

Diversify[®] PCR Random Mutagenesis Kit User Manual



Clon**tech**

United States/Canada 800.662.2566 Asia Pacific +1.650.919.7300 Europe +33.(0)1.3904.6880 Japan +81.(0)77.543.6116

Clontech Laboratories, Inc. ATakara Bio Company 1290Terra Bella Ave. Mountain View, CA 94043 Technical Support (US) E-mail: tech@clontech.com www.clontech.com Cat. No. 630703 PT3393-1 (PR812469) Published 23 January 2008

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	4
II.	List of Components	6
III.	Additional Materials Required	7
IV.	General Considerations	8
	A. Template and Primer Design	8
	B. Choosing Buffer Conditions	8
	C. Mutational Bias	9
	D. Control Reaction	10
V.	Random Mutagenesis Procedure	11
	A. Setting Up and Running Reactions	11
	B. Taq I PCR Fidelity Assay	12
VI.	Troubleshooting	13
VII.	References	16
VIII.	Related Products	17
Арре	ndix : Mutational Data from DNA Sequencing	18

List of Figures

Figure 1.	The level of Diversify mutagenesis is controlled by varying the concentrations of manganese and dGTP	5
Figure 2.	Taq I PCR Fidelity Assay	10
Figure 3.	Distribution of mutations per clone for different Diversify buffer conditions	18

List of Tables

Table I.	Mutagenesis PCR reaction buffer conditions	9
Table II.	Mutational bias for Diversify mutagenesis	9
Table III.	Mutagenesis reactions	11
Table IV.	Diversify mutagenesis sequencing data	18

I. Introduction

PCR-based random mutagenesis is widely used for analyzing wild-type protein function and creating proteins with new or improved functions (e.g., directed protein evolution). The procedure generally involves performing a PCR reaction under conditions that reduce the fidelity of nucleotide incorporation, cloning the resulting PCR fragments, and then screening the resulting library for novel mutations which affect protein activity (You *et al.*, 1994; Wan *et al.*, 1998; Melnikov *et al.*, 1999). PCR-based random mutagenesis has gained popularity over chemical methods (e.g., nitrous acid, hydroxylamine, etc.) since it produces higher levels and a larger variety of mutations (Fromant *et al.*, 1995). Additionally, PCR-based random mutagenesis has advantages over the use of nucleotide analogs because analogs have an increased bias for certain point substitutions and must be removed from PCR products prior to cloning.

The Diversify PCR Random Mutagenesis Kit offers a variety of buffer conditions for performing random mutagenesis, allowing you to adjust the reaction for a desired error rate. The kit is based on the methods of Leung *et al.* (1989) and Cadwell and Joyce (1992) and has been optimized for use with the Clontech TITANIUM *Tag* PCR system. The primary advantages of the kit are:

- *Controlled* random mutagenesis: the buffer conditions can be varied to achieve the desired level of random mutagenesis.
- Amplification of large PCR fragments: we have confirmed amplification of fragments up to 4 kb in length. Theoretically, longer fragments can be mutated and amplified as well.
- High DNA yields using the TITANIUM *Taq* PCR system, which outperforms other PCR systems under the stress of error-prone PCR conditions. TITANIUM *Taq* includes TaqStart Antibody for automatic hot-start PCR.
- Widemutational diversity: produce transition and transversion mutations.
- Arapid *invitro* control reaction (patent pending) that allows you to confirm randommutagenesis of a control template just 2 hrsafter your PCR reaction.

Diversify PCR mutagenesis provides control over the level of random mutation by independently varying the amounts of manganese and dGTP in the PCR reaction (Figure 1). The mutagenesis rate is first raised by increasing the amount of manganese in the reaction (up to 640 μ M). Further increases in mutation rate are obtained by increasing the level of dGTP in the reaction, while keeping the concentration of manganese constant. The kit has been designed to provide mutation rates from 2 to 8 mutations per 1,000 bp, making it applicable to large and small PCR products. Higher mutation rates can be produced by performing a second round of PCR using a diluted aliquot of the primary mutagenesis reaction (Shafikhani *et al.*, 1997).

