# r8s, version 1.50 User's Manual (August 2002)

Michael J. Sanderson

Section of Evolution and Ecology, One Shields Avenue, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA email:mjsanderson@ucdavis.edu

#### Introduction

This is a program for estimating absolute rates ("r8s") of molecular evolution and divergence times on a phylogenetic tree. It implements several methods for estimating these parameters ranging from fairly standard maximum likelihood methods in the context of global or local molecular clocks to more experimental semiparametric and nonparametric methods that relax the stringency of the clock assumption using smoothing methods. Its starting point is a given phylogenetic tree and a given set of estimated branch lengths (numbers of substitutions along each branch). In addition one or more calibration points can be added to permit scaling of rates and times to real units. These calibrations can take one of two forms: assignment of a fixed age to a node, or enforcement of a minimum or maximum age constraint on a node, which is generally a better reflection of the information content of fossil evidence. Terminal nodes are permitted to occur at any point in time, allowing investigation of rate variation in phylogenies such as those obtained from "serial" samples of viral lineages through time. Finally, it is possible to assign all divergence times (perhaps based on outside estimates of divergence times) and examine molecular rate variation under several models of smoothing.

The motivation behind the development of this program is two-fold. First, the abundance of molecular sequence data has renewed interest in estimating divergence times (e.g., Wray et al. 1996; Ayala et al. 1998; Kumar and Hedges 1998; Korber et al. 2000), but there is wide appreciation that such data typically show strong departures from a molecular clock. This has prompted considerable theoretical work aimed at developing methods for estimating rate and time in the absence of a clock (Hasegawa et al. 1989; Takezaki et al. 1995; Rambaut and Bromham 1998; Sanderson 1997; Thorne et al. 1998; Hulesenbeck et al. 2000; Kishino et al. 2001). However, software tools for this are still specialized and generally do not permit the addition of flexible calibration tools.

Second, there are surprisingly few estimates of absolute rates of molecular evolution constructed in a phylogenetic framework. Most estimates are based on pairwise distance

methods with simple fixed calibration points (e.g., Wray et al. 1996; Kumar and Hedges 1998). This program is designed to facilitate estimation of rates of molecular evolution, particularly estimation of the *variability* in such rates across a tree.

Powerful tools are available for phylogenetic analysis of molecular data, such as the magnificent PAUP\* 4.0 (Swofford 1999), but none of them is designed from the ground up to deal with *time*. The default molecular models are generally atemporal in the sense that they estimate branch lengths that consist of nondecomposable products of rate and time. PAUP\* and PAML and other programs can, of course, enforce a molecular clock and reconstruct a tree in which the branch lengths are proportional to time, but the time of the root of such a tree is arbitrary. It can be scaled manually a posteriori by the user given the age of single fossil attached to a single node in the tree, but none of these programs permit the addition of several calibration points or minimum or maximum age constraints. Moreover, they do not consider models that are intermediate between the clock on the hand and completely unconstrained rate variation on the other hand (the default). This has proven extremely useful for tree-building but may be limited for the purposes of understanding rate variation and estimating divergence times.

This program does not implement all or even most methods now available for estimating divergence times. In particular, it does not implement highly parametric Bayesian approaches described by Thorne et al. (1998, or the later Kishino et al. 2001) or Huelsenbeck et al. (2000). It provides, as a benchmark, routines that implement a molecular clock. It also includes methods for analyzing user-specified models with k discrete rates (where k is small). These are particular useful for looking at correlates of rate variation. For example, one might assign all lineages leading to species of annual plants in a phylogeny one rate, and those leading to the perennial species a second rate.

However, the main thrust of the program is to implement methods that are nonparametric or semiparametric rather than parametric per se. By analogy to smoothing methods in regression analysis, these methods attempt to simultaneously estimate unknown divergence times and smooth the rapidity of rate change along lineages. The latter is done by invoking some function that penalizes rates that change too quickly from branch to neighboring branch. The first, wholly nonparametric, method, nonparametric rate smoothing (NPRS: Sanderson 1997) consists of essentially nothing but the penalty function. Since the function includes unknown times, a suitably constructed optimality criterion based on this penalty can permit estimation of the divergence times. This method, however, tends to overfit the data leading to rapid fluctuations in rate in regions of a tree that have short branches.

Penalized likelihood (Sanderson 2002) is a method that combines likelihood and the nonparametric penalty function used in NPRS. It permits specification of the relative contribution of the rate smoothing and the data-fitting parts of the estimation procedure. The user can any level of smoothing from severe, which essentially leads to a molecular clock to effectively unconstrained. A cross validation procedure is also provided to provide a data-driven method for finding the optimal level of smoothing.

## Installation

The program is currently available as a Linux binary for x86 machines. The binary executable file together with several sample data sets are bundled together in a compressed archive file. After downloading the file r8s.dist.tar.Z to your account, uncompress and untar it with the following commands

```
uncompress r8s.dist.tar.Z
tar xvf r8s.dist.tar
```

This sets up a directory called dist which has four subdirectories, bin, sample, doc, and src. The first has the executable, the second has several sample data files, the third contains various versions of this manual and the fourth contains the source code. You may want to move the executable file, r8s, into the path that your account uses to look for binary files. If you have a system administrator, or you have root access yourself, you can install the program in some place like /usr/local/bin so that everyone can have access to it. If you have not set the PATH variable for your account and are frustrated that you cannot get the program to execute, you may have to type ./r8s rather than just r8s, assuming the program is in your current working directory.

You can check the version number of the program with the r8s -v switch.

If you wish to install the source code, a makefile is included that works on linux machines. There are known incompatibilities with some other compiler's libraries or headers, most of which are easy to resolve. You must own a copy of Numerical Recipes in C (Press et al. 1992 or more recent versions) to compile and run from source.

#### Note to users of versions prior to 1.0

Many of the features and much of the syntax has changed since pre-release versions were made available. Most important is the addition of the **required** blformat command, which obviated the clunky convert\_branchlength\_to\_time and multiply\_branchlength\_by commands. The rates block is now (optionally) called the r8s block. Several other command names or option names have been changed. Note that the program generally just ignores a command it does not understand, rather than issuing a warning. This can lend you a false sense of security. Examine the sample data files carefully and read all the documentation before modifying your old files.

#### Changes since version 1.05

The most significant change is the addition of a new black box optimization routine to carry out truncated Newton optimization with simple bound constraints. The code, called TN, is due to Stephen Nash and is written in fortran. Further information is available at NetLib (http://www.netlib.org), where the code is distributed. In general, this code is much faster and better at finding correct solutions. However, it relies on gradients, which

I have still only derived for Langley-Fitch (clock) and Penalized Likelihood methods. It is not yet implemented for NPRS.

