

User Manual

MUSCOD-II Release 6.0

Christian Hoffmann Christian Kirches Andreas Potschka Sebastian Sager Leonard Wirsching

based on the previous version by Moritz Diehl, Daniel B. Leineweber, Andreas A. S. Schäfer

Simulation & Optimization Group Hans Georg Bock and Johannes P. Schlöder Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing (IWR) University of Heidelberg, Germany

March 28, 2011

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 4 |
|------------------|---|--|
| 2 | Multistage Optimal Control Problems in DAE | 4 5 |
| | 2.2 Interior Point and Path Constraints | 5 |
| | 2.3 The Objective Function | 6 |
| | 2.4 Least Squares Objective Contributions | 6 |
| 3 | The Direct Multiple Shooting Method | 7 |
| | 3.1 Piecewise Control Discretization | 7 |
| | 3.2 Multiple Shooting State Parametrization | 8 |
| | 3.3 Discretization of Bounds, Interior Point and Path Constraints | 8 |
| | 3.4 Discretization of Least Squares Terms | 10 |
| | 3.5 The resulting Nonlinear Programming Problem | 10 |
| | 3.6 The SQP Algorithm | 11 |
| 4 | The software package MUSCOD-II | 12 |
| | 4.1 Installation Steps for a UNIX/Linux System | 13 |
| | 4.2 Making Changes to the Installation | 18 |
| | 4.3 Compiler Warnings | 20 |
| | 4.4 Compatibility of Compilation Flags | 20 |
| | TT / / 11 | |
| 5 | How to set up a problem | 21 |
| 5 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 |
| 5 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set 5.2 Setting up a new problem | 212121 |
| 5 6 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set 5.2 Setting up a new problem The Model Source File | 21 21 21 21 22 |
| 5 6 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 21 22 22 |
| 5 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 22 22 25 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 22 22 25 27 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 22 22 25 27 28 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 22 22 25 27 28 30 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 22 22 25 27 28 30 34 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 21 22 25 27 28 30 34 35 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 21 22 22 25 27 28 30 34 35 37 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 21 22 25 27 28 30 34 35 37 37 |
| 5 6 7 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set 5.2 Setting up a new problem 5.2 Setting up a new problem The Model Source File 6.1 The parts of the model - dynamic equations, constraints, and objective functions 6.2 Putting the model together - the model definition function Data and Options 7.1 Data and the DAT File 7.2 Keywords Defining the Optimal Control Problem 7.3 Keywords Selecting Dynamically Loadable Modules 7.4 Keywords Setting Algorithmic Options 7.5 Keywords for Visualization 7.6 Keywords for Visualization 7.7 The Command Line | 21 21 21 21 22 25 27 28 30 34 35 37 38 |
| 5 6 7 8 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set | 21 21 21 21 22 25 27 28 30 34 35 37 38 41 |
| 5 6 7 8 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set 5.2 Setting up a new problem 5.2 Setting up a new problem The Model Source File 6.1 The parts of the model - dynamic equations, constraints, and objective functions 6.2 Putting the model together - the model definition function Data and Options 7.1 Data and the DAT File 7.2 Keywords Defining the Optimal Control Problem 7.3 Keywords Selecting Dynamically Loadable Modules 7.4 Keywords Setting Algorithmic Options 7.5 Keywords for Visualization 7.6 Keywords for Visualization 7.7 The Command Line 7.8 SQP Algorithms | 21 21 21 21 22 25 27 28 30 34 35 37 38 41 42 |
| 5 6 7 8 | How to set up a problem 5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set 5.2 Setting up a new problem 5.2 Setting up a new problem The Model Source File 6.1 The parts of the model - dynamic equations, constraints, and objective functions 6.2 Putting the model together - the model definition function Data and Options 7.1 Data and the DAT File 7.2 Keywords Defining the Optimal Control Problem 7.3 Keywords Selecting Dynamically Loadable Modules 7.4 Keywords Setting Algorithmic Options 7.5 Keywords for Visualization 7.6 Keywords for Visualization 7.7 The Command Line Dynamically Loadable Modules 8.1 SQP Algorithms 8.2 ODE/DAE Solvers | 21 21 21 21 22 25 27 28 30 34 35 37 38 41 42 42 |

| | 8.4 | Globalization Strategy | 44 |
|----|---------------|---|----|
| | 8.5 | Condensing of the Block Sparse QP | 45 |
| | 8.6 | Solution of the Condensed QP | 45 |
| | 8.7 | Termination Check for the SQP Algorithms | 47 |
| | 8.8 | Graphical Visualization of the Results | 47 |
| | 8.9 | Restrictions in the Choice of Modules | 47 |
| 9 | MU | SCOD-II output | 48 |
| | 9.1 | Terminal Output | 48 |
| | 9.2 | Online Graphics | 49 |
| | 9.3 | Result Files | 50 |
| | 9.4 | Matlab Logging | 50 |
| 10 | Inte | ractive MUSCOD-II | 52 |
| 11 | \mathbf{MS} | MINTOC | 53 |
| | 11.1 | Defining integer variables | 54 |
| | 11.2 | Available algorithms | 54 |
| | 11.3 | MS MINTOC specific options | 55 |
| | 11.4 | Switching Time Optimization | 56 |
| 12 | Win | 1 XP | 57 |
| 13 | Exa | mple: ODE Test Problem reentry | 63 |
| 14 | Exa | mple: MS MINTOC Problem lotka | 66 |
| 15 | App | endix A: MUSCOD-II test library | 69 |
| | 15.1 | List of all Testproblems | 69 |
| | 15.2 | List of solution characteristics | 69 |
| | 15.3 | Further description of some of the problems | 74 |

1 Introduction

MUSCOD-II is a robust and efficient optimization tool that allows to quickly implement and solve very general optimal control problems in differential-algebraic equations (DAE).

The manual is organized as follows:

- 1. In Section 2 we present class of problems which can be solved, already introducing the problem syntax used in MUSCOD-II.
- 2. In Section 3 a brief introduction to the solution algorithm the direct multiple shooting method is given. Some understanding of the underlying method helps in learning the specific way of formulating problems for MUSCOD-II.
- 3. The installation of MUSCOD-II on a Unix-workstation is described in detail in Section 4. You will find information on necessary and useful third-party software, on obtaining the source code, on the file structure of the software, on the installation process, and on details about the location of the algorithmic modules.
- 4. How to set up and run a problem is described in Section 5. Setting up a problem involves creating a model source file and a data file. A description of the model source file is given in Section 6. In Section 7, a description of the data file as well as command line options are given.
- 5. An overview of the available dynamically loadable algorithmic modules is given in Section 8.
- 6. Screen and file output of MUSCOD-II is explained in Section 9. The interactive mode, which allows to view and log data during the optimization run, is described in Section 10.
- 7. The mixed-integer extension of MUSCOD-II, which is called MS MINTOC, is described in Section 11.
- 8. An example (source and data file) for standard MUSCOD-II is given in Section 13. An example for MS MINTOC can be found in Section 14.

2 Multistage Optimal Control Problems in DAE

Many dynamic process optimization problems of practical relevance can be expressed as multistage optimal control problems in DAE. MUSCOD-II is able to treat the following general class of multistage optimal control problems, where the time horizon of interest $[t_0, t_M]$ is divided into M model stages corresponding to the subintervals $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, M-1$. On each of these intervals, the corresponding system state is described by the differential and algebraic state vectors $x_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i^x}$ and $z_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i^z}$. The system behaviour is controlled by the control vectors $u_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i^u}$ and the global design parameter vector $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n^p}$. On each of the model stages the system obeys a differential algebraic equation:

$$B_{i}(t, x_{i}(t), z_{i}(t), u_{i}(t), p) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} x_{i}(t) = f_{i}(t, x_{i}(t), z_{i}(t), u_{i}(t), p) \\ 0 = g_{i}(t, x_{i}(t), z_{i}(t), u_{i}(t), p) \end{cases} , \quad t \in [t_{i}, t_{i+1}]$$

with the matrix function B_i in $\mathbb{R}^{n_i^x \times n_i^x}$ and the derivative $\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial z_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i^z \times n_i^z}$ invertible, such that the linear-implicit DAE is of semi-explicit type and of index one.

The duration $h_i := t_{i+1} - t_i$ of model stage *i* may be variable. The end value of the differential state on stage *i*, $x_i(t_{i+1})$, is determined completely by the initial value $x_i(t_i)$, the control trajectory $u_i(\cdot)$ and the global parameters *p* and the duration h_i .

2.1 Transition between Model Stages

Between model stages continuity of the differential states is required by default:

$$x_{i+1}(t_{i+1}) = x_i(t_{i+1})$$

Therefore the differential dimensions do not change: $n_{i+1}^x = n_i^x$.

Jumps in the differential states and even dimension changes can be implemented by a special type of model stage, called *transition stage*. A transition stage with index j replaces the DAE integration for the determination of the final state value $x_j(t_{j+1})$ by the simple evaluation of a transition function c_j that may even change the differential state dimensions (n_{j+1}^x) is not necessarily equal to n_j^x). Usually, the duration of a transition stage is set to zero, i.e. $t_{j+1} = t_j$. The continuity condition after the transition stage j provides an initial value for the following model stage j + 1:

$$x_{j+1}(t_{j+1}) = c_j(t_j, x_j(t_j), z_j(t_j), u_j(t_j), p)$$

Here the transition function c_i has the same syntax as the right-hand side function f.¹

2.2 Interior Point and Path Constraints

For all variables, i.e. states, controls, parameters, and durations, upper and lower bounds can and in fact have to be given. Additionally, general *decoupled* constraint vector functions r_k^d (with dimension n_k^{rd}) can be specified that require at single points $t = t_k$ in time or on complete model stages (i.e. $\forall_{t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]}$):

$$r_k^d(t, x(t), z(t), u(t), p, p_k^r) \begin{cases} = \\ \geq \end{cases} 0$$

$$0 = g_j(t_j, x_j(t_j), z_j(t_j), u_j(t_j), p)$$

Note that a pointwise influence of the control values $u_j(t_j)$ on the transition function as above can also occur indirectly via the algebraic states.

¹ Please note that allowing the *point* control value $u_j(t_j)$ to enter the transition function amounts to giving it the status of a parameter. If algebraic variables $z_j(t_j)$ are used on the transition stage they have to be defined by declaring an appropriate algebraic equation at time t_j

Here, the first n_k^{rde} components are equalities and the remaining ones (of altogether n_k^{rd}) inequalities. These decoupled constraints can be formulated either only at the start or end points of a stage or on the whole interior of a stage.

For the formulation of *coupled* constraints, MUSCOD-II employs a specific formulation (for reasons of numerical efficiency) – it allows to couple different time points linearly in the following way: the user specifies vector functions r_k^c (at time points t_k) all of equal dimension n^{rc} . The vector sum of these functions is then required to satisfy:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K} r_k^c(t_k, x(t_k), z(t_k), u(t_k), p, p_k^r) \left\{ \stackrel{=}{\geq} \right\} 0$$

Again, the first n^{rce} components are taken as equalities, the rest as inequalities.

In both, decoupled and coupled constraints, *local* parameters p_k^r can be employed in addition to the global parameters p – they are preferable to global parameters for reasons of numerical efficiency (if they can replace them)².

2.3 The Objective Function

The objective function is of generalized Bolza type, containing Lagrange and Mayer terms for each model stage:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} L_i(t, x_i(t), z_i(t), u_i(t), p) dt + \Phi_i(t_{i+1}, x_i(t_{i+1}), z_i(t_{i+1}), p) \right)$$
(1)

Note that no Lagrange term can be defined for transition stages.

2.4 Least Squares Objective Contributions

The objective function of Bolza type may be extended by an additional contribution that contains pointwise defined least squares terms of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K} \|l_k^p(t_k, x(t_k), z(t_k), u(t_k), p, p_k^r)\|_2^2$$

where the time points t_k are specified as for the interior point constraints $r_k^d()$.

Though this special form of an objective contribution could also be formulated by use of Mayer terms as in (1), this explicit formulation allows to exploit the structure of the least squares terms in the numerical solution procedure.

As an additional feature, a continuous least squares function may be defined on each differential modelstage, so that a further contribution of the following form is added to the objective.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \|l_k^c(t, x(t), z(t), u(t), p)\|_2^2 dt,$$

²Please note that a possible use of controls and algebraic states in the *coupled* interior point constraints allows some *point* control values to enter the problem and gives them the effective influence of parameters.



Figure 1: Piecewise constant representation of a control $(m_i = 5)$.

which again could in principle be covered by Lagrange terms as in (1), but allows a favourable numerical treatment if explicitly formulated in least-squares form.

Remark:

The relevant dimensions of the problem and all functions mentioned in this section have to be provided by the user in the model source file described in section 6. A correspondence between the customary notation in the model-source file and the notation used in this section is given in Table 1.

3 The Direct Multiple Shooting Method

In the direct multiple shooting method the original continuous optimal control problem is reformulated as an NLP problem which is then solved by an iterative solution procedure, a specially tailored *sequential quadratic programming (SQP)* algorithm. A far more complete description of the methods employed is given by Leineweber, 1999 [Lei99].

3.1 Piecewise Control Discretization

In order to reformulate the original continuous problem as an NLP problem, first the control functions are approximated by a *piecewise* representation using only a finite set of control parameters. This is done by first dividing each model stage i into a number of m_i subintervals, called *multiple shooting intervals* $I_{i,j} := [t_{i,j}, t_{i,j+1}], j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m_i - 1\}$, with intermediate time points $t_{i,j}$. Then a piecewise approximation \hat{u}_i of the control functions u_i on this grid is defined by

$$\hat{u}_i(t) := \varphi_{i,j}(t, q_{i,j}), \quad t \in I_{i,j} \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1,$$
(2)

using "local" control parameters q_{ij} . The functions φ_{ij} are typically vectors of polynomials. If for example piecewise constant approximations are used for all control functions, we simply have $\varphi_{ij}(t, q_{i,j}) = q_{i,j}$ for $t \in I_{i,j}$, see the scalar example shown in Figure 1.

In MUSCOD-II, five possibilities are implemented: piecewise constant controls; piecewise linear with continuity on the corresponding stages, but not between different stages; linear with *overall* continuity; cubic with continuous differentiability, again stagewide or overall.

The user can explicitly specify the locations of the multiple shooting grid points relative to the model stage duration or instead use a uniform grid. If the model stage duration varies, the multiple shooting (sub-)intervals are scaled proportionally (and accordingly the piecewise control representations).

3.2 Multiple Shooting State Parametrization

The basic concept of the multiple shooting method is to solve the differential (algebraic) equation independently on each of the multiple shooting intervals. On interval j of the *i*th model stage $(j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n_i^{ms}\})$ the initial value for the DAE solution is given by the so called *node* values $s_{i,j}^x$, $s_{i,j}^z$ for differential and algebraic states³. Consistency of the algebraic equations

$$g(t_{i,j}, s_{i,j}^x, s_{i,j}^z, \hat{u}_i(t_{i,j}), p) = 0,$$
(3)

and, particularly, continuity of the state trajectory at the multiple shooting grid points

$$s_{i,j+1}^x = x_{i,j}(t_{i,j+1}) \tag{4}$$

(where $x_{i,j}(t)$ denotes the differential part of the DAE solution on Interval $t \in I_{i,j}$ with initial values $s_{i,j}^x, s_{i,j}^z$) are incorporated as constraints into the NLP. They are required to be satisfied only at the solution of the problem, not during the SQP iterations. This allows to easily incorporate information about the trajectory behaviour into the initial guess, and it leads to good convergence properties of the multiple shooting method.

For more details, see e.g. Bock and Plitt [BP84] or Leineweber [Lei99].

3.3 Discretization of Bounds, Interior Point and Path Constraints

Upper and lower bounds for all multiple shooting variables, i.e. node state values $s_{i,j+1}$, control parameters q_{ij} , local and global parameters p_k^r and p, as well as the stage durations, can be specified. Note that this means a slight modification of the original problem, as state and control bounds may be violated *between* multiple shooting nodes in the solution. The same applies to the decoupled path constraints described by functions r_k^d . It should be noted, however, that in the important case of a piecewise constant or linear control representation, upper and lower control bounds are satisfied on the whole interval, if and only if they are satisfied at the multiple shooting nodes.

³Potential inconsistency of the algebraic equations at the m.s. nodes is dealt with a specific relaxed DAEformulation on the m.s. intervals. See e.g. Leineweber [Lei99]



Multiple shooting method – discontinuous initial trajectory and continuous solution $(m_i = 5)$. In this example, initial guesses for $s_{i,j}^x$ were obtained by linear interpolation between known boundary values.

3.4 Discretization of Least Squares Terms

The pointwise defined least squares functions l_k^p can be evaluated at all specified multiple shooting nodes, analogously to the constraint functions r_k^c , without any discretization errors. The advantage of an explicit formulation of these least squares terms – compared to formulating them as general Mayer objective contributions – is that this allows to obtain a Gauss-Newton approximation of the second derivative, e.g.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \|l_k^p\|_2^2}{\partial s_k^2} \approx 2 \frac{\partial l_k^p}{\partial s_k}^T \frac{\partial l_k^p}{\partial s_k},$$

which is good for small residuals $||l_k^p||_2^2$.

If a *continuous* least squares function l_k^c has to be integrated on a multiple shooting stage, this integral is in the current version of MUSCOD-II approximated by a sum using the trapezoidal rule as follows:

$$\int_{t_{i,j}}^{t_{i,j+1}} \|l_k^c(t, x_{i,j}(t), z_{i,j}(t), \hat{u}_i(t), p)\|^2 dt \approx \sum_{k=0}^{n_{i,j}^{stop}} w_{i,j,k} \|l_k^c(t_{i,j,k}, x_{i,j}(t_{i,j,k}), z_{i,j}(t_{i,j,k}), \hat{u}_i(t_{i,j,k}), p)\|^2$$

where the grid points $t_{i,j,k}$ are equally spaced between $t_{i,j,0} = t_{i,j}$ and $t_{i,j,n_{i,j}^{stop}} = t_{i,j+1}$ and the weights $w_{i,j,k}$ are set to $w_{i,j,k} = (t_{i,j+1} - t_{i,j})/n_{i,j}^{stop}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n_{i,j}^{stop} - 1$ and half this value for $k = 0, n_{i,j}^{stop}$: $w_{i,j,0} = w_{i,j,n_{i,j}^{stop}} = \frac{1}{2}(t_{i,j+1} - t_{i,j})/n_{i,j}^{stop}$. The integrator has to stop at the grid points $t_{i,j,k}$ to evaluate the objective contribution and its derivatives⁴.

Note that the approximation of the integral least-squares terms by a sum of intermediate points allows to compute a Gauss-Newton approximation of the second derivatives analogously to the case of point wise defined least-squares terms.

Remark:

All features specific to the multiple shooting method, i.e. the numbers m_i of multiple shooting intervals on the stages, upper and lower bounds, scales and initial guesses for the multiple shooting variables, and some output specifications have to be provided by the user in the data file described in section 7.1. For correspondence of the data file notation to the notation used in this section see also Table 2.

3.5 The resulting Nonlinear Programming Problem

If we subsume all multiple shooting variables (i.e. $s_{i,j}^x, s_{i,j}^z, q_{i,j}, h_i, p$, and p_k^r) to a single vector w of (large) dimension n, we can write the objective function as $F(w) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, we can subsume all equality constraints (in particular the continuity and consistency conditions (4) and (3)) to a vector valued function G(w) and the inequality constraints in a vector valued function H(w). Then, the parametrized optimal control problem can be written as a finite

⁴Note that this feature is so far only implemented in the integrators DAESOL and adfDAESOL.

dimensional Nonlinear Program:

$$\min_{w} F(w)$$
subject to
$$G(w) = 0$$

$$H(w) \ge 0$$
(5)

where the inequalities hold componentwise.

3.6 The SQP Algorithm

The SQP algorithm deals with the NLP problem where all functions are explicitly or implicitly defined as functions of the multiple shooting variables only. The numerical DAE solution on the multiple shooting intervals is performed in an underlying evaluation module and has to be carried out with sufficiently high integration tolerance.

Starting with an initial guess w_0 provided by the user, the SQP algorithm iterates

$$w_{k+1} = w_k + \alpha_k \Delta w_k$$

with step directions Δw_k (and relaxation factors $\alpha_k \in (0, 1]$), until a prespecified convergence criterion is satisfied.

At the k-th SQP iteration with multiple shooting variables w_k , the algorithm evaluates the NLP functions (i.e. $F(w_k)$, $G(w_k)$, and $H(w_k)$) and their derivatives $(\nabla_w F(w_k), \nabla_w G(w_k),$ and $\nabla_w H(w_k)$) with respect to w. In this way, linearizations of the originally nonlinear NLP functions are obtained that are used to build a quadratic programming (QP) subproblem. Furthermore, an approximation H_k of the Hessian matrix of the Lagrangian function is calculated.

The quadratic programming subproblem solved at the k-th SQP iteration can be written as:

$$\min_{\Delta w_k \in \Omega} \nabla F(w_k)^T \Delta w_k + \frac{1}{2} \Delta w_k^T H_k w_k$$
subject to
$$G(w_k) + \nabla_w G(w_k)^T \Delta w_k = 0$$

$$H(w_k) + \nabla_w H(w_k)^T \Delta w_k \ge 0$$
(6)

where Ω is either the full Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n or a suitably chosen box in \mathbb{R}^n (that contains $\Delta w_k = 0$) in the trust region approach.

The QP problem is then solved and results in a direction Δw_k that helps to determine the next iterate $w_{k+1} = w_k + \alpha_k \Delta w_k$. Different line search strategies are implemented that determine the relaxation factor α_k ; they are described in section 8.4.

For the new values of the multiple shooting variables all NLP functions and derivatives are again evaluated, a new Hessian matrix approximation H_{k+1} is provided and a new QP problem is solved for the next SQP iteration.