I. Introduction continued

Three of the mutation rates shown in Figure 1 (buffer conditions 1, 5, and 9) were determined directly by DNA sequencing (see Appendix). The remaining, intermediate points were determined by correlating mutagenesis levels from a reproducible, quantitative *in vivo* fidelity assay (Mo *et al.*, 1991) with results from DNA sequencing.



Figure 1. The level of Diversify[®] mutagenesis is controlled by varying the concentrations of manganese and dGTP. The concentrations of other dNTPs are held constant.

II. List of Components

Store all components at -20°C.

The following reagents are sufficient for 30 mutagenesis reactions of 50 μ l each.

• **30 µl 50X TITANIUM™** *Taq* **DNA Polymerase** (includes TagStart Antibody)

Concentration in 50X mix	n Component	Final	rxn entration
50 %	Glycerol	1.0	%
20 mM	Tris-HCI (pH 8.0)	0.4	mМ
100 mM	KCI	2	mΜ
0.1 mM	EDTA (pH 8.0)	2.0	μM
0.25 %	Tween-20	0.005	%
0.25 %	Nonidet P-40	0.005	%

• 200 µl 10X TITANIUM™ Taq PCR Buffer

Concer	al rxn			
in 10X	mix	Component	con	centration
400	mМ	Tricine-KOH (oH 8.0 at 25°C)40	mM
160	mМ	KCI	16	mМ
35	mМ	MgCl ₂	3.5	mМ
37.5	µg/ml	BSA	3.75	µg/ml

- 30 µl 50X Diversify[®] dNTP Mix
- 40 μl 50X dNTP Mix (standard dNTP mix; 10 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP)
- 150 μ l dGTP (2 mM; final concentration variable from 40 to 200 μ M)
- 120 μI Manganese Sulfate (MnSO₄; 8 mM; final concentration variable from 0 to 640 $\mu M)$
- 10 µl Control PCR Template (~1ng/µl)

10 μl Control Primer Mix (10 μM each) Forward Primer: 5'-GAGCCTATGGAAAAACGCCAGCAAC-3' Reverse Primer: 5'-GCAAAAAAGGGAATAAGGGCGACAC-3'

- 10 µl Taq l Restriction Enzyme (20 units/µl)
- 1.25 ml PCR Grade Water

III. Additional Materials Required

The following reagents are required but not supplied.

- [optional] Mineral oil (We recommend Sigma Cat. No. M-3516.)
- PCR reaction tubes
- Thermal cycler (Hot-lid or non-hot-lid thermal cycler)
- Dedicated pipettors
- **PCR pipette tips** suitable to the above pipettors and preferably equipped with hydrophobic filters.
- DNA size markers (1 kb ladder or equivalent)
- 10X gelloading buffer (Sambrook & Russell [2001] provides several recipes.)

IV. General Considerations

PLEASE READ ENTIRE PROTOCOL BEFORE STARTING.

A. Template and Primer Design

Although the PCR reaction has been optimized for mutagenizing a 1 kb sequence, longer templates can be amplified by increasing the extension time as described in Section V.A. Dilute template to ~1 ng/µl before use.

Primer design is the single largest variable in PCR applications and the single most important factor in determining the success or failure of PCR reactions. Always check and recheck your primer design before constructing or ordering primers. For the Diversify protocol, we recommend that primers have the following characteristics:

- T_m around 70°C
- Length greater than 22 nucleotides; 25 to 30-mers are optimal
- 45–60% GC content
- 10 µM in concentration (each)

Additionally, ensure that the 3'-terminal ends of the primer pair are not complementary and have a low G-C content. Furthermore, primers should not contain sequences that create stable internal hairpin loops. If desired, you may incorporate restriction sites into your primers.