A large number of bugs have been fixed, including some serious memory leaks. See the revision history in the doc directory of the distribution.

#### Some definitions

A *cladogram* is a phylogenetic tree in which branch lengths have no meaning. A *phylogram* is a tree in which branch lengths correspond to numbers of substitutions along branches (or numbers of substitutions per site along that branch). A *chronogram* is a phylogenetic tree in which branch lengths correspond to time durations along branches. A *ratogram* is a tree in which branch lengths correspond to absolute **rates** of substitution along branches (apologies for the jargon).

#### **Running the program**

The program can be run in batch or interactive modes. The default is interactive mode, usually executed from the Linux (bash) prompt as follows:

r8s -f datafile <cr>

This invokes the program, reads the data file, containing a tree or trees and possibly some r8s commands, and presents a prompt for further commands. Typing q or quit will exit the program.

Batch mode is invoked similarly but with the addition of the -b switch:

r8s -b -f datafile <cr>

This will execute the commands in the data file and return to the operating system prompt. Finally, note that the *-f* switch and *datafile* is optional. The program can be run interactively with just

r8s <cr>

It is possible to subsequently load a data file from within r8s with the execute command (see command reference).

The output from a run can be saved to a file using standard Linux commands, such as

r8s -b -f datafile > outfile

Notice that if you neglect to include the -b switch in this situation, the program will sit there patiently waiting for keyboard input. You may think it has crashed...

## Data file format

The program reads ASCII text files in the Nexus format (Maddison et al., 1997), a standard that is being used by increasing numbers of phylogenetic programs (despite the grumblings of Nixon et al. 2001). At a minimum the data file should have a Trees block in it that contains at least one tree command (tree description statement). The tree command contains a tree in parentheses notation, and optionally may include branch length information, which is necessary for the divergence time methods described below. Thus, the following is an example of a nearly minimal datafile named 'Bob' that r8s can handle:

```
#nexus
begin trees;
tree bob = (a:12,(b:10,c:2):4);
end;
```

Upon execution of

r8s -f Bob <cr>

the program will come back with a prompt allowing operations using this tree.

It is usually easiest to imbed these r8s commands into a special block in the data file itself and then run the program in batch mode. For example:

```
#nexus
begin trees;
tree bob = (a:12,(b:10,c:2):4);
end;
begin r8s;
blformat lengths=total nsites=100 ultrametric=no;
set smoothing=100;
divtime method=pl;
end;
```

#### followed by

r8s -f bob -b <cr>

The meaning of the commands inside the r8s block will be discussed below. All commands described in this manual can be executed within the r8s block.

#### Grammar for r8s block and r8s commands

All r8s commands must be found within a r8s block:

```
begin r8s;
    command1;
    command2;
    .
    .
end;
```

All r8s commands follow a syntax similar to that used in PAUP\* and several other Nexus-compliant programs, which will be described used the following conventions:

```
command option1=value1|value2|... option2=value1|... (etc);
```

Thus any command might have several options, each of which has several alternative values. The vertical bars delimit alternative choices between values. Values may be strings, integers, real numbers, depending on the option. Default values are underlined. There are only a few exceptions to this general grammar.

## **Required information in the r8s block.**

The program needs a few pieces of information about the trees and the format of the branch lengths stored in the Trees block. This is provided in the blformat command, which should be the first command in the r8s block. Its format is

The lengths option indicates the units used for branch lengths in the tree descriptions. Depending on the program and analysis used to generate the branch lengths, the units of those lengths may vary. For parsimony analyses, the units are typically *total* numbers of substitutions along the branch, whereas for maximum likelihood analyses, they are typically expected numbers *per site*, which is therefore dependent on sequence length.

The nsites option indicates how many sites are in the sequences that were used to estimate the branch lengths in PAUP or some other program. Take care to insure this number is correct in cases in which sites have been excluded in the calculations of branch lengths (e.g., by excluding third positions in PAUP and *then* saving branch lengths). The program does not recognize exclusion sets or other PAUP commands that might have been used in getting the branch lengths.

The ultrametric option indicates whether the branch lengths were constructed using a model of molecular evolution that assumes a molecular clock (forcing the tree and branch lengths to be ultrametric). If ultrametric is set to yes then uncalibrated ages are immediately available for the trees. To calibrate them against absolute age, issue a calibrate command, which scales the times to the absolute age of *one specific node* in the tree. This linear stretching or shrinking of the tree is the only freedom allowed on an

ultrametric tree. Multiple calibrations might force a violation of the information provided by the ultrametric branch lengths. Do not use the fixage command on such trees unless you wish to re-estimate ages completely.

The round option is included mainly for analyses of trees that are already ultrametric rather than estimation of divergence times using the divtime command. Ordinarily input branch lengths are converted to integer estimates of the number of substitutions on each branch (by multiplying by the number of sites and rounding). This is done because the likelihood calculations in divtime use numbers of substitutions as their data. However, when merely calibrating trees that are already ultrametric, rounding can generate branch lengths that are not truly ultrametric, because short branches will tend to be rounded inconsistently. When working with ultrametric, user-supplied chronograms, set round=no.

If the program is run in interactive mode, this blformat command should be executed before any divergence time/rates analysis, although other commands will work without this.

## Naming nodes/clades/taxa

Many commands refer to internal nodes in the tree, and it is often useful to assign names to these nodes. For example, it is possible to constrain the age of internal nodes to some minimum or maximum value, and the command to do this must be able to find the correct node. Every node is also the most recent common ancestor ("MRCA") of a clade. Throughout the manual an internal node may be referred to interchangeably as a "node name", "clade name", or "taxon name."

The program uses two methods to associate names with internal nodes. One is available in the Nexus format for tree descriptions. The Nexus format permits internal nodes to be named by adding that name to the appropriate right parenthesis in a tree description. For example

```
tree betty=(a, (b, c) boop);
```

assigns the name  ${\tt boop}$  to the node that is the most recent common ancestor of taxon b and c.

This method is obviously error prone and not very dynamic. Therefore r8s has a special command to permit naming of nodes. The command

MRCA boop a b;

will assign the name boop to the most recent common ancestor of a and b. The name of a terminal taxon can also be changed by dropping one of the arguments:

```
MRCA boop a;
```

assigns the name boop to terminal taxon a.

