ARM[®] Compiler

Version 6.00

Software Development Guide

ARM ® Compiler

Software Development Guide

Copyright © 2014 ARM. All rights reserved.

Release Information

Document History

Proprietary Notice

Words and logos marked with Φ or TM are registered trademarks or trademarks of ARM Φ in the EU and other countries, except as otherwise stated below in this proprietary notice. Other brands and names mentioned herein may be the trademarks of their respective owners.

Neither the whole nor any part of the information contained in, or the product described in, this document may be adapted or reproduced in any material form except with the prior written permission of the copyright holder.

The product described in this document is subject to continuous developments and improvements. All particulars of the product and its use contained in this document are given by ARM in good faith. However, all warranties implied or expressed, including but not limited to implied warranties of merchantability, or fitness for purpose, are excluded.

This document is intended only to assist the reader in the use of the product. ARM shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of any information in this document, or any error or omission in such information, or any incorrect use of the product.

Where the term ARM is used it means "ARM or any of its subsidiaries as appropriate".

Confidentiality Status

This document is Non-Confidential. The right to use, copy and disclose this document may be subject to license restrictions in accordance with the terms of the agreement entered into by ARM and the party that ARM delivered this document to.

Unrestricted Access is an ARM internal classification.

Product Status

The information in this document is Final, that is for a developed product.

Web Address

<http://www.arm.com>

Contents ARM ® Compiler Software Development Guide

List of Figures **ARM ® Compiler Software Development Guide**

List of Tables **ARM ® Compiler Software Development Guide**

Preface

This preface introduces the *ARM*[®] Compiler Software Development Guide.

This section contains the following subsections:

• *[About this book](#page-7-0)* on page 8.

About this book

The ARM Compiler Software Development Guide provides tutorials and examples to develop code for various ARM architecture-based processors.

Using this book

This book is organized into the following chapters:

[Chapter 1 Introducing the Toolchain](#page-9-0)

Provides an overview of the ARM compilation tools, and shows how to compile a simple code example.

[Chapter 2 Diagnostics](#page-16-0)

Describes the format of compiler toolchain diagnostic messages and how to control the diagnostic output.

[Chapter 3 Compiling C and C++ Code](#page-21-0)

Describes how to compile C and C++ code with armclang.

[Chapter 4 Assembling Assembly Code](#page-28-0)

Describes how to assemble assembly source code with armclang and armasm.

[Chapter 5 Linking Object Files to Produce an Executable](#page-31-0)

Describes how to link object files to produce an executable image with armlink.

[Chapter 6 Optimization](#page-33-0)

Describes how to use armclang to optimize for either code size or performance, and the impact of the optimization level on the debug illusion.

[Chapter 7 Coding Considerations](#page-36-0)

Describes how you can use programming practices and techniques to increase the portability, efficiency and robustness of your C and C++ source code.

[Chapter 8 Language Compatibility and Extensions](#page-51-0)

Describes the language extensions that the compiler supports.

Glossary

The ARM Glossary is a list of terms used in ARM documentation, together with definitions for those terms. The ARM Glossary does not contain terms that are industry standard unless the ARM meaning differs from the generally accepted meaning.

See the *[ARM Glossary](http://infocenter.arm.com/help/topic/com.arm.doc.aeg0014-/index.html)* for more information.

Typographic conventions

italic

Introduces special terminology, denotes cross-references, and citations.

bold

Highlights interface elements, such as menu names. Denotes signal names. Also used for terms in descriptive lists, where appropriate.

monospace

Denotes text that you can enter at the keyboard, such as commands, file and program names, and source code.

monospace

Denotes a permitted abbreviation for a command or option. You can enter the underlined text instead of the full command or option name.

monospace italic

Denotes arguments to monospace text where the argument is to be replaced by a specific value.

monospace bold

Denotes language keywords when used outside example code.

\langle and \rangle

Encloses replaceable terms for assembler syntax where they appear in code or code fragments. For example:

MRC p15, 0 <Rd>, <CRn>, <CRm>, <Opcode_2>

SMALL CAPITALS

Used in body text for a few terms that have specific technical meanings, that are defined in the *ARM glossary*. For example, IMPLEMENTATION DEFINED, IMPLEMENTATION SPECIFIC, UNKNOWN, and UNPREDICTABLE.

Feedback

Feedback on this product

If you have any comments or suggestions about this product, contact your supplier and give:

- The product name.
- The product revision or version.
- An explanation with as much information as you can provide. Include symptoms and diagnostic procedures if appropriate.

Feedback on content

If you have comments on content then send an e-mail to *errata@arm.com*. Give:

- The title
- The number ARM DUI0773A.
- The page number(s) to which your comments refer.
- A concise explanation of your comments.

ARM also welcomes general suggestions for additions and improvements.

Note

ARM tests the PDF only in Adobe Acrobat and Acrobat Reader, and cannot guarantee the quality of the represented document when used with any other PDF reader.

Other information

- *[ARM Information Center](http://infocenter.arm.com/help/index.jsp)*.
- *[ARM Technical Support Knowledge Articles](http://infocenter.arm.com/help/topic/com.arm.doc.faqs)*.
- *[Support and Maintenance](http://www.arm.com/support/services/support-maintenance.php)*.
- *[ARM Glossary](http://infocenter.arm.com/help/topic/com.arm.doc.aeg0014-/index.html)*.

Chapter 1 **Introducing the Toolchain**

Provides an overview of the ARM compilation tools, and shows how to compile a simple code example.