The iterations stop when the solution accuracy, measured by the so called KKT-tolerance, has reached a prespecified value acc. It indicates, roughly spoken, to how many digits the objective value is expected to be correct.

In MUSCOD-II, the approximation of the Hessian matrix is either chosen as an initially diagonal matrix⁵ which is then revised during the SQP iterations by appropriate update procedures (described in section 8.3) that keep H_k positive definite. Alternatively, an "exact" Hessian matrix can be calculated numerically in each iteration – as positive definiteness of H_k is not guaranteed in this case, a trust region (i.e. a bounded Ω in Equation 6) has to be specified to have a well defined QP.

Remark:

Some specifications concerning the SQP algorithm (as warm starts, final accuracy, maximum number of iterations,...) can be given as optional arguments to the executable. See the explanations in 7.

4 The software package MUSCOD-II

The MUSCOD-II package is delivered together with the linear algebra library LIBLAC (Leineweber and Jost, 1996 [LJ96]) and with our ODE/DAE solver DAESOL (Bauer *et al.*, 1997 [BFD⁺97, BBKS00]). However, for MUSCOD-II to be fully functionable, some extra software is required:

- Linear algebra packages and subroutines:
 - BLAS routines (Lawson *et al.*, 1979 [LHKK79]; Dongarra *et al.*, 1988 [DCHH88] and 1990 [DCDH90]). The ATLAS library (Whaley, Petitet, Dongarra, 2005) of optimized BLAS routines may be used.
 - LAPACK routines DGETRF, DGETRS (Anderson et al., 1995 [ABB+95])
 - Harwell MA48 direct linear solvers (Reid and Duff, 1993 [RD93] and 1996 [DR96])
 - Harwell TD12 (HSL archive) sparse numerical derivative subroutine (Reid, 1972 [Rei72])
- Visualization packages:
 - PGPLOT 5.2 (Pearson, 1997 [Pea97]) is a graphics package that may be used for online graphics. It is not essential, but online graphics helps a lot to better understand possible difficulties.
 - MATLAB (The Mathworks, Inc.) may be used for online graphics as well.

Furthermore, MUSCOD-II contains interfaces to some external software modules not distributed with it. You may use these interfaces if you have the software available and hold an appropriate license. user (commercial products in italics):

- ODE/DAE solvers:
 - DDASAC (Caracotsios and Stewart, 1985 [CS85])

 $^{{}^{5}}$ By default, according to Plitt [BP84], an initial scaling factor is determined that bounds the first QP solution to be roughly twice as big as the minimum norm step satisfying the linearized constraints.

- MBSNAT
- METANB
- standard QP solvers, of which at least *one* has to be licensed, preferably QPQPT:
 - BQPD
 - OOQP
 - QPOPT (Gill et al.)
 - NAG E04NFF / QPOPT (Gill et al.)
 - NAG E04NAF / QPSOL (Gill et al., 1983 [GMSW83])

Installation of MUSCOD-II under Windows is possible, but will require additional software to provide a Linux-like working environment.

- MSYS, a Linux-like shell for Windows.
- $\bullet\,$ MinGW, a collection of the C/C++ and Fortran development tools for Windows.
- GrWin, which provides *PGPLOT* support for Windows.

The installation of MUSCOD-II under Windows is currently not covered by this manual.

4.1 Installation Steps for a UNIX/Linux System

here we describe the installation of MUSCOD-II within a so-called *suite*, a structured collection of packages used in the context of MUSCOD-II. We highly recommend to use the suite when working with MUSCOD-II. Using a manual installation of all required packages is possible, but requires expert knowledge and is likely to cause problems. You have been warned!

4.1.1 Overview

The MUSCOD-II Suite is a structured collection of software packages which are somehow related to MUSCOD-II. Major aims of this bundle are

- reduce the effort required for setting up a MUSCOD-II work environment to a minimum,
- simplify migrating MUSCOD-II to new platforms and operating systems and
- provide standardized environment for easy debugging.

4.1.2 Getting it

The MUSCOD-II suite is checked in to SimOpt's Subversion revision control system. The MUSCOD-II suite repository has a sub-folder for each target platform. Currently, only the Linux version is supported. Like every Subversion repository, the suite also comes in different flavors: tags/*, a collection of fixed versions that are not subject to change and trunk, the

developers' version (unstable). To check out a local working copy of MUSCOD-II to your system, call

name@machine:~> svn checkout \
https://liz.iwr.uni-heidelberg.de/MUSCOD/muscod_suite/linux/<flavor> ~/MUSCOD_SUITE

You will have to enter your svn password several times during the checkout, once for every new sub-repository that is accessed, see section Technical Stuff. The name of the target dir (here: MUSCOD_SUITE) can be chosen arbitrarily.

If you cannot access the SimOpt subversion server, either contact our system administrator (in the case you are a group member) or your SimOpt cooperation partner (if you are not a group member).

4.1.3 Structure

In its minimal version, the suite has the following directory structure

- MUSCOD_SUITE/: Root folder of the MUSCOD-II suite (name arbitrary)
 - Apps/: MUSCOD-II applications, problem-dependent code and data
 - AuxScripts/: Auxiliary shell scripts
 - Packages/: Software packages used by MUSCOD-II
 - MC2/: The package MUSCOD-II itself, problem-independent code
 - bootstrap: Installation script for the whole MUSCOD-II Suite
 - cleanup: Convenience script for removing files created by bootstrap ("make clean")
 - LICENSE: License
 - **README**: Basic information
 - VERSION: Version

The directories MC2, Packages/*, Apps/* all have a similar structure to facilitate the separation of source files (which are also in the repository) and configuration and compiled files, also called binary files (which only exist on the local machines). Thus, one can have one source but several differently configured or compiled versions of MUSCOD-II, generated from the same source. The source files are all contained in the Src subdirectory, e.g., ~\MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Src. Typically, binary files are in directories Debug (in the case of a compilation with debug information), or Release (in the case of a compilation with high compiler optimization and no debug information). The bootstrap script creates these directories automatically as described below.

The subdirectories of ~\MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Src encapsulate different modules of MUSCOD-II which are explained in section 4.2.1. The applications' subdirectories, e.g., MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/TEST, have the following structure:

• Src: Source directory including problem-dependent configuration files, program code, and problem description data

- SRC Subdirectory containing program code
- DAT Subdirectory containing problem description data
- Debug, Release, ...: Binary directories containing compiled libraries
 - DAT Subdirectory containing problem description data (usually a symbolic link to ../Src/DAT)
 - RES Subdirectory where the results of computations are stored

4.1.4 Functionality

The suite comes with some bash-scripts which automate common tasks. All scripts that are usually invoked by the user are located in the suite root folder and have executable file permissions. All scripts provide usage information if invoked with the --help command-line option. Here is a brief overview:

- **bootstrap** Configures and installs all packages of the MUSCOD-II suite and some applications. Installations with different "build types" (Debug, Release etc.) are supported. The binaries of each build type have their own directories (with the same names as the build type) and can hence reside in the suite in parallel. This script extensively uses resources from folder AuxScripts. The whole installation procedure is described below.
- cleanup Removes the binary directories of a selected build type from all packages in the suite. This is essentially a make clean for the whole suite and reverts the actions of bootstrap. Note: currently only packages built using CMake as install tool are affected, which are essentially all packages developed by the SimOpt group. Binaries from third-party packages currently have to be removed manually. When using the -C option, it only removes the CMake cache of all packages using CMake, effectively re-setting all CMake options to their default values.

4.1.5 Technical Stuff

The repository physically only contains the scripts. Packages belonging to the suite are linked via Subversion's **externals** mechanism. On checkout, update or export, subversion recursively checks out or updates the suite itself and all referenced packages and applications. If you have a revision-controlled working copy of the suite, you can query the current list of references with

user@machine: ~/MUSCOD_SUITE> svn propget svn:externals .

Lines in the output that start with a **#** are commented out.

4.1.6 Installation

The MUSCOD-II suite should be easily installed by checking it out from the Subversion repository and running the bootstrap script. **Prerequisites** MUSCOD-II uses many different software packages. The following list of software should be installed by the system's native package management system (e.g., YaST, aptitude, etc.):

- boost-dev
- cmake \geq V2.6.0
- gcc
- gfortran
- subversion
- tcl
- make

Building You can now build and install the whole suite with the bootstrap script. By typing

```
./bootstrap --help
```

you get a list of supported options. They are related to build target (Release/Debug), 32/64 bit compilation, number of parallel build jobs, and more. The default settings should be reasonable for most target platforms. If performance is not an issue for you, it is recommended to build the suite in Debug mode, which is the default. After a successful installation, you may want to run a sample MUSCOD-II application to check if everything was built properly.

If you encounter problems during install which you cannot solve, ask for help on the MUSCOD-II mailing list⁶ or the SimOpt Wiki⁷.

Local Installation The files installed by the command

name@machine:~/MUSCOD_SUITE> ./bootstrap

stay within the suite folder as long as no -ipref argument is specified. This is the desirable behavior for developers. It is also possible to install to a system folder, which is described in the next section.

After successfully running bootstrap, the executables provided by MUSCOD-II can be found in ~/MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Debug/bin. You might want to add that path to your PATH environment variable (however, be careful if you have several build types in parallel!) by adding

export PATH=\$PATH:\$HOME/MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Debug/bin

to your ~/.bashrc.

⁶mailto:agbock_mc2_developer@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de

⁷http://ginger.iwr.uni-heidelberg.de/wiki/index.php5/Category:MUSCOD-II

To remove the files of a <build_type> installation, just type

name@machine: ~/MUSCOD_SUITE> ./cleanup --btype=<build_type>

Install To System It is possible to install the packages to subfolders of a central location, from where it can be used by every regular user. The install procedure is identical to the one described above, except that the installation path prefix (e.g. /use/local) has to be specified:

```
name@machine:~/MUSCOD_SUITE> ./bootstrap --ipref=<prefix>
```

Depending on their type, files are installed to different subfolders:

 \rightarrow <prefix>/bin/: executables

- \rightarrow <prefix>/lib: static (*.a) and shared (*.so) libraries (on 32 bit platform)
- \rightarrow <prefix>/lib64: static (*.a) and shared (*.so) libraries (on 64 bit platform)
- \rightarrow <prefix>/share/<package>/: other data related to <package>

Attention: Applications for MUSCOD-II and MUSCOD-II itself are never installed to a dir other than ~/MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/<app>/<build_type>/! After a successful installation, the suite dir could be removed. But mind the note above and do not delete your applications! Deinstallation has to be done manually. Installation logs are provided at least by the CMake packages in their respective binary dirs.

Usage with other Software Suites In case you use other software from the SimOpt repository which uses common packages (e.g. both MUSCOD-II and VPLAN both use COM-MON_CODE), it may be advisable to have the common packages installed only once on your system. This can be achieved by creating a symlink called **Packages** (with exactly that name!) in the MUSCOD_SUITE dir to the single common Packages directory before the checkout, e.g.:

```
user@machine: ~/MUSCOD_SUITE> ln -s .../Packages
```

Then the packages will actually be checked out to the packages directory on the "suites" level. Using the same technique on your other projects ensures that you only have one actual location for the sources of common packages. You are expected to encounter problems with this approach if you try to mix branches/tags/trunk versions of different suites, e.g. a special MUSCOD-II/tag with MUSCOD-II/trunk. In this case, MUSCOD-II will try to check out a tagged version of the package and MUSCOD-II will try to check out the trunk version of the package to the same directory. In this case the use of suite packages is not advisable and you are encouraged to perform the recursive checkout manually.

Since revision 6, there is only one executable, which links dynamically shared object files at runtime, corresponding to algorithmic settings.

4.2 Making Changes to the Installation

4.2.1 Organization of the Source Code

The directory MC2 contains a number of subdirectories organizing the source code into specific algorithmic parts of MUSCOD-II. Some subdirectories offer alternative source files that are compiled into different flavors of the same algorithm.

COND Condensing of the block sparse multiple shooting QP into a dense unstructured QP. Comes in two flavors: Standard condensing and minimal condensing. The latter only deals with the control discretization and with separability of global optimization variables such as model parameters, but does not do any condensing.

EVAL Evaluation of all model functions, and computation of derivatives using finite-difference approximation.

HESS Computation of the Hessian of the Lagrangian of the multiple shooting NLP. Comes in various flavors: Constant hessian, Gauß-Newton approximation, BFGS approximation, limited-memory BFGS approximation, and exact Hessian using finite difference stars.

IND Internal Numerical Differentiation. Holds a broad selection of integrators for the solution of ODE/DAE system with various properties, all equipped with *internal numerical differentiation*.

MSSQP Multiple Shooting Sequential Quadratic Programming.

PLOT Plotting. Visualization of state trajectories, control profiles, and the history of objective function values, model parameters, and stage lengths using different backends. Currently implemented are PGPLOT, Matlab, and no plotting.

QPS Quadratic Programming. Solution of the condensed quadratic programs using a broad range of commercial and open–source QP solvers such as QPSOL, QPOPT, OOQP, and BQPD.

SOLVE Globalization of the MSSQP step. Holds line search, trust region, and watchdog approaches.

TCHK Termination Checks for the MSSQP algorithms.

Besides those algorithmic parts, a number of subdirectories hold integral parts of MUSCOD-II that are always present.

ADCAUX ADOL-C auxiliary functions for computation of derivatives of model functions.

INCLUDE Holds C++ header files for all algorithmic parts.

INOUT Input and Output of MUSCOD-II data structures. Ultimately responsible for loading the DAT file.

LINALG Selected linear algebra routines from the HSL archive, used by PRSQP and some of the integrators found in IND.

MAIN Different flavors of the main executable of MUSCOD-II. Currently maintained is main_dynamic.cpp only.

MINTOC Mixed-Integer Optimal Control package, see section 11.

MODEL Description of the optimization problem.

NMPC Nonlinear Model Predictive Control.

PDAUX Problem Data Auxiliary functions.

PRSQP Partially Reduced Sequential Quadratic Programming, for optimizationm problems with DAE models. Comes in two flavors: A sparse variant using HSL MA48, and a dense variant using LAPACK.

SCALE Scaling of problem variables.

TD12AUX Support for approximation of sparse jacobians using finite differences and CPR seed matrix compression, uses HSL archive routine TD12.

UTIL Utility functions for MUSCOD-II for things like error handling, logging, interactive mode, stack traces, etc.

Some directories hold currently deprecated or non-maintained code: QUICKAUX, ROBUST. The following directories belong to the CMake build system: CMake, helperscripts.

4.2.2 CMake Compilation Flags

In the main makefile of the MUSCOD-II sources, ~/MUSCOD-II/MC2/makefile several optional compilation flags can be set.

| # | user-define | ed par | ts of CFLAGS |
|---|-------------|--------|------------------------------------|
| # | NDEBUG | | generate non-debug version |
| # | MSPLOT | | include online graphics |
| # | CSTATS | | include computational statistics |
| # | PRSQP | | use partially reduced SQP strategy |

| # | FEASIMP | use feasibility improvement for PRSQP |
|---|----------|--|
| # | NDIRDER | do not use directional derivatives for PRSQP |
| # | CENTDIFF | use central difference gradient approximations |
| # | REGOBJ | use regularized objective |
| # | LSQ | allow least squares terms |
| # | CLSQ | allow continuous least squares terms |

As an example, your compilation flags could be:

```
CCUFLAGS = -O2 -DNDEBUG -DCSTATS -DPRSQP -DMSPLOT -DLSQ -DCLSQ
# user-defined parts of FFLAGS
FCUFLAGS = -O2
```

Here, -02 stands for the desired optimization level of your compiler.

Please note that after changing one of these flags *no* automatic compiling is performed after calling **make** without arguments. Instead, one has to use:

make FRC=force_rebuild

4.3 Compiler Warnings

As a MUSCOD-II distribution contains also third-party software, the developers cannot guarantee that every of the source files will compile without an compiler warning.

These warnings are not of importance neither for the developers nor for the users and can sometimes be quite disturbing. Therefor the compiler warnings for these (individually checked) files can be deactitaved by setting the MC2_FORGET_KNOWN_COMPILER_WARNINGS in the file user.mk to YES. This is also the default behavior.

4.4 Compatibility of Compilation Flags

Here are listed some known imcompatibilities between different compilation flags:

- (NC): Are incompatible and will not compile together.
- (PW): Partially working. Will compile together, but some functionality of either of one is not provided in some cases.

| | List of Imcompatibilities: |
|------|--|
| (NC) | PRSQP and EXTPRSQP |
| (PW) | CLSQ and EXTPRSQP: eval_clsq not evaluated in rkfXX and DAESOL-II. |

5 How to set up a problem

In order to solve an optimal control problem with the stand-alone version of MUSCOD-II, two files have to be prepared by the user: a C or Fortran 77 file which defines the model equations (objective, differential equations, constraints), and an ASCII file which contains the corresponding problem data (e.g., initial guesses, scaling factors, bounds).

 Model Source File. Here, the model equations are defined either as ANSI C functions or as Fortran 77 subroutines. In addition to these routines, a function or subroutine def_model() must be provided in which the multistage optimal control problem is formally defined.

The model file must be compiled as a dynamic library as described below.

2. Data File. The contents of this ASCII keyword file and its syntax are described below.

5.1 Running an existing problem from the test set

The directories MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/TEST and MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/MIP contain sample problems which lend themselves to being used as reference and templates. To launch one of these problems, change to a binary directory (e.g., Debug) and inspect the files in the DAT subdirectory. Each of these files describes a combination of a dynamic optimization problem and the variant of the direct multiple shooting SQP algorithm to be used for the solution. To launch one of these, e.g., DAT/reentry.dat, create a symbolic link in MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/TEST/Debug by entering

```
user@machine:~/.../TEST/Debug> ln -s ~/MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Debug/bin/muscod
```

(of course, this has to be done only once) and call

user@machine:~/.../TEST/Debug> ./muscod reentry

Alternatively, one may create an alias or to add the MC2/bin directory to the PATH environment variable (cf. your shell's manual, e.g., man bash) to avoid the need of typing the prefix ~/MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Debug/bin/ of the muscod executable. Please note, that when using a different MUSCOD-II binary, e.g. from a Release build, you have to adapt the symbolic link (or any other of the described shortcuts).

5.2 Setting up a new problem

We recommend to add new problems to the existing MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/TEST/Src (or MIP/Src) directory. The user has to provide the model source file and the data file, say <problem>.cpp and <problem>.dat (a detailed description of the structure of these files is given below). The files have to be treated as follows:

• The model source file <problem>.cpp has to be added to the TEST/Src/SRC directory. Then, edit the CMakeLists.txt in this directory. Search for the first occurrence of the CMake variable TEST_LIBS in CMakeLists.txt. This should be looking

like SET (TEST_LIBS followed by a (long) list of names. Add the name of your source file (i.e. <problem>) to the list. Take care that you put the name before the end of the list (the right parenthesis) and that you skip the file extension .cpp. Save your changes to CMakeLists.txt.

• The data file <problem>.dat has to be added to the TEST/Src/DAT directory.

In the desired binary directory, say MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/TEST/Debug, run make. The make process deals automatically with the changes in CMakeLists.txt by calling CMake before starting the build. After a successful build, one may execute the problem as described above.

6 The Model Source File

In the model source file, the dynamical model equations, the constraints, and the objective functions of the optimization problem are defined. Furthermore, the user has to provide a function for the setup of the optimization problem. It is highly recommended for the unversed user to use an existing model source file as a template to create new problem source files.

6.1 The parts of the model - dynamic equations, constraints, and objective functions

All functions explained below are defined in def_usrmod.hpp, so this file must be included in every new model source file. To relate the function and variable names used in this section to the nomenclature of the theoretical part of the manual the user may refer to Table 1.

ffcn(t, xd, xa, u, p, rhs, rwh, iwh, info)

This function describes the differential right-hand side of an ODE or DAE system. Arguments t, xd, xa, u, and p are double pointers to the current time, differential and algebraic states, controls, and model parameters respectively. Upon return of the function call, the double pointer res should have been filled with the requested function values. Double pointer rwh and long pointer iwh are auxiliary real and integer working arrays, and long pointer info should return an error code indicating the status of the function evaluation.

gfcn(t, xd, xa, u, p, rhs, rwh, iwh, info)

This function describes the algebraic right-hand side of a DAE system. The arguments are exactly the same as for the differential right-hand side.

afcn(t, xd, xa, u, p, amat, lda, rwh, iwh, info)

This function describes the left-hand side of a DAE system. Double pointer **amat** should be filled with the left-hand side matrix upon return of the function call. Long pointer **lda** holds the leading dimension of **amat** as input. The other arguments are the same as for the differential right-hand side.

| source file | manual | mathematical content |
|-------------|----------------|---|
| NMOS | M | number of model stages |
| NP | n^p | number of global parameters |
| NRC | n^{rc} | number of (global) coupled constraints |
| NRCE | n^{rce} | number of (global) coupled <i>equality</i> constraints. The first |
| | | NRCE of the total number NRC of coupled constraints are de- |
| | | fined to be equality constraints. |
| rcfcn | r_i^c | coupled multi point constraint function |
| NXD | n_i^x | number of differential states on model stage i |
| xd | x_i | differential state vector on model stage i |
| sd | $s_{i,j}^x$ | differential node value on model stage i at node j |
| NXA | n_i^z | number of algebraic states on model stage i |
| xa | z_i | algebraic state vector |
| sa | $s_{i,j}^z$ | algebraic node value on model stage i at node j |
| NU | n_i^u | number of controls on model stage i |
| NRD | n_k^{rd} | number of decoupled constraints for specific constraint func- |
| | | tion at time t_k . |
| NRDE | n_k^{rde} | number of decoupled <i>equality</i> constraints at time t_k . The first |
| | | NRDE of the total number NRD of decoupled constraints are |
| | | defined to be equality constraints. |
| rdfcn | r_i^d | decoupled interior point constraint function |
| NPR | n_k^{pr} | number of local constraint parameters for constraint point t_k |
| pr | p_k^r | local constraint parameter vector |
| afcn | B_i | invertible matrix in semi-explicit DAE formulation on model |
| | | stage <i>i</i> . By default: $B_i \equiv I$ |
| ffcn | f_i | differential right hand side function on model stage i |
| ftrans | c_i | transition function on model stage i . Same syntax as ffcn. |
| gfcn | $ g_i $ | algebraic right hand side function on model stage i |
| mfcn | Φ | Mayer term of objective |
| lfcn | $\mid L$ | Lagrange term of objective |
| lsqfcn | l^p_k, l^c_k | least squares residual vector function |
| | | |

| Table 1: | Correspondence | between c | customary | MUSCOD-II | source fil | le notation | and | mathemat- |
|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| ical nota | tion | | | | | | | |

The RKFSWT integrator supports the detection of implicitly defined switching events within a model stage, thus eliminating the need for a multi-stage modelling approach and the introduction of transition stages under certain circumstances.

