

Introduction

Bentley Hevacomps AM 11 document can be found below. Please note that AM 11 is a statement about simulation software, and is not an accredited item.

Appendix B Checklist for choosing BEEM software

This checklist complements section 4 of the CIBSE Applications Manual AM11:1998
Building Energy and Environmental Modelling

B1 General B1.1 Program description Name, vendor, origins

Program name Design Simulation Version V8i

Date of current release Feb 2010

Vendor's name Bentley Systems (UK) Ltd

Contact name Stephen Brown

Vendor's address The Portergate – 257 Ecclesall Road

Sheffield – S11 8NX

Tel 0808 101 9247

Fax

E-mail via www.Bentley.com

Program type¹

Thermal analysis:

Load calculation Plant and controls Energy simulation

Lighting and visualisation:

Air movement

Specialist tool

Further description -

¹ The classification of programs is explained in Section 2. A program may have a number of listed capabilities. Space is provided to elucidate the description.



Program history²

Description Design simulation was first produced in 2007 and incorporates

Hevacomp CAD input. The simulation engine is EnergyPlus.

-

² List previous names by which program known, significant advances in functionality and dates of these, changes of platform and changes of vendors. This information will help in the understanding of published literature, especially about validation studies.

B1.2 Computer specification Platform and operating system

PC	Yes MS-dos® Windows 95® Windows XP® Windows 7®		No Windows 3.x® Windows nt® Windows Vista®	
	ps2	×	os2	×
Unix®	Yes		No	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Apple Macintosh®	Yes		No	$\overline{\checkmark}$
Comments	Can be run from either Control from a Licens machine or online.			ne with
Processor, storage and p	peripherals			
Processor speed	_	commended	quad core	
Minimum RAM	2 GB, red	commended	4 gig	
Minimum disk space Other devices:	10 GB			
Floppy disk	Yes		No	\checkmark
CD rom drive	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
Printer	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Plotter	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
Digitising tabl	e Yes		No	\checkmark
Other	Plotter is option	al. A LAN o	connection is required	
Other requirements ³	Screen resolution > 8 required for update p connection required f	atches, net	work or Internet	
Suitable machines ⁴	Most modern PCs will software.	l have no t	rouble running the	

 $^{^3}$ Consider screen size, video RAM, Internet connection, local area network connection, etc. 4 List types of machine known to be suitable



	Program code of code							
. , pe	Compiled code only Source code available Extra £ for source code Programming language Notes	Yes Yes Yes Fortran			No No No C/C++	-		□ ✓ ✓ ×
B1.4	Modelling methods	-						
The D	Design Simulation program ເ	uses the Ener	gyPlus	simula	ation er	igine		
B1.5 Type	Input interface of interface GUI Other	Menu-driven			Comn	nand line	;	
Digita	Program produces accessible human readable digital data for Legibility of digital file Is entire problem definition of Are all simulation parameters	Files? ⁵	Yes Good Yes Yes	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	No Poor No No		Bad	
Data (checking Does program check for cons and plausibility of input?	sistency	Yes	Ø	No			

⁵ Interfaces may generate files (e.g. binary files) which cannot be read by people but only by the program interface. These are much less

useful and old (binary) files may cease to be readable by new versions of the interface.

6 Check that all the data are included — e.g. geometry, construction and occupancy data — and that the weather file used is noted.

7 Check that all the simulation control information — such as time-step length, length of preconditioning and convergence criteria — is



	Output interface of interface GUI ☑	Tabula	ır			Digita	1	
Digita	Is digital output accessible? Legibility of digital file Is all output contained? Are algorithm level outputs	accessib	Yes Good Yes le?	\ \ \ \ \	No Poor No Yes		Bad No	_ _
B1.7	Linked modules CAD input Vendor's own interface ⁸ Other cad system(s) (name) Comments	The so		e uses	No No s AutoCa ng data		F files a	as a
B1.8	Associated databases Thermophysical properties Basic material properties Properties of complete const Transmission of windows Comment ⁹	truction -	Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No			
	Weather data Worldwide Number of sites Comment Other databases	-	Yes Yes 7900	<u>v</u>	No No			

 ⁸ Check that the modules are available and working; consult vendors about likely future releases.
 9 Ask how many entries are in each database; ask to see some of their contents.