It contains the following sections:

- *[1.1 Toolchain overview](#page-10-0)* on page 1-11.
- *[1.2 Common compiler toolchain options](#page-11-0)* on page 1-12.
- *[1.3 "Hello world" example](#page-14-0)* on page 1-15.
- *[1.4 Passing options from the compiler to the linker](#page-15-0)* on page 1-16.

1.1 Toolchain overview

The ARM Compiler 6 compilation tools allow you to build executable images, partially linked object files, and shared object files, and to convert images to different formats.

Figure 1-1 Compiler toolchain

The ARM compiler toolchain comprises the following tools:

armclang

The armclang compiler and assembler. This compiles C and C++ code, and assembles A32, A64, and T32 GNU syntax assembly code.

armasm

The legacy assembler. This assembles A32, A64, and T32 ARM syntax assembly code.

Only use armasm for legacy ARM syntax assembly code. Use the armclang assembler and GNU syntax for all new assembly files.

armlink

The linker. This combines the contents of one or more object files with selected parts of one or more object libraries to produce an executable program.

armar

The librarian. This enables sets of ELF object files to be collected together and maintained in archives or libraries. You can pass such a library or archive to the linker in place of several ELF files. You can also use the archive for distribution to a third party for further application development.

fromelf

The image conversion utility. This can also generate textual information about the input image, such as disassembly and its code and data size.

Related tasks

[1.3 "Hello world" example](#page-14-0) on page 1-15*.*

Related references

[1.2 Common compiler toolchain options](#page-11-0) on page 1-12*.*

1.2 Common compiler toolchain options

Lists the most commonly used command-line options for each of the tools in the compiler toolchain.

armclang common options

See the *armclang Reference Guide* for more information about armclang command-line options. Common armclang options include the following:

Table 1-1 armclang common options

armlink common options

See the *armlink User Guide* for more information about armlink command-line options.

Common armlink options include the following:

Table 1-2 armlink common options

armar common options

See the *armar User Guide* for more information about armar command-line options.

Common armar options include the following:

Table 1-3 armar common options

fromelf common options

See the *fromelf User Guide* for more information about fromelf command-line options.

Common fromelf options include the following:

Table 1-4 fromelf common options

armasm common options

See the *armasm User Guide* for more information about armasm command-line options.

 $-$ Note $-$

Only use armasm to assemble legacy ARM syntax assembly code. Use GNU syntax for new assembly files, and assemble with the armclang assembler.

Common armasm options include the following:

Table 1-5 armasm common options

1.3 "Hello world" example

This example shows how to build a simple C program hello world.c with armclang and armlink.

Procedure

1. Create a C file hello world.c with the following content:

```
#include <stdio.h>
main()
{
     printf("Hello World");
}
```
2. Compile the C file hello world.c with the following command:

```
armclang -c hello_world.c
```
The -c option tells the compiler to perform the compilation step only.

The compiler creates an object file hello_world.o

3. Link the file:

armlink -o hello_world.axf --force_scanlib hello_world.o

The -o option tells the linker to name the output image hello world.axf, rather than using the default image name __image.axf.

The --force scanlib option tells armlink to link with the ARM libraries. This option is mandatory when running armlink directly. When armclang calls armlink, this option is automatically enabled.

4. Use a DWARF 4 compatible debugger to load and run the image.

The compiler produces debug information that is compatible with the DWARF 4 standard.

1.4 Passing options from the compiler to the linker

By default, when you run armclang the compiler automatically invokes the linker, armlink.

A number of armclang options control the behavior of the linker. These options are translated to equivalent armlink options.

Table 1-6 armclang linker control options

In addition, the -Xlinker and -Wl options let you pass options directly to the linker from the compiler command line. These options perform the same function, but use different syntaxes:

- The -Xlinker option specifies a single option, a single argument, or a single option=argument pair. If you want to pass multiple options, use multiple -Xlinker options.
- The $-w1$, option specifies a comma-separated list of options and arguments or option=argument pairs.

For example, the following are all equivalent because armlink treats the single option --list=diag.txt and the two options --list diag.txt equivalently:

-Xlinker --list -Xlinker diag.txt -Xlinker --split -Xlinker --list=diag.txt -Xlinker --split -Wl,--list,diag.txt,--split -Wl,--list=diag.txt,--split

Note

The -### compiler option produces diagnostic output showing exactly how the compiler and linker are invoked, displaying the options for each tool. With the -### option, armclang only displays this diagnostic output. It does not compile source files or invoke armlink.

The following example shows how to use the -Xlinker option to pass the --split option to the linker, splitting the default load region containing the RO and RW output sections into separate regions:

```
armclang hello_world.c -Xlinker --split
```
You can use fromelf --text to compare the differences in image content:

```
armclang hello_world.c -o hello_world_DEFAULT.axf
armclang hello_world.c -o hello_world_SPLIT.axf -Xlinker --split
fromelf --text hello_world_DEFAULT.axf > hello_world_DEFAULT.txt
fromelf --text hello_world_SPLIT.axf > hello_world_SPLIT.txt
```
Use a file comparison tool, such as the UNIX diff tool, to compare the files hello_world_DEFAULT.txt and hello_world_SPLIT.txt.

Chapter 2 **Diagnostics**

Describes the format of compiler toolchain diagnostic messages and how to control the diagnostic output.

It contains the following sections:

- *[2.1 Understanding diagnostics](#page-17-0)* on page 2-18.
- *[2.2 Options for controlling diagnostics with armclang](#page-19-0)* on page 2-20.
- *[2.3 Options for controlling diagnostics with the other tools](#page-20-0)* on page 2-21.