Implicit switches are realized by an implicit switching function, which enables the detection of a switching event by the change of sign in the switching function residuals and a state jump function, which allows for an update of the differential states once a switching event has occurred. The interfaces of the implicit switching function and the state jump function are as follows:

swtdtcfcn(imos, tau, xd, xa, u, p, nstep, iswt, nswsta, res, rwh, iwh, info)

This function is called to detect implicitly defined switch conditions. imos is a long pointer to the current model stage index. tau, xd, xa, u, and p are double pointers to the current physical time, differential and algebraic states, controls, and model parameters respectively. The parameter nstep is undocumented. iswt is a long pointer to a list of indices of the switches to be evaluated by the current function call. nswsta is a long pointer to the length of the index list pointed to by iswt. Upon return of the function call, res should have been filled with the requested switch function residuals.

swtexecfcn(imos, tau, xd, xa, u, p, iswt, rwh, iwh, info)

This function is called when an implicitly defined switch condition has been detected. iswt is a long pointer to the index of the flipped switch, while the meaning of all other parameters is identical to swtdtcfcn. Upon return of the function, the differential states xd should have been updated if desired.

The switching functions described above are assigned to the model stages by using the def_swt function within the model definition function, see below.

The ODE model's right-hand side ffcn is provided with the current switch structure by way of the following mechanism, that had to favour backward-compatibility over clarity. Although still declared a long *, the info pointer of ffcn no longer points to a single long integer value, but may instead be safely typecast into a pointer to the structure rkfXXswt_info_t defined in MC2/IND/RKFSWT/ind_rkfXXswt.hpp with the following declaration:

```
typedef struct {
    long info; // return code, as usual
    long* swt; // sign structure of the NSWT switches on the stage
} rkfXXswt_info_t;
```

It is up to the model implementor to respect the swt vector within ffcn so as to evaluate the proper model. Using this mechanism, implicit discontinuities in the model's right-hand side may be covered. In order to cover discontinuities in the differential states themselves, modify them from within swtexecfcn.

rfcn(ts, sd, sa, u, p, pr, res, dpnd, info)

This function describes the decoupled and coupled interior point constraints. Double pointer ts contains the time point at the multiple shooting node where the function is evaluated. Double pointers sd, sa, u, and p hold the differential and algebraic node values, the control node values, and the parameter values, respectively. Interior point constraints may use separate local parameter values, which are provided by double pointer pr. Upon return of the function call, double pointer res should be filled with the interior point constraint residuals. Double pointer dpnd holds information about the dependencies of the functions w.r.t. the input variables. The user should start each implementation of an interior point constraint with

if (*dpnd) { *dpnd = RFCN_DPND(*ts, *sd, *sa, *u, *p, *pr); return;},

where arguments of RFCN_DPND which are not used in the function should be set to NULL. Long pointer info should contain the error code after evaluation, as usual.

mfcn(ts, sd, sa, p, mval, dpnd, info)

This function represents a Mayer-type objective function. Double pointer **mval** should contain the Mayer objective value after evaluation. The other arguments have the same meaning and usage as the corresponding arguments in the interior point constraints.

```
lfcn(t, xd, xa, u, p, lval, rwh, iwh, info)
```

This function represents a Lagrange-type objective function. Note, that the actual objective function value is the integral over time of this function. Double pointer lval should return the value of the Lagrange term at time t. All other arguments are the same as in the differential right-hand side.

6.2 Putting the model together - the model definition function

The essential function in the model source file is

```
def_model() (without arguments)
```

that formally defines the optimization problem by calling internal MUSCOD-II functions (declared and documented in def_usrmod.hpp or def_usrmod_f77.hpp). Here the previously defined *model* functions are assigned their role in the optimization problem. If any of the model functions does not exist for a given problem, the NULL pointer must be passed instead of the function pointer.

In def_model() the following internal MUSCOD-II functions must be called appropriately:

```
def_mdims(NMOS, NP, NRC, NRCE)
```

must be used to specify the global model dimensions, where

NMOS is the number of model stages,

NP the number of global parameters,

NRC the total number of *coupled* interior point constraints (i.p.c.), and

NRCE is the number of those that are equality constraints (thus NRCE \leq NRC). By convention, the *first* NRCE components of the **res** vector in the coupled i.p.c. functions **rcfcnXX** are interpreted as equalities, the remaining ones are required to be greater than zero. Cf. section 2.2.

Call to define a model stage with index I, where

NXD is the differential state dimension,

- NXA the algebraic state dimension, and
- NU is the control dimension.
- **mfcn** is a pointer to a Mayer term function (or NULL) to be evaluated at the end of the stage, and
- lfcn a pointer to a Lagrange term (or NULL).
- For documentation of the left-hand side matrix function afcn, and of the integers jacmlo, jacmup, and astruc that provide structural matrix information please consult the DAESOL-manual [BBS99]; setting the integers to zero is equivalent to not defining any structural information.
- ffcn is a pointer to the differential right hand side function,
- gfcn the pointer to the algebraic right hand side function (or NULL).
- rwh, iwh are real and integer work arrays which can be used to pass a common workspace to the stage functions.

def_mpc(I, SCOPE, NPR, NRD, NRDE, rdfcn, rcfcn) (optional)

Call def_mpc to define interior point constraints (i.p.c.) on a stage.

I is the stage index,

SCOPE is a string whose first character should be one of "s", "i", "e" or "*" (case insensitive), indicating if the following constraint functions shall be evaluated at the start point of the stage only (s), at the interior multiple shooting nodes (i), at the end point (e), or at all multiple shooting nodes of the stage together (*).

Note: The end point can only appear in the final model stage, otherwise the start point of the following stage must be used.

PR is the number of *local* parameters pr used in rdfcn() and rcfcn(),

NRD defines the dimension of the *decoupled* residual vector res in rdfcn.

NRDE leading componenents of this vector are required to be zero, while the remaining ones are required to be greater than zero. It should be noted here that the *coupled* rcfcn-functions at different points have to agree in the dimension NRC of the residuals res, cf. section 2.2.

def_lsq(I, SCOPE, NPR, NLSQ, lsqfcn) (optional)

may be called to define least squares terms contributing to the objective on a stage.

I is the stage index,

SCOPE selects the contributing nodes. The string should contain "s", "i", "e" or "*" (case insensitive) as first character to define least squares terms l^p() at the corresponding multiple shooting nodes, as described in def_mpc(), or alternatively "c" to define a continuous least squares term l^c() that is integrated on the model stage analogously to a Lagrange term.

Attention: The continuous least squares terms can so far only be treated by the integrators DAESOL, DDASAC, RKF45ADJ, and RKFSWT. Also, this command is only allowed if the CMake flags LSQ resp. CLSQ are set.

NPR indicates the dimension of the vector of local parameters.

NLSQ defines the dimension of the residual vector res in lsqfcn(). The function lsqfcn() obeys the same syntax as rdfcn() and may depend also on the *local* parameters pr, the dimensions of which are specified in def_mpc()⁸.

```
def_mio(minp, mout, mplo) (optional)
```

allows for an *(optional)* definition of input, output and external plot functions. The input function minp is called by MUSCOD-II immediately after the problem data file (see subsection 7.1) has been read. (Data passed through minp supersedes the data read from the data file.) The output function mout gets is called by MUSCOD-II with the final results (as standard arrays) and thus allows to implement a user defined output, i.e. by printing some results into an external file.

```
def_plotoptions(min, max, f1, f2, f3, f4) (optional)
```

allows to adjust plot options. The first two arguments set the plot granularity of the above mplo function, and also of the online graphics. The values specify the lower and upper bound for the number of calls to mplo per multiple-shooting interval. The default values are min=5 and max=200. If you require more precise plot data, you should increase the lower bound. Excessively large values of the upper bound will damage the online graphics performance.

The flags f1, f2, f3, f4 turn on or off the plotting of f1 vertical lines to indicate stage transition times, f2 plotting of MS nodes, f3 plotting of state bounds and f4 plotting of control bounds. The default value is 1 (yes) for all of them.

```
def_swt(I, NSWT, swtdtcfcn, swtexecfcn) (optional)
```

Attention: Implicit switches are currently supported by the RKFSWT integrator only.

def_swt defines NSWT implicit switches (discontinuities in the states and/or right-hand side) on model stage I. swtdtcfcn is a mandatory pointer to the switch detection function called to detect switch conditions during integration, while swtexecfcn is a mandatory pointer to the switch execution function called only if a switch actually happens.

7 Data and Options

MUSCOD-II uses a keyword based data file for problem data input. Furthermore, this file is also used to override default values for algorithmic settings and options (which in turn can again be overridden by command line options). The order of data items in the problem data

⁸For the continuous least squares terms $l^{c}()$ the local parameters mean an additional argument, in contrast to the formulation used in subsections 2.4 and 3.4: now, the local parameters are treated like piecewise constant controls, each one used on the multiple shooting interval *after* the point it is originally defined for.

file is relevant only in the sense that the first keyword match from the beginning of the file determines the data item which is read, i.e., possible further keyword matches are ignored.

7.1 Data and the DAT File

Table 2: Correspondence between MUSCOD-II data file notation and manual notation

| data file | manual | mathematical content |
|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| nshoot | m_i | number of multiple shooting nodes on model stage i |
| <pre>nstop(i,j)</pre> | $n_{i,j}^{stop}$ | number of integrator stopping points on m.s. interval $I_{i,j}$ |
| sd(i,j) | $s_{i,j}^{x}$ | differential multiple shooting node value at time point $t_{i,j}$ on |
| | | model stage i . |
| sa(i,j) | $s_{i,j}^z$ | algebraic multiple shooting node value at time point $t_{i,j}$ on |
| | | model stage i . |
| | | |

7.1.1 Data items in DAT Files

Each data item has the form:

```
key
```

```
(associated data)
```

.

The keyword must start at the beginning of a line and must be terminated by a white-space character (the rest of the line is ignored and can be used, e.g., for comments). The following line(s) must then contain the data associated with the keyword. Six different types of data may occur, namely long scalar (long), long vector (LVec), double scalar (double), double vector (DVec), string (Str), and string vector (StrVec). Comments can follow any line exept those containing a string or an element of a string vector. Note that vectors are written one element a line with element indices starting from zero.

Example (data items)

| s_spe 2 | c ! long ! start integration |
|------------|---------------------------------|
| nshoo | t ! LVec with three elements |
| 0: 4 | ! initial stage |
| 1: 6 | ! intermediate stage |
| 2: 4 | ! final stage |

```
of_sca ! double
1.0
        ! unscaled
          ! DVec with one element
p_sca
0: 1.0E-8 ! catalyst concentration
of_unit
          ! Str
g/h
xd_name
          ! StrVec with three elements
0: Substrate Concentration S
1: Product Concentration P
2: Volume V
sd(1,1)
          ! DVec with arbitrary number of elements
ALL: 1.0
```

All keywords are explained in the next section.

7.1.2 Keywords in DAT Files

There are keywords containing one argument

key(arg1)

and keywords containing two arguments

key(arg1,arg2)

where arg1 specifies the model stage and arg2 in addition specifies one or more multiple shooting points on this model stage. Hence, arg1 may be

- a valid model stage index
- an asterisk * ("all model stages")

and arg2 may be

- a valid multiple shooting point index for the model stage specified by arg1
- the letter **S** or **s** ("start point")
- the letter I or i ("interior points")
- the letter E or e ("end point", valid only for final model stage)
- an asterisk * ("all multiple shooting points", including "end point" on final model stage)

Note that model stage indices and multiple shooting point indices start from zero. (Therefore, arg2 = S and arg2 = 0 are equivalent.)

Example (keywords with arguments)

| sd_sca(*,*) | ! all multiple shooting points on all model stages |
|-------------|--|
| sd(*,S) | ! ''start point'' on all model stages |
| u(0,*) | ! all multiple shooting points on first model stage |
| rd_sca(0,S) | ! ''start point'' on first model stage |
| d_sca(0,I) | ! ''interior points'' on first model stage |
| rd_sca(0,E) | ! ''end point'' (assuming there is only one model stage) |

Some of the data items are optional – if not explicitly specified, an internal default is used. In the following description, optional data items are indicated by keywords in square brackets [], and the corresponding default is given.

7.2 Keywords Defining the Optimal Control Problem

nshoot

numbers of multiple shooting intervals on model stages (LVec)

[grid(*)]

multiple shooting grids on model stages (DVec) default: equal spacing of grid points

[mos_start], [msn_start]

model stage start index and multiple shooting point start index for partial reoptimization (long)

defaults: 0

```
p, p_sca, p_min, p_max
```

global model parameter start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec)

[p_fix]

global model parameter fixed value flags (LVec) (if p_fix[i] is 1, then parameter p[i] is fixed at its start value) default: no global model parameters fixed

 $\tt h, \, h_sca, \, h_min, \, h_max$

model stage duration start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec)

[h_fix]

model stage duration fixed value flags (LVec) (if $h_fix[i]$ is 1, then duration h[i] is fixed at its start value)

default: no model stage durations fixed

[s_spec]

specification mode for state variable start values (long)

- 0 : all values sd(*,*), sa(*,*) specified in data file
- 1 : only values sd(*,S), sa(*,S) and sd(M-1,E), sa(M-1,E) specified, other values automatically generated by linear interpolation (M denotes the number of model stages)
- 2 : only values sd(0,S), sa(0,S) specified, other values automatically generated by integration
- 3 : only values sd(0,S), sa(0,S) specified, other values automatically generated by integration. The integration will be repeated, with the initial values replaced by the values at the end of the time horizon, until a steady state is reached or the maximum number of simulation_maxiter iterations has been performed. To cope with special cases with shifted variables, see simulate_shift_select.

default: 0

```
[simulation_maxiter]
```

Maximum number for repeated integration to reach steady state (long) (relevant only if s_spec is 3)

default: 100

[simulate_shift_select]

For every differential variable an index is given. Any value less or equal to -2 for variables with fixed initial value, the value -1 for all variables for which the initial value is replaced with the value at the end of the time horizon (after integration), and the index $0 \le i \le n_x$ of another differential variable, if for variable j a periodic shift is performed (as in the SMB example), $x_j^{k+1}(t_0) = x_i^k(t_f)$ in iteration $1 \le k \le \texttt{simulation_maxiter}$ (LVec) (relevant only if $\texttt{s_spec}$ is 3, works only if number of differential states is constant) default: -1

[s_itol], [s_pert]

start integration tolerance, state perturbation factor (double) (relevant only if s_spec is 2 or 3)

defaults: 1.0E-6, 0.0

[nstop(*,*)]

number of integrator stopping points on corresponding multiple shooting interval(s) – only needed for continuous least squares terms. (long).

sd(*,*), sd_sca(*,*), sd_min(*,*), sd_max(*,*)

differential state start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec)

[sd_fix(*,*)]

differential state fixed value flags (LVec) (if sd_fix(arg1,arg2)[i] is 1, then the corresponding differential state is fixed at its start value by internally setting the lower and upper bounds to sd(arg1,arg2)[i]) default: no differential states fixed

sa(*,*), sa_sca(*,*), sa_min(*,*), sa_max(*,*)

algebraic state start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec)

[u_type(*)]

control parametrization types (LVec)

- 0: piecewise constant
- 1 : piecewise linear (continuous on model stages only, not between)
- 2 : piecewise continuous linear with matching across model stage boundaries ("external" matching)
- 3 : piecewise cubic (continuously differentiable on model stages)
- 4 : piecewise cubic with matching across model stage boundaries ("external" matching)

default: all controls piecewise constant

[u_midx(*)]

"external" matching indices for controls (LVec) (relevant only at model stage boundaries and if u_type is 2 or 4)

default: matching of controls with same index

u(*,*), u_sca(*,*), u_min(*,*), u_max(*,*)

control start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec)

[u_fix(*,*)]

control fixed value flags (LVec) (if u_fix(arg1,arg2)[i] is 1, then the corresponding control is fixed at its start value by internally setting the lower and upper bounds to u(arg1,arg2)[i]) default: no controls fixed

[ue(*)], [ue_sca(*)], [ue_min(*)], [ue_max(*)]

"end of model stage" control start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec) (relevant only if u_type is 1 or 3)

default: same values as at previous multiple shooting point

[udot(*,*)], [udot_sca(*,*)], [udot_min(*,*)], [udot_max(*,*)]

control slope start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec) (relevant only if u_type is 3 and 4)

defaults: all elements udot(*,*), udot_sca(*,*), udot_min(*,*), and udot_max(*,*) set to values 0.0, 1.0, -100.0, and 100.0, respectively

[uedot(*)], [uedot_sca(*)], [uedot_min(*)], [uedot_max(*)]

"end of model stage" control slope start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec) (relevant only if u_type is 3) default: same values as at previous multiple shooting point

default: same values as at previous multiple shooting pol

pr(*,*), pr_sca(*,*), pr_min(*,*), pr_max(*,*)

local interior point constraint parameter start values, scale factors, and bounds (DVec)

[pr_fix(*,*)]

local i.p.c. parameter fixed value flags (LVec) (if pr_fix(*,*)[i] is 1, parameter pr(*,*)[i] is fixed at its start value) default: no local i.p.c. parameters fixed

g_sca(*,*)

algebraic right-hand side scale factors (DVec)

rd_sca(*,*)

decoupled i.p.c. scale factors (DVec)

rc_sca

coupled i.p.c. scale factors (DVec)

 $\tt of_sca, \, of_min, \, of_max$

objective scale and expected range (double)

7.2.1 Keywords for Robust Optimal Control

Some additional keywords allow to automatically create a robustified version of an optimal control problem. For theory and algorithms, and to understand the implications of the approximate linearization robustification approach used in MUSCOD-II, please refer to [DBK06].

 $\texttt{rob_sd0},\,\texttt{rob_p}$

Select the initial values and model parameters that are considered uncertain. Set a 1 for each uncertain value, a 0 for each conventional value.

rob_may(*)

Selects the Mayer type objective functions (one per model stage) to be robustified against uncertainty of the selected initial values and/or parameters. Set a 1 if the Mayer type objective on the selected stage is to be robustified, a 0 if not. Default: 0.

rob_rd(*,*)

Selects the decoupled point constraints to be robustified against uncertainty of the selected initial values and/or parameters. Set a 1 for each constraint on the selected stage and node that is to be robustified. Default: all 0.

rob_cov_sd0, rob_cov_sd0_p, rob_cov_p

Sets the covariance submatrices $\Sigma_{x_0,x_0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, $\Sigma_{x0,p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_p}$, and $\Sigma_{p,p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p,n_p}$ that form the overall covariance matrix

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{x_0, x_0} & \Sigma_{x_0, p} \\ \Sigma_{x_0, p}^T & \Sigma_{p, p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Default: $\Sigma = Id$, i.e., $\Sigma_{x_0,x_0} = Id$, $\Sigma_{p,p} = Id$, $\Sigma_{x_0,p} = 0$.

rob_gamma

Set the overal scale factor for the penalties on objective and residuals computed from the linearizations (double). Defaults to 1.0

7.3 Keywords Selecting Dynamically Loadable Modules

The following keywords define the algorithm used to solve the solve the optimal control problem. For the names and a description of all available modules, refer to section 8. All settings may be overridden on the command line, see section 7.7

- **libmodel** Selects the model library compiled from the model source file. Defaults to the name of the DAT file.
- libhessian Selects the hessian algorithm module. Defaults to hess_update, the BFGS update with Powell modification.
- libsolve Selects the globalization strategy module for the SQP algorothm. Defaults to solve_slse, the standard line search.
- libcond Selects the condensing algorithm module for the condensing of the block sparse QP. Defaults to cond_std and should not normally need to be changed.
- libtchk Selects the termination check module for the SQP algorithm. Defaults to tchk using the KKT-tolerance as termination criterion.
- libmssqp Selects the multiple shooting SQP algorithm. Defaults to mssqp_standard.
- libeval Selects the EVAL module variant. Defaults to eval_ind.
- libind Selects the ODE/DAE solver module to use per stage of the multi stage optimal control problem. All stages default to ind_rkf45, the 4th/5th order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg solver. You will want to set this to ind_daesol for DAE and stiff ODE problems.

Unlike all other library selection options, this option takes a vector of strings as a value. You need to specify an IND module per stage, like in the following example:

| 0: ind_daesol | ! DAE or stiff ODE on first stage |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1: ind_strans | ! transition stage |
| 2: ind_rkf45 | ! non-stiff ODE on second stage |

- libqps Selects the QP solver module to use for the solution of the condensed QP. Defaults to qps_qpopt, the C-converted solver QPOPT.
- libplot Selects the visualization module. Defaults to plot_pgplot. You'll want to set this to plot_noplot if you take timings.
- libmintoc Selects the mixed-integer optimal control module. Defaults to mintoc and does not need to be changed.