B. Choosing Buffer Conditions

The kit is intended for studying wild-type protein function and for directed protein evolution. For studying wild-type protein function, we suggest creating a single amino acid substitution per protein, which corresponds to approximately 1.5 mutations per gene (Vartanian *et al.*, 1996). This level of mutagenesis allows you to independently characterize the effect of each amino acid substitution on protein function. For directed protein evolution, mutagenesis rates that average 2 to 6 mutations per gene are regarded as most effective for creating mutant libraries to find proteins with enhanced activity (Shafikhani *et al.*, 1997). Mutational levels beyond 6 mutations per gene usually result in the complete loss of protein activity (Suzuki *et al.*, 1996); however, there have been exceptional cases where proteins tolerate extremely high levels of mutation (Vartanian *et al.*, 1996).

To choose the appropriate buffer conditions, you must consider the size of your target gene and the level of mutagenesis required. Table I shows the mutations created per 1,000 bp for given PCR conditions. First determine how many mutations you require per 1,000 bp, then use Table I to find PCR conditions which approximate your requirements. For example, if your PCR fragment is 500 bp long, and you wish to have an average of 2 to 3 mutations in each fragment, you need a mutagenesis rate between 4 and 6 mutations per 1000 bp. Condition 6 in Table I is the best choice to approximate this level of mutagenesis. The buffer composition of a standard PCR reaction is also included in Table I if you need to optimize other PCR parameters prior to performing random PCR.

TABLE I: MUTAGENESIS PCR REACTION BUFFER CONDITIONS										
	Buffer Condition									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Std.*
Mutations per 1,000 bp	2.0	2.3	2.7	3.5	4.6	4.8	5.8	7.2	8.1	0.4
MnSO₄ (µM; final rxn)	0	160	320	480	640	640	640	640	640	0
dGTP (µM; final rxn)	40	40	40	40	40	80	120	160	200	200

IV. General Considerations continued

* Standard PCR reaction using TITANIUM Taq DNA Polymerase.

C. Mutational Bias

The mutational bias, or tendency of mutagenesis reactions to favor one type of mutation over another, varies among the PCR conditions shown in Table I. A common method for evaluating mutational bias is to consider the ratio of transitions to transversions (Ts/Tv). Transition mutations comprise purine \leftrightarrow purine and pyrimidine \leftrightarrow pyrimidine changes; transversions are purine \leftrightarrow pyrimidine type mutations. Another method is to consider the extent to which A or T bases are converted to G or C bases and vice versa (AT \rightarrow GC/GC \rightarrow AT). The mutational bias for buffer conditions 1, 5, and 9 has been determined by sequencing and is shown below in Table II, along with the ideal values characteristic of a truly random mutagenesis. Detailed information about the types of mutations is provided in the Appendix.

TABLE II: MUTATIONAL BIAS FOR DIVERSIFY® MUTAGENESIS								
Buffer Condition (from Table I)Mutations per 1,000 bpTs Tv $AT \rightarrow GC$ GC $\rightarrow AT$								
1	2.0	0.9	7.3					
5	4.6	1.3	2.9					
9	8.1	3.9	13.7					
Truly Random	-	0.5	1.0					

As Table II shows, a high mutagenesis rate (condition 9) and low bias (condition 5) are mutually exclusive for a given number of cycles. Buffer condition 5 has minimal mutational bias and is the best choice for most directed evolution applications. Please note, however, that despite its mutational bias, buffer condition 9 has given useful results in a number of applications (Nishiya and Imanka, 1994;You and Arnold, 1994; Melnikov and Youngman, 1999). If you wish to have a higher mutagenesis rate with minimal mutational bias, you may perform additional rounds of PCR at buffer condition 5. For example, Shafikhani *et al.* (1997) obtained a rate of 29.2 mutations per 1,000 bp using a reaction similar to buffer condition 5. They achieved this high rate by performing six sequential rounds of PCR, diluting the product after each round. This rate corresponds to 4.9 mutations per 1,000 bp per round—equivalent to the rate of buffer condition 5.