2.1 Understanding diagnostics

All the tools in the ARM Compiler 6 toolchain produce detailed diagnostic messages, and let you control how much or how little information is output.

The format of diagnostic messages and the mechanisms for controlling diagnostic output are different for armclang than for the other tools in the toolchain.

Message format for armclang

armclang produces messages in the following format:

file:*line*:*col*: *type*: *message*

where:

file

The filename that generated the message.

line The line number that generated the message.

col

The column number that generated the message.

type

The type of the message, for example error or warning.

message

The message text.

For example:

```
hello.c:7:3: error: use of undeclared identifier 'i'
i++;
\lambda1 error generated.
```
Message format for other tools

The other tools in the toolchain (such as armasm and armlink) produce messages in the following format:

type: *prefix id suffix*: *message_text*

Where:

type

is one of:

Internal fault

Internal faults indicate an internal problem with the tool. Contact your supplier with feedback.

Error

Errors indicate problems that cause the tool to stop.

Warning

Warnings indicate unusual conditions that might indicate a problem, but the tool continues.

Remark

Remarks indicate common, but sometimes unconventional, tool usage. These diagnostics are not displayed by default. The tool continues.

prefix

indicates the tool that generated the message, one of:

- A armasm
- L armlink or armar
- Q fromelf

id

a unique numeric message identifier.

suffix

indicates the type of message, one of:

- E Error
- W Warning
- R Remark

message_text

the text of the message.

For example:

Error: L6449E: While processing /home/scratch/a.out: I/O error writing file '/home/scratch/ a.out': Permission denied

Related concepts

[2.2 Options for controlling diagnostics with armclang](#page-19-0) on page 2-20*.*

[2.3 Options for controlling diagnostics with the other tools](#page-20-0) on page 2-21*.*

2.2 Options for controlling diagnostics with armclang

A number of options control the output of diagnostics with the armclang compiler.

See *Controlling Errors and Warnings* in the *Clang Compiler User's Manual* for full details about controlling diagnostics with armclang.

The following are some of the common options that control diagnostics:

-Werror

Turn warnings into errors.

-Werror=foo

Turn warning foo into an error.

-Wno-error=foo

Leave warning foo as a warning even if -Werror is specified.

-Wfoo

Enable warning foo.

-Wno-foo

Suppress warning foo.

 $-₁$

Suppress all warnings.

-Weverything

Enable all warnings.

Where a message can be suppressed, the compiler provides the appropriate suppression flag in the diagnostic output.

For example, by default armclang checks the format of printf() statements to ensure that the number of % format specifiers matches the number of data arguments. The following code generates a warning:

printf("Result of %d plus %d is %d\n", a, b);

```
armclang -c hello.c 
hello.c:25:36: warning: more '%' conversions than data arguments [-Wformat]
  printf("Result of %d plus %d is %d\n", a, b);
```
To suppress this warning, use -Wno-format:

armclang -c hello.c -Wno-format

Related references

[7 Coding Considerations](#page-36-0) on page 7-37*.*

Related information

[The LLVM Compiler Infrastructure Project.](http://llvm.org) [Clang Compiler User's Manual.](http://clang.llvm.org/docs/UsersManual.html)

2.3 Options for controlling diagnostics with the other tools

A number of different options control diagnostics with the armasm, armlink, armar, and fromelf tools.

The following options control diagnostics:

--brief_diagnostics

armasm only. Uses a shorter form of the diagnostic output. In this form, the original source line is not displayed and the error message text is not wrapped when it is too long to fit on a single line.

```
--diag_error=tag[,tag]...
```
Sets the specified diagnostic messages to Error severity.

```
--diag remark=tag[, tag]...
```
Sets the specified diagnostic messages to Remark severity.

```
--diag_style=arm|ide|gnu
```
Specifies the display style for diagnostic messages.

```
--diag suppress=tag[,tag]...
```
Suppresses the specified diagnostic messages.

--diag warning=tag[,tag]...

Sets the specified diagnostic messages to Warning severity.

--errors=filename

Redirects the output of diagnostic messages to the specified file.

--remarks

armlink only. Enables the display of remark messages (including any messages redesignated to remark severity using --diag_remark).

For example, to downgrade a warning message with the number 1293 to Remark severity, use the following command:

armasm --diag remark=1293 ...

Chapter 3 **Compiling C and C++ Code**

Describes how to compile C and C++ code with armclang.

It contains the following sections:

- *[3.1 Specifying a target architecture, processor, and instruction set](#page-22-0)* on page 3-23.
- *[3.2 Using PCH files to reduce compile time](#page-24-0)* on page 3-25.
- *[3.3 Using inline assembly code](#page-25-0)* on page 3-26.
- *[3.4 Using intrinsics](#page-26-0)* on page 3-27.
- *[3.5 Preventing the use of floating-point instructions and registers](#page-27-0)* on page 3-28.

3.1 Specifying a target architecture, processor, and instruction set

When compiling code, the compiler must know which architecture or processor to target, and which instruction set to use.

Command-line syntax

To specify a target architecture with armclang, use the --target command-line option:

--target=*arch*-*vendor*-*os*-*env*

Supported targets are as follows:

aarch64-arm-none-eabi

The AArch64 state of the ARMv8 architecture. This target supports the A64 instruction set. This is the default target.

armv8a-arm-none-eabi

The AArch32 state of the ARMv8 architecture. This target supports the A32 and T32 instruction sets.

 $-$ Note -

The --target option is an armclang option. For all of the other tools, such as armasm and armlink, use the --cpu, --fpu, and --device options to specify target processors and architectures.