7.4 Keywords Setting Algorithmic Options

The following keywords set algorithmic options. All settings have default values and may also be overridden on the command line, see section 7.7. There is no need to specify any of the keywords of this section in the DAT file. It is however good practice to do so, because it's a standard way to document the ideal algorithmic options for the efficient solution of the problem you're working on.

- options_acc Sets the termination criterion's acceptable KKT tolerance kktacc of the solution. The default value is 10^{-6} . The value can be overridden on the command line using the -a option.
- options_ftol Sets the final integration tolerance *ftol*. Should be lower than the termination accuracy *kktacc* to ensure validity of the termination criterion. By default, its value is acc/10. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -t option.
- options_itol Sets the initial integration tolerance *itol*. By default, the value is *ftol*. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -h option.
- options_rfac Regularization factor *rfac* to make some non unique problems solvable. If the original problem has a flat minimum this option may be useful, as it adds a tiny quadratic term of all problem variables to the objective function to define the minimum uniquely; the weighting factor is measured as a multiple of *acc*: 1/2 *rfac acc PVars*². Defaults to 0.0 The value can be overridden on the command line using the -r option.
- options_levmar Sets the Levenberg-Maquardt regularization factor λ for the Hessian. Use this to add $\lambda \cdot Id$ onto a Gauß-Newton approximation of the Hessian matrix. Defaults to 0.0. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -1 option.
- options_qp_featol Sets the feasibility tolerance of the QP solver QPOPT. Defaults to 10^{-8} . The value can be overridden on the command line using the --qp-featol option.
- options_qp_relax Sets the constraint relaxation factor for infeasible QPs. When an infeasible QP is detected, all infeasible constraints are shifted by *rel* times the amount of infeasibility,

to make the next QP guaranteedly feasible. Defaults to 1.1. Useful values are greater than 1.0. The value can be overridden on the command line using the **--qp-relax** option.

- options_nhtopy Allows to employ a homotopy strategy: beginning with a lower initial integration tolerance *itol* (and thus faster SQP iterations) set via options_itol, the current integration tolerance *ctol* is tightened until – after *nhtopy* steps – *ftol* (set via options_ftol) is attained. The steps in *ctol* interpolate logarithmically between *itol* and *ftol*. A homotopy step is performed during the SQP iterations whenever the current accuracy *cacc* is attained. It is determined as $cacc = ctol \cdot acc/ftol$. By default, no homotopy is employed. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -h option.
- options_frstart and options_frmax Allows to freeze the integrator discretization after *frstart* SQP iterations to possibly enable better convergence to the solution. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -f option.

If the termination criterion is *not* satisfied after the *mf* following steps, the integrator is once again given full freedom to adapt the discretization, to be maintained for the following *mf* SQP iterations, etc. Currently works only for RKF integrators. Default: no freezing (*sf*=0, *mf*=0). Syntax: -fsf,mf. Example: -f10,5.

- options_bflag Sets the MS-MINTOC strategy code, see section 11. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -b option.
- options_cflag Cold start after a previous run of the problem. Uses only the attained state and control variable values. Note that it is possible to perform small changes in the DAT file between restarts, e.g. concerning bounds or output specifications. Dimensional changes are *not* allowed, however. Default: no cold start. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -c option.
- options_itmax Sets the maximum number of SQP iterations. The default is 100. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -i option.
- options_qp_expand Sets the expansion factor of the EXPAND strategy of the QP solver QPOPT. EXPAND is a strategy to avoid cycling in the active set. Useful values range from about 5 to 100, values greater than 9999999 by design of QPOPT disable the EX-PAND strategy. This option only has an effect if the QP solver module qps_qpopt is loaded.
- options_qp_itmax Sets the maximum number of iteration of the QP solver per SQP iteration. Defaults to 10000. The value can be overridden on the command line using the --qp-itmax option.
- options_sflag Stop after each SQP iteration and wait for a keystroke. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -s option.
- options_wflag Warm start after previous run of same problem. Warm start uses *all* information from the previous ./RES/*.bin-file, including the Hessian approximation. Note that it is possible to perform small changes in the DAT file between restarts, e.g. concerning
bounds or output specifications. Dimensional changes are *not* allowed, however. Default: no warm start. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -w option.

options_iest_hess_plitt Another choice to be taken by the user is the initial scaling of the Hessian matrix: either according to Plitt by setting the value to true, or as a unit matrix by setting it to false. Default: true.

7.5 Keywords Setting Output Options

Keywords from this section control how much information is written to the console and to text files in the ./RES/ directory during the solution process. There is no need to specify any of the keywords of this section in the DAT file if you don't want to.

- options_plevel_screen Sets the print level for printout to the screen or console. Defaults to 0.
- options_plevel_file Sets the print level for printout to the text files. Defaults to 1. The value can be overridden on the command line using the -p option.
- options_plevel_matlab Sets the print level for printout to the MATLAB log file. Defaults to 0. The value can be overridden on the command line using the --pmatlab option.

7.6 Keywords for Visualization

The following keywords influence the visualization of the optimal control problem's solution and of the solution progress. There is no need to specify any of the keywords of this section in the DAT file if you don't want to.

options_output_ps Enables or disables the output of PGPLOT graphics to PostScript files.

options_output_gif Enables or disables the output of PGPLOT graphics to GIF files.

[nhist]

number of values in objective/parameter history plots (long) default: 0 (i.e., no objective/parameter history plots)

[plot_first], [plot_last]

index of first and last model stage to be visualized (long) defaults: 0 and number of model stages minus one (i.e., all model stages are visualized)

 $[xd_name], [xa_name], [u_name], [h_name], [p_name]$

state, control, duration, and parameter name strings (StrVec) note: if the first nonspace character of the string is !, the corresponding variable will not be plotted, if it is >, a new graphics window will be opened; a leading # switches to logarithmic plotting.

Greek letters can be obtained with the prefix g, e.g., $\epsilon = g$. Sub- and upperscripts can

be obtained by switching the mode with \d and \u, e.g., $A^0 = A \setminus u0 \setminus d$. If Matlab online graphics are used, the name strings should be in Matlab syntax defaults: Differential State Function, Algebraic State Function, Control Function, Model Stage Duration, Global Model Parameter

[of_name]

objective name string (Str)

note: if Matlab online graphics are used, the name strings should be in Matlab syntax default: Objective

 $[xd_unit], [xa_unit], [u_unit], [h_unit], [p_unit]$

state, control, duration, and parameter unit strings (StrVec) note: if Matlab online graphics are used the unit strings should be in Matlab syntax default: empty string

[of_unit]

```
objective unit string (Str)
```

note: if Matlab online graphics are used, the unit strings should be in Matlab syntax default: empty string

[t_unit]

```
time unit string (Str)
```

note: if Matlab online graphics are used, the unit strings should be in Matlab syntax default: empty string

7.7 The Command Line

After compilation and linking, the executable (e.g. target muscod) can be called with a number of options. The syntax is as follows:

```
muscod [-switch[=value] ... | --option[=value] ... ] problem
```

Square backets indicate optional parts of the command line. Any number of switches (named with single characters) and options (long names) may be specified. Some of them may take values, which may be appended immediately or after an assignment sign ('='). Only the last argument problem is mandatory as it determines the files to be used:

- ./DAT/<problem>.dat is the DAT file, see section 7.1.
- ./RES/<problem>.txt output files for detailed solution information.
- ./RES/<problem>.log chronological history of solution process.
- ./RES/<problem>.bin binary solution information for warm starts, potentially incompatible across machines.

The list of possible options depends on the global compilation flags (cf. appendix 4.2.2). When calling the executable **muscod** without any argument a brief list of possible options is shown. The command line option --help prints a detailed list of switches and options, together with a quick reference and the default values.

Furthermore, option values specified on the command line have precedence over those set in the DAT file.

- $-\mathbf{a}kktacc$ Sets the termination criterion's acceptable KKT tolerance kktacc of the solution. Example: $-\mathbf{a1e-6}$ (which gives the default $acc = 10^{-6}$).
- -b*code* Sets the MS-MINTOC strategy code, see 11.
- -c, -w Warm/Cold start after previous run of same problem. Warm start uses *all* information from the previous ./RES/*.bin-file, including the Hessian approximation. The cold start uses only the attained variable values. Note that it is possible to perform small changes in the DAT file between restarts, e.g. concerning bounds or output specifications. Dimensional changes are *not* allowed, however. Example: either -w or -c.
- -e "Evaluate twice". Use of two gradient evaluations per SQP iteration to better approximate the partially reduced Hessian. There exists a proof for asymptotically superlinear convergence [Sch96, Sch98]. Example: -e.

This option only appears if PRSQP is employed.

- -fsf, mf Allows to freeze the integrator discretization after sf SQP iterations to possibly enable better convergence to the solution. If the termination criterion is *not* satisfied after the mffollowing steps, the integrator is once again given full freedom to adapt the discretization, to be maintained for the following mf SQP iterations, etc. Currently works only for RKF integrators. Default: no freezing (sf=0, mf=0). Syntax: -fsf, mf. Example: -f10, 5.
- -hitol, N Allows to employ a homotopy strategy: beginning with a lower initial integration tolerance *itol* (and thus faster SQP iterations), the current integration tolerance *ctol* is tightened until after N steps *ftol* is attained. The steps in *ctol* interpolate logarithmically between *itol* and *ftol*. A homotopy step is performed during the SQP iterations whenever the current accuracy *cacc* is attained. It is determined as $cacc = ctol \cdot acc/ftol$. By default, no homotopy is employed. Example: -h1e-3,1.
- -i*itmax* Maximum number of SQP iterations. Example: -i100 (default).
- -j*cores* Sets the number of CPU cores on multicore machines. Currently without effect.
- -1*lmreg* Sets the Levenberg–Maquardt regularization factor λ for the Hessian. Use this to add $\lambda \cdot Id$ onto a Gauß-Newton approximation of the Hessian matrix.
- -plevel Print level for ./RES/*.log-file, ranging from 0 to 3. A print level of 0 corresponds to printing only the visible standard output into the log file whereas 3 is the maximum output. If Matlab online graphics are used, the Matlab output buffer is printed into the log file in case print level is greater or equal to 1.
 Example: -p0 (default).

-rrfac Regularization factor rfac to make some non unique problems solvable. If the original problem has a flat minimum this option may be useful, as it adds a tiny quadratic term of all problem variables to the objective function to define the minimum uniquely; the weighting factor is measured as a multiple of acc: 1/2 rfac acc PVars² Example: -r1.5 (default: 0.0).

This option appears only if the global compilation flag REGOBJ is set in the MC2/makefile.

- -s Stop after each SQP iteration and wait for a keystroke. Example: -s.
- -tftol Sets the final integration tolerance ftol. Should be lower than the termination accuracy kktacc to ensure validity of the termination criterion. By default, its value is acc/10. Example: -t1e-7.
- --gif Enables output of the graphical visualization to GIF files in the ./RES/ directory. This is only possible if the plot_pgplot visualization module is loaded.
- --help Prints an extended list of all command line options, their effect, and their default value.
- --libcond*name* Override the IND module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libdir*path* Override the search path for dynamically loadable modules. Defaults to the CMake installation directory.
- --libeval*name* Override the EVAL module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libhessian*name* Override the hessian module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libind*name* Override the IND module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libmssqp*name* Override the MSSQP module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libplot*name* Override the visualization module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libqps*name* Override the QP solver module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libsolvename Override the globalization strategy module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.
- --libtchk*name* Override the termination check module specified in the DAT file. For a list of possible values of *name*, refer to section 8.

- --plotadjointsname Opens a new window in which the multipliers for the continuity conditions of the differential equations at the multiple shooting nodes are plotted. Note that these values can be seen as an approximation to the adjoint variables $\lambda(t)$, however, they are only defined and calculated on the MS nodes.
- --pmatlab*level* Sets the MATLAB logging level. Possible values range from 0 to 3 and increase the amount of data written to the MATLAB log file.

This option only has an effect if the MATLAB header files and libraries were found at compile time (when the bootstrap script was last run).

- --ps Enables output of the graphical visualization to PostScript (PS) files in the ./RES/ directory. This is only possible if the plot_pgplot visualization module is loaded.
- --qp-expandN Sets the expansion factor of the EXPAND strategy of the QP solver QPOPT. EXPAND is a strategy to avoid cycling in the active set. Useful values range from about 5 to 100, values greater than 9999999 by design of QPOPT disable the EXPAND strategy. Example: --qp-expand50 (default).

This option only has an effect if the QP solver module qps_qpopt is loaded.

--qp-featol*tol* Sets the feasibility tolerance of the QP solver QPOPT. Example: --qp-featol1e-8 (default).

This option only has an effect if the QP solver module qps_qpopt is loaded.

- --qp-itmax*itmax* Sets the maximum number of QP solver iterations per SQP iteration. Example: --qp-itmax10000 (default).
- --qp-relax*rel* Sets the constraint relaxation factor for infeasible QPs. Useful values are greater than 1.0. When an infeasible QP is detected, all infeasible constraints are shifted by *rel* times the amount of infeasibility, to make the next QP guaranteedly feasible. Example: --qp-relax1.1 (default).
- --plotadjoints Opens an additional output window in which the adjoint trajectories of the ODE are drawn.
- --lagmulregreg Regularization of the multipliers resulting from solution of the condensed QP. Applies a singular value decomposition to the matrix of active constraints and determines the multipliers with minimum ℓ_2 norm from the set of multipliers satisfying stationarity. This helps for convergence problems that are caused by LICQ violation. The parameter reg gives the cutoff threshold for the smallest accepted singular value. Setting reg to zero disables this feature (default). Example: --lagmulreg1e-08

8 Dynamically Loadable Modules

MUSCOD-II incorporates a variety of different algorithms, as a combination of different dynamically loadable libraries. The choice between these libraries is performed on three levels: default values that are overridden by settings in the DAT file of the problem, Section 7.1, which in turn can be overridden by command line options. The shared object files (dynamic link libraries) are loaded on startup of MUSCOD-II.

8.1 SQP Algorithms

For overall control of SQP solution process, the following shared objects are provided:

- mssqp_standard: The standard multiple shooting SQP algorithm.
- mssqp_nmpc_gn: Nonlinear model-predictive control with a Gauß-Newton approximation of the Hessian.
- mssqp_mhe_gn: Moving horizon estimation with a Gauß-Newton approximation of the Hessian.
- mssqp_picard: A Newton-Picard algorithm. Alpha stage, usage strongly discouraged.

8.2 ODE/DAE Solvers

To control the solution of the ODE/DAE system, the following shared objects are provided. Their individual capabilities are summarized in table 3.

- ind_daesol: Our preferred variable order variable step size BDF method for stiff and nonstiff ODE and DAE systems. Use ind_daesol_spa for the sparse variante of DAESOL. Use ind_daesol_prsqp or ind_daesol_spa_prsqp if you use PRSQP.
- ind_rkf12: The 1st/2nd order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method.
- ind_rkf23: The 2nd/3rd order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method.
- ind_rkf45: Our preferred Runge–Kutta solver, the 4th/5th order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method.
- ind_rkf45adj: The 4th/5th order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method, currently the only solver capable of computing adjoint sensitivities. Use ind_rkf45adj_spa for a sparse variant using TD12.
- ind_rkf78: The 7th/8th order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method.
- ind_rkf7b: A 7th/8th order Runge-Kutta method by H.G.Bock.
- ind_rkfswt: The 4th/5th order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method, currently the only solver capable of treating implicitly discontinuous ODE systems.
- ind_strans: Stage transition solver for multistage optimal control problems.
- ind_nullslv: Null solver, does nothing. It can be used to define NLP-Problems without any underlying dynamic system.

In addition, the following solvers are available:

- ind_ddasac: Another ODE/SAE solver. Use ind_ddasac_adf for models with derivative functions generated by ADIFOR. Use ind_ddasac_prsqp or ind_ddasac_adf_prsqp if you use PRSQP.
- ind_mbsnat: The multibody system solver MBSSIM. Usage discourages as MBSSIM is currently unmaintained.

| Solver | ODE | Stiff ODE | DAE | CLSQ | SPA | ADJ | ADF | Switches |
|--------------|-----|-----------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| ind_rkf12 | • | | | | | | | |
| ind_rkf23 | • | | | | | | | |
| ind_rkf45 | • | | | | | | | |
| ind_rkf78 | • | | | | | | | |
| ind_rkf7b | • | | | | | | | |
| ind_rkf45adj | • | | | • | ٠ | • | | |
| ind_rkfswt | • | | | • | | | | • |
| ind_daesol | • | • | • | • | ٠ | | | |
| ind_ddasac | • | • | • | • | | | • | |
| ind_mbsnat | • | • | ? | ? | | | | |
| ind_metanb | • | • | • | | | | | |

• ind_metanb: The METANB solver from PARFIT.

Table 3: Available ODE/DAE solvers and their capabilities.

8.3 Computation of the Hessian

For the computation or approximation of the Hessian, the following shared objects are provided:

- hess_const: Constant Hessian matrix for simplified Newton method. Good for refinement of almost converged solutions.
- hess_finitediff: Calculation of Hessian approximation from finite differences. Very efficient for systems with few state variables and many multiple shooting intervals. Needs module solve_tbox to cope with possibly non-positive-definite Hessian approximations.
- hess_gaussnewton: Hessian approximation for least-squares objective functionals. Very efficient for problems with a solution with small objective value.
- hess_update: variable metric Hessian approximation. By default, BFGS updates with Powell modification are selected.

For expert users: By manually changing the source code in hess_update.cpp, the following alternatives may be realized:

- Update formula:

- * BFGS updates by defining BFGS_UPDATE.
- * DFP updates by defining PSB_UPDATE.
- * PSB updates by defining PSB_UPDATE.
- * SR1 updates by defining SR1_UPDATE.
- For the BFGS update there exist modifications to keep the Hessian matrices positive definite which may be selected by manually changing the source code in hess_update.cpp:
 - * Powell modification of the BFGS update by defining MOD_BFGS_POWELL.
 - * Swaney modification of the BFGS update by defining MOD_BFGS_SWANEY.
 - * Nocedal modification of the BFGS update by defining MOD_BFGS_NOCEDAL.
- hess_limitedmemoryupdate: Limited memory version of hess_update. Only BFGS updates are realized for this module.

For expert users: You can choose the update modification, and the initial scaling of the Hessian matrix by modifying the file hess_limitedmemoryupdate.cpp in the same way as described for the module hess_update. Here you have the additional option whether the number of update vectors is set adaptively via the flag VARIABLE_MEMORY, or if a fixed maximum number is used. The macros HAP_LLIMIT and HAP_ULIMIT provide lower and upper limits.

8.4 Globalization Strategy

For the calculation of SQP correction step, the following shared objects are provided:

- **solve_alf** for the Schittkowski augmented line search.
- solve_fullstep to disable globalization and always perform the full SQP step.
- solve_lsq for a line search via natural level functions, suited for least-squares problems.
- solve_slse for a standard line search. For NMPC, the variants solve_slse_nmpc and solve_slse_fullstep_nmpc exists. In contrast to the standard line search, they both also includes simple bounds in the line search. The fullstep variant always attempts to do a full step first.
- solve_tbox for a boxstep trust region technique. This variant is especially required if you work with Hessians that are not guaranteed to be positive definite (SR1 or PSB updates, BFGS updates without modification, exact Hessians by finite differences).
- solve_vmcwd for a line search with a watchdog technique.
- solve_vmcwd_nmpc for a line search with a watchdog technique. For NMPC, the variant solve_vmcwd_nmpc exists that also includes simple bounds in the line search.
- solve_wdog A watchdog technique using Powell's penalty function.
- For expert users: By manually changing the source code, the following alternatives may be realized:

- In the slse and tbox algorithms you have the choice of using a second order correction step via the flag SOC_STEP to be set in solve_slse_common.cpp or solve_tbox.cpp.
- The flag LARGER_ALPHA can be used in all modules to enforce the calculation of a maximum line search stepwidth.
- The flag UPHILL_MOD sets an optional uphill modification that introduces a new weighting parameter for the objective function in the line search function to enforce descent of the line search function.
- The value MAX_ALPHA holds the maximum step length and defaults to 1.0.

8.5 Condensing of the Block Sparse QP

For the condensing of the block sparse multiple shooting QP, the following shared objects are provided:

- cond_std: The standard condensing algorithm reduces the large amount of independent variables of the multiple shooting method by exploiting the linearized continuity conditions. Only the *condensed* quadratic program is then solved by a dense QP solver.
- cond_min: The minimal condensing algorithm is normally not used. It only cares about the control discretization and a separabile formulation for the global unknowns. It does not eliminate state variables using the linearized continuity conditions. This variant may be useful in conjunction with a sparse QP solver (such as OOQP) which is able to exploit the sparsity in the uncondensed QP. For dense QP solvers (the majority of supported QP codes), using this variant will result in inferior performance.
- For expert users: By manually changing the source code, the following alternatives may be realized:
 - You have the option to truncate the step to avoid violation of bounds via the flag TRUNC_STP (inactive by default). This may be advantageous if no feasible QP solution was available (only a relaxed one), and bounds should be given priority over linearized continuity conditions.
 - Not all state bounds are given to the lower level QP solver: only potentially active ones, i.e. those that have previously been active are condensed and passed on. By inactivating the flags SD_BOUNDS and SA_BOUNDS (default: active) for differential and algebraic states the corresponding bounds are neglected and not even checked.
 - The flag RECALC_QP (default: active) enforces a recalculation the QP problem in the same SQP iteration, if the potentially active bounds have changed.