#### Fixing and constraining times prior to estimating divergence times

One or more nodes can have its age fixed or constrained prior to estimating the divergence times of all other nodes using the divtime command. Time is measured backward from the most recent tip of the tree, which is assumed to have a time of 0 by default. In other words, times might best be thought of as "ages" with respect to the present. Note: the following commands are not appropriate if the input tree is already ultrametric—use calibrate instead.

<u>Fixed and free nodes</u>. Any node in the tree, terminal or internal, can have its age *fixed* or *free*. A node that is fixed has an age that is set to a specific value by the user. A node that is free has an age that must be estimated by the program. By default, all internal nodes in the tree are assumed to be free, and all terminal nodes are assumed to be fixed, but any node can have its status changed by using the fixage or unfixage command. The format for both of these commands is similar:

```
fixage taxon=angio age=150;
unfixage taxon=angio;
```

It is possible to fix *all* internal node ages with

```
fixage taxon=all;
```

or to unfix them all with

```
unfixage taxon=all;
```

but note that this applies (by default) only to internal nodes. To unfix the age of a terminal taxon, you must specify each such taxon separately:

```
unfixage taxon=terminal1;
unfixage taxon=terminal2;
```

Fixing the age of nodes generally makes it easier to estimate other ages or rates in the tree—that is it reduces computation time, because it reduces the number of parameters that must be estimated. **Roughly speaking, the divergence time algorithms require that at least one internal node in the tree be fixed**, or that several nodes be constrained as described below. If this is awkward, it is always possible to fix the root (or some other node) to have an arbitrary age of 1.0, and then all rates and times will be in units scaled by that age. Under certain conditions and with certain methods, the age of unfixed nodes cannot be estimated uniquely. See further discussion under "Uniqueness of solutions."

Ages persist on a tree until changed by some command. Sometimes it is desirable to retain an age for a particular node but to fix it so that it is no longer estimated in subsequent analyses. This can be accomplished using fixage but not specifying an age:

```
fixage taxon=sometaxon;
```

<u>Constrained nodes</u>. Any node can also be *constrained* by either a minimum age or a maximum age. This is very different from *fixing* a node's age to a specific value, and it only makes sense if the node is *free* to be estimated. Computationally it changes the optimization problem into one with linear inequality constraints, which does not remove any parameters, and is much more difficult solve anyway. The syntax for these commands is given in the following examples:

```
constrain taxon=node1 min_age=100;
constrain taxon=node2 min_age=200 max age=300;
```

and to remove constraints on a particular node:

```
constrain taxon=node1 min age=none;
```

or to remove all such constraints across the entire tree (including terminals and internals):

```
constrain remove=all;
```

Once a constraint is imposed on a node, divergence time and rate algorithms act accordingly. No further commands are necessary.

The words minage and maxage can be used instead of min\_age, max\_age.

#### **Estimation of rates and times**

The divtime command, with numerous options, provides an interface to all the procedures for estimating divergence times and absolute rates of substitution. Given a tree or set of trees with branch lengths, and one or more times provided with the fixage or constrain commands, the divtime command provides calibrated divergence times and absolute rates in substitutions per site per unit time.

The simplified format of the divtime command is

```
divtime method=\underline{LF} | NPRS | PL algorithm=\underline{POWELL} | TN | QNEWT;
```

The first option describes the method used; the second describes the type of numerical algorithm used to accomplish the optimization.

<u>Methods</u>. The program currently implements four different methods for reconstructing divergence times and absolute rates of substitution. The first two are variations on a parametric molecular clock model; the other two are nonparametric or semiparametric methods.

*LF method.* The Langley-Fitch method (Langley and Fitch, 1973, 1974) uses maximum likelihood to reconstruct divergence times under the assumption of a molecular clock. It estimates one substitution rate across the entire tree and a set of calibrated divergence times for all unfixed nodes. The optimality criterion is the likelihood of the branch lengths. A chi-squared test of rate constancy is reported. If a gamma distribution of rates across sites is used, the appropriate modification of the expected Poisson distribution to a negative-binomial is used (see under Theory below).

*LF "local molecular clock" method.* This relaxes the strict assumption of a constant rate across the tree by permitting the user to specify up to *k* separate rate parameters. The user must then indicate for every branch in the tree which of the parameters are associated with it. This is useful for assigning different rates for different clades or for different collections of branches that may be characterized by some biological feature (such as a life history trait like generation time).

The first step in running this method is to "paint" the branches of the tree with the different parameters. Assume that there will be *k* rate parameters, where k > 1. The parameters are labeled as integers from 0,..., *k*-1. The default Langley-Fitch model assigns the rate parameter "0" to every branch of the tree, meaning there is a single rate of evolution. Suppose we wish to assign some clade "bob" a different rate, "1". Use the following command:

localmodel taxon=bob stem=yes rateindex=1;

The option stem=yes|no is necessary if the taxon refers to a clade. It indicates whether the branch subtending the clade is also assigned rate parameter "1". It is important to use all integers from 0,..., k-1, if there are k rate parameters. The program does not check, and estimates will be unpredictable if they do not match. Using this command it is possible to specify quite complicated patterns of rate variation with relatively few commands.

The local molecular clock procedure is then executed by

```
divtime method=lf nrates=k;
```

where k must be greater than 1. If k = 1, then a conventional LF run is executed. It is important to remember that with this approach it is quite easy to specify a model that will lead to degenerate solutions. To detect this, multiple starting points should always be run (see below under search strategies). One robust case is when the two sister groups descended from the root node have different rates. *NPRS method*. Nonparametric rate smoothing (Sanderson, 1997) relaxes the assumption of a molecular clock by using a least squares smoothing of local estimates of substitution rates. It estimates divergence times for all unfixed nodes. The optimality criterion is a sum of squared differences in local rate estimates compared from branch to neighboring branch.

*PL method.* Penalized likelihood (Sanderson, 2002) is a semiparametric approach somewhere between the previous two methods. It combines a parametric model having a different substitution rate on every branch with a nonparametric roughness penalty which costs the model more if rates change too quickly from branch to branch. The optimality criterion is the log likelihood minus the roughness penalty. The relative contribution of the two components is determined by a "smoothing parameter". If the smoothing parameter, smoothing, is large, the objective function will be dominated by the roughness penalty. If it is small, the roughness penalty will not contribute much. If smoothing is set to be large, then the model is reasonably clocklike; if it is small then much rate variation is permitted. Optimal values of smoothing can be determined by a cross-validation procedure described below.

