[4/17/2010 2:57:40 PM] Weekend Testing: Hi Everyone [4/17/2010 2:57:49 PM] Weekend Testing: Welcome to Weekend Testing Session #32 [4/17/2010 2:58:17 PM] *** Weekend Testing added hdus001 *** [4/17/2010 2:58:33 PM] Tejas Shah: yes, allmas is not ofline for me [4/17/2010 2:58:42 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Jon Bach *** [4/17/2010 2:59:31 PM] *** ajay184f added Markus Deibel *** [4/17/2010 2:59:35 PM] Weekend Testing: Welcome Hans and Jon [4/17/2010 2:59:47 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Dhanasekar S *** [4/17/2010 3:00:01 PM] sharath: Hi All [4/17/2010 3:00:04 PM] Weekend Testing: Please introduce yourselves very quickly [4/17/2010 3:00:08 PM] hdus001: Hello everyone [4/17/2010 3:00:32 PM] Tejas Shah: Hello everyone, [4/17/2010 3:00:47 PM] ajay184f: Hi All, Ajay Balamurugadas, based in Bangalore, a software tester. Tweets @ajay184f [4/17/2010 3:00:47 PM] Markus Deibel: Hi all [4/17/2010 3:01:01 PM] Dhanasekar S: Hi this is Dhanasekar S software tester automation checker tweets @sdhanasekar from bangalore [4/17/2010 3:01:02 PM] hdus001: I'm Hans..a tester from Sydney [4/17/2010 3:01:24 PM] sharath: wow tweets everywhere [4/17/2010 3:01:34 PM] Allmas Mullah: Hi, this is Allmas from Pune, India. [4/17/2010 3:01:38 PM] *** ajay184f added narasimhareddyit *** [4/17/2010 3:01:55 PM] hdus001: I havent been to one of this before...how does it work? [4/17/2010 3:02:00 PM] Tejas Shah: Myself Tejas, i'm tester from surat -near mumbai [4/17/2010 3:02:27 PM] Weekend Testing: Welcome to WT #32 [4/17/2010 3:02:37 PM] narasimhareddyit: Hi this is Narasimha Reddy [4/17/2010 3:02:41 PM] Weekend Testing: Thank you for the introductions

[4/17/2010 3:02:45 PM] Weekend Testing: We have here today Jonathan Bach wake up in the middle of the night to be with us today. Thank you very much Jon!

[4/17/2010 3:03:06 PM] Weekend Testing: BTW, This is Parimala Shankaraiah, a tester from Bangalore working for a startup called Consona

[4/17/2010 3:03:14 PM] Jon Bach: The honor is mine. You guys have a great reputation and I am pleased to be here.

[4/17/2010 3:03:25 PM] Weekend Testing: @Jon - Thank you very much

[4/17/2010 3:03:32 PM] Weekend Testing: Let's get started :)

[4/17/2010 3:03:42 PM] Markus Deibel: I'm falling short of introducing myself

[4/17/2010 3:03:52 PM] Markus Deibel: I started out as tester in my first job, stayed there for three months and was then put into the development team.

It stuck with me that before starting the job I didn't have any knowledge about testing so I tried to learn all I could. That led to the EuroSTAR 08 visit and to participating here:)

[4/17/2010 3:03:55 PM] sharath: Hi Jon, thanks for being on WT

[4/17/2010 3:04:01 PM] Weekend Testing: Application to Test

We have a newly written user manual on floss manuals -

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/WebHome. Using this manual, read the welcome (http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/Welcome) to learn a bit about the purpose of Mifos and pick one of the following sections and test the application to discover new bugs, usability improvements, and user manual improvements:

Mission

a. System Users

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToWorkWithRolesPermissionsAndSystetmUsers

- b. Defining new loan products
- http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToDefineNewLoanProducts
- c. Manage centers, groups and clients

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/CentersGroupsAndClientsOverview, http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToCreateANewCenter,

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToCreateANewGroup, http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToCreateANewClient

URL Details [Test Servers]

http://ci.mifos.org:8108/mifos/

Credentials for Test Servers

User: mifos

Password: testmifos

[4/17/2010 3:04:19 PM] Weekend Testing: Please go through the above instructions carefully and shoot your questions right here

[4/17/2010 3:04:39 PM] Weekend Testing: Welcome Markus

[4/17/2010 3:05:08 PM] *** Weekend Testing added meeta prakash ***

[4/17/2010 3:05:10 PM] Jon Bach: Jeff Brewster is the QA Manager at Mifos, sponsored by the Grameen Foundation -- a non-profit organization

[4/17/2010 3:05:33 PM] Jon Bach: Mifos is a microfinancing application meant to help people around the world

[4/17/2010 3:05:50 PM] Jon Bach: I did a session for him last year and my concern was that the site is very complex

[4/17/2010 3:06:26 PM] meeta prakash: Hi Jon

[4/17/2010 3:06:41 PM] Jon Bach: I want you to pick on of the charters above (A, B, C) and be ready to talk with me in the debrief about how complexity affected you.

[4/17/2010 3:07:52 PM] Jon Bach: Now, during your testing, you can either take notes in real time using the real time notepad application (typewithme) up at http://typewith.me/W738DKavvQ or use skype

[4/17/2010 3:08:00 PM] Markus Deibel: @ Jon: Has the site been changed since you checked it a year ago?

[4/17/2010 3:08:45 PM] Jon Bach: Not that I can tell

[4/17/2010 3:10:28 PM] Markus Deibel: Is everyone looking at the page already`?

[4/17/2010 3:10:41 PM] Markus Deibel: Should we probably split things up?

[4/17/2010 3:11:03 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - you can even pair up with others if you like:)

[4/17/2010 3:11:16 PM] Jon Bach: There are three distinct missions with th same theme

[4/17/2010 3:11:33 PM] Jon Bach: That might be our first problem -- the complexity of organizing...:)

[4/17/2010 3:12:19 PM] Jon Bach: Everyone will also have to balance time *reading* with time *testing*. I am fascinated by that trade-off

[4/17/2010 3:12:42 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Ravisuriya ***

[4/17/2010 3:12:42 PM] Markus Deibel: One more question on the system. The test servers are meant to be used for "centers, groups and clients" testing right? The other two can be done on the actual site.

[4/17/2010 3:12:45 PM] sharath: Jon when u say system users, what is that you want us to look for in the page http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/WebHome.

[4/17/2010 3:12:47 PM] Jon Bach: I want to emphasize to EVERYONE that no matter what happens, that is what was MEANT to happen.

[4/17/2010 3:13:01 PM] Allmas Mullah: anybody wants to pair with me for mission 2. Defining new loan products ?

[4/17/2010 3:13:02 PM] Weekend Testing: I want to emphasize to EVERYONE that no matter what happens, that is what was MEANT to happen.

[4/17/2010 3:13:08 PM] Tejas Shah: what is goal - test application and find bugs or check user manuals and findflows in that

[4/17/2010 3:13:10 PM] Jon Bach: @Markus: not sure

[4/17/2010 3:13:27 PM] Jon Bach: @sharath: that's up to you

[4/17/2010 3:13:52 PM] Jon Bach: @Tejas: your choice. I'll accept either premise.