B1.9 Manu	User support als					
	User manual	Yes	\checkmark	No		
	Hard copy	Yes		No	\checkmark	
	On-line	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No		
	Date of the latest copy	May 20	011			
	Does it include example problems					
	with the expected answers? Do the problems exercise all	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No		
	program modules?	Yes		No	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
	Does it explain how to use every module?	Yes	V	No		
	Comments ¹⁰ -	100	_	1,0		
	Technical manual	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No		
	Hard copy	Yes		No	$\overline{\checkmark}$	
	On-line	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No		
	On-line help	Yes	\checkmark	No		
	Comments -					
Case	studies					
	Vendor's case study examples				_	
	obtained?	Yes		No		
	Case studies of others obtained?	Yes		No	\square	
Hotlin	ie.					
	Hotline support	Yes	\checkmark	No		
	Turn round	Instant		1 day	\checkmark	>1 day

¹⁰ Ask to see the manual. Ensure that it contains all the useful features and that it is up to date.



Software	
Undates provided	

Updates provided Yes ☑ No ☐

Media for dissemination Floppy disk ☐ CD ☑ Internet ☑

Training

Courses provided Yes ☑ No □

Cost from £350

Length Details available Frequency Details available

B1.10 User base

Numbers

Users in UK 10,500 Users worldwide 11,800 Sites in UK 1,500 Sites worldwide 1,630

UK building services engineers - UK architects - UK builders -

UK others 10,500

Is there a user club? Yes \square No \square

Contacts

Name Support and Sales

Address Bentley Systems (UK) Ltd

The Portergate - 257 Ecclesall Road

Sheffield - S11 8NX

Tel 0808 101 9247

Fax

E-mail via www.Bentley.com



B1.11 Cost

oftware and as	sociated databases	
Core progra	m	£ on request
		£ on request
Modules	Name	£
	Name	£
	Name	£
Databases	Name	£
	Name	£

First year user /licence fee £

Total software and data £

Computer

Name	£
Annual recurring licence fee after first year of use	£
Typical training course fees per year	£

B1.12 Accuracy

Has the program been evaluated?	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Does the vendor exercise routine				
in-house quality testing?	Yes	\checkmark	No	

Complete the table below to document the validation history

Date tested	Independently (i) or by vendor (v)	Type of test A, C, E	Source of information	Comments on the results
Aug 07	(i)	Е	CLG Part L	Accreditation test
Nov 07	(i)	A	ASHRAE	HVAC Tests
Nov 07	(i)	A	ASHRAE	Fabric tests
Nov 07	(i)	A	ASHRAE 120	
Nov 07	(i)	A	BESTest	
July 09	(i)	ACE	CLG Part L	Accreditation

A Analytical verification, C Intermodel comparison, E Empirical validation



B2	Thermal simulation programs: theoretical basis					
B2.1	Conduction and thermal	storag	e ¹¹			
Soluti	on method Explicit finite difference Respnse factor Other	☑ ☑ -	Implicit finite difference Weighting factors			
Time-	step length User specified		Calculated by program			
Opaqı	ue surface: conduction m One-dimensional	odel ☑	Three-dimensional			
Opaqı	ue layers: node placemen Fixed at (number) Not applicable Other	t ☑ □ -	User specified			
Glazir	ng: conduction model Resistance or U-value Other	 -	Multi-layer with nodes	V		
Air ga	ps User specified resistance Other		Program calculated resistance	· 🗹		
Initial	node temperature User specified Notes	 -	Program calculated	V		
Preco	nditioning time User specified		Program recommended			

¹¹ Validation work indicates that conduction and thermal storage are well modelled in the programs studied. Alternative approaches generally have little impact on results and a small impact on program run times. The ability to conduct three-dimensional analyses is rarely needed. For modelling a large area of glass, especially heat-absorbing glass, windows are best modelled as multi-layer constructions.



B2.2 Solar radiation

	ar radiation¹² and diffuse ned		Separate treatment of direct and diffuse	V
Ground refle Consid	ection of radial	ation ¹³ ☑	Not considered	
Diffuse radia Isotrop Notes	ation sky mod vic	del ¹⁴ □ -	Anisotropic	Ø
	nsmission — pecified notes	direct ¹⁵ ☑ -	Program calculated	V
	nsmission — pecified notes	diffuse ☑ -	Program calculated	Ø
User spone or	or distribution decified (fixed) more surfaces ated at each ep	to	Calculated once by program	

¹² Solar radiation and its reflection are best calculated if the direct and diffuse radiation components are separated.

Ground reflection must be calculated, especially for more highly glazed spaces (such as atria).

Anistropic diffuse solar radiation models are generally considered superior.

Window transmission may be calculated by the program, or the user may specify incidence angle dependent values. For direct solar radiation, incidence angle dependent transmission and reflection (or absorption) properties are necessary; a single value is often used for diffuse radiation. Correct specification for direct radiation is most important. Software is available to calculate incidence angle dependent values if programs require users to specify these and databases are inadequate.

values if programs require users to specify these and databases are inadequate.

16 The distribution of internal solar radiation is usually important only where glazed areas are large, surfaces have very different thermal mass or a number of surfaces are glazed. The retransmission through other glazed surfaces can be very important for modelling e.g. conservatories or atria— note the treatment of this effect.



