fn.source

Table A.1: The REVISE Unix script files

will be constructed. If nfn is omitted in mod, creation of “nfn.listr” will be suppressed. The optional parameter l in new and mod controls output format. When the scripts are called without any input parameters or with wrong or insufficient input, information on the required input parameters is ‘echoed’ on the standard output device.

A.3 Installation of REVISE

On Unix systems, REVISE can be installed in the following way:

1. make a directory ‘revise’;
2. copy the files `new.f`, `pre.f`, `com.f`, `mod.f`, `ext.f`, and `revise.install` in this directory;
3. edit the files `new.f`, `com.f`, and `pre.f` to adjust the parameters to your site or computer as described in the previous section;
4. replace the fortran compiler call `cf77` in the file `revise.install` if your fortran compiler is different, e.g. `cf77` needs to be replaced by `xlf` on IBM RISC workstations;
5. execute `revise.install`, this creates the files `new.o`, `pre.o`, `com.o`, `mod.o`, and `ext.o`;
6. copy the script files `pre`, `new`, `com`, `mod`, and `ext` to the directory ‘revise’ and put this directory in your search PATH.

A.4 IBM-VM version of REVISE

The above descriptions of the contents, use, and installation of revise apply to Unix systems. There is also a version of REVISE available for IBM with the VM operating system.

Call	Input files	LRECL	Output files	LRECL
NEW fn [l]	fn SOURCE A	80	fn LIST A	93
PRE fn [l]	fn SOURCE A	80	fn FORTRAN A	80
COM fn nfn [mfn]	fn LIST A	93	mfn MODIF A	80
	nfn SOURCE A	80		
MOD fn mfn [nfn] [l]	fn LIST A	93	mfn LISTM A	93
	mfn MODIF A	80	nfn LISTR A	93
EXT fn	fn LIST(R) A	93	fn SOURCE A	80

Table A.2: The REVISE VM EXEC files

In this case, the fortran files are called NEW FORTRAN A, PRE FORTRAN A, COM FORTRAN A, MOD FORTRAN A, and EXT FORTRAN A, respectively. The five programs are compiled with the FORTVS2 compiler, without optimisation or vectorisation. This produces five files NEW TEXT A, PRE TEXT A, COM TEXT A, MOD TEXT A, and EXT TEXT A.

The script files are replaced by EXEC files written in REXX but the call and the parameters are essentially the same as for the Unix scripts and are specified in Table A.2. Again, for the parameters fn, nfn, mfn the user has to specify the file names. If mfn is omitted in COM, a file name "Mfn MODIF" will be constructed. If nfn is omitted in MOD, creation of "nfn LISTR" will be suppressed. All files have RECFM F and LRECL as indicated in the table. The optional parameter l in NEW and MOD controls output format.

A.5 List of files

The complete list of files required to install REVISE consists of:

- the 5 fortran files new.f, pre.f, com.f, mod.f, and ext.f (or NEW FORTRAN A, PRE FORTRAN A, COM FORTRAN A, MOD FORTRAN A, and EXT FORTRAN A, respectively, on IBM VM),
- the 5 script files new, pre, com, mod, and ext (or the 5 EXEC files NEW, PRE, COM, MOD, and EXT on IBM VM),
- the installation file revise.install,
- the information file revise.note (contains a short description of REVISE and on how to use it on VM),

i.e. 12 files in total.