IV. General Considerations continued

D. Control Reaction

To ensure that the mutagenesis reaction is working correctly, you should perform the *Taq* I PCR Fidelity Assay (Figure 2) in parallel with your experimental reactions. In this assay, a DNA sequence containing a series of pseudo-*Taq* I restriction enzyme sites is amplified under highly mutagenic (buffer 9;Table I) or weakly mutagenic (buffer 1) conditions. These sites contain only three of the four bases (TCGA) required for *Taq* I cleavage. Under mutagenic conditions, some of these pseudo-sites are converted to *Taq* I sites. Digestion of the resulting PCR product with *Taq* I enzyme, followed by electrophoretic analysis, will verify that mutations have been successfully incorporated. We recommend that you perform this control alongside your first mutagenesis reaction to verify that the system is working. Subsequently, the control can be used for troubleshooting.



Figure 2. *Taq* **I PCR Fidelity Assay**. Following amplification of the Control PCR template and *Taq* I restriction digest, a broad band from 550–750 bp should be apparent in the highly mutagenic sample (buffer condition 9; lane 1), but not in the weakly mutagenic sample (buffer 1; lane 2). Additionally, a second broad band from 250–450 bp, representing the small arm of the amplified fragment, can sometimes be seen (lane 1). Both samples will show a bright band at 1 kb. For comparison, lanes 3 and 4 show the PCR product of buffer conditions 9 and 1, respectively, after mock-digestion without *Taq* I enzyme. Lane M: $\lambda/BstE$ II DNA size markers.

V. Random Mutagenesis Procedure

A. Setting Up and Running Reactions

After deciding which buffer condition(s) suit your desired level of mutagenesis, set up reactions as shown in Table III. At a minimum, you should run three reactions: one each with buffer conditions 1 and 9 for performing the control *Taq* I PCR Fidelity Assay, as well as one for your experimental reaction(s).

1. Prepare reactions on ice by combining the following reagents in the order shown:

TABLE III: MUTAGENESIS REACTIONS										
	Volumes by Buffer Condition (µI)									
Mutations per 1,000 bp	1 2.0	2 2.3	3 2.7	4 3.5	5 4.6	6 4.8	7 5.8	8 7.2	9 8.1	Std. ^a
PCR Grade Water	40	39	38	3.5	36	35	34	33	32	41
10X TITANIUM <i>Tag</i> Buffer	40 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
MnSO ₄ (8 mM)	0	1	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	0
dGTP (2 mM)	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	0
50X Diversify dNTP Mix 50X dNTP Mix	1	1	1 0	1	1	1 0	1 0	1 0	1	0
Primer mix ^b	0 1	0 1	1	0 1	0 1	1	1	1	0 1	1
Template DNA ^c	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
TITANIUM Taq Polym.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total volume	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

^aStandard PCR reaction using TITANIUM Taq DNA Polymerase

^bExperimental or Control Primer Mix (10 µM each primer)

°Experimental or Control PCRTemplate (~1 ng/µl)

- 2. Mix well and spin briefly to collect all liquid at the bottom of the tubes. Note: If you are not using a hot-lid thermal cycler, overlay contents with mineral oil.
- 3. Commence thermal cycling using the following parameters for either hot-lid or non-hot-lid thermal cyclers.
 - 94°C for 30 sec
 - 25 cycles: 94°C 30 sec 68°C 1 min*
 - 68°C for 1 min
 - 4°C soak
 - * For experimental mutagenesis reactions with templates longer than 1 kb, add 1 min of extension time per additional kb.

V. Random Mutagenesis Procedure continued

B. Taq I PCR Fidelity Assay

When the PCR reaction is complete, examine the results of the control mutagenesis reactions by performing a *Taq* I restriction digest and agarose gel electrophoresis.

- 1. Prepare a 20 μl digest for each of the control reactions (buffer conditions 1 and 9) as follows:
 - 15 µl PCR mutagenesis reaction (#1 or #9)
 - 4 μ l distilled H₂O
 - 1 µl Taq I Restriction Enzyme (2 units)

20 µl Total volume

- 2. Incubate at 65°C for 1 hr.
- 3. Add 3 μ l of 10X gel loading buffer to each digest. Electrophorese 15 μ l of each reaction on a 1% agarose/EtBr gel along with 1 kb ladder DNA size markers or equivalent. Stop electrophoresis when dye front has migrated three-quarters of the length of the gel.
- 4. Photograph the gel and compare its band pattern to the pattern in Figure 2. Both reaction mutagenesis conditions should show a bright band at 1 kb.The 1 kb band will typically be brighter in condition 1. In condition 9, a broad band from 550 to 750 bp indicates that random mutations were successfully introduced.