[4/17/2010 3:14:07 PM] Jon Bach: The manuals should help your testing

[4/17/2010 3:14:12 PM] Jon Bach: OR

[4/17/2010 3:14:22 PM] Jon Bach: The manuas can BE your testing

[4/17/2010 3:14:53 PM] sharath: hmm ok

[4/17/2010 3:15:03 PM] Tejas Shah: ok

[4/17/2010 3:15:15 PM] Markus Deibel: @Parimala: What's the exact timeline for testing and reporting?

[4/17/2010 3:15:21 PM] Jon Bach: Or, you may take a look at the site and say "Oh, man! This is a lot of stuff for one session" and break your session in to a new charter of your own design

[4/17/2010 3:15:33 PM] Weekend Testing: @Markus - we stop at 4pm as usual

[4/17/2010 3:15:38 PM] Markus Deibel: OK

[4/17/2010 3:15:46 PM] Jon Bach: Remember, the theme of this is complexity and how we deal with it when faced with a new challenge

[4/17/2010 3:16:14 PM] Jon Bach: There may be a meta mission here and that is, "how do testers deal with what may FEEL like complexity?"

[4/17/2010 3:17:15 PM] Markus Deibel: Guys I would like to suggest that at least one person checks the website for complexity and the rest covers the manuals.

[4/17/2010 3:17:27 PM] Markus Deibel: I personally prefer the System Users part

[4/17/2010 3:17:40 PM] Markus Deibel: i.e. manual

[4/17/2010 3:18:14 PM] sharath: I could team up with Markus, I have started looking at the system users part

[4/17/2010 3:18:42 PM] Tejas Shah: I am also on users page

[4/17/2010 3:18:50 PM] Tejas Shah: added new user role - tester

[4/17/2010 3:19:36 PM] ajay184f: where do we log bugs?

[4/17/2010 3:19:40 PM] narasimhareddyit: i will work on groups

[4/17/2010 3:19:51 PM] ajay184f: Pairing with Dhanasekar.

[4/17/2010 3:20:11 PM] Weekend Testing: @Ajay - http://bugrepository.com/

[4/17/2010 3:20:44 PM] Markus Deibel: Sharath, go with Tejas then i haven't started reading yet

[4/17/2010 3:20:48 PM] Markus Deibel: at least not on users

[4/17/2010 3:21:03 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Gunjan Sethi ***

[4/17/2010 3:21:09 PM] Dhanasekar S: and we are finding bug in Defining New loan product

[4/17/2010 3:21:27 PM] Jon Bach: Please log bugs (or issues) at http://typewith.me/W738DKavvQ

[4/17/2010 3:21:53 PM] Tejas Shah: i add user tester

[4/17/2010 3:22:52 PM] Jon Bach: Thanks, ajay... I forgot about Bug Repository, too

[4/17/2010 3:23:12 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Luis M. ***

[4/17/2010 3:23:16 PM] Weekend Testing: Hi Lius

[4/17/2010 3:23:51 PM] Tejas Shah: question - user mifos authority?

[4/17/2010 3:24:05 PM] Allmas Mullah: ajay, dhana, im also doing loan

[4/17/2010 3:24:39 PM] Markus Deibel: I'll go with the centers, groups and clients

[4/17/2010 3:25:37 PM] Jon Bach: @Tejas: can you be more specfic?

[4/17/2010 3:26:03 PM] Tejas Shah: Is mifos is admin level user?

[4/17/2010 3:26:10 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone, Testing is extended by 30 minutes. i.e, you can test for the next 1 hour instead of 30 minutes - A little gift by Jon himself:)

[4/17/2010 3:26:18 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone, Testing is extended by 30 minutes. i.e, you can test for the next 1 hour instead of 30 minutes - A little gift by Jon himself:)

[4/17/2010 3:26:43 PM] Jon Bach: I will be around for questions for up until 90 minutes after our scheduled ending time

[4/17/2010 3:27:08 PM] Weekend Testing: I will be around for questions for up until 90 minutes after our scheduled ending time

[4/17/2010 3:29:02 PM] Tejas Shah: create new user tester / 123456

[4/17/2010 3:29:07 PM] Tejas Shah: not able to login

[4/17/2010 3:29:49 PM] Jon Bach: @Tejas: got it -- thanks

[4/17/2010 3:36:10 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Richard R ***

[4/17/2010 3:38:16 PM] *** Weekend Testing added vijay kalkundri ***

[4/17/2010 3:39:00 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Amit Kulkarni ***

[4/17/2010 3:39:44 PM] Weekend Testing: Welcome Rich, Vijay and Amit

[4/17/2010 3:40:07 PM] Weekend Testing: For the benefit of late comers, I am re-posting the mission here

[4/17/2010 3:40:08 PM] Richard R: thanks, i look forward to taking part

[4/17/2010 3:40:09 PM] Weekend Testing: Application to Test

We have a newly written user manual on floss manuals -

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/WebHome. Using this manual, read the welcome (http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/Welcome) to learn a bit about the purpose of Mifos and pick one of the following sections and test the application to discover new bugs, usability improvements, and user manual improvements:

Mission

a. System Users

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToWorkWithRolesPermissionsAndSystetmUsers

b. Defining new loan products

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToDefineNewLoanProducts

c. Manage centers, groups and clients

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/CentersGroupsAndClientsOverview,

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToCreateANewCenter,

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToCreateANewGroup,

http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToCreateANewClient

URL Details [Test Servers]

http://ci.mifos.org:8108/mifos/

Credentials for Test Servers

User: mifos

Password: testmifos

[4/17/2010 3:40:39 PM] Weekend Testing: Bug Reporting

- 1. Go to http://bugrepository.com/.
- 2. If you are not already registered, please do so. It's a two step process.
- 3. File all the bugs under WT 32 project

[4/17/2010 3:40:45 PM] Weekend Testing: Bug Reporting

- 1. Go to http://bugrepository.com/.
- 2. If you are not already registered, please do so. It's a two step process.
- 3. File all the bugs under WT 32 project

[4/17/2010 3:41:48 PM] Jon Bach: There is also real-time notes if you want to dump them there (or collaborate) at

[4/17/2010 3:41:49 PM] Jon Bach: http://typewith.me/W738DKavvQ

[4/17/2010 3:42:40 PM] Weekend Testing: There is also real-time notes if you want to dump them there (or collaborate) at

http://typewith.me/W738DKavvQ

[4/17/2010 3:48:05 PM] Dhanasekar S: @Joh thanks for typewith.me ,very simple and efficient

[4/17/2010 3:48:45 PM] Weekend Testing: @Joh thanks for typewith.me ,very simple and efficient

[4/17/2010 3:49:41 PM] Markus Deibel: are u using typewithme in IE or Firefox?