<u>Algorithms.</u> The program uses three different numerical algorithms for finding optima of the various objective functions. In theory, it should not matter which is used, but in practice, of course, it does. The details of how these work will not be described here. Eventually I hope to incorporate all algorithms in all the methods described above, but at the moment only Powell's algorithm is available for all methods. Table 1 indicates which algorithms are available for which methods and under what restrictions. **Unless you are doing NPRS or the local clock model, I recommend the new TN algorithm**.

*Powell.* Multidimensional optimization of the objective function is done using a derivative-free version of Powell's method (Gill et al. 1981; Press et al. 1992). This algorithm is available for each of the three methods. However, it is not as fast, or as reliable as quasi-newton methods (QNEWT), which require explicit calculations of derivatives. For the LF algorithm, its performance is quick and effective at finding optima; for NPRS and PL, it sometimes gets trapped in local optima or converges to flat parts of the objective function surface and terminates prematurely. I recommend liberal restarts and multiple searches from several initial conditions (see under Search Strategies below).

*TN.* TN implements a truncated newton method with bound constraints (code due to Stephen Nash). This algorithm is the best and fastest for use with LF or PL. It is not yet implemented for NPRS. It can handle age constraints and uses gradients for better convergence guarantees. It scales well and is useful for large problems.

*Qnewt*. Quasi-newton methods rely on explicit coding of the gradient of the objective function. This search algorithm is currently available only for LF and PL. The derivative in NPRS is tedious to calculate (stay tuned). In addition to converging faster than Powell's algorithm for this problem, the available of the gradient information permits an explicit check on convergence to a true optimum, where the gradient should be zero.

However, one shortcoming of the current implemention of this algorithm is that it only works on unconstrained problems. If any nodes are constrained, QNEWT will almost surely fail, as the derivatives at the solution are no longer expected to be zero. **Powell or TN must be used for constrained problems** (Table 1).

Method	Constraints	Non-extant terminals	POWELL	TN	QNEWT
LF	no	no	yes	yes	yes
	no	yes	yes	yes	no
	yes	no	yes	yes	no
	yes	yes	yes	yes	no
LF (local)	no	no	yes	no	no
	no	yes	yes	no	no
	yes	no	yes	no	no
	yes	yes	yes	no	no
NPRS	no	no	yes	no	no
	no	yes	yes	no	no
	yes	no	yes	no	no
	yes	yes	yes	no	no
PL	no	no	yes	yes	yes
	no	yes	yes	yes	no
	yes	no	yes*	yes	no
	yes	yes	yes*	yes	no

**Table 1**. Algorithms implemented for various methods.

\* but not cross-validation!

<u>Cross-validation.</u> The fidelity with which any of the three methods explain the branch length variation can be explored using a cross-validation option (Sanderson 2002). In brief, this procedure removes each terminal branch in turn, estimates the remaining parameters of the model without that branch, predicts the expected number of substitutions on the pruned branch, and reports the performance of this prediction as a cross-validation score. The cross-validation score comes in two flavors: a raw sum of squared deviations, and a normalized chi-square-like version in which the squared deviations are standardized by the observed. In a Poisson process the mean and variance are the same, so it seems reasonable to divide the squared deviations by an estimate of the variance, which in this case is the observed value.

The procedure is invoked by adding the option CROSSV=yes to the divtime command. For the PL method we are often interested in checking the cross-validation over a range of values of the smoothing parameter. To automate this process, the following options can be added to the divtime command, as in:

divtime method=pl crossv=yes cvstart= $n_1$  cvinc= $n_2$  cvnum=k;

where the range is given by  $\{10^{(n1)}, 10^{(n1+n2)}, 10^{(n1+2n2)}, \dots, 10^{(n1+(k-1)n2)}\}$ . In other words the values range on a log<sub>10</sub> scale upward from  $10^{(n1)}$ , with a total number of steps of *k*. The reason this is on a log scale is that many orders of magnitude separate qualitatively different behavior of the smoothing levels.

For most data sets that depart substantially from a molecular clock, some value of smoothing between 1 and 1000 will have a lowest (best) cross-validation score. Once this is found, the data set can be rerun with that level of smoothing selected. Suppose the optimal smoothing value is found to be  $S^*$  during the cross-validation analysis, then execute

```
set smoothing=S*;
divtime method=PL algorithm=qnewt;
```

to obtain optimal estimates of divergence times and rates.

<u>Estimating absolute rates alone</u>. In some problems, divergence times for all nodes of the tree might be given, and the problem is to estimate absolute substitution rates. This can be done by fixing all ages and running divtime (note that it is **not** an option with NPRS, which does not estimate rates as free parameters). For example:

```
fixage taxon=all;
set smoothing=500;
divtime method=pl algorithm=qnewt;
```

will estimate absolute substitution rates, assuming the times have been previously estimated or read in from a tree description statement using the ultrametric option.

## Showing ages and rates: the showage command

Each run of divtime produces a large quantity of output (which can be modified by the set verbose option), much of which is in a rather cryptic form. To display results in a cleaner form, use the showage command which shows a table giving the estimated age of each node in the tree, indicating whether the node is a tip, internal node, or name clade, whether the node is fixed or unfixed and what the age constraints, if any are set to. It also indicates (in square brackets) for every branch what rate index has been assigned to it using the localmodel command (the default is 0).

This command also indicates estimated rates of substitution on every branch of the tree. Branches are labeled by the node that they subtend. The estimates are different for the different methods. For example, under LF, there is only a single estimate of the rate of substitution, since the model assumes a clock—one rate across the tree. However, the table also includes a column for the "local rate" of substitution, which is just the observed number of substitutions (branch lengths) divided by the estimated time duration of the branch. For NPRS, this local rate is the only information displayed. For PL, each branch has a parameter estimate itself, which is displayed along with the local rate as described for the other two methods. For PL the parameter estimate should be interpreted as the best local rate estimate; the "local rate" is mainly provided for comparison to NPRS and LF methods. When examining a user supplied chronogram (assumed to be ultrametric), the program provides the single rate of substitution common across all branches.

## Showing trees and tree descriptions: the describe command

The describe command is useful for outputting simple text versions of trees either or before or after analyses have been run, and for generating tree description statements that can be imported into more graphically savvy packages, such as PAUP\*. The general format is

The first four option values generate an ASCII simple text version of a tree. A cladogram just indicates branching relationships; a phylogram has the lengths of branches proportional to the number of substitutions; a chronogram has the lengths of branches proportional to absolute time; a ratogram has them proportional to absolute rates.