After verifying that the control reaction was successful, you may proceed to construct a mutagenized library by cloning the PCR products of your experimental mutagenesis into the vector of your choice. For TA-cloning, we recommend the AdvanTAge PCR Cloning Kit (Cat. No. 639507).

VI. Troubleshooting

A. No product observed

PCR component missing or degraded	Use a checklist when assembling reactions. Always I perform the <i>Taq</i> I PCR Fidelity Assay to ensure that each component is functional. If this positive control does not work, repeat the positive control only. If the positive control still does not work, repeat again replacing individual components to identify the faulty reagent.
Too few cycles	Increase the number of cycles (3–5 additional cycles at a time).
Annealing temp. too high	Decrease the annealing temperature in increments of $2-4^{\circ}$ C.
Suboptimal primer design	Redesign your primer(s) after confirming the accuracy of the sequence information. If the original primer(s) was less than 22 nt long, try using a longer primer. If the original primer(s) had a GC content of less than 45%, try to design a primer with a GC content of 45–60%.
Not enough template	Repeat PCR using a higher concentration of DNA (after trying more cycles).
Poor template quality	Check template integrity by electrophoresis on a standard TBE-agarose gel. If necessary, repurify your template using methods that minimize shearing and nicking.
Denaturation temp. too high or low	Optimizedenaturationtemperatureby decreasing or increasing it in 1°C increments. (A denaturation temperature that is too high can lead to degradation of the template, especially for long target sequences.)
Denaturation time too long or too short	Optimizedenaturationtimebydecreasingorincreas- ing it in 10 sec increments. (A denaturation time that is too long can lead to degradation of the template, especially for long target sequences.)
Extension time too short	(Especially with longer templates) Increase the extension time in 1 min increments.
Too little enzyme	TITANIUM <i>Taq</i> is supplied at an optimized 50X con- centration. Therefore, try to optimize the cycle pa- rameters as described above before increasing the enzyme concentration. In rare cases, the yields can be improved by increasing the concentration of the enzyme mix. However, increasing the concentra- tion>2X is likely to lead to higher background levels.

VI. Troubleshooting *continued*

VI. Troubleshooting continued

Too much enzyme	TITANIUM <i>Taq</i> is supplied at an optimized 50X concentration; however, a 1X final concentration of the enzyme mix may be too high for some applications. If smearing is observed, first try optimizing the cycle parameters as described above, then try reducing the enzyme concentration to 0.5–0.2X.
Too much template	Try a lower concentration of DNA template in the PCR reaction.
Contamination	See Section D.

D. Dealing with contamination

Contamination most often results in extra bands or smearing. It is important to include a negative control (a control that replaces the DNA template with PCR-grade H_2O but still includes the primers) in every PCR experiment to determine if the PCR reagents, pipettors, or PCR reaction tubes are contaminated with previously amplified targets.

If possible, set up the PCR reaction and perform the post-PCR analysis in separate laboratory areas with separate sets of pipettors.

Laboratory benches and pipettor shafts can be decontaminated by depurination. Wipe surfaces with 1 N HCl followed by 1 N NaOH. Then neutralize with a neutral buffer (e.g., Tris or PBS) and rinse with ddH_2O .

We advise using commercially available aerosol-free pipette tips.

E. Mutation rate too high or too low

If clones resulting from your mutagenic PCR reaction are mostly inactive, the mutation rate may be too high. Try using a buffer condition with a lower rate of mutagenesis. If the mutation rate is still too high using buffer condition 1 (Table III), the error rate of a standard PCR reaction may be sufficient to randomly mutate your gene of interest. This technique might be useful for very large proteins that are also hypersensitive to mutation.

If the error rate is too low for your application (at your preferred level of mutational bias; see Section IV.C), you can perform multiple rounds of PCR, diluting the product 1,000-fold for each subsequent reaction. The optimal dilution factor may vary depending on your specific template and the yield of the PCR reaction.

