

Linear Motion Servo Plants: IP01 or IP02

Linear Experiment #1: PV Position Control

IP01 and IP02





Student Handout

Table of Contents

1. Objectives	1
2. Prerequisites	1
3. References	1
4. Experimental Setup	2
4.1. Main Components	2
4.2. Wiring	2
5. Controller Design Specifications	4
6. Pre-Lab Assignments	5
6.1. Assignment #1: Open-Loop Transfer Function	5
6.2. Assignment #2: Open-Loop Model Block Diagram	5
6.3. Assignment #3: PV Controller Design	6
7. In-Lab Procedure	8
7.1. Experimental Setup	8
7.1.1. Check Wiring and Connections	8
7.1.2. IP01 or IP02 Configuration	8
7.2. Closed-Loop System Actual Requirements	8
7.3. Simulation of the Servo Plant with PV Controller	9
7.3.1. Objectives	9
7.3.2. Experimental Procedure	9
7.4. Real-Time Implementation of the PV Controller	.11
7.4.1. Objectives	.11
7.4.2. Experimental Procedure	.11
8. Post-Lab Questions	.14
Appendix A. Nomenclature	15
Appendix B. IP01 and IP02 Open-Loop Transfer Function	.17
B.1. A Simplified Dynamic Model	.17
B.2. A More Complete Dynamic Model	.19
Appendix C. Position Controller Design	.21
C.1. Standard Closed-Loop System	21
C.2. Proportional-plus-Derivative (PD) Control Scheme	.22
C.3. Proportional-Velocity (PV) Control Scheme	.22

1. Objectives

In this laboratory session, you will become familiar with the fundamentals of control system design using PID-types of compensators. The challenge of the present lab is to control the position of your IP01 or IP02 linear motion servo plant.

At the end of the session, you should know the following:

- How to mathematically model the IP01 and IP02 servo plants from first principles in order to obtain the open-loop transfer function, in the Laplace domain.
- How to design and simulate a Proportional-Velocity (PV) position controller to meet the required design specifications.
- How to tune your PV controller gains and their effect on the closed-loop system dynamic response.
- How to implement your controller in real-time and evaluate its actual performance.

2. Prerequisites

To successfully carry out this laboratory, the prerequisites are:

- i) To be familiar with your IP01 or IP02 main components (e.g. actuator, sensors), your power amplifier (e.g. UPM), and your data acquisition card (e.g. MultiQ), as described in References [1], [2], [3], and [4].
- ii) To have successfully completed the pre-laboratory described in Reference [1]. Students are therefore expected to be familiar in using WinCon to control and monitor the plant in real-time and in designing their controller through Simulink.
- iii) To be familiar with the complete wiring of your IP01 or IP02 servo plant, as per dictated in Reference [2] and carried out in pre-laboratory [1].

3. References

- [1] IP01 and IP02 Linear Experiment #0: Integration with WinCon Student Handout.
- [2] IP01 and IP02 User Manual.
- [3] MultiQ User Manual.
- [4] Universal Power Module User Manual
- [5] WinCon User Manual.

4. Experimental Setup

4.1. Main Components

To setup this experiment, the following hardware and software are required:

- **Power Module:** Quanser UPM 1503 / 2405, or equivalent.
- **Data Acquisition Board:** Quanser MultiQ PCI / MQ3, or equivalent.
- Linear Motion Servo Plant: Quanser IP01 or IP02, as shown below in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.
- Real-Time Control Software: The WinCon-Simulink-RTX configuration, as detailed in Reference [5], or equivalent.

For a complete and detailed description of the main components comprising this setup, please refer to the manuals corresponding to your configuration.

4.2. Wiring

To wire up the system, please follow the default wiring procedure for your IP01 or IP02 as fully described in Reference [2]. When you are confident with your connections, you can power up the UPM.