The three description statements print out Nexus tree description versions of the tree. In the phylo\_description, the branch lengths are the standard estimated numbers of substitutions (with units the same as the input tree); in chrono\_description they are scaled such that a branch length corresponds to a temporal duration; in rato\_description, the branch lengths printed correspond to estimated absolute rates in substitutions per site per unit time.

Option value of node\_info prints a table of information about each node in the tree.

Other options include

```
plotwidth=k;
```

where k is the width of the window into which the trees will be drawn, in number of characters.

## The set command

The set command controls numerous details about the environment, output, optimization parameters, etc. See the command reference for further information

## Scaling tree ages: the calibrate command

This command is useful for changing all the times on a tree by a constant factor. **The tree should already be ultrametric** (either supplied as such by the user, or reconstructed as such by the divtime command).

calibrate taxon=nodename age=x;

where taxon refers to some node with a known age, x. All other ages will be scaled to this node.

When importing chronograms (using blformat ultrametric=yes), the ages will be set arbitrarily so that the root node has an age of 1.0 and the tips have ages of 0.0. Issue the calibrate command to scale these to some node's real absolute age.

Warning: do not mix calibrate and fixage commands. The former is only to be used on ultrametric trees, the latter should only be used prior to issuing the divtime command.

## **Profiling across trees**

Often it is useful to summarize information about a particular node in a tree across a collection of trees that are topologically identical, but which have different age or rate estimates. For example, a set of phylograms can be constructed by bootstrapping sequence data while keeping the tree fixed (Baldwin and Sanderson 1998; Korber et al. 2000; Sanderson and Doyle 2001). This generates a space of phylograms, which when run through divtime, yields a confidence set of node times for all nodes on the tree. The following command will collect various types of information for a node across a collection of trees.

profile taxon=nodename parameter=length|age|rate;

## Substitution models and branch lengths

All the algorithms in r8s assume that estimates of branch lengths for a phylogeny are available, and that these lengths (and trees) are stored in a Nexus style tree command statement. Both the trees and the lengths will have been provided by another program, such as PAUP\* or PAML. The original sequence data used to estimated these lengths are not used by r8s and are ignored even if provided in the data file. This is a deliberate design decision which offers significant advantages as well as certain limitations. The advantages are mainly with respect to computational speed and robustness of the optimization algorithms. Reduction of the character data to branch lengths permits much larger studies to be treated quickly. It also permits analytical calculation of gradients of objective functions for some of the algorithms in the program, which permits use of efficient numerical algorithms *and* checking of optimality conditions at putative solutions, which is harder with character-based approaches.

The risk, of course, is that some information is lost in the data reduction. However, the problem of estimating rates and times is considerably different than the problem of estimating tree topology. The latter is bound to be quite sensitive to the distribution of states among taxa in a single character, since this provides the raw evidential support for clades (even in a likelihood framework, where it is essentially weighted by estimates of rates of character evolution). The estimation of rates and divergence times, on the other hand, is simpler in the sense that one can at least imagine a transformation of branch length to time. For example, with a molecular clock, the expected branch lengths among branches with the same duration of time should be a constant.

Complex models of the substitution process have been described for nucleotide and amino acid sequence data, and it is possible to estimate the parameters of these models for sequence data on a given tree using maximum likelihood (or other estimation procedures) in programs such as PAUP\* or PAML. Two important aspects of these models should be distinguished. One is the set of parameters associated with rates between alternative character states at given site in the sequence. For DNA sequences this is described by a 4X4 matrix of instantaneous substitution rates, which might have as many as 12 free parameters or as few as one (Jukes-Cantor). For amino acid data the matrix is 20 X 20, and for codons it might well by 64 X 64. Model acronyms abound for the various submodels possible based on these matrices. These models form the basis of a now standard formulation of molecular evolution as a Markov process (see Swofford et al. 1996, or Page and Holmes, 1998, for a review).

The other aspect of these models is the treatment of rate variation between branches in a phylogeny. By default, for example, PAUP\* assumes the so called "unconstrained" model which permits each branch to have a unique parameter, a multiplier, which permits wide variation in absolute rates of substitution. To be more precise, this multiplier confounds rate and time, so that only the product is estimated on each branch. Under this model it is not possible to estimate rate and divergence times separately. Alternatively, PAUP\* can enforce a molecular clock, which forces a constant rate parameter on each branch and then also estimates unknown node times. This is a severe assumption, of course, which is rarely satisfied by real data, but it is possible to relax the strict clock in various ways and still estimate divergence times.

The approach taken in r8s is to simplify the complexities of the standard Markov formulation, but increase the complexity of models of rate variation between branches. This is an approximation, but all models are. The main ingredients are a Poisson process approximation to more complex substitution models, and inclusion of rate variation between sites, which is modeled by a gamma distribution (Yang 1996). The shape parameter of the gamma distribution can be estimated in PAUP\* (or PAML, etc.) at the same time as branch lengths are estimated. It is then invoked with the set command using:

set rates=equal|gamma shape= $\alpha$ ;

where the variable shape is the value of the normalized shape parameter,  $\alpha$ , of a gamma distribution. The shape parameter controls the possible distributions of rates across sites.

If  $\alpha < 1.0$  then the distribution is monotonically decreasing with most sites having low rates and a few sites with high rates. If is  $\alpha = 1.0$  the distribution is normal, and as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ the distribution gets narrower, approaching a spike, which corresponds to the same rate at all sites. The effect of rate variation between sites is much less important in the divergence time problem if branch lengths have already been correctly estimated by PAUP\*, than if one were using the raw sequence data themselves. Unless the branch durations are extremely long, the alpha value extremely low or the rate very high, the negative binomial distribution that comes from compounding a Poisson with a gamma distribution is very close to the Poisson distribution. **Consequently, it is usually perfectly safe to set rates=equal. For this reason, only algorithm=powell takes into account any gamma distributed rate variation; the qnewt and tn algorithms treat rates as equal for all sites.** 

## **Recommendations for obtaining trees with branch lengths.**

PAUP\* is a very versatile program for obtaining phylogenetic trees and estimating branch lengths, but a few of its options should be kept in mind. First, save trees with the ALTNEXUS format (without translation table), which saves trees with the taxon names as integral parts of the tree description. At the moment r8s does not use translation tables. Second, save trees as rooted rather than unrooted. By default, PAUP\* saves trees as unrooted, whereas most analyses in r8s assume the tree is rooted. The reason this is important is that conventionally unrooted trees are stored with a basal trichotomy, which reflects the ambiguity associated with not having a more distant outgroup (i.e., it is impossible for a phylogenetic analysis to resolve the root node of a tree without more distant outgroups). Upon reading an unrooted tree with a basal trichotomy, r8s will assume the trichotomy is "hard" (i.e., an instant three-way speciation event, as it does with all polytomies), and act accordingly.

