Technical	Report	Documentation	Page
	report	Documentation	

1. Report No. FHWA/TX-01/1863-1	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.						
4. Title and Subtitle USER'S GUIDE FOR THE MODU CORRECTION PROGRAM (MTC	ILUS TEMPERATURE P)	5. Report Date October 2000 Resubmitted: January 2001						
7. Author(s) Emmanuel G. Fernando and Wentin	ng Liu	8. Performing Organization Report No. Report 1863-1						
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas Transportation Institute		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)						
The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-313	n 5	11. Contract or Grant No. Project No. 0-1863						
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation Construction Division	1	13. Type of Report and Period CoveredResearch:September 1998 - August 2000						
Research and Technology Transfer P. O. Box 5080 Austin, Texas 78763-5080	Section	14. Sponsoring Agency Code						
 15. Supplementary Notes Research performed in cooperation Transportation, Federal Highway A Research Project Title: Evaluate the 	with the Texas Department of Transp dministration. Use of FWD Data in Determining So	oortation and the U.S. Department of						
Structural Strength								

The Falling Weight Deflectometer is commonly used in Texas for pavement evaluation and design purposes. Typically, measurements are made at a given date so that the data reflect the environmental conditions prevailing during the time of measurement. For pavement applications, the backcalculated asphalt concrete modulus needs to be adjusted or corrected to reference or standard conditions of temperature and loading frequency. The Modulus Temperature Correction Program (MTCP) incorporates procedures for adjusting backcalculated asphalt concrete (AC) moduli to user-prescribed reference pavement temperatures. In this way, seasonal variations in AC modulus may be predicted for pavement evaluation and design purposes. Instructions in using MTCP are given in this user's manual.

17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement								
Modulus Backcalculation, Modulus	Temperature	No restrictions.	This document is a	vailable to the						
Correction, Falling Weight Deflecto	meter,	public through NTIS:								
Nondestructive Testing, Pavement H	Evaluation	National Technical Information Service								
		5285 Port Royal Road								
		Springfield, Virg	inia 22161							
19. Security Classif.(of this report)	20. Security Classif.(of th	nis page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price						
Unclassified	Unclassified	56								

USER'S GUIDE FOR THE MODULUS TEMPERATURE CORRECTION PROGRAM (MTCP)

by

Emmanuel G. Fernando Associate Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

and

Wenting Liu Assistant Research Scientist Texas Transportation Institute

Report 1863-1 Project Number 0-1863 Research Project Title: Evaluate the Use of FWD Data in Determining Seasonal Variations in Pavement Structural Strength

> Sponsored by the Texas Department of Transportation In Cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

> > October 2000 Resubmitted: January 2001

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) or the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it intended for construction, bidding, or permit purposes. The engineer in charge of the project was Dr. Emmanuel G. Fernando, P.E. # 69614.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work reported herein was conducted as part of a research project sponsored by TxDOT and FHWA. The objective of the study was to develop an automated procedure for temperature correction of backcalculated asphalt concrete modulus. The researchers gratefully acknowledge the support and guidance of the project director, Dr. Michael Murphy, of the Pavements Section of TxDOT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
LIST OF FIGURES vii
LIST OF TABLES
CHAPTER
I INTRODUCTION
Background and Scope of Report
II USING THE MODULUS TEMPERATURE CORRECTION PROGRAM
Specifying MTCP Input Files 1 Performing the Temperature Correction 1 Monthly Modulus Prediction 26
III MTCP OUTPUT
Getting Output of Analysis Results 35 Saving the Analysis Results 38
REFERENCES
APPENDIX: FLOW CHART OF MODULUS TEMPERATURE CORRECTION PROGRAM