[4/17/2010 3:50:04 PM] Jon Bach: Glad you like it. Comes in handy for thighs like this, though I forget that waht's typed is IMMEDIATELY entered for all to see

[4/17/2010 3:50:10 PM] Jon Bach: @markus: IE

[4/17/2010 3:50:35 PM] Tejas Shah: for me typwwithme is not responding fasr- in IE

[4/17/2010 3:51:34 PM] Jon Bach: hmmmm. may be a perf problem with typewithme -- I hane't tested it with this may before

[4/17/2010 3:51:47 PM] Jon Bach: many

[4/17/2010 3:52:58 PM] Dhanasekar S: @Jon true but that made me to be very careful in typing,i usually type with lots of typo then correct it, but because of typewith.me is a very careful so my typo correction reduced thus increase the efficiency:)

[4/17/2010 3:53:43 PM] sharath: agree with dhana

[4/17/2010 3:54:04 PM] Jon Bach: dhanasekar: :) This is the difference between recording and REPORTING.

[4/17/2010 3:54:24 PM] Jon Bach: But in this crew, they are the same without dire consequnces

[4/17/2010 3:54:48 PM] Jon Bach: It is informal and that is ok -- it is about the information, not the presentation

[4/17/2010 3:55:15 PM] Jon Bach: But if I were an executive listening in, I may care differently depending on how hungry I was for the information

[4/17/2010 3:55:47 PM] Weekend Testing: This is the difference between recording and REPORTING.

But in this crew, they are the same without dire consequnces

It is informal and that is ok -- it is about the information, not the presentation

But if I were an executive listening in, I may care differently depending on how hungry I was for the information

[4/17/2010 3:56:23 PM] Jon Bach: Recording is what you do for you -- Reporting is what you do for THEM

[4/17/2010 3:56:43 PM] Jon Bach: In this case, YOU and THEM are the same -- we're a gorup of collaborators.

[4/17/2010 3:57:11 PM] Jon Bach: On the other hand, if I were making a report to Jeff Brewster at Mifos on his this went, I would definitely reframe the information so it is more understandable to him

[4/17/2010 3:57:21 PM] Jon Bach: But they are two distinct skills

[4/17/2010 3:57:33 PM] Dhanasekar S: well said Jon

[4/17/2010 3:57:46 PM] Jon Bach: (I should save that convo for the debrief, shouldn't I?)

[4/17/2010 3:57:58 PM] Dhanasekar S: :)

[4/17/2010 3:58:09 PM] Weekend Testing: @Jon - Yes Jon. It would be useful

[4/17/2010 3:58:34 PM] *** Weekend Testing added Dhara ***

[4/17/2010 4:09:16 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - 20 minutes to go

[4/17/2010 4:10:33 PM] Amit Kulkarni: Jon - is there any way in typeme to share screenshot?

[4/17/2010 4:11:20 PM] Richard R: @ Jon. Writewith.me seems to have comments focussed on the functionality of the website, but are we not supposed to be focussing on the training materials from floss? Floss is our customer, and defects are problems with the instructions right?

[4/17/2010 4:14:56 PM] Jon Bach: I let people interpret their mission -- Jeff Brewster will accept whatever happens from those missions

[4/17/2010 4:15:22 PM] Jon Bach: Even if the tester went a different path

[4/17/2010 4:16:02 PM] Jon Bach: The missions are guidelines, not firm rules. If this was SBTM, many of these would be "opportunities", yes.

[4/17/2010 4:16:23 PM] Weekend Testing: The missions are guidelines, not firm rules. If this was SBTM, many of these would be "opportunities", yes.

[4/17/2010 4:16:32 PM] Dhanasekar S: i just defocused from the mission and about to focus back to the mission ,got your message :)

[4/17/2010 4:17:07 PM] Richard R: Well in that case - I love WT, for this very reason :P

[4/17/2010 4:17:21 PM] Jon Bach: @richrob: but as coordinator, I do have to make sure we don't stry too off course.

[4/17/2010 4:17:43 PM] Jon Bach: Example, if I started seeing bugsi n typewithme reported, I'd be concerned. :)

[4/17/2010 4:18:34 PM] Dhanasekar S: @Jon who are the users of this product ,are they from Bangladesh or India?

[4/17/2010 4:18:38 PM] Markus Deibel: I'm basically thorugh with my part. Is there anything that has not been covered so far?

[4/17/2010 4:18:55 PM] Jon Bach: @Dhanasekar: All over the world.

[4/17/2010 4:19:01 PM] Weekend Testing: @Markus - great question :)

[4/17/2010 4:19:18 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - 8 more minutes to go!

[4/17/2010 4:19:26 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - 8 more minutes to go!

[4/17/2010 4:19:29 PM] Jon Bach: But maybe there; s more to your question than I interpret

[4/17/2010 4:19:42 PM] Jon Bach: Why do you only make those two choices: Bang or India?

[4/17/2010 4:20:07 PM] Jon Bach: Is there something specific you noticed WRT those countries?

[4/17/2010 4:20:47 PM] Dhanasekar S: I think mifos started in Bangladesh

[4/17/2010 4:21:01 PM] Jon Bach: What makes you say that?

[4/17/2010 4:22:12 PM] Allmas Mullah: Grameen is from B'desh

[4/17/2010 4:22:29 PM] Dhanasekar S: Mohamed Yunus of bangladesh started the micro finance moment

[4/17/2010 4:22:44 PM] Dhanasekar S: yea Grameen bank

[4/17/2010 4:22:45 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - 5 minutes to go

[4/17/2010 4:23:16 PM] meeta prakash: But all addresses in overview docs have addresses from BLR

[4/17/2010 4:23:18 PM] meeta prakash: :) [4/17/2010 4:23:30 PM] Jon Bach: BLR? [4/17/2010 4:23:33 PM] meeta prakash: did anyone try to create new group? [4/17/2010 4:23:39 PM] meeta prakash: sorry ... Bangalore :) [4/17/2010 4:23:43 PM] Jon Bach: ah [4/17/2010 4:24:02 PM] Dhanasekar S: and they are my co-workers http://news.mifos.org/starcontributor-feb/ [4/17/2010 4:24:48 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - 3 minutes to go. Doesn't feel good to stop passionate testers from testing [4/17/2010 4:24:56 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - 3 minutes to go. Doesn't feel good to stop passionate testers from testing [4/17/2010 4:25:28 PM] Amit Kulkarni: I'm not stopping! :) [4/17/2010 4:25:43 PM] Weekend Testing: @Amit - Testers break the rules! [4/17/2010 4:25:59 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - 1 minute to go :) [4/17/2010 4:26:28 PM] Jon Bach: Dhanasekar: You work with them in your day job? [4/17/2010 4:27:09 PM] Dhanasekar S: yes [4/17/2010 4:27:21 PM] Dhanasekar S: but i am not in that project [4/17/2010 4:27:54 PM] ajay184f: hey 3 more mins as per my Skype clock [4/17/2010 4:27:58 PM] Weekend Testing: Pencils down please [4/17/2010 4:28:06 PM] ajay184f: its 4.27pm [4/17/2010 4:28:07 PM] ajay184f: :([4/17/2010 4:28:13 PM] Weekend Testing: In my system clock, we are past 1 minute:) [4/17/2010 4:28:49 PM] Weekend Testing: Ok Guys. Lets get started with the Debrief. Please save your notes on typewithme for further discussions [4/17/2010 4:28:56 PM] Weekend Testing: @Jon @Dhanasekar - It's a small world out there [4/17/2010 4:28:57 PM] Weekend Testing: Ok Guys! We stop testing now! [4/17/2010 4:29:09 PM] Weekend Testing: Debrief Session

- 1. State the mission you chose to test A, B or C?
- 2. For either mission A B or C, tell us what stopped you, interrupted you, blocked you or otherwise concerned you as a user?
- 3. What according to you is the complexity on test planning?