Figure 1 IP01 System



Figure 2 IP02 System

5. Controller Design Specifications

In the present laboratory (i.e. the pre-lab and in-lab sessions), you will design and implement a control strategy based on the Proportional-Velocity (PV) control scheme, in order for your IP01 or IP02 closed-loop system to satisfy the following performance requirements (which are time-domain specifications):

- i) The Percent Overshoot (i.e. *PO*) should be less than 10%, i.e.: PO # 10 %
- ii) The time to first peak should be 150 ms, i.e.: $t_p = 0.15 \text{ s}$

6. Pre-Lab Assignments

6.1. Assignment #1: Open-Loop Transfer Function

The open-loop transfer function is derived in Appendix B. If Appendix B has not been supplied with this handout, derive the open-loop transfer function of your IP01 or IP02 from mechanical and electrical first principals. To name the system's parameters, you can help yourself of the nomenclature listed in Appendix A: Nomenclature.

Hint:

As a reminder, your IP01 or IP02 open-loop transfer function is defined by the selected plant input and plant output. As illustrated in Figure 3, the plant input is the commanded voltage to the DC motor. Since in this laboratory we want to control the cart's position, the plant output is selected to be the cart linear position on the rack, as depicted in Figure 3.



Figure 3 The IP01 or IP02 Plant Input and Output

In other words, the open-loop transfer function for the IP01 or IP02 system, which is called G(s), can be written as:

$$G(s) = \frac{x(s)}{V_m(s)}$$
[1]

6.2. Assignment #2: Open-Loop Model Block Diagram

1) Following the obtaining of the IP01 or IP02 open-loop transfer function, G(s), in Assignment #1, derive a block diagram to represent such a transfer function. In other words, represent as

individual blocks the basic mechanical and electrical equations that you use to determine G(s). The resulting block diagram should have an overall closed-loop transfer function identical to the one found in Assignment #1.

2) Finally, using the IP01 or IP02 model parameter values listed in Reference [2], evaluate the IP01 or IP02 open-loop transfer function, G(s), that you previously found. Determine the plant's pole(s), zero(s), and DC gain.

6.3. Assignment #3: PV Controller Design

You will need the PV controller gain values calculated in this pre-lab assignment for the in-lab realtime implementation of the PV position controller for your IP01 or IP02 system. The PV controller's 2 parameters, i.e. K_p and K_v , will allow the closed-loop system to meet the two time requirements, as previously set by the user.

Hint:

If supplied with this handout, Appendix C offers a possible implementation of PV controllers. Otherwise, refer to your in-class notes.

In order to determine and calculate K_p and K_v , answer the following questions:

- 1) Perform block diagram reduction of the PV control scheme applied to G(s), as presented in if Appendix C has been supplied with this handout. Obtain the overall closed-loop transfer function of your IP01 or IP02 system by replace G(s) by its expression, as found in Assignment #1.
- 2) Extract from the previously obtained closed-loop transfer function the system's characteristic equation.
- 3) Fit the obtained characteristic equation to the standard form (seen in Equation [C.3], if available), by identifying the parameters \mathbf{T}_n and . Thus, you should obtain 2 equations expressing \mathbf{T}_n and . as functions of K_p and K_v as these are the only 2 variables (i.e. controller parameters) in your system.
- 4) Using your newly obtained formulae and referring to your in-class notes, what changes to your IP01 or IP02 response would you expect to see by varying the values of K_p and K_v ? Keep your answers simple (i.e. will \mathbf{T}_n and . increase or decrease?). How would this translate in

terms of changes in t_p and Percent Overshoot (*PO*)? Also relate these changes to the physical behaviour of your closed-loop system. *Hint:* You can use Equations [23] and [24], presented in the next subsection.

Specifically:

- i) Assuming K_v constant, what happens to \mathbf{T}_n and . when you increase/decrease K_p ?
- ii) Assuming K_p constant, what happens to \mathbf{T}_n and . when you increase/decrease K_v ?
- 5) Using the formulae previously obtained, determine the analytical expressions and numerical values for K_p and K_v in order to meet the previously specified time requirements. The following hint formulae are provided.

i) Hint formula #1:

$$PO = 100 \ \mathbf{e}^{\left(-\frac{\zeta \pi}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\right)}$$
[23]

ii) Hint formula #2:

$$t_p = \frac{\pi}{\omega_n \sqrt{1 - \zeta^2}}$$
[24]

7. In-Lab Procedure

7.1. Experimental Setup

Even if you don't configure the experimental setup entirely yourself, you should be at least completely familiar with it and understand it. If in doubt, refer to References [1], [2], [3], [4], and/or [5].