Targeting an architecture with --target generates generic code that runs on any processor with that architecture. If you want to optimize your code for a particular processor, use the -mcpu option. The -mcpu option supports the following values:

- cortex-a53
- cortex-a57

Processors in AArch64 state execute A64 instructions. Processors in AArch32 state can execute A32 or T32 instructions. To specify the target instruction set for AArch32 state, use the following command-line options:

- -marm targets the A32 instruction set. This is the default for the armv8a-arm-none-eabi target.
- -mthumb targets the T32 instruction set.

 $-$ Note $-$

The -marm and -mthumb options are only valid with AArch32 targets, for example

--target=armv8a-arm-none-eabi. The compiler ignores the -marm and -mthumb options and generates a warning with AArch64 targets.

Command-line examples

ARM Compiler 6 lets you compile for the following combinations of architecture and instruction set:

Table 3-1 Compiling for different combinations of architecture and instruction set

3.2 Using PCH files to reduce compile time

Precompiled Header files can help reduce compilation time when the same header file is used by multiple source files.

When compiling source files, the included header files are also compiled. If a header file is included in more than one source file, it is recompiled when each source file is compiled. Also, header files can introduce many lines of code, but the primary source files that include them can be relatively small. Therefore, it is often desirable to avoid recompiling a set of header files by precompiling them. These are referred to as PCH files.

To generate a PCH file using armclang, use the -x *language*-header option, for example:

armclang -x c-header test.h -o test.h.pch

To use an existing PCH file, use the -include option, for example:

armclang -include test.h test.c -o test

– Note ·

armclang does not automatically use PCH files for headers that are included within a source file using #include. Use the -include option if you want to make use of existing PCH files.

See *Precompiled Headers* in the *Clang Compiler User's Manual* for full details about controlling diagnostics with armclang.

Related information

[Clang Compiler User's Manual.](http://clang.llvm.org/docs/UsersManual.html)

3.3 Using inline assembly code

The compiler provides an inline assembler that enables you to write optimized assembly language routines, and to access features of the target processor not available from C or C++.

The asm keyword can incorporate inline GCC syntax assembly code into a function. For example:

```
#include <stdio.h>
int add(int i, int j)
{
 int res = 0:
 __asm (
 "ADD %[result], %[input_i], %[input_j]"
 : [result] "=r" (res)
 : [input_i] "r" (i), [input_j] "r" (j)
 );
 return res;
}
int main(void)
{
 int a = 1;
 int b = 2;
 int c = 0;
  c = add(a, b);
 printf("Result of %d + %d = %d\n", a, b, c);
}
```
Note

The inline assembler does not support legacy assembly code written in ARM assembler syntax.

The general form of an __asm inline assembly statement is:

__asm(*code [*: *output_operand_list [*: *input_operand_list [*: *clobbered_register_list]]]*);

code is the assembly code. In this example, this is "ADD %[result], %[input_i], %[input_j]".

output_operand_list is an optional list of output operands, separated by commas. Each operand consists of of a symbolic name in square brackets, a constraint string, and a C expression in parentheses. In this example, there is a single output operand: [result] "=r" (res).

input_operand_list is an optional list of input operands, separated by commas. Input operands use the same syntax as output operands. In this example there are two input operands: [input_i] "r" (i), [input_j] "r" (j).

clobbered_register_list is an optional list of clobbered registers. In this example, this is omitted.

3.4 Using intrinsics

Compiler intrinsics are functions provided by the compiler. They enable you to easily incorporate domain-specific operations in C and $C++$ source code without resorting to complex implementations in assembly language.

The C and C++ languages are suited to a wide variety of tasks but they do not provide in-built support for specific areas of application, for example, *Digital Signal Processing* (DSP).

Within a given application domain, there is usually a range of domain-specific operations that have to be performed frequently. However, often these operations cannot be efficiently implemented in C or C++. A typical example is the saturated add of two 32-bit signed two's complement integers, commonly used in DSP programming. The following example shows a C implementation of a saturated add operation:

```
#include <limits.h>
int L_add(const int a, const int b)
{
 int c;
c = a + b;if (((a \land b) \& INT MIN) == 0) {
        if ((c \land a) & \text{ENT} MIN)
         {
        c = (a < 0) ? INT_MIN : INT_MAX;
 }
 }
     return c;
}
```
Using compiler intrinsics, you can achieve more complete coverage of target architecture instructions than you would from the instruction selection of the compiler.

An intrinsic function has the appearance of a function call in C or $C++$, but is replaced during compilation by a specific sequence of low-level instructions. The following example shows how to access the 1 add saturated add intrinsic:

```
#include <dspfns.h> /* Include ETSI intrinsics */
...
int a, b, result;
...
result = L_add(a, b); /* Saturated add of a and b */
```
The use of compiler intrinsics offers a number of performance benefits:

The low-level instructions substituted for an intrinsic might be more efficient than corresponding implementations in C or C++, resulting in both reduced instruction and cycle counts. To implement the intrinsic, the compiler automatically generates the best sequence of instructions for the specified target architecture. For example, the L_add intrinsic maps directly to the A32 assembly language instruction qadd:

QADD r0, r0, r1 $\frac{1}{2}$ Assuming r0 = a, r1 = b on entry $\frac{*}{2}$

More information is given to the compiler than the underlying C and C_{++} language is able to convey. This enables the compiler to perform optimizations and to generate instruction sequences that it could not otherwise have performed.

These performance benefits can be significant for real-time processing applications. However, care is required because the use of intrinsics can decrease code portability.