[4/17/2010 4:29:16 PM] Weekend Testing: @Jon - Let us know if you want to add more to the debrief.

[4/17/2010 4:29:44 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - Answer one by one :)

[4/17/2010 4:30:29 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - It would be ideal if you typed it onto a notepad and pasted your summary here based on which further discussion will happen [Too many moving pencils might cause confusion:)]

[4/17/2010 4:31:03 PM] Weekend Testing: Any questions?

[4/17/2010 4:32:06 PM] Jon Bach: The theme of this session was complexity -- either in your testing, in your head, or in the product. I'm studying that for a paper I want to rpesent at PNSQC and it would be good to get your thoughts

[4/17/2010 4:32:25 PM] Weekend Testing: The theme of this session was complexity -- either in your testing, in your head, or in the product. I'm studying that for a paper I want to rpesent at PNSQC and it would be good to get your thoughts

[4/17/2010 4:33:02 PM] Weekend Testing: Who wants to go first?

[4/17/2010 4:33:47 PM] Markus Deibel: writewith.me top-to-bottom?

[4/17/2010 4:34:02 PM] Weekend Testing: @Markus - I did not understand your question

[4/17/2010 4:34:28 PM] Markus Deibel: let's go in the same order as the entries in writewith.me

[4/17/2010 4:34:40 PM] Weekend Testing: Sure. That is a great idea:)

[4/17/2010 4:34:44 PM] ajay184f: let's go in the same order as the entries in writewith.megood one

[4/17/2010 4:35:29 PM] Jon Bach: Good idea, Markus

[4/17/2010 4:36:51 PM] Allmas Mullah: may I put in my thots now?

[4/17/2010 4:37:02 PM] Weekend Testing: @Allmas, go ahead!

[4/17/2010 4:37:12 PM] Allmas Mullah: I selected B

[4/17/2010 4:37:31 PM] Allmas Mullah: defining a new loan product seemed more interesting to me than other 2

[4/17/2010 4:37:50 PM] Allmas Mullah: I first looked at the screen and then read the manual

[4/17/2010 4:37:58 PM] Allmas Mullah: here are some observations:

[4/17/2010 4:38:08 PM] Allmas Mullah: e complexity?"

11

The manual for http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/HowToDefineNewLoanProducts is well written. It is simple, easy to follow.

How it could be better is by:

- Formatting of content; bulleting of points make reading easy
- Including Examples for various data entry fields
- Including the business rules/validations, eg. a product's start date cannot be less than today or the default interest rate must be between the min and max interest rates.
- Including links to related topics such as Creating a category, Defining Fees, Multi currency, Source of Funds
- Simplifying content, expecially in the initial 2 paras. For a new user, its too much info too soon, and that too without context.

[4/17/2010 4:40:29 PM] Allmas Mullah: Then I moved on to creating a new loan product works fine with basic values but when u start putting -ve data like alpha instead of number for date then u start finding the bugs

[4/17/2010 4:40:40 PM] Jon Bach: * Did the notion of complexity ever arise for you?

[4/17/2010 4:40:52 PM] Weekend Testing: * Did the notion of complexity ever arise for you?

[4/17/2010 4:41:10 PM] Richard R: Allmas, I agree with you. I chose B too. And I stopped reading the manual because there was too much to read (too complex). I felt I had to try the product to get context then re-read the manual. It was only at that stage that I could read the manual in detail to find issues with the wording etc. I found the manual was non-technical, and I needed some technical content to understand how to enter data in the fields - eg. the limits of the fields, or field types, or basic date rules

[4/17/2010 4:41:26 PM] Allmas Mullah: Yes, when I was reading the manual, understanding the content seemed difficult

[4/17/2010 4:42:20 PM] Allmas Mullah: Now even when Ive created a product I still dont know many things such as the impact of the values that I selected

[4/17/2010 4:42:25 PM] Richard R: Manual was too complex, but not the wording. It was written in a very basic way. It was just too much too soon. My brain was not prepared to read all that information. I had to try to learn it quickly in increments. That meant doing about 3 passes over the manual, as I was learning the data entry form.

[4/17/2010 4:42:41 PM] ajay184f: @Allmas: isn't some of the impact mentioned in the manual?

[4/17/2010 4:43:31 PM] Jon Bach: * So does complexity (for you) include confusion or ambiguity?

[4/17/2010 4:44:25 PM] Jon Bach: Let me take a poll, if I may:

[4/17/2010 4:44:39 PM] Jon Bach: Was the typewithme application "simple"?

[4/17/2010 4:44:42 PM] Allmas Mullah: It wasnt ambiguous

[4/17/2010 4:44:46 PM] Richard R: Complexity for me is about how easy it is to understand something. This could be the content, or the process. For me the process was complex.

[4/17/2010 4:44:50 PM] Dhanasekar S: yes

[4/17/2010 4:44:54 PM] Markus Deibel: yes

[4/17/2010 4:44:56 PM] sharath: yes,

[4/17/2010 4:44:56 PM] Amit Kulkarni: Yeah!

[4/17/2010 4:44:58 PM] Allmas Mullah: yes

[4/17/2010 4:44:59 PM] Richard R: typewithme was fun, but not simple

[4/17/2010 4:45:08 PM] Markus Deibel: if u use IE, that is;)

[4/17/2010 4:45:13 PM] Richard R: I couldnt understand the colours easily

[4/17/2010 4:45:19 PM] Jon Bach: Ok, now was Mifos "simple" (as opposed to complex)

[4/17/2010 4:45:25 PM] sharath: nope

[4/17/2010 4:45:25 PM] Richard R: but i enjoyed watching the words appear

[4/17/2010 4:45:29 PM] Richard R: :)

[4/17/2010 4:45:30 PM] Markus Deibel: no

[4/17/2010 4:46:01 PM] ajay184f: Yes, Simple according to my expectations.

[4/17/2010 4:46:08 PM] Dhanasekar S: nope ,application looks simple not the error messages :)

[4/17/2010 4:46:20 PM] Jon Bach: Good one, Ajay.

[4/17/2010 4:46:28 PM] sharath: even simple error messages demanded more time from me to understand the error

[4/17/2010 4:46:36 PM] Allmas Mullah: I'd say typewithme was simple coz we didnt have to test it, if a trained user were to use mifos then he'd find it simple too.