8.6 Solution of the Condensed QP

For the solution of the condensed QP, the following shared objects are provided:

- qps_qpopt is an interface to our in-house C-converted version of the QP solver QPOPT 1.0-10 by Gill, Murray and Saunders. This is by far the most important QP solver available. The solver itself is included in Packages/QPOPT and is licensed to IWR for academic purposes.
- qps_ooqp is an interface to the open-source sparse interior-point QP solver OOQP, available from the COIN-OR project web site. It is up to now the only publicly available sparse QP solver interfaced with MUSCOD-II and should be used in conjunction with the minimal condensing module cond_min.

Using this solver inside an SQP algorithm is usually ineffective. It has however been successfully used for highly sensitive multibody ODE systems in robotics for which condensing leads to ill-conditioned QPs.

- qps_bqpd is an interface to the QP solver BQPD by R. Fletcher. The solver itself is not included and has to be separately licensed and obtained from the University of Dundee.
- qps_old_qpopt is an interface to the QP solver QPOPT (Fortran version 1.0-9), part of the NAG library. The interface is deprecated, it is recommended to use the qps_qpopt instead.
- qps_old_qpsol is an interface to the QP solver QPSOL (now superseeded by QPOPT), part of the NAG library. The interface is deprecated, it is recommended to use the qps_qpopt instead.
- qps_old_lssol is an interface to the linear least-squares solver LSSOL, part of the NAG library. Using this solver is recommeded for QPs which have only few active constraints in the solution. The interface is experimental.
- The solvers **ve02** and **ve17** available in older releases of MUSCOD-II have been removed as these codes are nowadays considered obsolete.
- For expert users: By manually changing the source code, the following alternatives may be realized:
 - The active set is stabilized by setting the flag ACTSTAB (default: active) that introduces a relaxed tolerance for previously inactive constraints.
 - The QPOPT solver writes a file qpopt.txt providing detailed information about the QP solution process if you set msglvl to one of the values 5, 10, 20, or 30 in the file qps_qpopt_new.cpp.
 - The options BIGBND and BIGSTP define the magnitudes of bounds or steps that are considered infinite. The default values are 10⁶, and it may be necessary to rise them to 10¹⁶ for ill-conditioned QPs.

8.7 Termination Check for the SQP Algorithms

For the termination check of the SQP algorithm, there are two possible termination criteria, namely

- tchk using the KKT-Tolerance and
- tchk_loccont which is based on the local contraction theorem. You might need the -lagmulreg option for a regularization of the Lagrange Multipliers.

For a detailed description of both see: [Sch09].

8.8 Graphical Visualization of the Results

Graphical visualization of the convergence process and the optimal control problem's solution is realized by using one of the following shared objects:

- plot_pgplot: Visualization using the PGPLOT library.
- plot_matlab: Visualization using MATLAB. This is variant is currently not maintained.
- plot_noplot: No visualization. This is especially useful if you're going for minimum computation times (many runs, publication, etc.)

8.9 Restrictions in the Choice of Modules

Some combinations of algorithms are not sane from a mathematical point of view, or are unsupported in the current implementation. The resulting restrictions are listed in this section.

• With the SR1 and PSB update, and with the unmodified BFGS update, the hessian matrices do not need to be positive definite, so that you have to use a trust region strategy.

 \longrightarrow Use solve_tbox if you use hess_update with internally enabled SR1 or PSB update, or BFGS update without a modification guaranteeing positive definiteness.

- The exact hessian computed using finite differences does not need to be positive definite, so that you have to use a trust region strategy.
 - \longrightarrow Use solve_tbox if you use hess_finitediff.
- If your model uses a continuous least-squares objective (CLSQ), you must use an ODE/-DAE solver that is capable of evaluating it.
 - \longrightarrow Use ind_daesol, ind_rkf45adj, or ind_rkf45swt if you use CLSQ.
- If you use the sparse interior point QP solver OOQP, performance is usually improved by leaving out condensing.
 - \longrightarrow Try using cond_min if you use qps_ooqp.

9 MUSCOD-II output

MUSCOD-II provides information on algorithmic settings, on convergence behavior, and the results on different levels, described in the following subsections. An additional possibility to obtain information at runtime is to use the interactive version of MUSCOD-II, see Section 10.

9.1 Terminal Output

The terminal output of MUSCOD-II will start with some general information on the chosen algorithmic settings, the current version of the software, and problem dimensions. Then SQP iterations are described, e.g.,

>>>> SQP iterations

it qp qptol aset kktol sobj |sinf| |vstep| |vstpr| |mulstp| |lgrd| alpha merit crit stat 0 9.402310 1.48E-05 1 42 1.48E-07 NEW 8.78E-02 9.314528 2.49E-04 2.96E-01 0.00E+0 1.18E+01 1.00000E+00 1.00 9.315597 REL SUCC 2 5 2.49E-06 NEW 9.08E-01 8.406353 2.53E-02 3.03E+00 0.00E+0 2.99E+00 3.010900E-01 1.00 8.521348 STD SUCC 3 33 1.00E-04 NEW 1.33E+00 7.078570 5.95E-02 4.08E+00 0.00E+0 1.47E+01 3.589541E-01 1.00 7.507059 STD SUCC ...

The explanation of the columns is given in Table 4.

| column | description |
|--------|--|
| it | Number of current SQP iteration |
| qp | Number of QP iterations needed |
| qptol | Tolerance given to the QP solver |
| aset | Has the active set changed compared to last SQP iteration? |
| kkttol | Karush-Kuhn-Tucker Tolerance used for termination check |
| sobj | Objective function value |
| sinf | Norm of infeasibility |
| vstep | Norm of variable step |
| vstpr | Norm of step in the primal variables |
| mulstp | Norm of step in the dual variables |
| lgrd | Norm of Lagrange gradient |
| alpha | Step length deduced by line search |
| trad | Trust region radius |
| tact | Is trust region bound active? |
| merit | Value of merit function |
| crit | Relaxation of constraints? (STD or REL) |
| stat | Status of iteration (SUCC, PEND, or BACK) |

Table 4: Columns in the terminal output of MUSCOD-II

Finally, statistics on function evaluations and CPU time usage are displayed.

9.2 Online Graphics

MUSCOD-II uses a powerful PLOT module that contains interfaces to different online graphic software. Currently these are PGPLOT and MATLAB, a CairoGraphics implementation is envisaged. The plotting of differential and algebraic states, of control functions, and of parameters and objective function histories are controlled by setting appropriate names in the dat file, Section 7.1.

The PGPLOT visualization module plot_pgplot reads several options from the file default.plot as detailed in table 5

| Options | Possible Values | Description |
|------------------|-----------------|---|
| screen_enabled | on, off | Switch on-screen visualization on or off. |
| screen_width | double | Screen width in inch (pixels / dpi). |
| | | Recommended values are 10.6 for 1024 pixels, |
| | | 13.3 for 1280 pixels, 16.6 for 1600 pixels. |
| screen_aspect | double | Screen's aspect ratio (height / width). |
| | | Recommended values are 0.75 for a 4:3 screen, |
| | | 0.625 for a 16:10 widescreen. |
| screen_hostname | string | PGPLOT X server host name, |
| | | e.g., jim.iwr.uni-heidelberg.de. |
| screen_display | int | X server display number, usually 0. |
| screen_screen | int | X server screen number, usually 0. |
| $ps_enabled$ | on, off | Switch generation of PostScript graphics |
| | | on or off. |
| ps_append_file | on, off | Whether to write all iterations' figures |
| | | into the same PostScript file on multiple pages |
| ps_width | double | PostScript paper width in inches. |
| | | Recommended values are 7.5 for portrait, |
| | | 10.6 for landscape |
| ps_aspect | double | PostScript paper aspect ratio. Recommended |
| | | values are 1.4142 for portrait, |
| | | 0.7071 for landscape |
| ps_color | on, off | Whether to generate a color or a grayscale |
| | | PostScript file |
| gif_enabled | on, off | Switch generation of GIF graphics on or off. |
| gif_width | double | GIF file width in inches |
| gif_aspect | double | GIF file aspect ratio |

Table 5: Options for the PGPLOT visualization module plot_pgplot.

An even more flexible way to plot any function of states and controls, possibly also in a time-dependent movie-like manner, is given by a problem-dependent usage of the PLOT module. We refer here to examples that serve best to illustrate the broad applicability.

• MIP/lotkaindirekt: additional plotting of a switching function and an implicitely de-

termined control

- MIP/robotpath: 2d-spatial and time dependent visualization of robot positions with underlying prescribed trajectories, output to movie
- MIP/smb_super: 1d-spatial and time dependent visualization of concentration profiles with text and numbers, output to movie
- MIP/urethan and MIP/vpbimolcat: plotting of optimum experimental design sampling decisions on a discrete time grid (transition stages only)
- MIP/oberle: zoom into small region in time of interest
- TEST/chain1d: 1d-spatial and time dependent visualization of controlled chain of masses
- TEST/parest: Visualization of fitting a model to measurement data

9.3 Result Files

By default, several files will be written to the RES subdirectory.

- ./RES/name.txt: detailed solution information
- ./RES/name.log: chronological history of solution process
- ./RES/name.bin: binary solution information for warm starts
- ./RES/name.ps: if switched on, a postscript (or gif) file of the online graphics

Furthermore, by using any -b option, compare Section 11, for example -b0, a new dat-file will be written with the result of the optimization as starting point. This file is generically named ./DAT/name_opt.dat. Note that also several _opt may be concatenated. This file may then in turn be used for restarts, possibly with slightly modified bounds (e.g., in homotopies), for a repetition of plotting the optimal solution in a time efficient manner, and the like.

9.4 Matlab Logging

MUSCOD-II can export internal data to Matlab. This includes not only the results of the computations but also most of the intermediate steps for each iteration of the full computation. The main purpose of the Matlab logging capabilities is to exploit the flexibility of Matlab for investigating bad convergence behavior in the Multiple Shooting SQP method. The full range of numerical tools can be exploited, e.g., to calculate projected Hessians and their eigenvalues, which can lead to insight about violated assumptions like LICQ or positive definiteness of the projected Hessian. This may give rise to clues for a reformulation of the underlying optimization problem. Additionally, the user may also find the flexibility of Matlab's visualization tools helpful. However, MUSCOD-II can slow down considerably when large amounts of data have to be logged which is why Matlab logging is disabled by default in the MUSCOD-II suite.

Reconfiguring the suite. We assume that you have checked out a fresh suite and have it configured and compiled with **bootstrap**. The procedure to enable Matlab logging is the following:

- Locate the Matlab installation on your file system. We assume here that Matlab has been installed to /usr/local/matlab.
- Reconfigure the COMMON_CODE package in the suite by calling the CMake-GUI, e.g., with

user@machine: ~/MUSCOD_SUITE/Packages/COMMON_CODE/Debug> ccmake .

Enable the options PROVIDE_LOGGING_TO_MATLAB and PROVIDE_TYPE_CONVERSION_MATLAB. Press "c" to configure the package. CMake will try to find your Matlab installation. Sometimes, you have to help manually by setting the advanced variable MATLAB_DIR to your Matlab installation path. Even that may not be enough: It is a known problem of CMake (at least for version 2.6 and earlier) that libraries ending in a version number (e.g., .so.1.0, instead of .so) will not be found automatically. In Matlab 7.6, the following needed libraries have that problem:

```
MATLAB_ICUDATA_LIBRARY/usr/local/matlab/bin/glnxa64/libicudata.so.36MATLAB_ICUI18N_LIBRARY/usr/local/matlab/bin/glnxa64/libicui18n.so.36MATLAB_ICUI0_LIBRARY/usr/local/matlab/bin/glnxa64/libicui0.so.36MATLAB_ICUUC_LIBRARY/usr/local/matlab/bin/glnxa64/libicuuc.so.36
```

You can either create symbolic links, e.g., via

user@machine:/usr/local/matlab/bin/glnxa64> ln -s libicuuc.so.36 libicuuc.so

which is only possible if you have root access on your machine. Alternatively, you have to type in the proper locations in the CMake-GUI. If you work in a 32bit environment, you will have to exchange glnxa64 for glnx86. Press "c" and "g" to configure and generate the Makefiles. Leave the CMake-GUI.

• Recompile COMMON_CODE by calling

user@machine:~/MUSCOD_SUITE/Packages/COMMON_CODE/Debug> make install

• Call the CMake-GUI in your MC2 binary directory

user@machine:~/MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Debug> ccmake .

- Enable the options MATLAB_SUPPORT
- Press "c" and fill in the possibly missing Matlab libraries like above.
- Configure, generate the Makefile, leave the GUI and recompile MUSCOD-II via user@machine:~/MUSCOD_SUITE/MC2/Debug> make install
- Recompile your application, e.g., by

user@machine:~/MUSCOD_SUITE/Apps/TEST/Debug> make

Using Matlab logging. You can now have MUSCOD-II data logged to a file RES/problemname.mat by via the --pmatlab<n> option of the MUSCOD-II executable. The print level <n> currently knows two thresholds:

n=2: Log only primal and dual variables. The Matlab file will contain a single hierarchical cell array called iterations which contains a struct for each iteration. The struct consists of the substruct variables varstep, varnew, and mulnew, corresponding to the step in the primal variables, the updated primal variables, and the updated dual variables, respectively. The substructs are structs themselves. E.g., varnew.sd is a cell array containing the differential Multiple Shooting states. To examine the differential state at the third shooting node (attention: counted from 1 according to Matlab) at the end of the fifth iteration, one calls

>> iterations{5}.newvar.sd{3}

in Matlab.

n=3: Log most of the intermediate data. The names in the iterations cell array will be close to or the same as in the MUSCOD-II source code which shall serve as a reference here. In this print level, there can be a lot of warnings in the output for values which are written several times in one iteration, e.g., when line search damping has to be performed.

10 Interactive MUSCOD-II

MUSCOD-II incorporates an interactive mode that can be initiated by wither the -s command line flag, or by pressing simultaneously the Ctrl and the c key at runtime⁹. The result will be an interactive mode that waits for commands between SQP iterations. The list of possible commands is given in Table 6.

Note that QP data and solution are always unscaled. The order of variables here is:

phf, sd_0, (qls, qc, sa, prf, qle)_j,

where j is the index over all multiple shooting nodes.

⁹note that pressing Ctrl+c twice aborts directly without possibility to restart in the current solution point

| command | description |
|--------------------|---|
| h,help | Print list of available commands |
| s,step,[return] | Calculate next SQP iteration |
| c,cont | Continue in noninteractive mode |
| q,quit | Quit (may be restarted with -c or -w) |
| set | List all current options |
| set OPTION VAL | Change option to val: |
| output | screen, log or filename |
| outputmode | col, row, mat, std, rseq or cseq |
| scaled, unscaled | Scaled or unscaled output |
| tol VAL | Set tolerance for constraint violation |
| dd,dumpDers | Dump derivatives to Matlab file |
| pd,printDers | Print nonzero objective derivatives |
| pcd,printConDers | Print nonzero constraint derivatives |
| pf,printFuns | Print objective and constraint function values |
| pmc,printMatch | Print matching conditions |
| pm,printMults | Print all multipliers |
| ps,printSens | Print nonzero Wronskian sensitivities (scaled) |
| pv,printVars | Print all variables |
| pvc,printVConst | Print all violated constraints |
| save,saveBin | Store current variables and Hessian in binary file |
| pfp,printFixedPars | Print fixed global parameter values |
| eval,evaluate | Reevaluate functions and gradients (necessary after change of |
| | parameters) |
| sfp | Set fixed parameter to new value, needs 2 arguments: index |
| | and new value |
| uif,userInteract | Call def_uif function |
| ph,printHess | Print Hessian matrix |
| kkt,pkkt | Print details about KKT tolerance |
| pqpd,printQPData | Print QP data (hessian, gradient, constraint matrix/vector, |
| | lower/upper bound vector) |
| pqps,printQPSol | Print QP solution (step, constraint multipliers, bound multi- |
| | pliers) |
| dqp,dumpQP | Dump QP data and solution to matlab file |

Table 6: Interactive mode commands

11 MS MINTOC

MS MINTOC is an extension of MUSCOD-II written by Sebastian Sager to incorporate integervalued functions and variables into the problem formulation. Good places to look for a description of theory and algorithms are [Sag09] and [SRB09].

The main difference compared to the MUSCOD-II problem formulation is that in addition

to the interior point and path constraints (2.2) integrality conditions on global parameters and/or control functions are imposed.

It is expected that an *outer convexification* [Sag09] is performed by the modeler himself. Hence, all integer controls are expected to be of the form

$$\omega_j(t) \in \{\omega_j^{\min}, \omega_j^{\max}\} \qquad \forall \ t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$$
(7)

for control function j on model stage i.

11.1 Defining integer variables

The feasible values for binary controls and parameters are given in the usual way as upper and lower bounds. E.g., ω_j^{\min} is given by the corresponding value of **u_min**. To define whether a control function is an integer, binary or continuous control, the option **u_int** within the dat-file is used. For every control function a natural number x_j has to be specified with the interpretation

- $x_j = 0$: continuous control function, $\omega_j(t) \in [\omega_j^{\min}, \omega_j^{\max}]$
- $x_j = 1$: binary control function, $\omega_j(t) \in \{\omega_j^{\min}, \omega_j^{\max}\}$
- $x_j = -1$: integer control function, $\omega_j(t) \in \{\omega_j^{\min}, \omega_j^{\min} + 1, \dots, \omega_j^{\max} 1, \omega_j^{\max}\}$
- $x_j > 1$: binary control function, $\omega_j(t) \in \{\omega_j^{\min}, \omega_j^{\max}\}$, with SOS 1 constraint

$$\sum_{k:x_k=x_j>1} \omega_k(t) = 1 \qquad \forall \ t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$$
(8)

that needs to be taken into account when applying, e.g., rounding algorithms. Note that several independent SOS1 constraints can be specified by choosing different values for x_k .

• $x_j < -1$: as in the case $x_j > 1$, but the modeler replaced ω_{n_ω} by $1 - \sum_k^{n_\omega - 1} \omega_k(t)$.

A similar formulation (however model stage independent) is used for global parameters via the flag p_int.

11.2 Available algorithms

Table 7 lists all possibilities to select different algorithms to solve a MIOCP. The general concept is a little uncommon: digits correspond to algorithms, and their order determines the order of their execution, from right to left. For example, 5 corresponds to a switching time optimization, and 8 to the default rounding procedure. Hence, method 58 will first solve a relaxed problem (that will always be done), then apply the rounding procedure 8 to the result, and use the rounded solution as starting value and fixed structure for the switching time optimization.¹⁰

 $^{^{10}}$ As there are not enough digits for all algorithms, this system is not always consistent, unfortunately. 586 means first 6, then 8, then 5; however, 581 means first 81, then 5.

| column | description |
|--------|--|
| 0 | Relaxed solution (writes result into DAT/opt.dat) |
| 1 | Enumeration |
| 10 | Random integer solution |
| 11 | Lower bound solution |
| 12 | Upper bound solution |
| 13 | Internal use (simulation, modify mintoc.cpp) |
| 2 | Branch and Bound [default 241] |
| 20 | without start heuristics |
| 2x | with start heuristics x in $8, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 41, 42$ |
| 21 | with all start heuristics 8, 9, 11, 12, 41 |
| 3 | Outer Approximation (not yet implemented) |
| 4 | Penalty approach [default 41] |
| 41 | quadratic penalty function |
| 42 | exponential penalty function |
| 5 | Switching time optimization [default 5816] |
| 5x | with initialization x in 1, 10, 2, 4x, 6, 64, $8x$ |
| 6 | Adapt control discretization grid numadaptiters times |
| 60 | Adapt c.d.g. combined with penalty approach |
| 7 | Integral Approximation |
| 8 | Rounding [default 83] |
| 81 | Rounded relaxed solution |
| 82 | Sum Up Rounding with specific offset |
| 83 | Sum Up Rounding |
| 84 | Sequentially relaxed solution on shrinking horizon with round- |
| | ing on (moving) 1st interval |
| 85 | Sequentially relaxed solution on shrinking horizon with enu- |
| | meration on (moving) 1st interval |
| 86 | Sequentially relaxed solution on shrinking horizon with SUR |
| | on (moving) 1st interval |

Table 7: Possible values for the -b flag and the corresponding algorithms of MS MINTOC

11.3 MS MINTOC specific options

There are several options that are only meaningful in the context of MS MINTOC. They are typically specified by means of the dat file, compare Section 7.1. In Table 8 a list of possible options, their meaning, and the default value is given.

| key word mintoc_ | description and [default] |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Penalty term parameters | |
| eps_init | Initialization of penalty parameter [0.0001] |
| eps_step | Multiplier of penalty parameter [2.5] |
| penTolZero | Penalty tolerance [0.0001] |
| penIntTol | Penalty integer tolerance [1e-08] |
| penConTol | Penalty convergence tolerance [1e-06] |
| penNumQPSteps | Number of QP iterations in Penalty strategy [100] |
| penMaxSteps | Maximum number of iterations in Penalty strategy [50] |
| penMaxStuck | Maximum number of iterations before stuck in Penalty strat- |
| | egy [20] |
| Adaptparam parameters | |
| minstagelength | Minimum length of stages [1e-05] |
| numadaptiters | Number of successive adaptations [1] |
| adaptmode | Adaptmode: 0 bisection 1 middle peak 2 adaptive [0] |
| adaptPenStart | Start of Penalty after adaptivity [5] |
| adaptPenIter | What was this again [0.5] |
| General parameters | |
| tolZero | Zero tolerance [1e-06] |
| simIndex | Index of control to be used for simulation (internal) [7] |
| maxIterationsForConvergence | Maximum number of iterations for NO restart in solution [6] |
| restart_s_spec | What s_spec for restarts? [2] |
| plotAlgorithmicData | Open additional plot window? [0] |
| milp_solver | Name of callable (by AMPL) MILP solver [cbc] |
| Rounding parameters | |
| roundOffset | Offset that has been added to u_max to avoid cycling [0] |
| simulateOnly | What after rounding? 0 Optimize 1 Simulate only [0] |
| roundedEmbedding | Rounding: 1 fix only bounds, 0 set also variable value [0] |
| Sequential parameters | |
| seqOptFreq | Frequency of re-optimization on shrinking horizon in mode 86 |
| | |
| Integral Approximation | |
| $ $ u_switch_max(imos) | Vector of maximum number of switches of binary control [6] |

Table 8: List of MS MINTOC specific options. The key word in the leftmost column is precedented by mintoc_ in the dat files.