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1	MTCP Title Screen
2	MTCP Main Menu
3	Dialog Box for Specifying MODULUS Output File to Analyze
4	MODULUS Output File Imported into MTCP7
5	Viewing the MODULUS Summary Output File
6	Dialog Box for Specifying the FWD Data File
7	Temperature Data Imported from the FWD Data File
8	Dialog Box to Specify Input Data for Pavement Temperature Prediction
9	Equations Available for Predicting Pavement Temperatures
10	Predicted Pavement Temperatures from MTCP16
11	Resizing the Comment Box Using the <i>Edit Comment</i> Function in Excel
12	Dialog Box Showing TxDOT's Equation for Modulus Temperature Correction
13	Chen Equation for Modulus Temperature Correction
14	Dialog Box for Temperature Correction Based on Witczak's Dynamic Modulus Equation
15	Drop-Down List of AC-Graded Asphalts with Default Coefficients
16	Temperature Corrected Moduli from the Analysis
17	Dialog Box of Monthly Air Temperatures for Specified County
18	List of Texas Counties by District (TxDOT, 1998)

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
19	Dialog Box of Input Parameters for the Monthly Modulus Prediction
20	Dialog Box to Specify Equation for Monthly Modulus Prediction
21	Illustration of Output from Monthly Modulus Prediction
22	MTCP Plot Menu
23	Example Plot of Corrected and Backcalculated AC Moduli vs Pavement Temperature
24	Example Plot of Predicted Monthly Variations in AC Modulus
25	Dialog Box to Delete Program Worksheets Prior to a New Analysis

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Pa	ge
1	Coefficients of the BELLS2 and BELLS3 Equations	13
2	Default A and VTS Coefficients for AC-Graded Asphalts	23
3	Default A and VTS Coefficients for PG-Graded Asphalts	24
4	Available Charts in MTCP	37

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF REPORT

The Texas Department of Transportation uses the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) for pavement evaluation. A common application is the backcalculation of pavement layer moduli by deflection basin fitting. In Texas, pavement engineers use the MODULUS program (Michalak and Scullion, 1995) to provide estimates of pavement layer moduli from measured FWD deflections. These estimates are subsequently used in other applications, such as the FPS-19 flexible pavement design procedure, the Program for Analyzing Loads Superheavy (Jooste and Fernando, 1995 and Fernando, 1997), and the Program for Load Zoning Analysis (PLZA) developed by Fernando and Liu (1999).

For pavement applications, the results obtained from the FWD need to be adjusted or corrected to reference or standard conditions of temperature, moisture, and loading frequency. The Modulus Temperature Correction Program (MTCP) described in this user's guide incorporates procedures for adjusting asphalt concrete moduli to user-prescribed reference pavement temperatures. To provide compatibility with the MODULUS program which is currently implemented within TxDOT, the output from MODULUS is used as an input to the modulus temperature correction program. This approach is expected to facilitate the implementation of MTCP within TxDOT.

To provide for implementation, researchers prepared this user's guide to explain how MTCP is used. The guide is organized into three chapters and an appendix:

- 1. Chapter I identifies the system requirements for running MTCP;
- Chapter II provides instructions on using the program to estimate pavement temperatures, adjust backcalculated asphalt concrete (AC) moduli to a specified reference temperature, and predict the monthly variation of AC moduli at a given site;
- 3. Chapter III illustrates program output; and
- 4. the appendix provides a flow chart that may serve as a map of the analysis functions for practical applications.

Users of the program must have a working knowledge of MODULUS. The temperature corrections depend, to a considerable degree, on the layer moduli backcalculated from the FWD data. Thus, having a good working knowledge of MODULUS (and backcalculation, in general) will aid in understanding the results from the temperature corrections. In practice, it may be necessary to run MODULUS and MTCP a number of times to achieve realistic and reasonable results, particularly when the initial backcalculation indicates the need to divide the FWD data into two or more segments to better model the variations in pavement deflections, backcalculated moduli, or pavement layering.

SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS

MTCP requires a microcomputer with the Windows (9x, NT, 2000, ME) operating system and Microsoft Excel, version 97 or later. A Pentium microprocessor or its equivalent and a minimum of 32 Mb of memory are recommended. Users must have a good working knowledge of the Windows operating system and Microsoft Excel. To install the program, simply copy the files in the program disk onto a subdirectory of your computer's hard drive. For example, you may want to create a subdirectory called C:\MTCP and copy the program files into this subdirectory. Once you copy the files, you may run the program by first going into Excel and loading the spreadsheet called *MTCP.XLS* in the program subdirectory using Excel's File/Open command. You will then be asked if you want to disable or enable the macros that are in the MTCP spreadsheet. Click on the *Enable Macros* button of the dialog box to use the program for temperature correction of backcalculated AC moduli. The title screen in Figure 1 is then displayed. If the MTCP title screen is bigger or smaller than your computer display, you may resize the screen using the zoom box at the top toolbar of the Excel spreadsheet. In the example shown in Figure 1, the zoom box is currently set at 100%. Click the size you want in the zoom box or enter a number between 10 and 400 to resize the screen.

To start using MTCP, click anywhere on the MTCP title screen to get to the main program menu illustrated in Figure 2. From this menu, you may access the available program functions. The available functions are described in the remainder of this user's guide.



Figure 1. MTCP Title Screen.



Figure 2. MTCP Main Menu.

CHAPTER II USING THE MODULUS TEMPERATURE CORRECTION PROGRAM

The FWD is commonly used in Texas for pavement evaluation and design purposes. Typically, pavement engineers make measurements at a given date so that the data reflect the environmental conditions prevailing during the time of measurement. MTCP has been developed to give TxDOT pavement engineers a tool for adjusting backcalculated AC moduli to account for temperature effects. Through this program, seasonal variations in AC moduli may be predicted for pavement evaluation and design purposes. Instructions for using MTCP are given in this chapter.

SPECIFYING MTCP INPUT FILES

Within TxDOT, pavement engineers use MODULUS for backcalculating layer moduli from FWD measurements. Consequently, researchers developed MTCP to use the output from MODULUS directly. This is done by clicking on the *Read MODULUS Result ASCII File* button of the main menu given in Figure 2. Specifying the MODULUS summary output file is the first step in using the program for temperature correction of backcalculated AC moduli. Clicking on *Read MODULUS Result ASCII File* brings up the dialog box shown in Figure 3. From this menu, the user can specify the MODULUS summary output file to use in the analysis. Simply highlight the file by clicking on the file name in the dialog box. Then click on the *Open* button at the lower right corner of the dialog box to confirm your selection. From this menu, you may also search the different drives and subdirectories on your computer for the particular file you want to process.

The MODULUS output file is read, and the contents of the file are imported into the *output* worksheet shown in Figure 4. To view the information, click on *Exit the Program* to close the main menu as illustrated in Figure 5. You may go back to this menu at any time by pressing the *Ctrl*, *Shift*, and *M* keys in combination (*Ctrl*+*Shift*+*M*). The information displayed in Figure 5 is the same as that given in the MODULUS summary output and consists of the:

Microsoft E:	xcel - mtcp.xls													_ - ×
Eile Edit y	/iew Insert Forn	nat <u>T</u> ools <u>D</u>	<u>D</u> ata <u>W</u> in	ndow <u>H</u> elp										_ 8 ×
🗅 📂 🔲 🔒	3 🖨 🖪 🖤	👗 🖻 🕻	3 🝼	K) + CH +	🍓 Σ f		🛍 뤻 100%	- 🕄 🖶 .					_	
🛛 🕨 🖕 Securi	:y 者 🛠 🛓	🖞 🛷 🗸 🛛	Arial		• 10 •	В <i>I</i> <u>U</u>	≣≣≣	🗟 \$ %	, •.00	;00 🗐 i	F 🗉 •	🕭 • <u>A</u> • ,		
	* =													
A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	M	N	<u> </u>
1														
3														
4			P	lease select	the FWD f	ile						? X		
5		U	lserFc	La alvia i	C		xx00000000000000000			(e¥ 📼	Taala			
6			_	LOOK IN:		.р					 Toois + 			
7				1	1068	.asc								
8			- 1	3	💌 Anne	ex.asc								
9			_	History										
11														
12			- El											
13				My Documents	s 📗									
14														
15			_ nl.	<u>7</u>										
16				Docktop										
17				Desktop										
10			- EL	<u></u>										
20				*										
21				Favorites										
22				-										
23			- 1		File name	e: 🗌					1 🚘	Open		
24				Web Folders	-						-			
25					Files or <u>c</u>	ype: FWD	Asc Files (*.asc)					Cancel		
20													-	
28														
29														
30														
31														
32														
33														
	СР /							1				· · ·		
Ready														
🚮 Start 🛛 🏹	I 🥭 🗊 🐺 🅅	5 📉 🔹	🔀 w	ordPerfect 9 -	[C:\Mtcp\	K Micros	oft Excel - mtc	🝸 untitlec	I - Paint		a 😺	∾∏∢⁄⁄ն	9 🖉 🔍 🔍 🗙 🕼	9:33 PM

Figure 3. Dialog Box for Specifying MODULUS Output File to Analyze.

М	licrosoft E:	cel - 1068	l.xls																	_ 8 ×
	<u>File E</u> dit y	jew <u>I</u> nsert	F <u>o</u> rmat	Tools D	ata <u>W</u> ind	low <u>H</u> elp														_ 8 ×
	🖻 🔒 🔒) 🖨 🖪	NBC 🐰	h (*	l 🝼 ⊨	o - c×	- 🔒 :	Σ <i>f</i> * 👌		10 🚜 10	0% 🔹 [2 🖶 🗸								
	Securi	 y 🔊 🖇	× 🖬 🛛	00 - I F	Arial MT Bl	ack	- 16	• B	IU			\$%,	♦.0 .00	€E €E		ð -	<u>A</u>			
1.	A1	- -	= ΠI	MODUL	US AN	ALYSIS	SYSTE	EM (SUN	MMARY	REPORT)		(Version (5.1)			- ·			
	Α	B	C	D	E	F	G	Ĥ	1	J	ĸ	L	M	Ň	0	P	Q	R	S	T 🔺
1			TT	I MO	DULI	US A	NALY	YSIS	SYST	EM (S	UMM	ARY R	EPOR	T)			(Versi	ion 5.1)
2	District	1				Modulu	s Range	(ksi)										1		
3	County	139			Thick (in)	Min	Max	Poisson												
4				Surface	10.9	30	1500	0.4												
5				Base	Ь	15	200	0.35												- 1
7				St User	Form1										×					_
8																	TEMPE	RATUR	E (F)	
9	Station	Load	R1	R	MO	DUL	US	TEM	IPER	RATU	RE C	ORR	ECT	ON		Limit	Air	Surface	e Paveme	Test tin
10	75.10	93/5	6.76												E					
12	75.20	9279	7.06						PRC	JGRA	IM				6					
13	75.40	9167	7.14	i											5					
14	75.50	9807	6.46		De	ad MODUI	US Decul	ASCTLER	. 1		Modu	luc Temperal	hure Correc	tion	1					
15	75.60	9879	6.71	1	Re		.00 Kesui		5		Mode	ius rempera		CION	_					
16	75.70	9671	7.10								Mc	othly Moduli	us Predictio							
17	75.00	9375	8.47		Read F	WD Test I	File & Get	: Temperat	tures		116	neny module	astroalcoo							
19	76.00	9391	9.88									Plot Ou	itout		1 F					
20	76.10	9383	10.39	1					1			1100 00	, pac		_					
21	76.20	9407	12.10	1		Interpolat	e Tempe	ratures				Clear Progra	m Sheets							
22	76.30	9279	14.20	1								cloar rrogra			_					
23	76.40	9390	12.13	1	Pri	edict Pave	ment Terr	neratures		[Exit the Pi	rogram		ור					
24	76.60	9283	14.88	1						l		2010 010 11		_						
26	76.70	9271	16.48	1											5					
27	76.80	9168	17.17	12.07	9.90	7.90	6.13	3.72	1.73	119.0	23.0	0.0	13.0	0.5	123.7					
28	76.90	9513	11.79	9.26	8.16	6.79	5.53	3.60	1.70	291.0	20.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8					
29	77.00	9561	11.94	9.48	8.3/ 0.7c	5.95 7.00	5.68 5.70	3.66 ၁.62	1.72	302.0	15.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.6					
31	77.20	9338	14.55	9.99 10.81	9.17	7.23	5.85	3.60	1.63	240.0 180.0	10.0	0.0	14.5	0.0	115.4					
32	77.30	9597	12.28	9.50	8.26	6.89	5.57	3.68	1.69	250.0	31.7	0.0	14.0	0.3	114.9					
33	77.40	9609	12.23	9.63	8.38	6.95	5.60	3.60	1.68	275.0	17.1	0.0	14.8	0.3	120.7					_
	▶ N \ou	put /											1							
Rea	dy																			
🚮 S	Start 🛛 🧭	(Č 🗯 🕻	👯 🐼 🖻	×	🔀 Wo	rdPerfect !	9 - [C:\Mto	:р\	Microso	ft Excel -	106 🎽	untitled - Pa	aint		av	1 % 🗐	< ac	.	🔈 🖞 💙	9:52 PM