[4/17/2010 4:46:42 PM] Dhanasekar S: @sharath completely agree

[4/17/2010 4:46:52 PM] Markus Deibel: even simple error messages demanded more time from me to understand the errorthen I guess it was not "simple"

[4/17/2010 4:47:09 PM] Jon Bach: Fair point, Allmas: So, how might typewithme seem complex to someone?

[4/17/2010 4:47:23 PM] Jon Bach: Or complex to *us*?

[4/17/2010 4:47:40 PM] Richard R: no timestamping, or audit trails

[4/17/2010 4:48:16 PM] Gunjan Sethi: I though Myfos was complex as it required an initial learning. After understing it, I thought it was a fairly simple app to use

[4/17/2010 4:48:18 PM] Allmas Mullah: if the charter was to find how complex typewithme is then im sure this group wud find many points of complexity with it

[4/17/2010 4:48:20 PM | Removed by Weekend Testing, 4:51:25 PM] Luis M.: This message has been removed.

[4/17/2010 4:48:24 PM | Removed by Weekend Testing, 4:51:30 PM] Luis M.: This message has been removed.

[4/17/2010 4:49:39 PM] Jon Bach: Um, Luis? Is there a problem?

[4/17/2010 4:49:53 PM] Allmas Mullah: complexity would depend on the end-user

[4/17/2010 4:50:48 PM] Allmas Mullah: if I have ever seen/used a similar screen before then it wont seem as complex to me as much as it would seem to a tester in the network domain for example

[4/17/2010 4:50:54 PM | Removed by Weekend Testing, 4:51:20 PM] Luis M.: This message has been removed.

[4/17/2010 4:51:24 PM] Markus Deibel: Reported

[4/17/2010 4:51:57 PM | Removed by Weekend Testing, 4:52:58 PM] Luis M.: This message has been removed.

[4/17/2010 4:52:07 PM | Removed by Weekend Testing, 4:53:02 PM] Luis M.: This message has been removed.

[4/17/2010 4:52:12 PM | Removed 4:52:23 PM] ajay184f: This message has been removed.

[4/17/2010 4:52:14 PM] *** Weekend Testing ejected Luis M. from this conversation. ***

[4/17/2010 4:52:59 PM] ajay184f: Lets continue friends, A different kind of trap!!!

[4/17/2010 4:53:13 PM] Dhanasekar S: :) good one Ajay

[4/17/2010 4:53:20 PM] Allmas Mullah: yes ...

[4/17/2010 4:53:32 PM] Weekend Testing: Yeah - Apologies Guys. We never imagined Weekend Testing could have a stalker:(

[4/17/2010 4:53:45 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Jon could you let us know what kind of users would use Mifos?

[4/17/2010 4:54:06 PM] ajay184f: isn't that too late @ Allmas, better late than never?

[4/17/2010 4:54:08 PM] Jon Bach: @allmas: I'm not sure, actually.

[4/17/2010 4:54:38 PM] Allmas Mullah: wanted to know to re-focus on the complexity angle

[4/17/2010 4:54:50 PM] Dhanasekar S: It is tough to take call on complexity with out knowing the users i feel

[4/17/2010 4:55:03 PM] Jon Bach: Could typewithme seem complex to testers like us?

[4/17/2010 4:55:17 PM] Allmas Mullah: if its someone who is just about computer literate with english as his 3rd language then yes mifos is complex for him

[4/17/2010 4:55:20 PM] Dhanasekar S: @Joh typewithme is easy

[4/17/2010 4:55:26 PM] Jon Bach: There's an interesting juxtaposition here with teh two apps we used in this sessions

[4/17/2010 4:55:56 PM] Richard R: It takes time to understand content or instructions. They seem complex at first, because we are unfamiliar. I find that learning in waves works best for me. I learn the structure of a problem/content first, then i learn the major components, and finally I fill in the gaps. I require my learning materials to be presented in a similar way.

For typewithme, I would require:

- 1. basic instructions on basic functionality (Jon B provided this at the top but its a pity i started reading from the middle??? my bad)
- 2. further instructions on more features

3. full info on all functionality

[4/17/2010 4:55:56 PM] Amit Kulkarni: @Jon - it would be complex for those who are not used to deal with such app - typewithme.

[4/17/2010 4:56:30 PM] ajay184f: we were not forced to use typewithme

[4/17/2010 4:56:37 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Jon, it would be interesting if we tested typewithme for complexity and then compared the results with mifos

[4/17/2010 4:56:51 PM] Amit Kulkarni: Complexity = Users using it. Is it?

[4/17/2010 4:57:08 PM] narasimhareddyit: @Jon, typewithme easy

[4/17/2010 4:57:49 PM] Jon Bach: Complexity: "characterizing something with many parts in intricate arrangement"

[4/17/2010 4:57:50 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Amit complexity = end-user perception of app in terms of ease of use, understanding, usability etc. ... accn to me

[4/17/2010 4:57:52 PM] sharath: I feel typewithme felt easier for all of us because we have used wiki, notepad, and similar tools before

[4/17/2010 4:58:10 PM] Weekend Testing: @Meeta @Amit - what are your views?

[4/17/2010 4:58:18 PM] Allmas Mullah: and we used typewithme just to type, nothing else

[4/17/2010 4:59:03 PM] Richard R: I like writewithme. I would use it for collaboration in business. its almost like a whiteboarding tool for the internet

[4/17/2010 4:59:25 PM] Dhanasekar S: but i compared with Wave and google doc to say typewithme is simple ,again suits the context but when we need to attach a screenshot we would have said typewithme is complex

[4/17/2010 5:00:35 PM] Jon Bach: * Would we find typewithme complex if we were responsible for testing it?

[4/17/2010 5:01:01 PM] sharath: I feel it would depend on the mission

[4/17/2010 5:01:04 PM] Richard R: Yes - only at first. If we use an exploratory approach

[4/17/2010 5:01:04 PM] Dhanasekar S: not really

[4/17/2010 5:01:06 PM] Allmas Mullah: maybe

[4/17/2010 5:01:11 PM] Jon Bach: COuld we come up with a complex charter, that is? Or execute a charter that caused us to consider the app "intricate"?

[4/17/2010 5:01:20 PM] Dhanasekar S: it depends on the mission

[4/17/2010 5:01:30 PM] ajay184f: * why are we discussing about complexity of typewithme? Wasn't our mission to test Mifos? Please correct me if I am wrong.

[4/17/2010 5:01:31 PM] Allmas Mullah: yes

[4/17/2010 5:01:57 PM] Gunjan Sethi: Assuming its only a whiteboard which displays whatever we type, it would be simple to test it

[4/17/2010 5:02:00 PM] Jon Bach: @Ajay: it was, but this was kind of a double-blind experiment for me to establish the notion of complexity

[4/17/2010 5:02:03 PM] Allmas Mullah: @ajay our mission was ... [3:16:15 PM] Jon Bach: There may be a meta mission here and that is, "how do testers deal with what may FEEL like complexity?"