B2.3 Surface heat exchange

Intern	al surfaces ¹⁷ Combined convection and radiation coefficient		Separate convection and radiation networks	V
Intern	al combined coefficients ¹ Fixed user defined Calculated at each time-step Notes		Program calculated once	
Intern	al convection coefficients Fixed user defined Calculated at each time-step Notes	s ¹⁹ □ ☑	Program calculated once	
Intern	al longwave exchange ²⁰ Star network Notes		IntersuArface exchange	
Exteri	nal surfaces ²¹ Combined convection and radiation coefficient		Separate radiation and convection networks	

¹⁷Some programs calculate heat exchange between surfaces and the enclosed air using a coefficient which describes both the convective and longwave effects. With such an approach the calculated space temperature is not a true air temperature; it is often termed the enclosure temperature. The true effects of highly asymmetric radiant environments (e.g. a radiant ceiling panel) are poorly predicted. The approach is, however, adequate for most spaces and where long-term (energy use) predictions are required. Note whether the approach used for glazing is the same as that used for opaque surfaces.

18 Ignore if separate convective and radiant exchange networks are employed.

Ignore if separate convective and radiant exchange networks are employed.

Ignore if combined coefficients used. In reality coefficients vary depending on the surface-to-air temperature difference, surface length (or height), roughness etc. Note the algorithm used to describe these effects.

Ignore if combined coefficient used. A star network balances radiation exchange at a fictitious central node. Radiation actually occurs by intersurface exchange. A view factor calculation is needed to describe the intersurface visibility. Note whether exact or approximate view

factors are used and whether the program calculates these.

The heat exchange at external surfaces may be calculated using a simple combined surface heat transfer coefficient or by separating out convection and radiation and modelling each of these in detail. Note whether the approach used for glazing is the same as that used for



Exter	nal combined coefficients Fixed user defined Calculated at each time-step		Program calculated once						
Exter	nal convection coefficient Fixed user defined Calculated at each time-step Notes	s ²² □ □ -	Program calculated once						
	nal longwave exchange ²³ User or program calculated coefficient Notes		Detailed algorithm	V					
B2.4	Heating, cooling and casual gains								
Heat i	input ²⁴ Combined radiant and convective Notes		Separate fixed radiant and convective components	☑					
Cooli	ng ²⁵ Sensible cooling only Notes		Sensible and latent cooling	Ø					

²² If a separate treatment is adopted for external convection, note whether a fixed coefficient is used or a more detailed algorithm which may account for wind speed, direction and surface orientation is used. Although a rigorous approach is theoretically preferable, wind speeds and directions close to surfaces are difficult to calculate.

²³ Longwave heat loss to surroundings has a significant impact on the temperature of external surfaces and, for glazed surfaces (and other poorly insulated areas), the temperature of the interior spaces. This has a significant impact on the comfort conditions, and perhaps the demands for heating, in highly glazed spaces such as atria. The exchange may be modelled by a single coefficient or a detailed algorithm may be adopted. The algorithm may calculate exchange to both the sky and surrounding surfaces (ground and buildings) or to just the sky. The method of calculating the sky temperature should be noted.

²⁴ Heat input from plant and casual sources (people, lights and equipment) may have fixed radiant and convective components or the user may be able to specify these. The ability to specify will be important if, for example, a highly radiant source is used or if highly radiant sources are combined with convective sources. The ability to specify the latent proportion of casual heat gains may be similarly important, particularly regarding cooking equipment. Some programs use detailed models (e.g. which incorporate a time delay and an exponential temperature rise) so that heating plant can be more accurately modelled. This is less important for long-term (energy) calculations than for short term temperature predictions.

²⁵ An ability to calculate the latent cooling load will be particularly important in humid environments. The ability to do this implies that the moisture content of the air is tracked by the program — few programs do this.