11.4 Switching Time Optimization

The optimization of switching times within MS MINTOC is implemented as a reformulation towards a multiple model stage problem with model stage lengths subject to optimization. Obviously, the model description provided by the def_model() routine needs to be adjusted.

The number of model stages will depend on the algorithm that has been applied. To be as flexible as possible and to allow, e.g., both fixed and free end time formulations of the original problem, a user specific formulation in the form def_model_ct() is necessary (ct for continuous time). However, MS MINTOC supplies information on the number of introduced model stages at runtime, allowing for usage of def_model_ct() both within the first run and from scratch with an automatically written dat file DAT/name_ct.dat. The callback function getNmos (NMOS) takes the original number NMOS as an argument and returns a LVec with the dimension NMOS and the number of introduced stages per original stage.

The last entry, $V_EACC($ newnmos , NMOS), contains the overall new number of model stages.

The usage is best exemplified by an easy example, see Section 14.

12 Win XP

12.0.1 Requirements

Administrator Rights

The installation process will require you to hold administrator's rights.

WinZip

In order to uncompress tar.gz files you need to install WinZip. Download a free evaluation version of WinZip from http://www.winzip.com if necessary. As of writing this document, the file in question is named winzip100.exe.

MinGW and MSYS

The GNU toolsets, headers and libraries, as well as a linux-like shell, are freely available from http://www.mingw.org. Download from the following files or their appropriate newer releases from the 'Current' section

- 1. binutils-2.15.91-20040904-1.tar.gz
- 2. gcc-core-3.4.2-20040916-1.tar.gz
- 3. gcc-g++-3.4.2-20040916-1.tar.gz
- 4. gcc-g77-3.4.2-20040916-1.tar.gz
- 5. gdb-5.2.1-1.exe
- 6. mingw-runtime-3.9.tar.gz
- 7. mingw32-make-3.80.0-3.exe
- 8. w32api-3.5.tar.gz
- 9. tcltk-8.4.1-1.exe
- 10. MSYS-1.0.11-2004.04.30-1.exe from the 'Snapshot' section.

GrWin

PGPLOT support for Windows, download from

http://spdg1.sci.shizuoka.ac.jp/grwinlib/english/download.html the file

1. lGrWn0999be-MinGW.exe

or an appropriate newer release.

LAPACK

Download the LAPACK archive from http://www.netlib.org/lapack/lapack.tgz.

MUSCOD-II

MUSCOD-II can be found in the workgroup's subversion repository.

Follow the steps precisely as listed below. Especially make sure to

- properly distinguish slashes ('/') from backslashes $('\backslash')$,
- perform all the actions using Windows, unless you're told to launch an MSYS shell and do things from there.

12.0.2 Installing WinZip

- 1. Download and start the WinZip executable and follow the installation process, if you don't already have a working version of WinZip installed. An open-source alternative to WinZip is http://sourceforge.net/projects/sevenzip/.
- 2. Before you extract the first archive, launch WinZip, click, and make sure that the checkbox that reads 'Convert' is unchecked.

12.0.3 Installing MinGW, Tcl/Tk, MSYS, and GrWin

1. Create the folder

C:\Program Files\MinGW, or

C:\Programme\MinGW, etc.,

depending on the language of your Windows XP installation. For the sake of simplicity we'll just use the German path names from now on.

2. Extract the files below to the folder

C:\Programme\MinGW

in precisely this order:

- (a) mingw-runtime-3.9.tar.gz
- (b) gcc-core-3.4.2-20040916-1.tar.gz

- (c) gcc-g++-3.4.2-20040916-1.tar.gz
- (d) gcc-g77-3.4.2-20040916-1.tar.gz
- (e) w32api-3.5.tar.gz
- (f) binutils-2.15.91-20040904-1.tar.gz
- 3. Install the GNU Debugger (GDB) by executing

gdb-5.2.1-1.exe

When it asks for a folder to install to, enter the path to MinGW:

C:\Programme\MinGW

4. Install GNU Make by executing

mingw32-make-3.80.0-3.exe.

When it asks for a folder to install to, enter the path to MinGW:

C:\Programme\MinGW

5. Install Tcl/Tk by executing

tcltk-8.4.1-1.exe

When it asks for a folder to install to, enter the path to MinGW:

C:\Programme\MinGW

6. Install MSYS by executing

MSYS-1.0.11-2004.04.30-1.exe

Don't install it to MinGW's folder, but enter

C:\Programme\MSYS

as the installation folder instead. A windows command prompt dialog will ask you several questions, enter 'y' twice. When it asks for MinGW's installation folder, enter

C:/Programme/MinGW

Make sure to use linux-style slashes this time.

You can ignore any Microsoft Word documents jumping at you.

7. Install GrWin by executing

lGrWn0999be-MinGW.exe

Enter

C:\Programme\GrWin

as the installation folder.

12.0.4 Compiling LAPACK, LIBLAC, and MUSCOD-II

1. Extract the LAPACK archive

lapack.tgz

to your home directory

C:\Programme\MSYS\home\<user>

2. Now open an MSYS shell using the new blue M icon on your desktop. You're now in a linux-like shell and have to use slashes instead of backslashes.

The Windows folder

C:\Programme\MSYS\home\<user>,

where **<user>** is your Windows account's user name, will serve as your home directory; within MSYS the command

cd ~

will bring you there.

The Windows drives $C:\, D:\, etc.$ can be found as invisible directories below the root. For example, within MSYS your home folder can also be accessed by typing

cd /c/Programme/MSYS/home/<user>

MinGW itself can be found in the folder /mingw, which corresponds to /c/Programme/MinGW.

3. We're now applying some changes to several make files. Should you find that these changes have already been applied in your version of these files, this is fine.

Change to the LAPACK installation folder by typing

cd ~/LAPACK/INSTALL

Make sure the correct makefile will be used by typing

cp make.inc.linux ../make.inc

Change to the parent folder (which is ~/LAPACK) by typing

cd ..

Open the file Makefile again using your favourite editor, and change the line

all: install lib testing blas_testing timing blas_timing

to read

all: blaslib lapacklib

Make LAPACK by typing

make

This may take some minutes. When make finished, the files lapack_LINUX.a and blas_LINUX.a should have been created. Move and rename them by typing

mv lapack_LINUX.a /mingw/lib/liblapack.a

mv blas_LINUX.a /mingw/lib/libblas.a

4. Change to your home directory

cd ~

and create a new directory MUSCOD-II there

mkdir MUSCOD-II

Check out a current version of MUSCOD-II from the workgroup's subversion repository and put it into that folder.

5. Still using the MSYS shell, change to the LIBLAC folder by typing

cd ~/MUSCOD-II/LIBLAC

Open the file makefile and make sure that the line at the very top reads

MACHINE=inc_MINGW32.mk

Look for the LIBS entry and comment it (put a '#' in front of all lines belonging to the LIBS entry). Uncomment the currently commented MinGW32 version (remove the appropriate '#' signs).

Leave the editor and make LIBLAC by typing

make

Again this may take some time.

6. Change to the MUSCOD-II folder by typing

```
\texttt{cd} \ldots/\texttt{MC2}
```

Run make once to create the file user.mk. Open this file and make sure that

MACHINE=inc_MINGW32.mk

is set. Make MUSCOD-II by typing

make

Again this may take some time.

7. Create the file

~/.profile

and type the following lines:

export PGPLOT_DIR=/c/Programme/GrWin/pgplot

export PGPLOT_FONTS=/c/Programme/GrWin/pgplot/grfont.dat

export PGPLOT_RGB=/c/Programme/GrWin/pgplot/rgb.txt

Leave the editor again. Apply the changes by typing

source .profile

8. If you checked out the MUSCOD-II test projects, change to the MUSCOD-II test projects folder by typing

cd ../MC2_TEST

Make the MUSCOD-II test projects by typing

make

Again this may take some time.

9. The installation process is now complete and MUSCOD-II should be at your services.

13 Example: ODE Test Problem reentry

Listing 1: reentry source file

```
/*
     MUSCOD-II/Apps/TEST/SRC/reentry.cpp
      (c) Daniel B. Leineweber, 1995
      reentry of Apollo type vehicle (Plitt, 1981; Stoer/Bulirsch, 1992)
     $Id: reentry.cpp 3369 2009-07-14 09:10:40Z chris $
#include <cmath>
#include "def_usrmod.hpp"
#define NMOS
                          1
#define
              \mathbf{NP}
                          0
              NRC
#define
                          0
              NRCE
#define
                          0
#define
              NXD
                          3
#define
              NXA
                          0
              NU
#define
                          1
#define
              NPR
                          0
#define
              NBD S
                          3
              NRDE_S 3
#define
              NRD_E
#define
#define
              NRDE_E 3
#define PI 3.1415
#define BETA 4.26
#define G 3.2172E-4
#define R 209.0
#define SM 53200.0
#define RHO_0 2.704E-3
static void lfcn(double *t, double *xd, double *xa, double *u,
  double *p, double *lval, double * rwh, long *iwh, long *info)
{
             *lval = 10.0 * xd[0] * xd[0] * xd[0] * xd[0] * sqrt(RHO_0 * exp(-BETA*R*xd[2]));
}
static void ffcn(double *t, double *xd, double *xa, double *u,
double *p, double *rhs, double *rwh, long *iwh, long *info)
{
             double rho = RHO_0*exp(-BETA*R*xd[2]);
             double \operatorname{cw} = 1.174 - 0.9 \times \cos(\operatorname{u}[0]);
double \operatorname{ca} = 0.6 \times \sin(\operatorname{u}[0]);
             rhs[0] = - 0.5 * SM * rho * xd[0] * xd[0] * cw
             \begin{aligned} & -G * \sin (xd[1]) / (1.0 + xd[0] * cd [0] / (1.0 + xd[2]) \\ & -G * cos (xd[1]) / xd[0] / (1.0 + xd[2]) / (1.0 + xd[2]); \end{aligned}
             rhs[2] = xd[0] * sin(xd[1])/R;
}
static void rdfcn_s(double *ts, double *sd, double *sa, double *u,
  double *p, double *pr, double *res, long *dpnd, long *info)
{
             \label{eq:if_star} {\bf if} \ (*dpnd) \ \{ \ *dpnd \ = \ RFCN\_DPND(0 \ , \ *sd \ , \ 0 \ , \ 0 \ , \ 0 \ ) \ ; \ {\bf return} \ ; \ \}
             }
static void rdfcn_e(double *ts, double *sd, double *sa, double *u,
    double *p, double *pr, double *res, long *dpnd, long *info)
{
             if (*dpnd) { *dpnd = RFCN_DPND(0, *sd, 0, 0, 0, 0); return; }
             res[0] = sd[0] - 0.27;
             res[1] = sd[1];
             res[2] = sd[2] - 2.5/R;
}
extern "C" void def_model(void)
{
             def_mdims(NMOS, NP, NRC, NRCE);
             def.mstage( 0, NXD, NXA, NU, NULL, lfcn, 0, 0, 0, NULL, ffcn, NULL, NULL, NULL, NULL);
def.mpc(0, "Start_Point", NPR, NRD_S, NRDE_S, rdfcn_s, NULL);
def.mpc(0, "End_Point", NPR, NRD_E, NRDE_E, rdfcn_e, NULL);
```

```
}
```

Listing 2: reentry dat file * control slope start values, scales, bounds udot(*,*) 0: 0.0 * * MUSCOD-II/Apps/TEST/DAT/reentry.dat (c) Daniel B. Leineweber, 1995 $udot_sca(*,*)$ 0: 1.0reentry of spacecraft (Bock/Plitt, 1984; Stoer/Bulirsch, 1992) udot_min(*,*) 0: -0.1\$Id: reentry.dat 651 2009-05-18 10:25:48Z ckirches \$ udot_max(*,*) * 0: 0.1* decoupled i.p.c. scale factors * # of multiple shooting intervals on each model stage $rd_sca(0,S)$ 0: 0.4 nshoot1: 0.2 0:62: 0.02 * multiple shooting grids on model stages grid(*) 0: 0.0 rd_sca(0,E) $\begin{array}{ccc} 0: & 0.4 \\ 1: & 0.2 \end{array}$ 1: 0.252: 0.02 2: 0.3753: 0.5 4: 0.675 * objective scale and expected range of_sca 5: 0.756: 1.00.0275 of_min * model stage duration start values, scales, bounds $^{\rm h}$ 0.0 0: 230.0 of_max 0.05 h_sca 0: 225.0* Number of values in history plot nhist h_min 0: 220.030 ***** h_max * Choosing libraries * *********** 0: 240.0 * mode for differential state variable start values libmodel SRC/libreentry ${\tt s_spec}$ 1 libhessian hess_finitediff * differential state start values, scales, bounds libsolve solve_tbox 2: 0.01914libcond sd(0,E) cond_std 0: 0.271: 0.0 libtchk 2: 0.01196 tchk sd_sca(*,*) libmssqpmssqp_standard 0: 0.4 $\begin{array}{rrr} 1: & 0\,.\,1 \\ 2: & 0\,.\,0\,2 \end{array}$ libeval eval_ind $\operatorname{sd}_{-min}(*,*)$ libind $0: 0.2 \\ 1: -0.2$ 0: ind_daesol 2: 0.006 libqps qps_qpopt $\operatorname{sd}_\max\left(\ast\,,\ast\,\right)$ $\begin{array}{rrr} 0: & 0.4 \\ 1: & 0.1 \end{array}$ libplot $plot_pot_plot$ 2: 0.03 * control parameterization types ***** u_type(*) \ast Setting algorithmic parameters \ast ***** 0: 1options_acc * control start values, scales, bounds $1 \, e - 6$ options_ftol u(*,*) 0: 0.5 -1.0 options_itol $u_{-sca}(*,*)$ -1.0options_rfac 0: 1.00.0 options_levmar $\mathtt{u_min}\,(\,\ast\,,\ast\,)$ 0.0 0: -3.0 $options_qp_featol$ $u_max(*,*)$ 1.0 e - 8options_qp_relax 0: 2.01.1 options_nhtopy

0

```
options_frstart

0

options_frmax

0

options_itmax

100

options_plevel_screen

0

options_plevel_file

1

options_plevel_matlab

0

options_oflag

-1

options_qp_itmax

10000

options_oflag

0

options_sflag

0

options_sflag

0

options_cflag

0

options_output_ps

0

options_output_gif

0
```

14 Example: MS MINTOC Problem lotka

Listing 3: lotka source file

```
/*
*
 *
    MUSCOD-II/Apps/MIP/SRC/lotka.cpp
     Sebastian Sager (2003)
#include <math.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include "def_usrmod.hpp"
#define NMOS
                      1
#define
            NP
                      2
            NRC
#define
                      0
#define
            NRCE
                      0
#define
            NXD
                      3
#define
            NXA
                      0
#define
            NU
                      1
#define NPR
                      0
static void ffcn(double *t, double *xd, double *xa, double *u,
    double *p, double *rhs, double *rwh, long *iwh, long *info)
{
  double ref0 = 1, ref1 = 1;
                                                              /* steady state with u == 0 * /
   \begin{array}{l} rhs\,[0]\,=\,xd\,[0]\,-\,xd\,[0]*xd\,[1]\,-\,p\,[0]*xd\,[0];\\ rhs\,[1]\,=\,-\,xd\,[1]\,+\,xd\,[0]*xd\,[1]\,-\,p\,[1]*u\,[0]*xd\,[1];\\ rhs\,[2]\,=\,(xd\,[0]-ref0\,)*(xd\,[0]-ref0\,)\,+\,(xd\,[1]-ref1\,)*(xd\,[1]-ref1\,); \end{array} 
}
{
   if (*dpnd) { *dpnd = MFCN_DPND(0, *sd, 0, 0); return; }
   * mval = sd [2];
}
extern "C" void def_model(void)
{
   def_mdims(NMOS, NP, NRC, NRCE);
  def_mstage( 0, NXD, NXA, NU, mfcn, NULL, 0, 0, 0, NULL, ffcn, NULL, NULL, NULL);
def_mio(NULL, NULL, NULL);
}
static void rdfcn_e(double *ts, double *sd, double *sa, double *u, double *p,
double *pr, double *res, long *dpnd, long *info) {
    if (*dpnd) { *dpnd = RFCN_DPND(*ts, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0); return; }
    res[0] = *ts - 12;
1
extern "C" void def_model_ct(void)
  long i;
  LVec newnmos = getNmos(NMOS);
long nmos = V_EACC( newnmos, NMOS );
   def_mdims(nmos, NP, NRC, NRCE);
for(_i=0; i<nmos-1; i++) {
     def_mstage( i, NXD, NXA, NU, NULL, NULL, 0, 0, 0, NULL, ffcn, NULL, NULL, NULL);
   def_mstage( nmos-1, NXD, NXA, NU, mfcn, NULL, 0, 0, 0, NULL, ffcn, NULL, NULL, NULL );
   \texttt{def_mpc(nmos-1, "End_Point", NPR, 1, 1, rdfcn_e, NULL);}
   {\tt def\_mio(NULL, NULL, NULL);}
}
```

```
Listing 4: lotka dat file
                                                                                  * objective scale and expected range
                                                                                  of_sca
                                                                                  1 0
*
*
* MUSCOD-II/Apps/MIP/DAT/lotka.dat
* (c) Sebastian Sager, 2003
                                                                                  of_min
                                                                                  0.0
                                                                                  of_max
                                                                                  25 0
\ast~ # of multiple shooting intervals on each model stage
                                                                                  of_name
nshoot
0: 60
                                                                                  ! Quadratic deviation
                                                                                  h_name
* parameters
р
0: 0.4
                                                                                  0: ! Zeit
1: 0.2
                                                                                  p_name
                                                                                  0: ! ParamA
1: ! ParamB
p_int
                                                                                   2: ! Force parameter eps
\begin{array}{ccc} 0: & 0 \\ 1: & 0 \end{array}
2: 0
                                                                                  u_name
                                                                                   0: Control function u(t)
p_sca
\begin{array}{cccc} 0: & 1.0 \\ 1: & 1.0 \\ 2: & 1.0 \end{array}
                                                                                  xd_name
                                                                                  0: !Biomass of Prey
1: !Biomass of Predator
2: !Integrated deviation
p_{-}min
0: 0.0
1: 0.0
                                                                                  nhist
                                                                                  30
2: 0.0
                                                                                  u_type(*)
                                                                                  0: 0
p_max
\begin{array}{ccc} 0: & 1.0 \\ 1: & 1.0 \end{array}
                                                                                  \texttt{u\_sca(0,*)}
2: 1.0
                                                                                  0: 1.0
p_fix
                                                                                  u(0, *)
0: 1
                                                                                  0: 1.0
                                                                                  u_min(0,*)
\begin{array}{rrr} 1:&1\\ 2:&1 \end{array}
                                                                                   0: 0.0
                                                                                  u_max(0,*)
* model stage duration start values, scales, bounds
                                                                                  0: 1.0
h
0: 12.0
                                                                                  *****
                                                                                  * MINTOC variables *
                                                                                   *****
h_sca
0: 1.0
                                                                                  * x=0 continuous
* x=1 binary
* x=-1 integer
* x>1 SOS1 variable
* x<-1 SOS1 variable with control n_w eliminated
prime(o_x)
h_min
0: 3.0
h_max
0: 12.0
                                                                                  u_int(0,*)
                                                                                  0: 1
* model stage duration fixed value flags
h_fix
                                                                                  p_int
ALL: 0
0: 1
                                                                                   s_spec
                                                                                  * MINTOC options *
2
                                                                                   *********
* differential state start values, scales, bounds
                                                                                  ### penalty term parameters
# Initialization of penalty parameter
                                                                                   eps_init
2: 0.0
                                                                                  0.0001
sd_fix(0,0)
                                                                                  # Multiplier of penalty parameter
\begin{array}{ccc} 0: & 1 \\ 1: & 1 \end{array}
                                                                                   eps_step
                                                                                  2
2:1
                                                                                  # Penalty tolerance
sd_sca(*,*)
\begin{array}{cccc} 0: & 1.0 \\ 1: & 1.0 \\ 2: & 1.0 \end{array}
                                                                                  {\tt penTolZero}
                                                                                  0.001
                                                                                  # Penalty integer tolerance
                                                                                  penIntTol
\operatorname{sd}_{-\min}(*,*)
                                                                                   1e - 05
\begin{array}{rrr} 0: & 0\,.\,0 \\ 1: & 0\,.\,0 \end{array}
                                                                                  # Penalty convergence tolerance
2: 0.0
                                                                                  penConTol
\operatorname{sd}_{-}\max(*,*)
                                                                                  0.0001
\begin{array}{c} 0: & 20.0 \\ 1: & 20.0 \\ 2: & 25.0 \end{array}
                                                                                  # Number of QP iterations in Penalty strategy
                                                                                  penNumQPSteps
                                                                                  100
```