[4/17/2010 5:02:06 PM] Richard R: yes, im sure we can come up with a complex charter, and therefore making writewithme complex

[4/17/2010 5:02:18 PM] Richard R: im sure even notepad can be complex if we make it so

[4/17/2010 5:02:21 PM] ajay184f: ok @Jon.

[4/17/2010 5:02:28 PM] Weekend Testing: @Ajay: it was, but this was kind of a double-blind experiment for me to establish the notion of complexity

[4/17/2010 5:02:46 PM] Jon Bach: Talking about mifos is well in bounds, but as an oracle of "simplicty", it may be useful of talk about typewithme

[4/17/2010 5:02:48 PM] Gunjan Sethi: But then again, of we take into account number of users, different bandwidths, lannguages, it would not be a complex task to test even this simple app

[4/17/2010 5:03:28 PM] Jon Bach: @gunjan: I think I agree with you there.

[4/17/2010 5:03:35 PM] Gunjan Sethi: For me complexity is not dependent simply on the app but what we want to do with it.

[4/17/2010 5:04:06 PM] ajay184f: Complexity is how you perceive it.

[4/17/2010 5:04:54 PM] Jon Bach: @Ajay: I agree! How is that that we perceive complexity? Is it just as ismple as overocming ignorance and confusion, or taking one piece at a time and building on it?

[4/17/2010 5:05:06 PM] Markus Deibel: @gunjan: I disagree. You can do a complex job using an app that is complex to use or an app that is well structured and automates some of the steps and is thus more simple

[4/17/2010 5:05:11 PM] Jon Bach: My other borther is an airline pilot -- the panel is very complex

[4/17/2010 5:05:35 PM] Jon Bach: But he knows all of those systems -- he just doesn't use them all at ONCE, but mayne systems do interact -- he jst knows WHY and WHEN to use them

[4/17/2010 5:05:49 PM] Gunjan Sethi: Come to thik of it now I think testing itself is a complex task...As testers we need to think of all the various complexities within every app.

[4/17/2010 5:06:12 PM] Dhanasekar S: google does one of the most complex job, but using google is the simplest think

[4/17/2010 5:06:23 PM] Richard R: @Jon/Ajay: yes i agree, if you are aware of your though processes and feelings you can manage your ignorance and confusion well. James B taught me this.

[4/17/2010 5:06:25 PM] Dhanasekar S: *thing

[4/17/2010 5:07:26 PM] Gunjan Sethi: @Markus, I get what you are saying, a complex app (in terms of its implementation) can be simple to use and hence simplify a complex task. But then won't testing it still be a complex task?

[4/17/2010 5:07:46 PM] Richard R: @Jon: Your brother is familiar with all the systems. He knows exactly when to use any of them. Driving a car is complex at first. But then you just get good at it. And it becomes simple

[4/17/2010 5:08:03 PM] Richard R: ---- unless you are in a tricky situation, then it becomes complex again...hmmmm

[4/17/2010 5:08:10 PM] Gunjan Sethi: @Markus does this mean testing is never a simple task. Using an app may or may not be simple?

[4/17/2010 5:08:17 PM] Jon Bach: @richrob: I agree.

[4/17/2010 5:08:36 PM] Allmas Mullah: @richrob ... well said

[4/17/2010 5:09:16 PM] Jon Bach: * What is your antidote, then, to overcome complexity, or make something more simple?

[4/17/2010 5:09:26 PM] ajay184f: @Jon/Ajay: yes i agree, if you are aware of your though processes and feelings you can manage your ignorance and confusion well. James B taught me this.I agree. The moment I start thinking some thing is complex, I'm under its control.

[4/17/2010 5:09:28 PM] Jon Bach: (like we found typewithme to be)

[4/17/2010 5:09:42 PM] Markus Deibel: @Gunjan: I think also testing the same app can be simple or complex, depending on the "built-in testability features"

[4/17/2010 5:10:02 PM] Richard R: @Jon: i know exactly what your question is, I feel it everyday as test lead/manager.

[4/17/2010 5:10:07 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Jon, breaking it down into sizeable chunks to get a feeling of control as u said above

[4/17/2010 5:10:17 PM] Jon Bach: @Ajay: That is very profound, and well said. When learning to fly, my Dad reminds me that I am flying the airplane, it is not flying ME.

[4/17/2010 5:10:34 PM | Edited 5:10:49 PM] ajay184f: Get to the base state- The state where things are simple and build piece by piece.

[4/17/2010 5:10:58 PM] Gunjan Sethi: @Markus yes makes sense.

[4/17/2010 5:11:29 PM] Richard R: How about this: when I think about when Im in a complex situation, I feel at ease when I defocus, or roll-up my thinking, or reduce the granularity. Everyone has common sense, and can think about complex issues in a simple, logical way.

[4/17/2010 5:11:32 PM] Jon Bach: In Jonathan Livingston Seagull, there is a line: "Let's begin with level flight."

[4/17/2010 5:12:03 PM] Richard R: Therefore - to overcome complexity, you have to acknowledge the level of detail you are at, and move between your 'safe zone' and the complex zone.

[4/17/2010 5:12:07 PM] Dhanasekar S: everthing is complex until we visuvalise or understand it ,so analysing and understanding is the antitode

[4/17/2010 5:12:21 PM] Weekend Testing: How about this: when i think about when Im in a complex situation, I feel at ease when I defocus, or roll-up my thinking, or reduce the granularity. Everyone has common sense, and can think about complex issues in a simple, logical way.

[4/17/2010 5:12:34 PM] Richard R: There is always a level you are confident with. If you freak out, then go back to your 'safe place' and start again.

[4/17/2010 5:12:36 PM] Weekend Testing: Therefore - to overcome complexity, you have to acknowledge the level of detail you are at, and move between your 'safe zone' and the complex zone.

[4/17/2010 5:12:43 PM] Jon Bach: @richrob: I like that -- moving in and out of states

[4/17/2010 5:13:11 PM] ajay184f: understanding and assuring self that even this (complex) will be simple in few minutes time, helps me.

[4/17/2010 5:13:44 PM] ajay184f: There is always a level you are confident with. If you freak out, then go back to your 'safe place' and start again. Well said. Applicable so much to bugs and testing.

[4/17/2010 5:13:47 PM] sharath: There is always a level you are confident with. If you freak out, then go back to your 'safe place' and start again.--fantastic

[4/17/2010 5:13:59 PM] Markus Deibel: everthing is complex until we visuvalise or understand it ,so analysing and understanding is the antitodeLet me pick this up on an example from Mifos. When I read

the introduction to centres, groups and clients

(http://en.flossmanuals.net/bin/view/Mifos/CentersGroupsAndClientsOverview) my first thought was: That's to complex to understand from the prose I need to draw a diagramm

[4/17/2010 5:15:00 PM] Markus Deibel: That was what Rich describes as "freaking out" I guess

[4/17/2010 5:15:03 PM] Richard R: @Markus: yes!!! I find i need diagrams all the time, and use them as my safe place

[4/17/2010 5:15:30 PM] Richard R: I can take the diagram with me to all my meetings and talk about technical stuff and sound competent

[4/17/2010 5:15:58 PM] Ravisuriya: Something like this said by Albert Einstein "The significant problems we face cannot be solved at the same level of thinking we were at when we created them", right?