VII. References

Cadwell, R. C. & Joyce, G. F. (1992) Randomization of genes by PCR mutagenesis. *PCR Methods Appl.* **2**(1):28–33.

Don, R. H., Cox, P.T., Wainwright, B. J., Baker, K. & Mattick, J. S. (1991) 'Touchdown' PCR to circumvent spurious priming during gene amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **19**:4008.

Fromant, M., Blanquet, S. & Plateau, P. (1995) Direct random mutagenesis of gene-sized DNA fragments using polymerase chain reaction. *Anal. Biochem.* **224**(1):347–353.

Leung, D. W., Chen, E. & Goeddel, D. V. (1989) A method for random mutagenesis of a defined DNA segment using a modified polymerase chain reaction. *Technique* **1**(1): 11–15.

Melnikov, A. & Youngman, P. J. (1999) Random mutagenesis by recombinational capture of PCR products in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**(4):1056–1062.

Mo, J.Y., Maki, H. & Sekiguchi, M. (1991) Mutational specificity of the dnaE173 mutator associated with a defect in the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase III of *Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol.* **222**(4):925–936.

Nishiya,Y. & Imanaka,T. (1994) Alteration of substrate specificity and optimum pH of sarcosine oxidase by random and site-directed mutagenesis. *Appl. Env. Microbiol.* **60**(11):4213–4215.

Roux, K. H. (1995) Optimization and troubleshooting in PCR. PCR Methods Appl. 4:5185–5194.

Sambrook, J. & Russell, D. W. (2001). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (Cold Spring Harbor, NY).

Shafikhani, S., Siegel, R. A., Ferrari, E. & Schellenberger, V. (1997) Generation of large libraries of random mutants in *Bacillus subtilis* by PCR-based plasmid multimerization. *BioTechniques* 23(2): 304–310.

Suzuki, M., Christians, F. C., Kim, B., Skandalis, A., Black, M. E. & Loeb, L. A. (1996) Tolerance of different proteins for amino acid diversity. *Mol. Divers.* **2**(1–2):111–118.

Vartanian, J. P., Henry, M. & Wain-Hobson, S. (1996) Hypermutagenic PCR involving all four transitions and a sizeable proportion of transversions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **24**(14):2627–2631.

Wan, L., Twitchett, M. B., Eltis, L. D., Mauk, A. G. & Smith, M. (1998) *In vitro* evolution of horse heart myoglobin to increase peroxidase activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**(22):12825–12831.

You, L. & Arnold, F. H. (1994) Directed evolution of subtilisin E in *Bacillus subtilis* to enhance total activity in aqueous dimethylformamide. *Protein Eng.* **9**(1):77–83.

VIII.Related Products

For the latest and most complete listing of all Clontech products, please visit **www.clontech.com**

	Products	Cat. No.
•	TITANIUM™ <i>Taq</i> DNA Polymerase	639208 639209
•	TITANIUM™ <i>Taq</i> PCR Kit	639211 639210
•	Matchmaker™ YeastTwo-Hybrid Systems	many

Appendix: Mutational Data from DNA Sequencing

A mutagenized library was constructed and sequenced to determine the frequency and type of mutations introduced. The results of this sequence analysis are shown in Table IV. Additionally, the distribution of mutations per clone is shown in Figure 3.