7.1.1. Check Wiring and Connections

The first task upon entering the lab is to ensure that the complete system is wired as fully described in Reference [2]. You should have become familiar with the complete wiring and connections of your IP01 or IP02 system during the preparatory session described in Reference [1]. If you are still unsure of the wiring, please ask for assistance from the Teaching Assistant assigned to the lab. When you are confident with your connections, you can power up the UPM. You are now ready to begin the lab.

7.1.2. IP01 or IP02 Configuration

In case you use the IP02 for this laboratory, this experiment is designed for an IP02 cart without the extra weight on it. However, once a working controller has been tested, the additional mass can be mounted on top the cart in order to see its effect on the response of the system. As an extension to the lab, the first PV controller design could be modified in order to account for the additional weight.

7.2. Closed-Loop System Actual Requirements

As already stated in the pre-lab session, this lab requires you to design a Proportional-plus-Velocity (PV) controller to control the position of your IP01 or IP02 cart with the following performance specifications:

i) The Percent Overshoot should be equal to 10 %:

ii) The time to first peak should be 150 ms:

PO = 10 %, i.e. = 0.59. $t_p = 0.15$ s

These specifications are the same as the ones you previously used in the pre-lab session to calculate the corresponding PV controller gains K_p and K_v .

7.3. Simulation of the Servo Plant with PV Controller

7.3.1. Objectives

- To simulate with a Simulink diagram your IP01 or IP02 model and to close the servo loop by implementing a Proportional-plus-Velocity (PV) position controller.
- To change, during the simulation, the two gains, K_p and K_v , of the PV controller and observe the effect on the position response.

7.3.2. Experimental Procedure

- If you have not done so yet, you can start-up Matlab now and follow the steps described below:
 - Step 1. In Simulink, open a model called s_position_pv_ip01_2.mdl. This diagram should be similar to the one shown in Figure 4. It includes a subsystem containing your IP01 or IP02 modelled plant, as well as the PV controller two feedback loops. In order to be conveniently changed on-the-fly, the two controller gains K_p and K_v are both set by slider gains. Check that the signal generator block properties are properly set to output a square wave signal, of amplitude 1 and of frequency 2/3 Hz.



Figure 4 Simulink Diagram used for the Simulation of the PV Control System

- Step 2. Before you begin, you must run the Matlab script called *setup_lab_ip01_2_position_pv.m*. This file initializes all the IP01 or IP02 system parameters and configuration variables used by the Simulink diagrams.
- Step 3. Ensure that the Simulink simulation mode is set to *Normal*. Click on *Simulation* / *Start* from the Simulink menu bar, and bring up the *Position Response* (*m*) scope. As you monitor the position response, adjust K_p and K_v using the slider gains, as depicted in Figures

5 and 6. Try a variety of combinations, and note the effects of varying each gain (one at a time) on the system response.

📣 Kp (V/m): Slider I	Gain	
•		•
Low		High
0	408.8	700
	Help	Close

📣 Kv (V.s/m): Slic	ler Gain	
•		۶.
Low		High
0	10.4	40
	Help	Close

Figure 5 Slider Gain for K_p

Figure 6 Slider Gain for K_v

Also bring up the *Position Error* (*m*) as well as the *Vm* (*V*): *Control Signal* scopes. Also discuss the effect of varying K_p and K_v (one at a time) on the resulting position error and the commanded voltage applied to your IP01 or IP02 DC motor.

Step 4. To specifically include in your lab report:

- i) Make a short table to describe the changes in the system response characteristics t_p and *PO* with respect to changes in K_p and K_v. **Note: Hold one gain constant while changing the other within the preset range.*
- ii) Does the system response react to how you had theorized in Assignment #2-4)?
- Step 5. Now that you are familiar with the effects of each one of the two controller gains, enter in the designed K_p and K_v that you have calculated in Assignment #3 to meet the system requirements. **Note: the values should fall within the slider limits.*
- Step 6. After running the simulation with the gains set to their calculated values, specify in your lab report the following:
 - i) Does the system response look like what you had expected?
 - ii) What is its Percent Overshoot, *PO*? Measure its rise time, t_p. **Hint: To get a better resolution when measuring t_p, decrease the time range under the parameters option of the scope.*
 - iii) Do they match the design requirements?
- Step 7. If the simulated response is as expected, you can move on to the next Section in order to implement a real-time controller. If your response is close to meeting the set requirements, try fine-tuning the controller gains to achieve the desired response. If the system response is far from the specifications, then you have to re-iterate your design process and recalculate your controller gains K_p and K_v, as asked in Assignment #3.