B Installation script for PPPLIB

The following Unix script is called 'ppplib.mk'. It installs PPPLIB version 15e from input file \$HOME/ppplib/ppp15e.source and puts it in the directory \$HOME/libs.

```
#This shell script ppplib.mk constructs the ppplib.a library
echo =====
echo Making working directory
echo =====
mkdir $HOME/wd
echo =====
echo Copying ppp15e.source
echo =====
cp $HOME/ppplib/ppp15e.source $HOME/wd/ppplib.source
cd $HOME/wd
echo =====
echo Precompiling ppplib.source
echo =====
pre ppplib
echo =====
echo Splitting ppplib.f
echo =====
fsplit ppplib.f
echo =====
echo Cat BLOCK DATA subpograms
echo =====
cat begplt.f lhead.f pos.f calpos.f ebdasc.f > cat.f
mv cat.f begplt.f
echo =====
echo Compiling all ppplib routines
echo =====
\rm ppplib.f
cf77 *.f -c
\rm *.f
echo =====
echo Creating library ppplib.a
echo in directory $HOME/libs
echo =====
ar vq ppplib.a *.o
ranlib ppplib.a
mkdir $HOME/libs
mv ppplib.a $HOME/libs/ppplib.a
\rm *
cd $HOME
rmdir wd
#end of shell script
```

C Installation script for HGOLIB

The following script, hgolib.mk, creates a library from input file \$HOME/libs/hgolib.f and puts it in the directory \$HOME/libs (which is constructed if it does not yet exist).

```
#This shell script hgolib.mk constructs the hgolib.a library
echo =====
echo Making working directory
echo =====
mkdir $HOME/wd
echo =====
echo Copying hgolib.f
echo =====
cp $HOME/libs/hgolib.f $HOME/wd/hgolib.f
cd $HOME/wd
echo =====
echo Splitting hgolib.f
echo =====
fsplit hgolib.f
echo =====
echo Compiling all hgolib routines
echo =====
\rm hgolib.f
cf77 *.f -c
\rm *.f
echo =====
echo Creating library hgolib.a
echo in directory $HOME/libs
echo =====
ar vq hgolib.a *.o
ranlib hgolib.a
mkdir $HOME/libs
mv hgolib.a $HOME/libs/hgolib.a
\rm *
cd $HOME
rmdir wd
#end of shell script
```

References

- [1] G. Huijsmans, Ph.D. thesis, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1991, "External resistive modes in tokamaks"
- [2] J.P. Goedbloed, *Comp. Phys. Comm.*, **24**, 311-321 (1981), "Conformal mapping methods in two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics"
- [3] G.T.A. Huysmans, R.M.O. Galvão, and J.P. Goedbloed, *Rijnhuizen Report 90-194*, 1990, "Documentation of the high beta stability codes HBT and HBTAS at JET"
- [4] G.T.A. Huysmans, J.P. Goedbloed, and W. Kerner, *Proc. CP90 Conf. on Comp. Phys. Proc.*, World Scientific Publ. Co., 1991, p. 371, "Isoparametric Bicubic Hermite Elements for Solution of the Grad-Shafranov Equation"
- [5] L.S. Soloviev, *Reviews of Plasma Physics*, Vol. 6, ed. M.A. Leontovich (Consultants Bureau, New York), 1975, p. 257.
- [6] S. Poedts, H.A. Holties, J.P. Goedbloed *Rijnhuizen Report 96-228*, 1996, "HELENA: Installation and User Guide"
- [7] W. Kerner, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **85**, 1989, "Large-scale complex eigenvalue problems"
- [8] G. Strang and G.J. Fix, Prentice Hall, Englewood, N.J., 1973, "An analysis of the finite element method"
- [9] C.Z. Chang and M.S. Chance, *J. Comp. Phys.*, **?**, 1986, "NOVA: a nonvariational code for solving MHD stability of axisymmetric toroidal plasmas"
- [10] R. Gruber, F. Troyon, D. Berger, L.C. Bernard, S. Rousset, R. Schreiber, W. Kerner, W. Schneider, and K.V. Roberts, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **21**, 323-371, 1981, "ER-ATO stability code"
- [11] A. De Ploey, R.A.M. Van der Linden, G.T.A. Huysmans, M. Goossens, W. Kerner W, and J.P. Goedbloed, *Proceedings of the 22th European Conference on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Bournemouth, England)* vol 1 (European Physical Society, England, 1995) p221.