3.5 Preventing the use of floating-point instructions and registers

You can instruct the compiler to prevent the use of floating-point instructions and floating-point registers.

The method depends on whether you are compiling for AArch32 state or AArch64 state:

• When compiling for AArch64 state (the default), use the -mgeneral-regs-only option:

armclang -mgeneral-regs-only test.c

• When compiling for AArch32 state, use the -mfpu=none option:

armclang --target=armv8a-arm-none-eabi -mfpu=none test.c

If you specify -mfpu=none, you must specify -mfloat-abi=soft. This is the default if you omit the -mfloat-abi option. Use of -mfloat-abi=hard, softfp generates an error.

Chapter 4 **Assembling Assembly Code**

Describes how to assemble assembly source code with armclang and armasm.

It contains the following sections:

- *[4.1 Assembling GNU and ARM syntax assembly code](#page-29-0)* on page 4-30.
- *[4.2 Preprocessing assembly code](#page-30-0)* on page 4-31.

4.1 Assembling GNU and ARM syntax assembly code

The ARM compiler 6 toolchain can assemble both GNU and ARM syntax assembly language source code.

GNU and ARM are two different syntaxes for assembly language source code. They are similar, but have a number of differences. For example, GNU syntax identifies labels by the presence of a colon, while ARM syntax identifies labels by their position at the start of a line.

The following examples show both GNU and ARM syntax assembly code for adding the integers 8 and 6 together.

GNU syntax assembly:

 .text main: push ${r4-r5,lr}$
mov $r4.+8$ $\frac{1}{2}$ mov r⁴,#8
mov r5.#6 mov $r5, #6$
add render $r0, r4, r5$

ARM syntax assembly:

```
 AREA |.text|,CODE,READONLY
main<br>push
         push {r4-r5,1r}<br>mov r4,#8
         \text{mov} r<sup>4</sup>,#8<br>mov r5,#6
         \begin{array}{cc}\n\text{mov} & \text{r5,#6} \\
\text{add} & \text{r0, r4}\n\end{array}r0, r4, r5 END
```
Use GNU syntax for newly created assembly files. Use the armclang assembler to assemble GNU assembly language source code. Typically, you invoke the armclang assembler as follows:

armclang -c -o file.o file.s

You might have legacy assembly source files that use the ARM syntax. Use armasm to assemble legacy ARM syntax assembly code. Typically, you invoke the armasm assembler as follows:

armasm --cpu=8-A.64 -o file.o file.s

4.2 Preprocessing assembly code

Assembly code that contains C directives, for example #include or #define, must be resolved by the C preprocessor prior to assembling.

By default, armclang uses the assembly code source file suffix to determine whether or not to run the C preprocessor:

- The .s (lower-case) suffix indicates assembly code that does not require preprocessing.
- The .s (upper-case) suffix indicates assembly code that requires preprocessing.

The -x option lets you override the default by specifying the language of the source file, rather than inferring the language from the file suffix. Specifically, -x assembler-with-cpp indicates that the assembly code contains C directives and armclang must run the C preprocessor. The -x option only applies to input files that follow it on the command line.

To preprocess an assembly code source file, do one of the following:

• Ensure that the assembly code filename has a .S suffix.

For example:

```
armclang -E test.S
```
• Use the -x assembler-with-cpp option to tell armclang that the assembly source file requires preprocessing.

For example:

```
armclang -E -x assembler-with-cpp test.s
```
 $-$ **Note**

The -E option specifies that armclang only executes the preprocessor step.

The -x option is a GCC-compatible option. See the GCC documentation for a full list of valid values.

Chapter 5 **Linking Object Files to Produce an Executable**

Describes how to link object files to produce an executable image with armlink.

It contains the following sections:

• *[5.1 Linking object files to produce an executable](#page-32-0)* on page 5-33.

5.1 Linking object files to produce an executable

The linker combines the contents of one or more object files with selected parts of any required object libraries to produce executable images, partially linked object files, or shared object files.

The command for invoking the linker is:

armlink *options input-file-list*

where:

options

are linker command-line options.

input-file-list

is a space-separated list of objects, libraries, or *symbol definitions* (symdefs) files.

For example, to link the object file hello_world.o into an executable image hello_world.axf:

```
armlink --force_scanlib -o hello_world.axf hello_world.o
```
Note

The compiler does not generate \$\$Lib\$Request symbols when building objects, so armlink does not automatically link with the ARM libraries, resulting in the following messages:

Warning: L6665W: Neither Lib\$\$Request\$\$armlib Lib\$\$Request\$\$cpplib defined, not searching ARM libraries.

Error: L6411E: No compatible library exists with a definition of startup symbol __main.

Invoke armlink with --force_scanlib to link with the ARM libraries. When compiling and linking in one step, the compiler automatically passes this option to armlink.

Chapter 6 **Optimization**

Describes how to use armclang to optimize for either code size or performance, and the impact of the optimization level on the debug illusion.

It contains the following sections:

- *[6.1 Optimizing for code size or performance](#page-34-0)* on page 6-35.
- *[6.2 How optimization affects the debug illusion](#page-35-0)* on page 6-36.

6.1 Optimizing for code size or performance

The compiler and associated tools use numerous techniques for optimizing your code. Some of these techniques improve the performance of your code, while other techniques reduce the size of your code.

These optimizations often work against each other. That is, techniques for improving code performance might result in increased code size, and techniques for reducing code size might reduce performance. For example, the compiler can unroll small loops for higher performance, with the disadvantage of increased code size.

By default, armclang does not perform optimization. That is, the default optimization level is -00.