Buffer Condition159MnSO ₄ (µM)0640640dGTP (µM)4040200Total bp sequenced18,41420,70515,148Mutations per 1,000 bp2.04.68.1Total mutations found3696123Type of Mutation (%)Ts: $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$ 33.342.7Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	TABLE IV: DIVERSIFY® MUTAGENESIS SEQUENCING DATA					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Bu				
dGTP (µM)4040200Total bp sequenced18,41420,70515,148Mutations per 1,000 bp2.04.68.1Total mutations found3696123Type of Mutation (%)Ts: $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$ 33.342.7Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8		1	5	9		
Total bp sequenced18,41420,70515,148Mutations per 1,000 bp2.04.68.1Total mutations found3696123Type of Mutation (%)Ts: $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$ 33.342.7Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	MnSO₄ (μM)	0	640	640		
Mutations per 1,000 bp2.04.68.1Total mutations found3696123Type of Mutation (%)Ts: $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$ 33.342.774.0Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	dGTP (µM)	40	40	200		
Total mutations found3696123Type of Mutation (%)Ts: $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$ 33.342.774.0Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	Total bp sequenced	18,414	20,705	15,148		
Type of Mutation (%)Ts: $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$ 33.342.774.0Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	Mutations per 1,000 bp	2.0	4.6	8.1		
Ts: $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$ 33.342.774.0Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	Total mutations found	36	96	123		
Ts: $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$ 8.311.54.9Tv: $A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8Tv: $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1Tv: $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6Tv: $G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	Type of Mutation (%)					
$\mathbf{Tv}: A \rightarrow T \text{ or } T \rightarrow A$ 16.726.013.8 $\mathbf{Tv}: A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1 $\mathbf{Tv}: G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6 $\mathbf{Tv}: G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	Ts : $A \rightarrow G \text{ or } T \rightarrow C$	33.3	42.7	74.0		
$\mathbf{Tv}: A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$ 27.88.34.1 $\mathbf{Tv}: G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$ 0.00.01.6 $\mathbf{Tv}: G \rightarrow T \text{ or } C \rightarrow A$ 0.06.30.8	Ts : $G \rightarrow A \text{ or } C \rightarrow T$	8.3	11.5	4.9		
$\mathbf{Tv}:$ $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ $\mathbf{or} \ \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ 0.0 0.0 1.6 $\mathbf{Tv}:$ $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ $\mathbf{or} \ \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ 0.0 6.3 0.8	Tv : $A \rightarrow T$ or $T \rightarrow A$	16.7	26.0	13.8		
Tv : $G \rightarrow T$ or $C \rightarrow A$ 0.0 6.3 0.8	Tv : $A \rightarrow C \text{ or } T \rightarrow G$	27.8	8.3	4.1		
	Tv : $G \rightarrow C \text{ or } C \rightarrow G$	0.0	0.0	1.6		
	Tv : $G \rightarrow T$ or $C \rightarrow A$	0.0	6.3	0.8		
	Insertions	2.8	2.1	0.0		
Deletions 11.1 3.1 0.8	Deletions	11.1	3.1	0.8		

Ts = Transition

Tv = Transversion



Figure 3. Distribution of mutations per clone for different Diversify[®] buffer conditions. Data represent sequencing results from a 490 bp region of the mutagenized DNA sequence.

Notes

Notice to Purchaser

Clontech products are to be used for research purposes only. They may not be used for any other purpose, including, but not limited to, use in drugs, *in vitro* diagnostic purposes, therapeutics, or in humans. Clontech products may not be transferred to third parties, resold, modified for resale, or used to manufacture commercial products or to provide a service to third parties without written approval of Clontech Laboratories, Inc.

Use of this product is covered by one or more of the following US patents and corresponding patent claims outside the US: 5,079,352 and 6,127,155. The purchase of this product includes a limited, non-transferable immunity from suit under the foregoing patent claims for using only this amount of product for the purchaser's own internal research. No right under any other patent claim (such as method claims in U.S. Patents Nos. 5,210,015, 5,487,972, 5,994,056 and 6,171,785) and no right to perform commercial services of any kind, including without limitation reporting the results of purchaser's activities for a fee or other commercial consideration, is hereby conveyed by the purchase of this product expressly, by implication, or by estoppel. This product is for research use only. Diagnostic uses require a separate license from Roche. Further information on purchasing licenses may be obtained by contacting the Director of Licensing, Applied Biosystems, 850 Lincoln Centre Drive, Foster City, California 94404, USA.

TaqStart® and other Hot Start Antibodies are licensed under U.S. Patent No. 5,338,671.

GeneAmp[®] is a registered trademark of Applera Corporation.

Clontech, the Clontech logo and all other trademarks are the property of Clontech Laboratories, Inc., unless noted otherwise. Clontech is a Takara Bio Company. ©2008 Clontech Laboratories, Inc.