This requires the user to root the tree perhaps arbitrarily, or at best on the basis of further outgroup information. However, in converting the basal trichotomy to a dichotomy, PAUP\* creates a new root node, and it or you must decide how to dissect the former single branch length into two sister branches. Left to its own, PAUP decides this arbitrarily, giving one branch all the length and the other branch zero, which is probably the worst possible assumption as far as the divergence time methods in r8s are concerned. The solution I recommend is to make sure every phylogenetic analysis that will eventually be used in r8s analyses start out initially with an *extra* outgroup taxon that is distantly related to all the remaining taxa. The place where this outgroup attaches to the rest of the tree will become the root node of the real tree used in r8s, once it is discarded. It will have served the purpose in PAUP of providing estimates of the branch lengths of the branches descended from the eventual root node.

The extra outgroup can be deleted manually from the tree description commands in the PAUP tree file, or it can be removed using the prune command in r8s.

## **Uniqueness of solutions**

Theory (e.g. Cutler 2000) and experimentation with the methods described above shows that it is not always possible to estimate divergence times (and absolute rates) uniquely for a given tree, branch lengths, and set of fixed or constrained times. This can happen when the optimum of the objective function is a plateau, meaning that numerous values of the parameters are all equally optimal. Such "weak optima" should be distinguished from cases in which there are multiple "strong" optima, meaning there is some number of multiple solutions, but each one is well-defined, corresponding to a single unique combination of parameter values. Multiple strong optima can often be found by sufficient (if tedious) rerunning of searches from different initial conditions. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of strong optima are not well understood in this problem, but the following variables are important.

- Is any internal node fixed, and if so, is it the root or not?
- Are the terminal nodes all extant, or do some of them have fixed times older than the present (so-called "serial" samples, such as are available for some viral lineages)
- Are minimum and/or maximum age constraints present?
- How much does the reconstruction method permit rates to vary?

The following conclusions appear to hold, but I would not be shocked to learn of counterexamples:

- Under the LF method a sufficient condition for all node times to be unique is that at least one internal node be fixed.
- Under the LF *local* clock method, the previous condition is not generally sufficient, although for *some* specific models it is.
- Under the LF method a sufficient condition for all node times to be unique is that at least one terminal node must be fixed at an age older than the present.
- Under the NP method condition the previous condition is not sufficient.
- Minimum age constraints for internal nodes, by themselves, are not sufficient to allow unique estimates under any method.
- Under LF, maximum age constraints *sometimes* are sufficient to permit the estimation of ages uniquely *when combined with minimum age constraints*. Generally this works when the data want to stretch the tree deeper than the maximum age allows and shallower than the minimum age allows. If the reverse is true, a range of solutions will exist

## **Confidence intervals on parameters**

Two strategies are available to provide confidence intervals on estimated parameters, one built-in, the other requiring extensive use of other programs.

(1) The built-in procedure adopts the strategy outlined by Cutler (2000) of using the curvature of the likelihood surface around the parameter estimate. The parameter values at which the log likelihood drops by an amount *s*, provide so-called *s*-unit support limits. In the case of a normal distribution, for example, estimates of the mean lying at values corresponding to a decline of 2.0 units in the log likelihood happen to correspond to the  $\pm 2\sigma$  confidence interval offering 95% coverage. In this problem there is little guarantee that a value of 2.0 has the same meaning, and simulation runs show that a value of twice that may be necessary (s = 4.0; Sanderson, unpubl. results; see also Cutler 2000).

The program can calculate these confidence intervals by including options in the divtime command. For example

```
divtime method=lf confidence=yes taxon=bob cutoff=s;
```

will find the endpoints of an age interval for the age of taxon bob, at decline in log likelihood of s units. These routines have not been extensively tested.

(2) The other strategy involves bootstrapping. The idea is to generate chronograms from bootstrapped data. This means generating a series of phylograms for a single tree, reading these into r8s, estimating ages for all trees, and then using the profile command to summarize age distributions for a particular node. The central 95% of the age distribution then provides a confidence interval (see Baldwin and Sanderson 1998; Sanderson and Doyle 2001). This can be a fairly tedious procedure unless you are willing to write scripts to automate some of the work. Several steps are involved:

- a) Generate *N* bootstrap data matrices using PHYLIP (currently PAUP does not report the actual matrices).
- b) Convert these to Nexus formatted files (here is where some programming ability helps)
- c) Construct a Nexus tree file with the single tree upon which dates will be estimated
- d) Add a PAUP block to each of the *N* bootstrapped Nexus files. The PAUP block should contain PAUP commands to (i) read the tree file (after the data matrix has been stored, of course), (ii) set the options for the appropriate substitution model (probably using likelihood), and (iii) save the tree with branch lengths (i.e., as a phylogram) to a common file for all *N* replicates.
- e) Finally, the tree file with *N* phylograms is read into r8s and divergence times estimated. Use the profile command to summarize the distribution of ages for a particular node of interest.

## Search strategies, optimization methods, and other algorithmic issues

Many things can go wrong with the numerical algorithms used to find the optimum of the various objective functions in the divtime command:

1) the algorithms may fail to terminate in the required maximum number of iterations (variable maxiter), giving an error message

- 2) they may terminate some place that is not an optimum even though it may have a gradient of zero or a flat objective function (such as a saddle point, or local plateau, a so-called "weak optimum")
- 3) they may terminate at a local optimum, but not a global optimum.

Problem 1 can sometimes (though surprisingly rarely) be solved just by increasing the value of maxiter parameter. Values above a few thousand, however, seem adequate for any solution that is going to be found. Above that, the routines will probably bomb for some other reason.

Problem 2 is more pathological and harder to detect. If the algorithm has settled onto a saddle point, it may be possible to discover this by perturbing the solution and seeing if it returns to the same point. If it is a local optimum, it should. Perturbations of the solutions in random directions are implemented by setting num\_restarts to something greater than zero, which will rerun the optimization that number of times. The variable perturb\_factor controls the fractional change in the parameter values that are invoked in the random perturbation. If you see that this tends to frequently find better solutions, you should suspect that the algorithm is having trouble finding a real optimum. Multiple starts of the optimization from different initial conditions may also give provide evidence of the reality of an optimal value. Multiple starts are invoked by setting num\_time\_guesses=n, where n > 1. This selects a random set of initial divergence times to begin the optimization, and repeats the entire process n times. The results are ideally the same for each replicate. If not there are multiple optima. The command set seed=k, where k is some integer, should be invoked to initialize the random number generator.