The following armclang options help you optimize for code performance:

-O0 | -O1 | -O2 | -O3

Specify the level of optimization to be used when compiling source files, where -O0 is the minimum and -O3 is the maximum.

-Ofast

Enables all the optimizations from -O3 along with other aggressive optimizations that might violate strict compliance with language standards.

The following armclang options help you optimize for code size:

-Os

Performs optimizations to reduce the image size at the expense of a possible increase in execution time, balancing code size against code speed.

 $-0₇$

Optimizes for code size.

In addition, choices you make during coding can affect optimization. For example:

- Optimizing loop termination conditions can improve both code size and performance. In particular, loops with counters that decrement to zero usually produce smaller, faster code than loops with incrementing counters.
- Manually unrolling loops by reducing the number of loop iterations, but increasing the amount of work done in each iteration can improve performance at the expense of code size.
- Reducing debug information in objects and libraries reduces the size of your image.
- Using inline functions offers a trade-off between code size and performance.
- Using intrinsics can improve performance.

6.2 How optimization affects the debug illusion

The precise optimizations performed by the compiler depend both on the level of optimization chosen, and whether you are optimizing for performance or code size.

The lowest optimization level, -O0, provides the best debug experience. Increasing levels of optimization results in an increasingly degraded debug view.

Chapter 7 **Coding Considerations**

Describes how you can use programming practices and techniques to increase the portability, efficiency and robustness of your C and C++ source code.

It contains the following sections:

- *[7.1 Optimization of loop termination in C code](#page-37-0)* on page 7-38.
- *[7.2 Loop unrolling in C code](#page-39-0)* on page 7-40.
- *[7.3 Compiler optimization and the volatile keyword](#page-41-0)* on page 7-42.
- *[7.4 Stack use in C and C++](#page-43-0)* on page 7-44.
- *[7.5 Methods of minimizing function parameter passing overhead](#page-45-0)* on page 7-46.
- *[7.6 Inline functions](#page-46-0)* on page 7-47.
- *[7.7 Integer division-by-zero errors in C code](#page-47-0)* on page 7-48.
- *[7.8 About trapping integer division-by-zero errors with __aeabi_idiv0\(\)](#page-48-0)* on page 7-49.
- *[7.9 About trapping integer division-by-zero errors with __rt_raise\(\)](#page-49-0)* on page 7-50.
- *[7.10 Identification of integer division-by-zero errors in C code](#page-50-0)* on page 7-51.

7.1 Optimization of loop termination in C code

Loops are a common construct in most programs. Because a significant amount of execution time is often spent in loops, it is worthwhile paying attention to time-critical loops.

The loop termination condition can cause significant overhead if written without caution. Where possible:

- Use simple termination conditions.
- Write count-down-to-zero loops.
- Use counters of type **unsigned int**.
- Test for equality against zero.

Following any or all of these guidelines, separately or in combination, is likely to result in better code.

The following table shows two sample implementations of a routine to calculate n! that together illustrate loop termination overhead. The first implementation calculates n! using an incrementing loop, while the second routine calculates n! using a decrementing loop.

The following table shows the corresponding disassembly of the machine code produced by armclang -Os -S --target=armv8a-arm-none-eabi for each of the sample implementations above.

Comparing the disassemblies shows that the ADD and CMP instruction pair in the incrementing loop disassembly has been replaced with a single SUBS instruction in the decrementing loop disassembly. Because the SUBS instruction updates the status flags, including the Z flag, there is no requirement for an explicit CMP r1, r2 instruction.

In addition to saving an instruction in the loop, the variable n does not have to be available for the lifetime of the loop, reducing the number of registers that have to be maintained. This eases register allocation. It is even more important if the original termination condition involves a function call. For example:

for $(...; i < get_limit(); ...);$

The technique of initializing the loop counter to the number of iterations required, and then decrementing down to zero, also applies to **while** and **do** statements.

7.2 Loop unrolling in C code

Loops are a common construct in most programs. Because a significant amount of execution time is often spent in loops, it is worthwhile paying attention to time-critical loops.

Small loops can be unrolled for higher performance, with the disadvantage of increased code size. When a loop is unrolled, the loop counter requires updating less often and fewer branches are executed. If the loop iterates only a few times, it can be fully unrolled so that the loop overhead completely disappears. The compiler unrolls loops automatically at -03 -Otime. Otherwise, any unrolling must be done in source code.

Note

Manual unrolling of loops might hinder the automatic re-rolling of loops and other loop optimizations by the compiler.

The advantages and disadvantages of loop unrolling can be illustrated using the two sample routines shown in the following table. Both routines efficiently test a single bit by extracting the lowest bit and counting it, after which the bit is shifted out.

The first implementation uses a loop to count bits. The second routine is the first implementation unrolled four times, with an optimization applied by combining the four shifts of n into one shift.

Unrolling frequently provides new opportunities for optimization.

Table 7-3 C code for rolled and unrolled bit-counting loops

The following table shows the corresponding disassembly of the machine code produced by the compiler for each of the sample implementations above, where the C code for each implementation has been compiled using armclang -Os -S --target=armv8a-arm-none-eabi.

Table 7-4 Disassembly for rolled and unrolled bit-counting loops

The unrolled version of the bit-counting loop is faster than the original version, but has a larger code size.

7.3 Compiler optimization and the volatile keyword

Higher optimization levels can reveal problems in some programs that are not apparent at lower optimization levels, for example, missing **volatile** qualifiers.

This can manifest itself in a number of ways. Code might become stuck in a loop while polling hardware, multi-threaded code might exhibit strange behavior, or optimization might result in the removal of code that implements deliberate timing delays. In such cases, it is possible that some variables are required to be declared as **volatile**.