Maximum number of iterations in Penalty strategy libmssqp penMaxSteps 20 mssqp_standard # Maximum number of iterations libeval # before stuck in Penalty strategy
penMaxStuck eval_ind 30 libind 0: ind_rkf45 #### adaptparam parameters libqps qps_qpopt # Minimum length of stages minstagelength libplot 0.0001 plot_pgplot # Number of successive adaptations libmintoc numadaptiters mintoc 3 # Adaptmode: 0 bisection 1 middle peak 2 adaptive * Setting algorithmic parameters * adaptmode ****** ***** options_acc # Start of Penalty after adaptivity $1 \, e - 6$ adaptPenStart options_ftol 3 -1.0options_itol # What was this again -1.0adaptPenIter options_rfac 1 0.0 options_levmar 0.0 ### General parameters $options_qp_featol$ 1.0 e - 8# Zero tolerance options_qp_relax tolZero 1.1 $1\,\mathrm{e}-05$ options_nhtopy 0 # Index of control to be used for simulation (internal) options_frstart simIndex options_frmax 0 # Maximum of how many iterations options_itmax # for NO restart in solution maxIterationsForConvergence 100 options_plevel_screen 6 $options_plevel_file$ # What s_spec for restarts? options_plevel_matlab restart_s_spec 2 0 options_bflag -1### Rounding parameters options_qp_itmax 10000 # Offset that has been added to u_max to avoid cycling options_qp_expand roundOffset 999999999 options_sflag 0 # What after rounding? 0 Optimize 1 Simulate only options_wflag simulateOnly Ω 0 options_cflag # Rounding: options_output_ps # 1 fix only bounds, 0 set also variable value roundedEmbedding options_output_gif 1 # Open additional plot window? ${\tt plotAlgorithmicData}$ ***** * Choosing libraries * ********************** libmodel SRC/liblotka libhessian hess_update libsolve solve_slse libcond cond_std libtchk tchk

15 Appendix A: MUSCOD-II test library

This section gives an overview over the testproblems in the software MUSCOD, that can be found in /Apps/Test/Src/. Note that some of the literature is no longer available and that description, solution characteristics or literature do not exist for all problems.

| academy | batchdist | batchdistrob | batchdistRobEx | batchdistUT |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| brac | brgr1 | brgr2 | ccbat | ccrane |
| chain1d | chain | container_bridge | cstr | $cstr_{est}$ |
| dcbat1 | dcbat2 | dcbat3 | eason | energy_f77 |
| eocar1 | eocar2 | extrosen | fedbat1 | fedbat1m |
| fedbat2 | fedbat2m | fedbat3 | fedbat3m | fedbat4 |
| freudenstein | ftlos1 | ftlos2 | ftlos2_nlp | ftlos3 |
| ftlos4 | hang | helical | hydroscal | $inventory_f77$ |
| kite | lbat | macro1 | macro2 | macro3 |
| macro4 | macro5 | maratos | nlbat | nlp1 |
| nlp2 | nlp3 | nlp4 | nmpc1 | ocean3a |
| ocean3b | optcar_lego | orbit | oven | pbreac |
| powerkite | qlin | reactdiff | reentry | rob2link |
| $rob2link_flex$ | rob3link | $rob3link_flex$ | $rocket_f77$ | rosen |
| singular | skeleton | smb | soccer | $\operatorname{stp1}$ |
| $stp1_gn$ | stp2a | stp2b | stp2c | stp2d |
| stp2e | stp3 | $stp3_f77$ | $stp3_gn$ | stp4a |
| $stp4a_gn$ | stp4b | swtball | tocar1 | tocar2 |
| tocar3 | tolin1 | tolin2 | tolos1 | tolos2 |
| tolos3 | tolos4 | twobat1 | twobat2 | unload1 |
| unload2 | vdpol | watson | wood | |

15.1 List of all Testproblems

15.2 List of solution characteristics

The tables are taken from PhD thesis of D. Leineweber 1999. They use the following symbols and abbreviations:

- *name* gives a mnemonic description of the test problem (usually this is also the name of the corresponding model and data files),
- \hat{M} denotes the total number of model stages (each set of stage transition conditions if explicitly specified counts as an additional "algebraic" model stage),
- n_i^x , n_i^z , n_i^u are the numbers of differential states, algebraic states and control functions (specified separately for each model stage *i* if there are changes in the model dimensions),
- n^p and n^v are the numbers of the *free* model parameters and model stage durations (n^p includes global as well as local model parameters),

- *ndis* denotes the total number of discretization points used (i.e. the number of multiple shooting intervals plus one),
- *nvar* is the total number of variables in the resulting structured NLP problem (including all discretized state variables, control parameters and free model parameters/model stage durations),
- *neq* and *nin* refer to the numbers of equality and inequality constraints in the NLP problem (*nin* includes lower and upper bounds on all variables),
- mc2 specifies the variant of MUSCOD-II used for the solution of the problem (way of Hessian approximation, globalization strategy)
 - ch: constant diagonal Hessian,
 - fd: forward-difference Hessian approximation,
 - *lm*: limited-memory BFGS Hessian approximation,
 - vm: standard BFGS Hessian approximation,
 - bt: boxstep trust region strategy with SOC,
 - sl: standard line search strategy without SOC,
 - $-\ wl:$ watchdog line search strategy,
- *int* specifies the ODE or DAE integrator employed within MUSCOD-II,
- *itr* is the number of SQP iterations,
- *cpu* is the CPU time in seconds excluding graphics on an SGI Indy workstation (MIPS R4000 CPU with 100Mhz speed, MIPS R4010 FPU) running IRIX Version 5.3,
- *obj* is the value of the objective function at the solution,
- *inf* is the (scaled) norm of the constraint infeasibilities at the solution,
- *lag* is the (scaled) norm of the Lagrangian gradient at the solution,

| name | Ŵ | n_i^x | n_i^z | n_i^u | n^p | n^v | ndis | nvar | neq | nin |
|--------|----|---------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-------|--------------|------|-----|-----|
| brgr1 | 1 | 2 | | 141 | - | | 16 | 32 | 32 | 64 |
| brgr2 | 1 | 2 | 8 4 0 | 140 | - | 4 | 45 | 90 | 90 | 180 |
| rosen | 2 | 2 | 1020 | (1 1) | 2 | 2 | 122 | 2 | 23 | 4 |
| eason | 8 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 9 | - | 2 | 28 | 4 |
| wood | | 2 | 875 | 0.00 | 4 | | 075 | 4 | 72 | 8 |
| nlp1 | 5 | = | 3) | 1.75 | 2 | | 375 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| nlp2 | Ξ. | = | ್ಷ | 1.00 | 3 | = | 85 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| nlp3 | ÷ | - | s. | (#C | 2 | æ | 3 . | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| nlp4 | * | ÷ | ÷ | 8 9 0 | 2 | | 0 4 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| stp1 | 1 | 1 | 194 | 1 | - | - | 10 | 19 | 11 | 38 |
| stp2a | 1 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 140 | - | 21 | 61 | 40 | 122 |
| stp2b | 1 | 1 | 39 <u>1</u> 2 | 1 | 948 | 22 | 21 | 61 | 41 | 122 |
| stp2c | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 8 | 21 | 61 | 40 | 122 |
| stp2d | 1 | 1 | 070 | 1 | 373 | ø | 21 | 61 | 40 | 142 |
| stp2e | 1 | 1 | 21 7 3 | 1 | | æ | 21 | 61 | 40 | 142 |
| stp3 | 1 | 2 | : | 1 | 3 0 0 | - | 21 | 82 | 61 | 184 |
| stp4a | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | ж | 21 | 125 | 104 | 270 |
| stp4b | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 21 | 146 | 125 | 312 |
| qlin | 1 | 6 | - | 3 | - | - | 11 | 126 | 99 | 252 |
| tolin1 | 1 | 6 | 0 <u>1</u> 0 | 3 | 140 | 1 | 21 | 187 | 132 | 374 |
| tolin2 | 3 | 6 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 12 | 128 | 96 | 256 |
| ftlos1 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 1 | - | | 43 | 214 | 172 | 436 |
| ftlos2 | 8 | 4 | 200 | 375 | 1.75 | 8 | 9 | 44 | 37 | 96 |
| ftlos3 | 8 | 4 | 15 . | 1 | | 8 | 9 | 52 | 37 | 112 |
| ftlos4 | 8 | 9 | | 5 . | | 8 | 9 | 89 | 89 | 178 |
| tolos1 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 43 | 215 | 172 | 431 |
| tolos2 | 8 | 4 | 3 4 3 | - | 940 | 8 | 9 | 44 | 36 | 89 |
| tolos3 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 9 | 52 | 36 | 105 |
| tolos4 | 8 | 9 | 1028 | 8200 | 1 | 8 | 43 | 396 | 396 | 792 |

Figure 2: Table 1: List of Test Problems

| name | \hat{M} | n_i^x | n_i^z | n_i^u | n^p | n^v | $\mathbf{n}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{s}$ | nvar | neq | nin |
|----------|-----------|------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|--|------|-----|-----|
| brac | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 21 | 104 | 83 | 208 |
| vdpol | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 21 | 82 | 62 | 164 |
| eocar1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 21 | 82 | 63 | 164 |
| eocar2 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 11 | 45 | 34 | 90 |
| tocar1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 34 | 24 | 68 |
| tocar2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 11 | 25 | 24 | 50 |
| tocar3 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 35 | 24 | 70 |
| reentry | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 34 | 29 | 68 |
| hang | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 20 | 157 | 119 | 314 |
| unload1 | 1 | 6 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 13 | 115 | 95 | 230 |
| unload2 | 2 | 6 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 13 | 116 | 95 | 232 |
| ccrane | 1 | 6 | - | 2 | - | - | 21 | 167 | 132 | 334 |
| lbat | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 21 | 82 | 61 | 164 |
| nlbat | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 21 | 82 | 61 | 164 |
| dcbat1 | 3 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 12 | 73 | 60 | 146 |
| dcbat2 | 9 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 15 | 94 | 75 | 188 |
| dcbat3 | 19 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 10 | 20 | 129 | 100 | 258 |
| ccbat | 1 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 11 | 76 | 65 | 152 |
| twobat1 | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 58 | 48 | 118 |
| twobat2 | 3 | 3,3,4 | - | 1,-,- | 1 | 2 | 8 | 34 | 26 | 70 |
| fedbat1 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 23 | 117 | 98 | 234 |
| fedbat2 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 21 | 105 | 84 | 210 |
| fedbat2m | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 21 | 105 | 84 | 210 |
| fedbat3 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 21 | 105 | 84 | 210 |
| fedbat3m | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 21 | 105 | 84 | 210 |
| fedbat4 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 21 | 105 | 84 | 210 |
| pbreac | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 11 | 26 | 23 | 53 |

Figure 3: Table 2: List of Test Problems
| name | mc2 | int | itr | cpu | obj | inf | lag |
|--------|----------------|---------|-----|------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| brgr1 | ch,sl | RKF23S | 4 | 0.5 | $(1.25267 \cdot 10^{-13})$ | $5.0 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $(1.9 \cdot 10^{-6})$ |
| brgr2 | $_{\rm ch,sl}$ | RKF23S | 3 | 1.4 | $(8.28574 \cdot 10^{-14})$ | $4.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $(2.1 \cdot 10^{-1})$ |
| rosen | vm,sl | NULLSLV | 37 | 0.5 | $1.98930\cdot 10^{-12}$ | (0.0) | $5.8\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| eason | fd,bt | NULLSLV | 14 | 0.2 | 1.74415 | (0.0) | $1.2\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| wood | vm,sl | NULLSLV | 24 | 0.4 | $1.31641 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | (0.0) | $2.0\cdot10^{-2}$ |
| nlp1 | lm,wl | NULLSLV | 10 | 0.1 | -1.08867 | 0.0 | $2.1\cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| nlp2 | lm,wl | NULLSLV | 6 | 0.1 | 3.00000 | $1.2\cdot10^{-7}$ | $2.0\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| nlp3 | lm,wl | NULLSLV | 7 | 0.1 | 4.89898 | $4.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $1.7\cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| nlp4 | lm,wl | NULLSLV | 5 | 0.1 | 2.22222 | $8.3\cdot10^{-12}$ | $5.3\cdot10^{-7}$ |
| stp1 | lm,wl | RKF45S | 3 | 0.2 | 0.164300 | $4.4 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $1.6\cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| stp2a | vm,sl | RKF45S | 7 | 1.3 | 0.761594 | $6.5\cdot 10^{-12}$ | $2.1\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| stp2b | vm,sl | RKF45S | 4 | 0.9 | 0.924234 | $2.0\cdot10^{-11}$ | $2.1\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| stp2c | vm,sl | RKF45S | 4 | 0.9 | 0.901436 | $7.8\cdot 10^{-12}$ | $1.7\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| stp2d | vm,sl | RKF45S | 5 | 1.1 | 0.776545 | $1.3\cdot10^{-11}$ | $2.7\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| stp2e | vm,sl | RKF45S | 4 | 0.9 | 0.924234 | $2.3\cdot10^{-11}$ | $2.1\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| stp3 | vm,sl | RKF45S | 13 | 2.8 | 0.180033 | $4.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | $3.3\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| stp4a | vm,sl | DAESOL | 17 | 40.7 | 0.180033 | $7.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $2.2\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| stp4b | vm,sl | DAESOL | 21 | 48.5 | 0.180033 | $9.8\cdot10^{-9}$ | $4.1\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| qlin | vm,sl | RKF45S | 16 | 4.2 | 1.11600 | $4.0 \cdot 10^{-12}$ | $1.1\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| tolin1 | vm,sl | RKF45S | 23 | 10.3 | 75.0004 | $1.7 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $9.3\cdot10^{-6}$ |
| tolin2 | vm,sl | RKF45S | 8 | 2.0 | 74.9081 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $1.6\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| ftlos1 | vm,sl | RKF45S | 5 | 5.5 | 1.01930 | $1.6 \cdot 10^{-13}$ | $1.1\cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| ftlos2 | fd,bt | RKF45S | 6 | 10.0 | 1.00174 | $2.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $2.5\cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| ftlos3 | fd,bt | RKF45S | 6 | 12.0 | 1.00174 | $7.7 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $4.3\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| ftlos4 | $_{\rm ch,sl}$ | RKF45S | 3 | 1.5 | $(1.22742 \cdot 10^{-14})$ | $1.6\cdot10^{-7}$ | $(7.8 \cdot 10^{-5})$ |
| tolos1 | lm,wl | RKF45S | 20 | 19.9 | 4.23085 | $2.8 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $2.5\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| tolos2 | $_{\rm fd,bt}$ | RKF45S | 8 | 19.9 | 4.20162 | $8.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $4.6\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| tolos3 | fd,bt | RKF45S | 8 | 16.1 | 4.20162 | $3.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $1.0\cdot10^{-2}$ |
| tolos4 | $_{\rm ch,sl}$ | RKF45S | 5 | 5.0 | $(3.82753 \cdot 10^{-17})$ | $8.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $(2.6 \cdot 10^{-3})$ |

Figure 4: Table 3: List of Test Problems

| name | mc2 | int | itr | cpu | obj | inf | lag |
|----------|--------|--------|-----|------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| brac | vm,sl | RKF45S | 15 | 3.1 | 0.312480 | $1.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $3.6\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| vdpol | vm,sl | RKF45S | 5 | 1.2 | 1.68570 | $2.1\cdot 10^{-8}$ | $1.4\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| eocar1 | vm,sl | RKF45S | 4 | 1.0 | 36.7270 | $2.4\cdot10^{-12}$ | $4.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| eocar2 | fd,bt | RKF45S | 7 | 2.1 | 36.7236 | $1.2\cdot10^{-11}$ | $1.4\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| tocar1 | lm,wl | RKF45S | 6 | 0.7 | 30.1511 | $6.6 \cdot 10^{-16}$ | $1.2\cdot10^{-8}$ |
| tocar2 | lm,wl | RKF45S | 5 | 0.3 | 30.0000 | $1.0 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $6.1\cdot10^{-10}$ |
| tocar3 | lm,wl | RKF45S | 5 | 0.4 | 30.0000 | $1.0\cdot10^{-15}$ | $6.2\cdot10^{-10}$ |
| reentry | fd, bt | RKF23S | 9 | 22.9 | $2.78268 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $6.4 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | $2.0\cdot10^{-5}$ |
| hang | lm,wl | RKF45S | 45 | 36.9 | -1247.66 | $6.7\cdot10^{-5}$ | $1.2\cdot10^{-2}$ |
| unload1 | fd, bt | RKF45S | 4 | 5.9 | 7.74987 | $2.9\cdot10^{-7}$ | $6.8\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| unload2 | fd, bt | RKF45S | 6 | 7.3 | 7.74987 | $7.7 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $3.3\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| ccrane | lm,wl | RKF45S | 15 | 6.5 | $5.34569 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.0\cdot10^{-9}$ | $8.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| lbat | lm,wl | RKF45S | 15 | 2.9 | -0.573527 | $1.2\cdot10^{-7}$ | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| nlbat | lm,wl | RKF45S | 18 | 3.9 | -0.610797 | $5.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.5\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| dcbat1 | lm,wl | RKF45S | 15 | 1.8 | -0.447512 | $9.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.0\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| dcbat2 | fd, bt | RKF45S | 6 | 5.1 | -0.447620 | $7.3\cdot10^{-8}$ | $8.9\cdot10^{-8}$ |
| dcbat3 | fd, bt | RKF45S | 9 | 18.5 | -0.489546 | $8.8\cdot10^{-12}$ | $2.1\cdot10^{-7}$ |
| ccbat | lm,wl | RKF45S | 22 | 4.0 | -0.499074 | $1.2\cdot 10^{-4}$ | $6.9\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| twobat1 | fd,bt | RKF45S | 10 | 10.2 | -25.5913 | $9.7\cdot10^{-13}$ | $4.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| twobat2 | fd,bt | RKF45S | 9 | 4.9 | -25.5913 | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-12}$ | $9.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| fedbat1 | lm,wl | DDASAC | 19 | 35.9 | -87.8751 | $6.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $2.1\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| fedbat2 | lm,wl | DDASAC | 34 | 55.3 | -87.9211 | $6.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $2.0\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| fedbat2m | lm,wl | DDASAC | 21 | 32.2 | -0.916841 | $2.8\cdot10^{-6}$ | $5.1\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| fedbat3 | lm,wl | DDASAC | 24 | 38.4 | -89.8542 | $1.7\cdot10^{-6}$ | $5.9\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| fedbat3m | lm,wl | DDASAC | 11 | 19.2 | -0.934468 | $2.9\cdot 10^{-6}$ | $2.2\cdot10^{-3}$ |
| fedbat4 | lm,wl | DDASAC | 29 | 50.4 | 120.549 | $2.6\cdot10^{-6}$ | $1.6\cdot10^{-4}$ |
| pbreac | fd,bt | RKF23S | 22 | 28.1 | -171.486 | $2.9 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $1.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |

Figure 5: Table 4: List of Test Problems

15.3 Further description of some of the problems

• academy

This is a model of G. Feichtinger and coworkers. The idea is to optimize the recruitment strategy of an "Academy of Sciences", that aims at

- keeping the average age of the academy down,
- maximizing the number of recruitments.

The size of the academy is fixed to 70 persons. Only persons between 40 and 70 are counted as members. The ratio between the two goals is given by the parameters p[2] and p[3]. The optimal control is given by the control constraint *rdfcn*, otherwise full recruitment of young resp. very old researchers is optimal.

• batchdistUT

This model uses the *Unscented Transform* to obtain mean and variance of the inequality constraint functions and optimizes then with a security back-off. (It can also be used for simple dat-file controlled simulation runs. This version is active at the moment.)

• brac

Classical brachistochrone problem (Betts, J.T.; Eldersveld, S.K.; Huffman, W.P.; Sparse nonlinear programming test problems (Release 1.0); Technical Report BCSTECH-93-047. Boeing Computer Services (1993)).

• brgr1

Burgers equation for $EPS_B = 1.0E-1$ (Betts, J.T.; Eldersveld, S.K.; Huffman, W.P.; Sparse nonlinear programming test problems (Release 1.0); Technical Report BCSTECH-93-047. Boeing Computer Services (1993)).

• brgr2

Burgers equation for $EPS_B = 5.0E-2$ (Betts, J.T.; Eldersveld, S.K.; Huffman, W.P.; Sparse nonlinear programming test problems (Release 1.0); Technical Report BCSTECH-93-047. Boeing Computer Services (1993)).

• ccbat

Continuous charge batch reactor (Vassiliadis, V.S.; Pantelides, C.C.; Sargent, W.H.; Optimization of discrete charge batch reactors; Comput. Chem. Engng **18**, Suppl., p.415 -419 (1994)).

• ccrane

Container crane (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18 (1988)).

 cstr - constrained stirred tank reactor Model Equations according to: Chen, H., Kremling, A. and Allgwer, F.: Nonlinear predictive control of a benchmark CSTR, Proc. 3rd European Control Conference ECC'95, pp. 3247-3252, 1995. (see also: Diehl, M.; Real-Time optimization for large scale nonlinear processes; Ph.D. thesis, Heidelberg University; 2001; p.12 ff).

• eason

This is an example for unconstrained minimization, namely Eason's function. (Reklaitis, G.V.; Ravindran, A.; Ragsdell, K.M.; Engineering Optimization. Methods and Applications; 1983).

• dcbat1

Discrete charge batch reactor I (2 charges) (Vassiliadis, V.S.; Pantelides, C.C.; Sargent, W.H.; Optimization of discrete charge batch reactors; Comput. Chem. Engng 18, Suppl., p.415 - 419 (1994)).

• dcbat2

discrete charge batch reactor II (5 charges) (Vassiliadis, V.S.; Pantelides, C.C.; Sargent, W.H.; Optimization of discrete charge batch reactors; Comput. Chem. Engng **18**, Suppl., p.415 - 419 (1994)).

• dcbat3

discrete charge batch reactor III (10 charges) (Vassiliadis, V.S.; Pantelides, C.C.; Sargent, W.H.; Optimization of discrete charge batch reactors; Comput. Chem. Engng **18**, Suppl., p.415 - 419 (1994)).