False optima are not infrequently found with the Powell algorithm using NPRS or PL (with very low or very high smoothing values). LF seems quite robust to this. Using TN instead often cleans up this problem, because it is better at checking the solutions. QNEWT is also effective at finding true optima but is not implemented for constrained problems. Even with POWELL, however, you can check the gradient at the solutions for LF and PL, by using set show\_gradient=yes. The values printed out should be close to zero. The norm of the gradient is also calculated and it should be small (<<1.0). Note, however, that any node that has an age constraint might well have a valid nonzero component of the gradient in that direction. This is because the constraint keeps the parameter from reaching the point where the gradient is actually zero.

As described in the section on uniqueness of solutions, a weak optimum may be the "correct", if unsatisfying result, for some data sets and some methods.

Problem 3 is a matter of finding the all the real optima if more than one exists. Because these algorithms are all hill-climbers, they will at best find only one local optimum in any one search from an initial starting condition. To find multiple optima, it is necessary to start from several different random starting values. As described above, this is implemented by the set num\_time\_guesses=n, where n is greater than 1.

## **General advice**

All of the methods have been "tested" on moderately complicated data sets, which means trees up to about 35 taxa. All this means is that the program ran without producing an error message. Not all *combinations* of features implemented in this program have been tested, however, and numerous bugs undoubtedly remain, some of them serious. The optimization problems handled by r8s are more difficult than those handled by other phylogeny programs in two respects: first, optimization can be constrained, and second, the problem itself can become ill-conditioned in several ways—for example, by specifying smoothing levels to be too low in penalized likelihood. The constrained optimization algorithms have been tested the least, and they are also the ones most likely to be unstable on numerical grounds, so caveat emptor. The best test of any result is numerous repeated runs from different initial conditions!

As of version 1.5, I recommend the algorithm=TN option for all LF or PL divergence time searches, including anything involving constraints or cross validation or both. However, it certainly does not hurt to check with algorithm=powell.

## **Bug reports**

I will look at bug reports and try to fix them, but only if you send a completely selfcontained data file that exhibits the misbehavior. Send them to me at

mjsanderson@ucdavis.edu

with a description of the problem. Please be patient.

## FAQ's

Q. I use an internal calibration point combined with NPRS and estimate ages of the root node and others to be preposterously old. What's wrong?

A. In this scenario, it is possible to smooth rates on the deeper parts of the tree by just making them all close to zero. This can be done by the optimization routine making the ages of those nodes very old. If this seems "unreasonable" (which it usually does), put a maximum age constraint on the root node of the tree, which is the moral equivalent of saying that there is a minimum absolute rate of substitution expected for these data.

## **Command reference**

Command	Option	Value	Description	Default value
blformat	lengths =	persite   total	(input trees have branch lengths in units of numbers of substitutions per site   lengths have units of total numbers of substitutions	total?
	nsites =	<integer></integer>	(number of sites in sequences that branch lengths on input trees were calculated from)	1
	ultrametric =	yes   no	(input trees have ultrametric branch lengths)	no
	round =	yes   no	(round estimated branch lengths to nearest integer)	yes
calibrate	taxon =	<nodename></nodename>	(scales the ages of an ultrametric tree based on the node nodename)	
	age =	<real></real>	(which has this age)	
cleartrees			(removes all trees from memory)	
collapse			(removes all zero-length branches from all trees and converts them to hard polytomies)	
constrain	taxon =	<nodename></nodename>	(enforces a time constraint on node nodename, which may be either)	
	<pre>minage (min_age) =</pre>	<real>   none</real>	(a minimum age   or "none" removes the constraint)	
	<pre>maxage (max_age) = remove =</pre>	<real>   none all</real>	(maximum age,   or removes the constraint)	
	TGUIOAG -	u11	(removes all constraints on the tree)	
describe	plot =	cladogram   phylogram   ratogram   chrono_description   phylo_description		

	plotwidth =	rato_description   node_info <integer></integer>	
divtime	method =	LF   NPRS   PL	(estimate divergence times and rates using Langley-Fitch   NPRS   penalized likelihood)
	algorithm =	POWELL   QNEWT TN	(using this numerical algorithm)
	nrates =	<integer></integer>	(number of rate categories across tree for use with local molecular clock)
	confidence =	YES   NO	(estimate confidence intervals for a node)
	taxon =	<taxonname></taxonname>	(node for confidence interval)
	cutoff =	<real></real>	(decrease in log likelihood used to delimit interval)
	crossv = cvstart =	yes   no <real></real>	(turn on cross-validation) (log10 of start value of
	cvinc =	<real></real>	smoothing) (smoothing increment on
	cvnum =	<integer></integer>	log10 scale) (number of different smoothing values to try)
	tree =	<integer></integer>	(use this tree number)
execute	<filename></filename>		(execute this filename from r8s prompt)
fixage	taxon =	<taxonname></taxonname>	(fix the age of this node at the following value and no longer estimate it)
	age =	<real></real>	(age to fix it)
localmodel	taxon =	<taxonname></taxonname>	(assign all the branches descended from this node an index for a local molecular clock model)
	rateindex =	<integer></integer>	(an integer in the range $0$ [0,, k-1] where k is the number of different $0$
	stem =	yes   no	allowed local rates) (if "yes" then include the no branch subtending the node in this rate index category)
mrca		cladename terminal1 terminal2	(assign the name cladename to the most recent common ancestor

		[etc.]	of terminal1, terminal2, etc.—NB! the syntax here does not include an equals sign. Also, if there is only one terminal specified, the terminal is renamed as cladename)
profile	taxon=	<nodename></nodename>	(calculates summary statistics across all trees in the profile for this node)
	parameter=	age length rate	(the statistics are for this selected parameter only)
prune	taxon=	<taxonname></taxonname>	(delete this taxon from the tree)
quit			(self evident)
reroot	taxon=	<taxonname></taxonname>	(reroot the tree making everything below this node a monophyletic sister group of this taxon)
set	rates =	equal   gamma	(all sites have the same equal rates   sites are distributed as a gamma distribution)
	shape =	<real></real>	(shape parameter of the 1.0 gamma distribution)
	smoothing=	<real></real>	(smoothing factor in 1.0 penalized likelihood)
	npexp =	<real></real>	(exponent in NPRS 2.0 penalty)
	verbose =	0 >1	(suppress almost all 1 output) (display more output)
	seed =	<integer></integer>	(random number seed) 1
	num_time_guesses=	<integer></integer>	(number of initial starts 1 in divtime, using different random combinations of divergence times)
	num_restarts =	<integer></integer>	(number of perturbed 1 restarts after initial solution is found)
	perturb_factor=	<real></real>	(fractional perturbation 0.05 of parameters during restart)
	ftol =	<real></real>	(fractional tolerance in stopping criterion for objective function)
	maxiter =	<integer></integer>	(maximum allowed 500 number of iterations of Powell or Qnewt