[4/17/2010 5:16:18 PM] Gunjan Sethi: [Saturday, April 17, 2010 5:15 PM] Richard R:

<<< I can take the diagram with me to all my meetings and talk about technical stuff and sound competent

Guess this is what modelling is all about

[4/17/2010 5:17:18 PM] Jon Bach: * Models can be complex, though. So is complexity just perception?

[4/17/2010 5:17:21 PM] Gunjan Sethi: Modelling helps us find out safe place when looking at a complex piece.

[4/17/2010 5:17:38 PM] Richard R: INdeed, so if we model at each level or state, then we improve our level of understanding, and the depth of confidence with complexity. Its just like a baseball game, and you move from base to base, eventually scoring a point

[4/17/2010 5:18:19 PM] Gunjan Sethi: * Models can be complex, though. So is complexity just perception? One persons model can be absolute Greek to another..so yes complexity is a perception based on what we already know.

[4/17/2010 5:18:29 PM] Dhanasekar S: Any discussion goes beyond one hour is compex for me

[4/17/2010 5:18:30 PM] Jon Bach: I find cricket complex. But not chess.

[4/17/2010 5:18:55 PM] Jon Bach: But if I learned the circket rules (or even played it), it may not be.

[4/17/2010 5:19:03 PM] Weekend Testing: I find cricket complex. But not chess.

But if I learned the circket rules (or even played it), it may not be.

[4/17/2010 5:19:08 PM] Allmas Mullah: Aha ... bcoz u dont like cricket

[4/17/2010 5:19:19 PM] Richard R: @Jon: are all things affecting individuals based on perception? eg. fear - only the individual can describe the level they are experiencing. Complexity would be the same i would imagine. but thats just me and my perception of your comment.

[4/17/2010 5:19:22 PM] Allmas Mullah: so is something complex bcoz we dont like it?

[4/17/2010 5:19:56 PM] Dhanasekar S: power off i have to sign off now :(

[4/17/2010 5:20:03 PM] Jon Bach: I like how excited people get about cricket -- the crowd and announcers

[4/17/2010 5:20:05 PM] Richard R: @Jon: cricket: but do you need to know all the rules or play it for 10 years to feel it is no longer complex?

[4/17/2010 5:20:32 PM] sharath: I feel something becomes complex, if we do not find a way to simplify it.

[4/17/2010 5:20:38 PM] Jon Bach: That makes me what to understand its complexity

[4/17/2010 5:20:43 PM] Jon Bach: @sharath: exactly!

[4/17/2010 5:21:41 PM] ajay184f: I feel something becomes complex, if we do not find a way to simplify it.Nice, True.

[4/17/2010 5:21:55 PM] sharath: i feel if the approach to break complexity is simpler we will not find it complex some thing would feel complex because we have not found a way to simplify

[4/17/2010 5:22:12 PM] Richard R: Sometimes you can sleep on a complex problem, and the answer appears in your head when you wake up??? so was the problem complex in the first place??? that always has baffled me :S

[4/17/2010 5:24:19 PM] meeta prakash: Sorry I was distracted a bit due to some local issue

[4/17/2010 5:24:29 PM] meeta prakash: was not at my comp for a while

[4/17/2010 5:24:49 PM] Ravisuriya: @Sharath good one. a question came to my mind. Does over simplyfing can be a problem or make much more complex?

[4/17/2010 5:25:22 PM] Dhanasekar S: Any discussion goes beyond one hour is compex for me

[4/17/2010 5:25:25 PM] sharath: @ravi what do you mean by over simplifying

[4/17/2010 5:25:36 PM] sharath: good one dhana

[4/17/2010 5:25:54 PM] meeta prakash: the first issue i felt was the information flow in the manual docs

[4/17/2010 5:25:56 PM] sharath: @richob: very true

[4/17/2010 5:26:22 PM] Dhanasekar S: aren't we making this disscussion more complex,it is atleast for me :(

[4/17/2010 5:27:06 PM] Ravisuriya: @sharath ifwe don't know when to stop simplyfing.

[4/17/2010 5:27:17 PM] Dhanasekar S: if we didnt come to a concluion this discussion would also be a complex one

[4/17/2010 5:27:55 PM] Richard R: So, how do we know when something is complex? Is it when we are confused, unconfident and lonely?

[4/17/2010 5:27:55 PM] meeta prakash: they were information overloaded ...it acted more as a trap for mefirst mistake i did was to read them allmade me waste much timethey were so similar that later when i tried to re-use the info, i was confused and re-usability over memory became an issue and i had to keep referring back to manual at each stepbecame more like step by step test case execution

[4/17/2010 5:29:07 PM] Dhanasekar S: if u cant visuvalise then it is complex

[4/17/2010 5:29:34 PM] Tejas Shah: very true richrob @

[Saturday, April 17, 2010 5:28 PM] Richard R:

<>< So, how do we know when something is complex? Is it when we are confused, unconfident and lonely?

[4/17/2010 5:29:37 PM] ajay184f: if its not simple, its complex. Now what's simple to you might not be for me. so its a perception. Agree?

[4/17/2010 5:29:43 PM] Tejas Shah: i feel same as joing after long time

[4/17/2010 5:30:49 PM] Allmas Mullah: if I dont like something or am not interested in it then it seems complex to me. For eg. a math problem

[4/17/2010 5:30:55 PM] Richard R: If you dont know how to find the answers, does that make it complex?

[4/17/2010 5:30:56 PM] Richard R: Yes, perception is the way of measuring the level of complexity

[4/17/2010 5:30:58 PM] Richard R: but if you are not aware of something being complex, how can you measure your perception?

[4/17/2010 5:31:02 PM] meeta prakash: I liked using type with me but the color coding and the way it handled the entries were little confusing in earlyy stages

[4/17/2010 5:31:13 PM] Jon Bach: There are some good notions of complexity here in this thread. But how do we keep this thread itself from becoming complex?

[4/17/2010 5:31:39 PM] Weekend Testing: There are some good notions of complexity here in this thread. But how do we keep this thread itself from becoming complex?

[4/17/2010 5:31:40 PM] Jon Bach: There are a lot of contributors, which is one complexity factor...

[4/17/2010 5:31:46 PM] meeta prakash: :) Too tough Jon

[4/17/2010 5:31:52 PM] Jon Bach: There is the notion of new information coming in every second, which is another...

[4/17/2010 5:32:05 PM] Richard R: @Jon: you guide the discussion with your questions

[4/17/2010 5:32:06 PM] Jon Bach: There is the notion of emotion and fatigue, which contributes to that as well

[4/17/2010 5:32:13 PM] meeta prakash: @jon ...specially for the coordinator who trying to gather all data points and answer all questions

[4/17/2010 5:32:26 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Jon, would u give us a background of ur study on complexity?