7.4. Real-Time Implementation of the PV Controller

7.4.1. Objectives

- To implement with WinCon the previously designed PV position controller in order to command your IP01 or IP02 servo plant.
- To run the simulation simultaneously, at every sampling period, in order to compare the actual and simulated responses.
- To change on-the-fly the two controller gains, K_p and K_v, and observe the effect on the actual position response of your physical IP01 or IP02 system.

7.4.2. Experimental Procedure

After having designed your PV controller, calculated its two gains satisfying the desired time requirements, and checked the position response of the obtained closed-loop system through simulation, you are now ready to implement your designed controller in real-time and observe its effect on your actual IPO1 or IPO2 plant. To achieve this, please follow the steps described below:

Step 1. Open only one of the following Simulink models: *q position pv mapci ip01.mdl*, or *q* position pv mqpci ip02.mdl, q position pv mq3 ip01.mdl, or or q_position_pv_mq3_ip02.mdl depending on your model of MultiQ (i.e. MultiQ-3 or MultiQ-PCI) and if your plant is an IPO1 or IPO2. Ask the TA assigned to this lab if you are unsure which Simulink model is to be used in the lab. You should obtain a diagram similar to the one shown in Figure 7. The model has 2 parallel and independent control loops: one runs a pure simulation of the PV controller connected to the same plant model as the one developed in Assignment #2 of the pre-lab section. The other loop directly interfaces with your hardware and runs your actual IP01 or IP02 servo plant. To familiarize yourself with the diagram, it is suggested that you open both subsystems to get a better idea of their composing blocks as well as take note of the I/O connections. Check that the model manual switch for the position setpoint generation correctly selects the signal coming from the signal generator block, called Square Wave. Also check that the signal generator block properties are properly set to output a square wave signal, of amplitude 1 and of frequency 2/3 Hz. Moreover, your model sampling time should be set to 1 ms, i.e. $T_s = 10^{-3}$ s.



CAUTION:

The velocity signal used in the control inner-loop of the actual IP01 or IP02 plant is obtained by first differentiating the position signal (e.g. encoder counts or potentiometer voltage), and then by low-pass filtering the obtained signal in order to eliminate its high frequency content. As a matter of fact, high frequency noise, which is moreover amplified during differentiation, causes long-term damage to the motor. To protect your DC motor, the recommended cut-off frequency is 50 Hz.



Figure 7 Diagram used for the Real-Time Implementation of the PV Controller

- Step 2. Before compiling the diagram and running it in real-time with WinCon, you must enter your previously designed values of K_p and K_v in the Matlab workspace. To assign K_p and K_v, type their value in the Matlab command window. You are now ready to build the real-time code corresponding to your diagram, by using the *WinCon | Build* option from the Simulink menu bar. After successful compilation and download to the WinCon Client, you should be able to use WinCon Server to run in real-time your actual system. **Before doing so, manually move your IP01 or IP02 cart to the middle of the track (i.e. mid-stroke position) and make sure that it is free to move on both sides.** It should now be safe to start your real-time controller. To do this, click on the START/STOP button of the WinCon Server window. Your cart position should now be tracking the desired setpoint (e.g. square wave of "15mm).
- Step 3. Open the sink *Meas.(0) and Sim.(2) Resp.* in a WinCon Scope. You should now be able to monitor on-line, as the cart moves, the actual cart position as it tracks your predefined reference input, and compare it to the simulation result produced by the IP01 or IP02 model. To open a WinCon Scope, click on the Scope button of the WinCon Server window and choose the display that you want to open (e.g. *Meas.(1) and Sim.(2) Resp.*) from the selection list.

Step 4. Specifically discuss in your lab report the following points:

- i) How does your IP01 or IP02 cart actual position compare to the simulated response?
- ii) Is there a discrepancy in the results? If so, find some of the possible reasons.