The declaration of a variable as **volatile** tells the compiler that the variable can be modified at any time externally to the implementation, for example, by the operating system, by another thread of execution such as an interrupt routine or signal handler, or by hardware. Because the value of a **volatile**-qualified variable can change at any time, the actual variable in memory must always be accessed whenever the variable is referenced in code. This means the compiler cannot perform optimizations on the variable, for example, caching its value in a register to avoid memory accesses. Similarly, when used in the context of implementing a sleep or timer delay, declaring a variable as **volatile** tells the compiler that a specific type of behavior is intended, and that such code must not be optimized in such a way that it removes the intended functionality.

In contrast, when a variable is not declared as **volatile**, the compiler can assume its value cannot be modified in unexpected ways. Therefore, the compiler can perform optimizations on the variable.

The use of the **volatile** keyword is illustrated in the two sample routines in the following table. Both of these routines read a buffer in a loop until a status flag buffer_full is set to true. The state of buffer full can change asynchronously with program flow.

The two versions of the routine differ only in the way that buffer_full is declared. The first routine version is incorrect. Notice that the variable buffer_full is not qualified as **volatile** in this version. In contrast, the second version of the routine shows the same loop where buffer_full is correctly qualified as **volatile**.

Table 7-5 C code for nonvolatile and volatile buffer loops

The following table shows the corresponding disassembly of the machine code produced by the compiler for each of the examples above, where the C code for each implementation has been compiled using armclang -Os -S --target=armv8a-arm-none-eabi.

Table 7-6 Disassembly for nonvolatile and volatile buffer loop

In the disassembly of the nonvolatile version of the buffer loop in the above table, the statement LDR $r1$, [r0] loads the value of buffer_full into register r1 outside the loop labeled .LBB0_1. Because buffer_full is not declared as **volatile**, the compiler assumes that its value cannot be modified outside the program. Having already read the value of buffer f ull into r θ , the compiler omits reloading the variable when optimizations are enabled, because its value cannot change. The result is the infinite loop labeled .LBB0_1.

In contrast, in the disassembly of the volatile version of the buffer loop, the compiler assumes the value of buffer_full can change outside the program and performs no optimizations. Consequently, the value of buffer_full is loaded into register r2 inside the loop labeled .LBB1_1. As a result, the loop .LBB1_1 is implemented correctly in assembly code.

To avoid optimization problems caused by changes to program state external to the implementation, you must declare variables as **volatile** whenever their values can change unexpectedly in ways unknown to the implementation.

In practice, you must declare a variable as **volatile** whenever you are:

- Accessing memory-mapped peripherals.
- Sharing global variables between multiple threads.
- Accessing global variables in an interrupt routine or signal handler.

The compiler does not optimize the variables you have declared as volatile.

7.4 Stack use in C and C++

C and C++ both use the stack intensively.

For example, the stack holds:

- The return address of functions
- Registers that must be preserved, as determined by the *ARM Architecture Procedure Call Standard for the ARM 64-bit Architecture* (AAPCS64), for instance, when register contents are saved on entry into subroutines.
- Local variables, including local arrays, structures, unions, and in C++, classes.

Some stack usage is not obvious, such as:

- Local integer or floating point variables are allocated stack memory if they are spilled (that is, not allocated to a register).
- Structures are normally allocated to the stack. A space equivalent to sizeof(struct) padded to a multiple of 16 bytes is reserved on the stack. The compiler tries to allocate structures to registers instead.
- If the size of an array size is known at compile time, the compiler allocates memory on the stack. Again, a space equivalent to sizeof(struct) padded to a multiple of 16 bytes is reserved on the stack.

 $-$ Note $-$

Memory for variable length arrays is allocated at runtime, on the heap.

- Several optimizations can introduce new temporary variables to hold intermediate results. The optimizations include: CSE elimination, live range splitting and structure splitting. The compiler tries to allocate these temporary variables to registers. If not, it spills them to the stack.
- Generally, code compiled for processors that support only 16-bit encoded Thumb instructions makes more use of the stack than A64 code, ARM code and code compiled for processors that support 32 bit encoded Thumb instructions. This is because 16-bit encoded Thumb instructions have only eight registers available for allocation, compared to fourteen for ARM code and 32-bit encoded Thumb instructions.
- The AAPCS64 requires that some function arguments are passed through the stack instead of the registers, depending on their type, size, and order.

Methods of estimating stack usage

Stack use is difficult to estimate because it is code dependent, and can vary between runs depending on the code path that the program takes on execution. However, it is possible to manually estimate the extent of stack utilization using the following methods:

• Link with --callgraph to produce a static callgraph. This shows information on all functions, including stack use.

This uses DWARF frame information from the .debug_frame section. Compile with the -g option to generate the necessary DWARF information.

- Link with --info=stack or --info=summarystack to list the stack usage of all global symbols.
- Use the debugger to set a watchpoint on the last available location in the stack and see if the watchpoint is ever hit.
- Use the debugger, and:
	- 1. Allocate space in memory for the stack that is much larger than you expect to require.
	- 2. Fill the stack space with copies of a known value, for example, 0xDEADDEAD.
	- 3. Run your application, or a fixed portion of it. Aim to use as much of the stack space as possible in the test run. For example, try to execute the most deeply nested function calls and the worst case

path found by the static analysis. Try to generate interrupts where appropriate, so that they are included in the stack trace.