• energy_f77

Energy Problem (given in Bryson, A.E., and Ho, Y.-C., Applied Optimal Control, Hemisphere, Washington, D.C. 1976)

$$\min 0.5 \int_{0}^{1} a^{2}(t)dt$$

$$s.t.\ddot{x} = a(t)$$

$$x(t) \leq l(constant)$$

$$x(0) = 0, \quad \dot{x}(0) = 1, x(1) = 0, \quad \dot{x}(1) = -1$$

Formulation (by setting $x_0 = x, x_1 = \dot{x}, x_2 = 0.5 \int_0^t a^2(t) dt$)

$$\min x_2(1) s.t.\ddot{x}_0 = x2 \ddot{x}_1 = a \ddot{x}_2 = 0.5 \cdot a^2 x_0(t) \leq l(constant) x_0(0) = 0, x_1(0) = 1, x_2(0) = 0, x_0(1) = 0, x_1(1) = -1$$

Formulation 1 (by setting $x0 = x, x1 = \dot{x}$)

$$\min 0.5 \int_{0}^{1} a^{2}(t)dt$$

$$s.t.\ddot{x}0 = x2$$

$$\ddot{x}1 = a$$

$$x0(t) \leq l \ (constant)$$

$$x0(0) = 0, \ x1(0) = 1,$$

$$x0(1) = 0, \ x1(1) = -1$$

• eocar1

Energy-optimal car I.

- eocar2 Energy-optimal car II.
- extrosen

This is another example for unconstrained minimization, the Rosenbrock function (in its extended version, using more variables).

see Rosenbrock: 'An automatic method for finding the greatest or least value of a function', Comput. J. 3 (1960).

• fedbat1

Fed-batch fermentor, case I (Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; Simultaneous optimization and solution methods for batch reactor control profiles; Comp. Chem. Engng 13; p.49-62, 1989).

• fedbat1m

Fed-batch fermentor, modified case I (max. productivity) (Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; Simultaneous optimization and solution methods for batch reactor control profiles; Comp. Chem. Engng 13; p.49-62, 1989).

• fedbat2

Fed-batch fermentor, case II (Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; Simultaneous optimization and solution methods for batch reactor control profiles; Comp. Chem. Engng 13; p.49-62, 1989).

• fedbat2m

Fed-batch fermentor, modified case II (max. productivity) (Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; Simultaneous optimization and solution methods for batch reactor control profiles; Comp. Chem. Engng 13; p.49-62, 1989).

• fedbat3

fed-batch fermentor (high initial substrate) (Lim, H.C.; Tayeb, Y.J.; Modak, J.M.; Bonte, P.; Computational algorithms for optimal feed rates for a class of fed-batch fermentation:

numerical results for penicillin and cell mass production; Biotechn. Bioengng. 28; p. 1408-1420, 1986).

• fedbat3m

fed-batch fermentor (high initial substrate, max. productivity) (Lim, H.C.; Tayeb, Y.J.; Modak, J.M.; Bonte, P.; Computational algorithms for optimal feed rates for a class of fedbatch fermentation: numerical results for penicillin and cell mass production; Biotechn. Bioengng. 28; p. 1408-1420, 1986).

• fedbat4

Fed-batch fermentor, case IV (Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; Simultaneous optimization and solution methods for batch reactor control profiles; Comp. Chem. Engng 13; p.49-62, 1989).

• freudenstein

This is a modell of the Freudenstein-Roth function (see Fletcher, Roger; Practical methods of optimization; Chichester [et.al.] 1987, p. 120).

• ftlos1

Fixed-time linear oscillating system I (Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

• ftlos2

Fixed-time linear oscillating system II (Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

• ftlos2_nlp

The problem ftlos2 in NLP formulation (eliminated states).

• ftlos3

Fixed-time linear oscillating system III (Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992)

• ftlos4

An indirect approach (maximum principle) to the fixed-time linear oscillating system, (Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

- hang
 - Hang glider problem

Betts, J.T.; Eldersveld, S.K.; Huffman, W.P.; Sparse nonlinear programming test problems (Release 1.0); Technical Report BCSTECH-93-047; Boeing Computer Services, 1993. Bulirsch, R.; Nerz, E.; Pesch, H.J.; Stryk, O. von; Combining Direct and Indirect Methods in Optimal Control: Range Maximization of a Hang Glider; in: International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Vol. 111, 1991; p. 273-288.

• helical

A helical valley function. See Fletcher; Powell; A rapidly convergent descent method for minimization; Comput. J., 6, (1963).

• hydroscal

Distillation model, $82 \operatorname{diff} + 122 \operatorname{alg} \operatorname{var}$.

For a description see e.g. Chapter 7 in the PhD thesis "Real-Time Optimization for Large Scale Nonlinear Processes" (2001) by Moritz Diehl (Download at: http://www.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/archiv/1659/)

Optimization problem is to steer the column from a disturbed state back into the nominal operating point, minimizing an integrated least-squares deviation of two temperatures and the controls.

• inventory_f77

$$\min \int_0^T (exp(-\rho_2 \cdot ts) \cdot a \cdot xd(0) + exp(-\rho_0 \cdot ts) \cdot b0 \cdot u(0) - exp(-\rho_1 \cdot ts) \cdot b1 \cdot u(0)$$

$$s.t.\dot{x} = u0 - u1 - d,$$

$$\alpha_1 \leq x \leq \alpha_2,$$

$$0 \leq u_1 \leq \delta_1, \quad 0 \leq u_2\delta_2,$$

$$\gamma_1 \cdot u_0 + \gamma_2 \cdot u_1 \leq \delta_3,$$

$$x(0) = x_0,$$

$$x(T) = x_T.$$

discount rates $\rho_I \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma 1 + \gamma 2 = 1$, a, b_0, b_1 are unit cost of storage (w.r.p. ρ_2), replenishment (w.r.t. ro0) and selling price (with respect to ro1), respectively, d = own demand, x is the stock function, u_0 is replenishment, u_1 is selling amount, 4te constraint financial restriction, where

| b_0 | = | 5 | \mathbf{t} | \in | [0,2] |
|------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|---------|----------|
| | = | $5 + 2^{*}(t-2)$ | \mathbf{t} | \in | [2, 2.4] |
| | = | 5.8 | \mathbf{t} | \in | [2.4, 4] |
| | = | $5.8 + 2^{*}(t-4),$ | \mathbf{t} | \in | [4,7] |
| b_1 | = | $b_0 + 1$ | | | |
| $ ho_0$ | = | 0.0 | t | \in | [0,2] |
| | = | 0.1, | \mathbf{t} | \in |]2,7] |
| ρ_1 | = | 0.9 | \mathbf{t} | \in | [0,2] |
| | = | 0.2, | \mathbf{t} | \in |]2,7] |
| ρ_2 | = | 0.1 | \mathbf{t} | \in | [0,2] |
| | = | 0.7, | \mathbf{t} | \in |]2,7] |
| d | = | 0.5 | \mathbf{t} | \in | [0,2] |
| | = | 1.5, | \mathbf{t} | \in |]2,4] |
| | = | $1.0+0.5^{*}(t-3.0)$ | \mathbf{t} | \in |]4,7] |
| a | = | $3.0-2^*(t-1.0)$ | \mathbf{t} | \in | [0,2] |
| | = | 1.0, | \mathbf{t} | \in |]2,7] |
| γ_1 | = | γ_2 | = | 0.5 | |
| α_1 | = 0, | $lpha_2$ | $= 2, x_0$ | $= x_T$ | = 1, |
| δ_1 | $= \delta_2$ | $=2, \delta_3$ | = | 3. | |

• kite

Kite Tracking Problem for Stability Optimization. Model as in Diehl, Magni, Scattolini: "Online NMPC of a Looping Kite using Approximate Infinite Horizon Closed Loop Costing", Bratislava, 2003.

• lbat

Batch reactor, linear in states (Ray, W.H.; Advanced Process Control; New York, 1981). (Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

• maratos

Maratos example (avoiding fullstep with nondifferentiable meritfunction).

• macro1

Simple consumption savings problem: Maximize utility function, dependent on consumption. Capital increases by a deterministic life-cycle income profile.

• macro2

Neoclassical growth model with endogeneous labor supply.

• macro3

Neoclassical growth model.

• macro4

Optimal growth model, extended as to macro3 with additional agent government and taxing:

- spends variable amount gov=u[3] (thrown away),
- takes $\tau = p[6]$ percent tax of everything (capital and labour),
- bonds influence government capital.

Make sure you modify NSHOOT in both DAT and C file.

• macro5

Optimal growth model, extended as to macro4 with split up of the worker: now two generations (young and old). Make sure you modify NSHOOT in both DAT and C file.

• nlbat

Batch reactor, nonlinear in states

(Ray, W.H.; Advanced Process Control; New York 1981).

(Renfro, J.G.; Morshedi, A.M.; Asbjornsen, O.A.; Simultaneous optimization and solution of systems described by differential/algebraic equations; Comput. Chem. Engng. 11; p. 503-517 1987).

(Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

• nlp1

Standard NLP test example I. (Gill, P.E.; Murray, W.; Wright, M.H.; Practical Optimization; London [et.al] 2006).

• nlp2

Standard NLP test example II. See Harwell Subroutine Library Specification, subroutine VF13.

• nlp3

Standard NLP test example III. (Reklaitis, G.V.; Ravindran, A.; Ragsdell, K.M.; Engineering Optimization. Methods and Applications; 1983).

• nlp4

Standard NLP test example IV. (Reklaitis, G.V.; Ravindran, A.; Ragsdell, K.M.; Engineering Optimization. Methods and Applications; 1983).

• nmpc1

Simple NMPC problem.

• optcar_lego

Optimal control for little car, built with LEGO. Results can be obtained by calling first "mc2ts optcar" and then "mc2ts -c optcar". Plot of the resulting paths can be obtained by calling "gnuplot" in the RES directory and typing: plot "optcar_lego.gnuplot" using 2:3 w lines.

• orbit 2d orbit transfer. • qlin

Quadratic-linear problem (Betts, J.T.; Eldersveld, S.K.; Huffman, W.P.; Sparse nonlinear programming test problems (Release 1.0); Technical Report BCSTECH-93-047; Boeing Computer Services, 1993).

• pbreac

Packed-bed reactor optimization problem, case Ia, (Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; On the optimization of differential-algebraic process systems; AIChE J. 33, p.1257-1270; 1987).

• reactdiff

Constrained PDE minimization example. Finite Difference Discretization of elliptic PDE in 2-D on unit square

$$0 = d^2 u / dx^2 - \alpha u^2 + \beta q$$

with bound restrictions 0 < u < 1, 0 < q < 1. *u* corresponds to a the concentration of a species that

- 1. diffuses,
- 2. reacts with second order and
- 3. is added with distributed controls q.
- reentry

Reentry of Apollo type vehicle

(Bock, H.G.; Plitt, K.J.; A multiple shooting algorithm for direct solution of optimal control problems; Proceedings of the 9th IFAC World Congress; Budapest 1984). (Stoer, J.; Bulirsch, R.; Introduction to Numerical Analysis; New York, 1992).

• rob2link

Two link robot model without joint flexibility. Time optimal movement.

• rob2link_flex

Two link robot model with joint flexibility. Time optimal movement with least squares penalty on accelerations.

• rob3link

Three link robot model without joint flexibility. Time optimal movement, 6 state variables $r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dot{r}, \dot{\theta}_1, \dot{\theta}_2, 3$ controls $U_r, U_{\theta_1}, U_{\theta_2}$ (u[0], u[1], u[2]).

• rob3link_flex

Three link robot model with joint flexibility. Time optimal movement, 12 state variables: $r, \theta_1, \theta_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dot{r}, \dot{\theta_1}, \dot{\theta_2}, \dot{\phi_1}, \dot{\phi_2}, \dot{\phi_3}, 3 \text{ controls: } U_r, U_{\theta_1}, U_{\theta_2} \text{ (u[0], u[1], u[2]).}$ • rocket_f77

$$\min T, \dot{s} = v, \dot{v} = \frac{c_1}{m} \cdot a - c_2 v^2, \dot{m} = -c_3 a^2, \text{with } |a| \leq 1, s(0) = 0, v(0) = 0, m(0) = 1, s(T) = 10, v(T) = 0.$$

• rosen

Unconstrained minimization example, Rosenbrock's function. (Gill, P.E.; Murray, W.; Wright, M.H.; Practical Optimization; London [et.al] 2006).

• singular

Unconstrained minimization example, Powell's singular function. (Powell; An iterative method for finding stationary values of a function of several variables; Comput. J., 5; 1962).

• smb

Model of a Simplified SMB process.

• stp1

Simple test problem 1 (Steinbach, M.C.; Fast recursive SQP methods for large-scale optimal control problems; PhD thesis, University of Heidelberg, 1995).

• stp2a

Simple test problem IIa (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18; 1988).

• stp2b

Simple test problem IIb (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18; 1988).

• stp2c

Simple test problem IIc (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18; 1988).

• stp2d

Simple test problem IId (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18; 1988).

• stp2e

Simple test problem IIe (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18; 1988).

• stp3

Simple test problem III (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18; 1988).

• stp3_f77

Simple test problem III, Fortran 77 version (Goh, C.J.; Teo, K.L.; Control parametrization: a unified approach to optimal control problems with general constraints; Automatica 24, p.3-18; 1988).

• stp4a

Simple test problem IVa (DAE extension of simple test problem III).

• stp4b

Simple test problem IVb (DAE extension of simple test problem III with Mayer objective instead of Lagrange objective).

• swtball

This is a test for the sensitivity updates on switches implemented in RKF45SWT. The bouncing ball's energy loss upon contact with the floor is to be adjusted so that the ball hits the ground exactly at the end of the stage. In between, it bounces several times. Without sensitivity updates, there would be no advance in the objective, since the derivatives with respect to the responsible parameter are zero.

• tocar1

Time-optimal car I

(Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; On the optimization of differential-algebraic process systems; AIChE J. 33, p.1257-1270; 1987).

(Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

- tocar2
 - Time-optimal car II

(Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; On the optimization of differential-algebraic process systems; AIChE J. 33, p.1257-1270; 1987).

(Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

• tocar3

Time-optimal car III

(Cuthrell, J.E.; Biegler, L.T.; On the optimization of differential-algebraic process systems; AIChE J. 33, p.1257-1270; 1987).

(Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

• tolin1

Time-optimal linear problem I (fixed grid).

• tolin2

Time-optimal linear problem II (model stage formulation).

• tolos1

Time-optimal linear oscillating system I (Plant, J.B.; Athans, M.; An iterative technique for the computation of time-optimal controls; Proceedings of the 3rd International IFAC Conference; London, 1966).

• tolos2

Time-optimal linear oscillating system II (Plant, J.B.; Athans, M.; An iterative technique for the computation of time-optimal controls; Proceedings of the 3rd International IFAC Conference; London, 1966).

• tolos3

Time-optimal linear oscillating system III (Plant, J.B.; Athans, M.; An iterative technique for the computation of time-optimal controls; Proceedings of the 3rd International IFAC Conference; London, 1966).

• tolos4

Fixed-time linear oscillating system IV, indirect approach (maximum principle) (Logsdon, J.S.; Biegler, L.T.; Decomposition strategies for large-scale dynamic optimization problems; Chem. Engng Sci., 47, p.851-864; 1992).

• twobat1

Two-stage batch reactor system I (Vassiliadis, V.S.; Sargent, R.W.H.; Pantelides, C.C.; Solution of a class of multistage dynamic optimization problems. 1. Problems without path constraints; Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 33; p.2111-2122; 1994).

• twobat2

Two-stage batch reactor system II (Vassiliadis, V.S.; Sargent, R.W.H.; Pantelides, C.C.; Solution of a class of multistage dynamic optimization problems. 2. Problems with path constraints; Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 33; p.2123-2133; 1994).

• unload1

Ore unloading system

(Plitt, K.J.; Ein superlinear konvergentes Mehrzielverfahren zur direkten Berechnung beschrnkter optimaler Steuerungen; Diploma thesis; University of Bonn, 1981).

• unload2

Ore unloading system

(Plitt, K.J.; Ein superlinear konvergentes Mehrzielverfahren zur direkten Berechnung beschrnkter optimaler Steuerungen; Diploma thesis; University of Bonn, 1981).

• vdpol

Van der Pol problem (Bock, H.G.; Plitt, K.J.; A multiple shooting algorithm for direct solution of optimal control problems; Proceedings of the 9th IFAC World Congress; Budapest 1984).

• watson

Nonlinear Complementary Problem (restricted version). (Watson; Solving the nonlinear complementary problem by a homotopy method; SIAM J. Cont. Appl., 17, 1979).

• wood

Unconstrained minimization example, Wood's function. (Reklaitis, G.V.; Ravindran, A.; Ragsdell, K.M.; Engineering Optimization. Methods and Applications; 1983).

• oven

Model Equations according to: Bertsekas, Dimitri; Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control (Vol. I); Belmont, MA; 1995, pp. 21ff.

Discrete dynamical system of material passed through two ovens

$$\min r \cdot (x_2 - T)^2 + u_0^2 + u_1^2,$$

s.t. $x_{k+1} = (1 - a) \cdot x_k + a \cdot k \ (k = 0, 1).$

analytical solution for feedback laws:

$$\mu_0(x_0) = \frac{r \cdot (1-a) \cdot a \cdot (T-(1-a)^2 \cdot x_0)}{1+r \cdot a^2 \cdot (1+(1-a)^2)},$$
$$\mu_1(x_1) = \frac{r \cdot a \cdot (T-(1-a) \cdot x_1)}{1+r \cdot a^2}.$$

References

- [ABB⁺95] E. Anderson, Z. Bai, C. Bischof, J.W. Demmel, J.J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, A. Greenbaum, S. Hammarling, A. McKenney, S. Ostrouchov, and D. Sorensen. *Lapack Users' Guide*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 2nd edition edition, 1995.
- [BBKS00] I. Bauer, H.G. Bock, S. Körkel, and J.P. Schlöder. Numerical methods for optimum experimental design in DAE systems. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 120(1-2):1–15, 2000.
- [BBS99] I. Bauer, H.G. Bock, and J.P. Schlöder. DAESOL a BDF-code for the numerical solution of differential algebraic equations. Internal report, IWR, SFB 359, Universität Heidelberg, 1999.
- [BFD⁺97] I. Bauer, F. Finocchi, W.J. Duschl, H.-P. Gail, and J.P. Schlöder. Simulation of chemical reactions and dust destruction in protoplanetary accretion discs. Astron. Astrophys., 317:273–289, 1997.

- [BP84] H.G. Bock and K.J. Plitt. A multiple shooting algorithm for direct solution of optimal control problems. In *Proceedings 9th IFAC World Congress Budapest*, pages 243–247. Pergamon Press, 1984.
- [CS85] M. Caracotsios and W.E. Stewart. Sensitivity analysis of initial value problems with mixed odes and algebraic equations. *Computers and Chemical Engineering.*, 9:359–365, 1985.
- [DBK06] M. Diehl, H.G. Bock, and E. Kostina. An approximation technique for robust nonlinear optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 107:213–230, 2006.
- [DCDH90] J.J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, I. Duff, and S. Hammarling. A set of level 3 basic linear algebra subprograms. *ACM Trans. on Math. Soft.*, 16,1:1–28, 1990.
- [DCHH88] J.J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling, and R. Hanson. An extended set of fortran basic linear algebra subprograms. ACM Trans. on Math. Soft., 14,1:1–32, 1988.
- [DR96] I.S. Duff and J.K. Reid. The design of MA48: A code for the direct solution of sparse unsymmetric linear systems of equations. ACM Trans. on Math. Soft., 22:187–226, 1996.
- [GMSW83] P.E. Gill, W. Murray, M.A. Saunders, and M.H. Wright. User's guide for SOL/QPSOL: a Fortran package for quadratic programming, volume SOL 83-7 of Technical Report. Stanford University, Systems Optimization Laboratory, Department of Operations Research, 1983.
- [Lei99] D.B. Leineweber. Efficient reduced SQP methods for the optimization of chemical processes described by large sparse DAE models, volume 613 of Fortschritt-Berichte VDI Reihe 3, Verfahrenstechnik. VDI Verlag, Düsseldorf, 1999.
- [LHKK79] C. Lawson, R. Hanson, D. Kincaid, and F. Krogh. Basic linear algebra subrograms for fortran usage. ACM Trans. on Math. Soft., 5:308–325, 1979.
- [LJ96] D.B. Leineweber and J.A. Jost. Liblac structured data types and basic operations for numerical linear algebra in an ansi c/fortran 77 environment. IWR-Preprint 96-56, Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [Pea97] T.J. Pearson. *PGPLOT Graphics Subroutine Library*. California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 1997.
- [RD93] J.K. Reid and I.S. Duff. MA48, A fortran code for direct solution of sparse unsymmetric linear systems of equations. Technical Report RAL 93 072, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, 1993.
- [Rei72] J.K. Reid. Fortran subroutines for the solution of sparse systems of nonlinear equations, volume R. 7293 of Harwell Report. Harwell Laboratory, Harwell, 1972.

| [Sag09] | S. Sager. Reformulations and algorithms for the optimization of switching decisions in nonlinear optimal control. <i>Journal of Process Control</i> , (accepted), 2009. |
|---------|---|
| [Sch96] | V.H. Schulz. Reduced SQP methods for large-scale optimal control problems in DAE with application to path planning problems for satellite mounted robots. PhD thesis, Universität Heidelberg, 1996. |
| [Sch98] | V.H. Schulz. Solving discretized optimization problems by partially reduced SQP methods. <i>Computing and Visualization in Science</i> , 1:83–96, 1998. |
| [Sch09] | A. Schäfer. On scaling techniques and termination criteria for sqp methods. diploma thesis, Universität Heidelbeg, 2009. |
| [SRB09] | S. Sager, G. Reinelt, and H.G. Bock. Direct methods with maximal lower bound for mixed-integer optimal control problems. <i>Mathematical Programming</i> , 118(1):109–149, 2009. published online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10107-007-0185-6 on 14 August 2007. |