- iii) From the plot of the actual cart position, measure your system t_p and PO. Are the values in agreement with the design specifications? **Hint: You can accurately measure these parameters by saving the position traces of interest to a M-File (using the WinCon Scope feature) and making the necessary calculations through Matlab. As a remark, you could also make these measures directly from the WinCon Scope by zooming in on the signals, but that would be less convenient to take accurate measures.*
- Step 5. Once your results are in agreement with the desired design requirements and your response looks similar to the one displayed in Figure 8, below, you can move on and begin your report for this lab. Remember that there is no such thing as a perfect model, and that your calculated controller gains, K_p and K_v, were based on a theoretical and ideal plant model.



Figure 8 Actual and Simulated Position Responses to a Square Wave Setpoint

Step 6. However, in order to perfectly meet the chosen design requirements (i.e. t_p and *PO*) of the closed-loop system, any controller design will usually involve some form of fine-tuning, which will more than likely be an iterative process. At this point, you should be manually fine-tuning your K_p and K_v based on your findings above, i.e. from Assignment #3, question 5, and the previous table based on experimental observations in order to ensure your response matches perfectly the system requirements.

8. Post-Lab Questions

- 1) During the course of this lab, were there any problems or limitations encountered? If so, what were they and how were you able to overcome them?
- 2) After completion of this lab, you should be confident in tuning this type of controller to achieve a desired response. Do you feel this controller can meet any arbitrary system requirement? Explain.
- 3) Most controllers of this form also introduce an integral action into the system (PID). Do you see any benefits to introducing an integral gain in this experiment?

Appendix A. Nomenclature

Table A.1, below, provides a complete listing of the symbols and notations used in the IP01 and IP02 mathematical modelling and controller design presented in this laboratory. The numerical values of the system parameters can be found in Reference [2].

Symbol	Description	Matlab / Simulink Notation
\mathbf{V}_{m}	Motor Armature Voltage	Vm
\mathbf{I}_{m}	Motor Armature Current	Im
R _m	Motor Armature Resistance	Rm
L_{m}	Motor Armature Inductance	Lm
K _t	Motor Torque Constant	Kt
O _m	Motor Efficiency	Eff_m
K _m	Back-ElectroMotive-Force (EMF) Constant	Km
E_{emf}	Back-EMF Voltage	Eemf
\mathbf{J}_{m}	Rotor Moment of Inertia	Jm
K _g	Planetary Gearbox Gear Ratio	Kg
Og	Planetary Gearbox Efficiency	Eff_g
M_{c1}	IP01 Cart Mass (Cart Alone)	Mc1
M_{c2}	IP02 Cart Mass (Cart Alone)	Mc2
$M_{ m w}$	IP02 Cart Weight Mass	Mw
М	Total Mass of the Cart System (i.e. moving parts)	М
Pr	Rack Pitch	Pr
r _{mp}	Motor Pinion Radius	r_mp
N _{mp}	Motor Pinion Number of Teeth	N_mp
r _{pp}	Position Pinion Radius	r_pp
\mathbf{N}_{pp}	Position Pinion Number of Teeth	N_pp
B _{eq}	Equivalent Viscous Damping Coefficient as seen at the Motor Pinion	Beq

Symbol	Description	Matlab / Simulink Notation
T_{m}	Torque Generated by the Motor	
T_{mp}	Torque Applied by the Motor on the Motor Pinion	
F _c	Cart Driving Force Produced by the Motor	
\mathbf{F}_{ai}	Armature Rotational Inertial Force, acting on the Cart	
T_{ai}	Armature Inertial Torque, as seen at the Motor Shaft	
$h_{\rm m}$	Motor Shaft Rotation Angle	
T_{m}	Motor Shaft Angular Velocity	
х	Cart Linear Position	х
PO	Percent Overshoot	РО
t _p	Peak Time	tp
t	Continuous Time	
S	Laplace Operator	
T_n	Undamped Natural Frequency	wn
	Damping Ratio	zeta
\mathbf{K}_{p}	Proportional Gain	Кр
K_v	Velocity Gain	Kv

Table A.1 IP01 and IP02 Model Nomenclature

Appendix B. IP01 and IP02 Open-Loop Transfer Function

This Appendix derives the mathematical modelling of your IP01 or IP02. The resulting linear model will provide us with the open-loop transfer function of your IP01 or IP02, which in turn will be used to design an appropriate controller.