- 4. After your application has finished executing, examine the stack space of memory to see how many of the known values have been overwritten. The space has garbage in the used part and the known values in the remainder.
- 5. Count the number of garbage values and multiply by sizeof(value), to give their size, in bytes.

The result of the calculation shows how the size of the stack has grown, in bytes.

• Use Fixed Virtual Platforms (FVP), and define a region of memory where access is not allowed directly below your stack in memory, with a map file. If the stack overflows into the forbidden region, a data abort occurs, which can be trapped by the debugger.

Methods of reducing stack usage

In general, you can lower the stack requirements of your program by:

- Writing small functions that only require a small number of variables.
- Avoiding the use of large local structures or arrays.
- Avoiding recursion, for example, by using an alternative algorithm.
- Minimizing the number of variables that are in use at any given time at each point in a function.
- Using C block scope and declaring variables only where they are required, so overlapping the memory used by distinct scopes.

The use of C block scope involves declaring variables only where they are required. This minimizes use of the stack by overlapping memory required by distinct scopes.

7.5 Methods of minimizing function parameter passing overhead

There are a number of ways in which you can minimize the overhead of passing parameters to functions.

For example:

- In AArch64 state, 8 integer and 8 floating point arguments (16 in total) can be passed efficiently. In AArch32 state, ensure that functions take four or fewer arguments if each argument is a word or less in size. In C++, ensure that nonstatic member functions take no more than one fewer argument than the efficient limit, because of the implicit this pointer argument that is usually passed in R0.
- Ensure that a function does a significant amount of work if it requires more than the efficient limit of arguments, so that the cost of passing the stacked arguments is outweighed.
- Put related arguments in a structure, and pass a pointer to the structure in any function call. This reduces the number of parameters and increases readability.
- For 32-bit architectures, minimize the number of long long parameters, because these take two argument words that have to be aligned on an even register index.
- For 32-bit architectures, minimize the number of double parameters when using software floatingpoint.

7.6 Inline functions

Inline functions offer a trade-off between code size and performance. By default, the compiler decides for itself whether to inline code or not.

See the Clang documentation for more information about inline functions.

Related information

[Language Compatibility.](http://clang.llvm.org/compatibility.html)

7.7 Integer division-by-zero errors in C code

For targets that do not support the SDIV divide instruction, you can trap and identify integer division-byzero errors with the appropriate C library helper functions, aeabi_idiv0() and __rt_raise()

Related concepts

[7.8 About trapping integer division-by-zero errors with __aeabi_idiv0\(\)](#page-48-0) on page 7-49*.*

- *[7.9 About trapping integer division-by-zero errors with __rt_raise\(\)](#page-49-0)* on page 7-50*.*
- *[7.10 Identification of integer division-by-zero errors in C code](#page-50-0)* on page 7-51*.*

7.8 About trapping integer division-by-zero errors with __aeabi_idiv0()

You can trap integer division-by-zero errors with the C library helper function aeabi $idiv0()$ so that division by zero returns some standard result, for example zero.

Integer division is implemented in code through the C library helper functions α aeabi $\text{idiv}(\cdot)$ and a eabi $uidiv()$. Both functions check for division by zero.

When integer division by zero is detected, a branch to __aeabi_idiv0() is made. To trap the division by zero, therefore, you only have to place a breakpoint on α aeabi idiv0().

The library provides two implementations of α aeabi idiv0(). The default one does nothing, so if division by zero is detected, the division function returns zero. However, if you use signal handling, an alternative implementation is selected that calls rt raise(SIGFPE, DIVBYZERO).

If you provide your own version of $\alpha = \alpha + \beta$ is divideo then the division functions call this function. The function prototype for α aeabi idiv0() is:

int __aeabi_idiv0(**void**);

If aeabi $idiv0$ returns a value, that value is used as the quotient returned by the division function.

7.9 About trapping integer division-by-zero errors with rt raise()

By default, integer division by zero returns zero. If you want to intercept division by zero, you can reimplement the C library helper function rt raise().

The function prototype for __rt_raise() is:

void __rt_raise(**int** signal, **int** type);

If you re-implement __rt_raise(), then the library automatically provides the signal-handling library version of __aeabi_idiv0(), which calls __rt_raise(), then that library version of __aeabi_idiv0() is included in the final image.

In that case, when a divide-by-zero error occurs, __aeabi_idiv0() calls __rt_raise(SIGFPE, DIVBYZERO). Therefore, if you re-implement rt raise(), you must check (signal == SIGFPE) && (type == DIVBYZERO) to determine if division by zero has occurred.

7.10 Identification of integer division-by-zero errors in C code

On entry into __aeabi_idiv0(), the link register LR contains the address of the instruction *after* the call to the $\overline{}$ aeabi_uidiv() division routine in your application code.

The offending line in the source code can be identified by looking up the line of C code in the debugger at the address given by LR.

Chapter 8 **Language Compatibility and Extensions**

Describes the language extensions that the compiler supports. It contains the following sections:

• *[8.1 Language compatibility and extensions](#page-52-0)* on page 8-53.

8.1 Language compatibility and extensions

armclang conforms to the Clang 3.4 specification for language compatibility, language extensions, and C++ status. See the Clang documentation for more information.

Specifically, see the following:

• Language compatibility:

<http://clang.llvm.org/compatibility.html>

• Language extensions:

<http://clang.llvm.org/docs/LanguageExtensions.html>

• C++ status:

http://clang.llvm.org/cxx_status.html

See the *armclang Reference Guide* for information about ARM-specific language extensions.

Related information

[armclang Reference Guide.](http://infocenter.arm.com/help/topic/com.arm.doc.dui0774-/chr1383573258445.html)