Idealised control Ideal preheat/cool Fixed heat injection On/off thermostat Accelerator Proportional Proportional plus integral Proportional plus integral plus derivative Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, selftuning, neural network) Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Repeated hourly schedule Repeated hourly schedule Flexible hourly schedule No Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule No Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Yes No No No No Surface temperature sensing Yes No Surface temperature sensing	Contr	olling plant ²⁶				
Ideal preheat/cool Yes ✓ No ☐ Fixed heat injection Yes ✓ No ☐ On/off thermostat Yes ✓ No ☐ Accelerator Yes ✓ No ☐ Proportional Yes ✓ No ☐ Proportional plus integral Yes ✓ No ☐ Proportional plus integral plus derivative Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, self- tuning, neural network) Yes ✓ No ☐ Other Yes ✓ No ☐ Schedules²7 Repeated hourly schedule Repeated hourly schedule Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Yes ✓ No ☐ Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No ✓ Intraconstruction sensing Yes No ✓			Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
Fixed heat injection On/off thermostat Accelerator Proportional Proportional plus integral Proportional plus integral plus derivative Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, self- tuning, neural network) Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Repeated hourly schedule Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No		Idealised control	Yes	\checkmark	No	
On/off thermostat Accelerator Proportional Proportional plus integral Proportional plus integral plus derivative Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, self- tuning, neural network) Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Repeated hourly schedule Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No No Company No		Ideal preheat/cool	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
Accelerator Proportional Proportional Proportional plus integral Proportional plus integral Proportional plus integral plus derivative Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, selftuning, neural network) Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Repeated hourly schedule Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes ✓ No ☐ No ✓ No ☐ Surface temperature sensing Yes ☐ No ☑ Intraconstruction sensing Yes ☐ No ☑ No		Fixed heat injection	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Proportional Yes ☑ No ☐ Proportional plus integral Yes ☑ No ☐ Proportional plus integral plus derivative Yes ☑ No ☐ Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, selftuning, neural network) Yes ☐ No ☑ Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Yes ☑ No ☐ Repeated hourly schedule Yes ☑ No ☐ Seasonal variations Yes ☑ No ☐ No ☐ Seasonal variations Yes ☑ No ☐ Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Yes ☑ No ☐ No ☐ Notes Sensing air temperature Yes ☑ No ☐ No ☐ Nixed radiation and convection sensing Yes ☑ No ☐ No ☑ Surface temperature sensing Yes ☐ No ☑ Intraconstruction Sensing Yes ☐ No ☑ Intraconst		On/off thermostat	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Proportional plus integral Yes No Proportional plus integral plus derivative Yes No Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, selftuning, neural network) Yes No Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Yes No Repeated hourly schedule Yes No Seasonal variations Yes No Seasonal variations Yes No No Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Yes No No Mixed radiation and convection sensing Yes No Intraconstruction Sensing Yes		Accelerator	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Proportional plus integral plus derivative Yes ☑ No ☐ Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, selftuning, neural network) Yes ☐ No ☑ Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Yes ☑ No ☐ Repeated hourly schedule Yes ☑ No ☐ Seasonal variations Yes ☑ No ☐ Flexible hourly schedule Yes ☑ No ☐ Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Yes ☑ No ☐ Notes Sensing air temperature Yes ☑ No ☐ Notes ☑ No ☑ No ☑ Notes ☑ No ☑ No ☑ Notes ☑ No ☑ Notes ☑ No ☑ No ☑ No ☑ Notes ☑ No ☑ No ☑ No ☑ Notes ☑ No ☑ N		Proportional	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Advanced (e.g. fuzzy logic, adaptive, self-tuning, neural network) Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Repeated hourly schedule Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No No Intraconstruction sensing Yes Intraconstruction Sensing		Proportional plus integral	Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
Other Schedules ²⁷ Repeated daily schedule Yes Mo Repeated hourly schedule Yes Mo Seasonal variations Yes Mo No Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Yes Mo Mixed radiation and convection sensing Yes No Surface temperature sensing Yes No Mo Intraconstruction Sensing Yes N			Yes	$\overline{\checkmark}$	No	
Repeated daily schedule Repeated hourly schedule Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No No No Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Ves No Ves No No Ves		,	Yes		No	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
Repeated hourly schedule Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No No Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Intraconstruction sensing	Sched					_
Seasonal variations Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Ves No No Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Ves No V		-				_
Flexible hourly schedule Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Mo		1				_
Notes Sensor types Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Intraconstruction sensing Yes No						_
Sensing air temperature Mixed radiation and convection sensing Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No ✓ No No		•	Yes	✓	No	Ц
Mixed radiation and convection sensing Yes ✓ No ☐ Surface temperature sensing Yes ☐ No ✓ Intraconstruction sensing Yes ☐ No ✓	Senso	or types				
Surface temperature sensing Intraconstruction sensing Yes No Intraconstruction sensing		Sensing air temperature	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Intraconstruction sensing Yes □ No ☑		Mixed radiation and convection sensing	Yes	\checkmark	No	
		Surface temperature sensing	Yes		No	\checkmark
		<u>e</u>	Yes		No	

Most programs assume perfect control is possible, i.e. that a specified set point can be quickly achieved (provided plant capacities are sufficient); this is usually adequate for long-term energy calculations. In some programs the impact on temperature of alternative forms of control can be explored.

control can be explored.

The ability to schedule heating and cooling plant and the occurrence of internal heat gains is important. Some programs are very flexible enabling minute-by-minute variations, others are less flexible.



B2.5 Observations²⁸

Record here any other general observations about the capabilities of the program which have not been covered elsewhere. For example, some programs impose geometric description limits on the maximum numbers of zones, surfaces per zone or windows per surface.