Equation [1] defines G(s), the open-loop transfer function of your IP01 or IP02 system. G(s) is derived in the following two sub-sections.

B.1. A Simplified Dynamic Model

In a first approach, a simplified dynamic model is used to derive G(s).

We shall begin by applying Newton's second law of motion to the IP01 or IP02 system:

$$M\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathbf{x}(t)\right) = F_c(t) - B_{eq}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}(t)\right)$$
[B.1]

Here, the inertial force due to the motor's armature in rotation is neglected. The cart's Coulomb friction is also neglected.

The driving force, F_c , generated by the DC motor and acting on the cart through the motor pinion can be expressed as:

$$F_c = \frac{\eta_g K_g T_m}{r_{mp}}$$
[B.2]

We now shift over to the electrical components of the DC motor first. Figure B.1 represents the classic electrical schematic of the armature circuit of a standard DC motor.



Using Kirchhoff's voltage law, we obtain the following equation:

$$V_m - R_m I_m - L_m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_m\right) - E_{emf} = 0$$
[B.3]

However, since $L_m \ll R_m$, we can disregard the motor inductance leaving us with:

$$I_m = \frac{V_m - E_{emf}}{R_m}$$
[B.4]

Since we know that the back-emf voltage created by the motor, E_{emf} , is proportional to the motor shaft velocity T_m , we have:

$$I_m = \frac{V_m - K_m \omega_m}{R_m}$$
[B.5]

Moreover, in order to account for the DC motor electrical losses, the motor efficiency is introduced to calculate the torque generated by the DC motor:

$$T_m = \eta_m K_t I_m$$
[B.6]

Substituting Equations [B.5] and [B.6] into Equation [B.2] leads to:

$$F_{c} = \frac{\eta_{g} K_{g} \eta_{m} K_{t} (V_{m} - K_{m} \omega_{m})}{R_{m} r_{mp}}$$
[B.7]

By considering the rack and pinion and the gearbox mechanisms, the motor angular velocity can be written as a function of the cart linear velocity, as expressed by:

$$\omega_m = \frac{K_g \left(\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}(t)\right)}{r_{mp}}$$
[B.8]

Therefore, substituting Equation [B.8] into Equation [B.7] and rearranging leads to:

$$F_{c} = \frac{\eta_{g} K_{g} \eta_{m} K_{t} \left(V_{m} r_{mp} - K_{g} K_{m} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}(t) \right) \right)}{R_{m} r_{mp}^{2}}$$
[B.9]

Finally, substituting Equation [B.9] into Equation [B.1], applying the Laplace transform, and rearranging yields the desired open-loop transfer function for the IP01 or IP02 system, such that:

$$G(s) = \frac{r_{mp} \eta_g K_g \eta_m K_t}{(R_m M r_{mp}^2 s + \eta_g K_g^2 \eta_m K_t K_m + B_{eq} R_m r_{mp}^2) s}$$
[B.10]

B.2. A More Complete Dynamic Model

However, as a second analysis, a more accurate but also slightly more complex dynamic model can be used to derive G(s).

In the previous analysis, the inertial force due to the motor's armature in rotation has been neglected. Therefore our dynamic model will be more accurate if it considers it. Taking into account such an inertial force, as seen at the cart, and applying Newton's second law of motion together with the D'Alembert's principle, Equation [B.1] becomes:

$$M\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathbf{x}(t)\right) + F_{ai}(t) = F_c(t) - B_{eq}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}(t)\right)$$
[B.11]

As seen at the motor pinion, the armature inertial force due to the motor rotation and acting on the cart can be expressed as a function of the armature inertial torque:

$$F_{ai} = \frac{\eta_g K_g T_{ai}}{r_{mp}}$$
[B.12]

Applying Newton's second law of motion to the motor shaft:

$$J_m\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\,\theta_m(t)\right) = T_{ai}(t)$$
[B.13]

Moreover, the mechanical configuration of the cart's rack-pinion system gives the following relationship:

$$\Theta_m = \frac{K_g x}{r_{mp}}$$
[B.14]