	barriertol =	<real></real>	routines) (fractional tolerance in stopping criterion between barrier replicates in constrained	
	<pre>maxbarrieriter =</pre>	<integer></integer>	optimization) (maximum allowed number of iterations of constrained optimization barrier method)	
	barriermultiplier=	<real></real>	(fractional decrease in barrier function on each barrier iteration during constrained optimization)	
	initbarrierfactor=	<real></real>	constrained optimization)	
	linminoffset=	<real></real>		
	contractfactor=	<real></real>		
	<pre>showconvergence =</pre>	yes   no	(display objective function during approach to optimum )	no
	showgradient =	yes   no	(display the analytically calculated gradient and norm at the solution, if it	no
	trace =	yes   no	is available) (show calculations at	
	01000	100 100	every iteration of the	
			objective function-for	
			debugging purposes)	
-1				
showage			(display a table with estimated ages and rates of substitution)	
unfixage	taxon =	all   <taxonname></taxonname>	estimated ages and rates	
	taxon = method=	•	estimated ages and rates of substitution) (all node <taxonname> to have its age estimated   all all internal nodes to be estimated) (construct an MRP matrix representation for</taxonname>	baum
		<taxonname></taxonname>	estimated ages and rates of substitution) (all node <taxonname> to have its age estimated   all all internal nodes to be estimated) (construct an MRP</taxonname>	baum no

Т=	<real></real>	(age of root node,	1.0
		measured backward from the present, which is age 0)	
speciation=	<real></real>	(speciation rate)	1.0
extinction=	<real></real>	(extinction rate)	0.0
ntaxa=	<integer></integer>	(conditioned on this final number of taxa)	
nreps=	<integer></integer>	(number of trees generated)	
seed=	<integer></integer>	(random number seed)	
charevol=	yes no	(generate branch lengths, instead of times only)	no
ratemodel=	normal autocorr	(rates are either chosen randomly from a normal distribution with mean of 'startrate' and standard deviation of 'changerate'; or in an	
		autocorrelated fashion starting with 'startrate' and jumping by an amount 'changerate' with probability 'ratetransition'	
startrate=	<real></real>	(character evolution rate at root of tree)	
changerate=	<real></real>	(either standard deviation of rate or amount that rate changes per jump in rate, depending on model)	
ratetransition	<real></real>	(probability that rate changes at a node under AUTOCORR model)	
minrate=	<real></real>	(lower bound)	
maxrate=	<real></real>	(upper bound)	
infinite=	yes no	(default of 'no' means that the branch length is determined as a Poisson deviate based on the rate and time; if 'yes', then branch length is set to the expected value, which is just rate*time)	no
divplot=	yes no	(takes a chronogram and plots species diversity through time, and estimates some parameters)	

bd

#### References

- Ayala, J. A., A. Rzhetsky, and F. J. Ayala. 1998. Origin of the metazoan phyla: molecular clocks confirm paleontological estimates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **95**:606-611.
- Cutler, D. J. 2000. Estimating divergence times in the presence of an overdispersed molecular clock. Mol. Biol. Evol. **17**:1647-1660.
- Gill, P. E., W. Murray, and M. H. Wright. 1981. Practical optimization. Academic Press, New York.
- Hasegawa, M., H. Kishino, and T. Yano. 1989. Estimation of branching dates among primates by molecular clocks of nuclear DNA which slowed down in Hominoidea. J. Human Evol. 18:461-476.
- Huelsenbeck, J. P., B. Larget, and D. Swofford. 2000. A compound Poisson process for relaxing the molecular clock. Genetics **154**:1879-1892.
- Kishino, H., J. L. Thorne, and W. J. Bruno. 2001. Performance of a divergence time estimation methods under a probabilistic model of rate evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. **18**:352-361.
- Korber, B., M. Muldoon, J. Theiler, F. Gao, R. Gupta, A. Lapedes, B. H. Hahn, S. Wolinsky, and T. Bhattacharya. 2000. Timing the ancestor of the HIV-1 pandemic strains. Science 288:1789-1796.
- Kumar, S., and S. B. Hedges. 1998. A molecular timescale for vertebrate evolution. Nature **392**:917-920.
- Langley, C. H., and W. Fitch. 1974. An estimation of the constancy of the rate of molecular evolution. J. Mol. Evol. 3:161-177.
- Maddison, D. R., D. L. Swofford, and W. P. maddison 1997. NEXUS: An extensible file format for systematic information. Syst. Biol. 46:590-621.
- Nixon, Kevin C.; Carpenter, James M.; Borgardt, Sandra J. 2001. Beyond NEXUS: Universal cladistic data objects. Cladistics. 17:S53-S59.
- Page, R. D. M., and E. C. Holmes. 1998. Molecular evolution: A phylogenetic approach. Blackwell Scientific, New York.
- Press, W. H., B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling. 1992. Numerical recipes in C. Cambridge University Press, New York. 2nd ed.
- Rambaut, A., and L. Bromham. 1998. Estimating divergence data from molecular sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 15:442-448.
- Sanderson, M. J. 1997. A nonparametric approach to estimating divergence times in the absence of rate constancy. Mol. Biol. Evol. **14**:1218-1231.
- Sanderson, M. J. 2002. Estimating absolute rates of molecular evolution and divergence times: a penalized likelihood approach. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19:101-109.
- Swofford, D. S. 1999. PAUP \* 4.0. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods), v. 4b2. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Takezaki, N., A. Rzhetsky, and M. Nei. 1995. Phylogenetic test of the molecular clock and linearized trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. **12**:823-833.
- Thorne, J. L., H. Kishino, and I. S. Painter. 1998. Estimating the rate of evolution of the rate of evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. **15**:1647-1657.
- Wray, G. A., J. S. Levinton, and L. H. Shapiro. 1996. Molecular evidence for deep precambrian divergences among metazoan phyla. Science **274**:568-573.

Yang, Z. 1996. Among-site rate variation and its impact on phylogenetic analyses. Trends Ecol. Evol. **11**:367-372.