[4/17/2010 5:32:37 PM] Jon Bach: One idea was to take turns, but I vetoed that and Ajay and Pari let me

[4/17/2010 5:32:37 PM] Tejas Shah: @Jon - and more are silent watcher as me

[4/17/2010 5:32:50 PM] Richard R: Questions would be a focussing method. I think the more pencils wagging the better!!!

[4/17/2010 5:32:53 PM] Jon Bach: Which is another meta construct of complexity I wanted to explore -- complexity in tester debriefings

[4/17/2010 5:33:00 PM] Richard R: I especially liked it when 6 were all going at once

[4/17/2010 5:33:36 PM] Jon Bach: Let me just say this to all. I have gotten more than I hoped for from this WT session.

[4/17/2010 5:33:59 PM] Jon Bach: I got to learn about psychological notions of complexity...

[4/17/2010 5:34:16 PM] Jon Bach: The notion of simplicity (typewithme)...

[4/17/2010 5:34:40 PM] Jon Bach: The notion of ignorance, confusion about Mifos (and some bugs to report, sure)...

[4/17/2010 5:35:05 PM] Weekend Testing: Let me just say this to all. I have gotten more than I hoped for from this WT session.

I got to learn about psychological notions of complexity...

The notion of simplicity (typewithme)...

The notion of ignorance, confusion about Mifos (and some bugs to report, sure)...

[4/17/2010 5:35:23 PM] Richard R: I am very interested in the white paper you write Jon, and the references to the WT team :)

[4/17/2010 5:35:38 PM] Weekend Testing: I am very interested in the white paper you write Jon, and the references to the WT team:)

[4/17/2010 5:36:15 PM] Jon Bach: For me, more conversation would be "gilding the lily" because I have learned what I want to say about testing and complexity thanks to you all.

[4/17/2010 5:37:03 PM] Richard R: You're welcome. I too have learned a lot. And can apply this to work next week.

[4/17/2010 5:37:19 PM] Dhanasekar S: It is our pleasure Jon

[4/17/2010 5:37:29 PM] Jon Bach: I'd like to blog about this and see how (or if) it influences next week's session.

[4/17/2010 5:37:29 PM] ajay184f: Thanks a lot Jon. Interesting session with focus on complexity, and a very interesting de-brief. :)

[4/17/2010 5:37:31 PM] sharath: thanks for the wonderful session - jon

[4/17/2010 5:37:48 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - Just to let you all know, Jon was awake from 2.00 am his time to be with us today. It would not have happened if he wasn't passionate about taking part. Thanks a lot Jon!

[4/17/2010 5:38:00 PM] meeta prakash: Thanks Jon!!

[4/17/2010 5:38:01 PM] Weekend Testing: I'd like to blog about this and see how (or if) it influences next week's session.

[4/17/2010 5:38:05 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Jon, looking forward to ur blog

[4/17/2010 5:38:10 PM] Weekend Testing:

Wow. WT on Jon's blog - Rocking!!!

[4/17/2010 5:38:14 PM] Tejas Shah: Thanks Jon !!!

[4/17/2010 5:38:22 PM] Richard R: Thanks from NZ - just gone past midnight:)

[4/17/2010 5:38:23 PM] Jon Bach: Worth staying up late for, and a pleasure to get to know you all now on Skype. I tend to stay up late, which is prime time for most of you over there.

[4/17/2010 5:38:31 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Rich would like to know ur thots too, if u plan to blog abt this let us know the link plz

[4/17/2010 5:38:48 PM] Jon Bach: Will do -- I am @jbtestpilot on Twitter

[4/17/2010 5:39:06 PM] Jon Bach: http://jonbox.wordpress.com AND http://www.quardev.com/blog

[4/17/2010 5:39:18 PM] Weekend Testing: http://jonbox.wordpress.com AND http://www.quardev.com/blog

[4/17/2010 5:39:57 PM] Richard R: @ Allmas - thank you. I'm a little blog-shy at the moment. But do plan to get one up soon!

[4/17/2010 5:40:11 PM] Weekend Testing: @Everyone - Next week's session by Jon Bach is based on what we did today. I hope to see you all next week for the WT 33 follow up session next week

[4/17/2010 5:40:22 PM] Allmas Mullah: @Jon, dont know when Id get this chance again to ask u.... how do I pay my regards to ur dad, he's one of my most fav authors, and his books have had a phenomenal positive impact on me.

[4/17/2010 5:40:31 PM] meeta prakash: @Pari @Ajay @sharath @ santhosh.... hope u'll post the transcript

[4/17/2010 5:40:43 PM] Weekend Testing: @Meeta - We'll do that Meeta

[4/17/2010 5:40:48 PM] Jon Bach: I want us to test typewithme next week -- to see how complex it really is.

[4/17/2010 5:41:01 PM] Weekend Testing: I want us to test typewithme next week -- to see how complex it really is.

[4/17/2010 5:41:02 PM] ajay184f: @Everyone - Next week's session by Jon Bach is based on what we did today. I hope to see you all next week for the WT 33 follow up session next week

[4/17/2010 5:41:03 PM] Markus Deibel: Guys thanks to all for a great session, especially Jon and the WT

[4/17/2010 5:41:17 PM] Jon Bach: @allmas. Email me your thoughts about Dad (or message to him) and I will forward on to him

[4/17/2010 5:41:18 PM] Markus Deibel: I might see some of you in today's EWT session

[4/17/2010 5:41:28 PM] sharath: @jon: cool idea, tht will be interesting

[4/17/2010 5:41:30 PM] meeta prakash: @jon ... following color trail and retaining one you have was one complexity I found on typewithme

[4/17/2010 5:41:31 PM] Allmas Mullah: @thanks Jon :)

[4/17/2010 5:41:31 PM] Richard R: Great! If I have a big Friday, and hence at home Sat night, I'm there!

[4/17/2010 5:41:55 PM] Markus Deibel: bye

[4/17/2010 5:42:57 PM] ajay184f: EWT tonight at 3.30pm GMT

[4/17/2010 5:42:59 PM] ajay184f: 9pm IST

[4/17/2010 5:43:19 PM] ajay184f: please add 'europetesters' as your skype contact to participate.

[4/17/2010 5:43:39 PM] Allmas Mullah: thanks all bye for now!

[4/17/2010 5:43:44 PM] Dhanasekar S: Thanks weekendtester and Jon

[4/17/2010 5:43:48 PM] Dhanasekar S: bye

[4/17/2010 5:44:08 PM] Richard R: Thanks to all, bye

[4/17/2010 5:44:33 PM] Jon Bach: Thanks, all! Good session.

[4/17/2010 5:44:43 PM] narasimhareddyit: thanks to all

[4/17/2010 5:44:44 PM] sharath: have a nice weekend bye all :)

[4/17/2010 5:44:57 PM] Weekend Testing: Thank you very much Jon. One of the wonderful sessions ever at WT!

[4/17/2010 5:45:46 PM] Jon Bach: :)

[4/17/2010 5:46:00 PM] Weekend Testing: Thank you everyone! See you all in the next session with Jon Bach!

[4/17/2010 5:49:25 PM] meeta prakash: Thanks to all