Substituting Equations [B.13] and [B.14] into Equation [B.12] provides the following expression for the armature inertial force:

$$F_{ai} = \frac{\eta_g K_g^2 J_m \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathbf{x}(t)\right)}{r_{mp}^2}$$
[B.15]

Finally, substituting Equations [B.9] and [B.15] into Equation [B.11], and rearranging results in the following dynamic equation for the system:

$$\left(M + \frac{\eta_g K_g^2 J_m}{r_{mp}^2}\right) \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathbf{x}(t)\right) + \left(B_{eq} + \frac{\eta_g K_g^2 \eta_m K_t K_m}{R_m r_{mp}^2}\right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{x}(t)\right) = \frac{\eta_g K_g \eta_m K_t V_m(t)}{R_m r_{mp}} \quad [B.16]$$

Equation [B.16] expresses the system motion with a single second-order differential equation in the cart position.

Finally, applying the Laplace transform and rearranging yields the desired open-loop transfer function for the IP01 or IP02 system, such that:

$$G(s) = \frac{r_{mp} \eta_g K_g \eta_m K_t}{((R_m M r_{mp}^2 + R_m \eta_g K_g^2 J_m) s + \eta_g K_g^2 \eta_m K_t K_m + B_{eq} R_m r_{mp}^2) s}$$
[B.17]

Appendix C. Position Controller Design

This section deals with the design of a closed-loop controller in order to control the position of your IP01 or IP02, on a quick and accurate manner.

C.1. Standard Closed-Loop System

Figure C.1, below, depicts a standard closed-loop position control system with a unity feedback loop:



Figure C.1 Standard Closed-Loop Position Control System

For such a closed-loop system, as represented in Figure C.1, the closed-loop transfer function, T(s), is given by the following well-established equation:

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}(s)}{x_d(s)} = \frac{G_c(s) \,\mathbf{G}(s)}{1 + G_c(s) \,\mathbf{G}(s) \,\mathbf{H}(s)}$$
[C.1]

Equation [B.17] expresses a plant model that has no zero and 2 poles (i.e. second order denominator in s). Moreover, in order to design controllers satisfying given performance requirements, the control theory provides approximate design formulas, which are based, for quadratic lag systems with no zero, on the following standard equation:

$$T(s) = \frac{K_{dc} \omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta \omega_n s + \omega_n^2}$$
[C.2]

where K_{dc} is the system's DC gain.

The characteristic equation of the closed-loop transfer function expressed in its standard form by Equation [C.2] is as follows:

$$s^2 + 2\zeta \omega_n s + \omega_n^2$$
 [C.3]

C.2. Proportional-plus-Derivative (PD) Control Scheme

In the classical sense, a Proportional-Derivative (i.e. PD) controller has the following transfer function:

$$G_c(s) = K_p + K_d s$$
 [C.4]

As expressed by Equation [C.4], placing such a controller into the forward path would result in introducing a zero in the closed-loop transfer function. As a result of introducing this zero, the closed-loop transfer function would no longer match the standard form of Equation [C.2]. Therefore, the design formulae derived from Equation [C.2] would also no longer exactly apply to the thus obtained closed-loop transfer function, and it would become more challenging to analytically design a controller that can exactly meet the user-defined time specifications.

In our case, adding an integral gain (i.e. I) to the forward path does not have to be considered since the open-loop transfer function, as seen in Equation [B.17], is already of type 1, i.e. it has a pole located at the origin of the s-plane (i.e. s = 0).

C.3. Proportional-Velocity (PV) Control Scheme

To work around the "undesired" zero introduced by a PD controller, this laboratory involves designing a Proportional-Velocity (i.e. PV) position controller for the IP01 or IP02 servo plant. Such a controller introduces two corrective terms: one is proportional (by K_p) to the position error and the other is proportional (by K_v) to the velocity (or the derivative of the actual position) of the plant. Coincidentally, the characteristic equations of the PV and PD controller closed loop transfer functions are equal.

Equation [C.5], below, expresses the PV control law, where x_d is the reference signal (i.e. the desired position to track):

$$V_m(t) = K_p(x_d(t) - \mathbf{x}(t)) - K_v\left(\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}(t)\right)$$
[C.5]

Figure C.2, below, depicts the block diagram of the PV control scheme, as it will be implemented in this lab:



Figure C.2 Block Diagram of the PV Control Scheme