Figure 4. MODULUS Output File Imported into MTCP.

	🔀 Microsoft Excel - 1068.xls																			
) <u>E</u> ile <u>E</u> dit ⊻i	ew <u>I</u> nsert	F <u>o</u> rmat	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u> a	ata <u>W</u> ind	dow <u>H</u> elp)													<u>- 8 ×</u>
) 🖻 🔒 🔒) 🖨 🖪	. 💞 🖁	, 🖻 🕻	S 🚿 🛛 🖻	0 - CI	- 🚷 1	Σ ƒ* 💈	Ì XI	10 🚜 🗓	00% 🔹 (2 🕆 🗸								
	Security	👌 😤	* 🔛	🥢 🗸 🛛 A	vrial MT Bl	lack	v 16	• B	ΙŪ		≣ ඕ	\$%,	00. 0.+ 0.+ 00.	€≣ f		👌 -	A			
	A1	-	= 111	MODUL	US AN	IALYSIS	SYSTE	EM (SU	MMARY	REPORT)		(Versior	5.1)						
	Α	B	C	D	E	F	G	H		J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	<u> </u>
1			TT	I MO	DUL	US A	NAL	YSIS	SYST	EM (S	SUMM	IARY I	REPOI	RT)			(Versi	on 5.1])
2	District	1				Modulu	is Range	(ksi)												
3	County	139			Thick (in)) Min	Max	Poisson												_
4				Surface	10.9	30	1500	0.4												
6	-			Base Subbase	0	15	200	0.35												
7				Subarade	118.6	10		0.4												
8																	TEMPE	RATURE	E (F)	
9	Station	Load	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	E(ac)	E(bs)	E(sb)	E(sg)	Err/Sen	DB(in)	Limit	Air	Surface	PavemeT	est tin
10	75.100	9375	6.76	6.09	5.66	5.10	4.51	3.40	1.88	1050.0	45.8	0.0	12.6	0.6	181.2					
11	75.200	9279	5.84	6.05	5.66	5.06	4.47	3.35	1.88	918.U 949.0	74.1	0.0	12.6	0.6	194.9					
13	75.000	9167	7.00	6.26	5.70	5 19	4.51	3.35	1.00	792 D	73.0	0.0	12.0	0.4	233.0					
14	75.500	9807	6.46	5.87	5.57	5.10	4.60	3.53	2.14	1260.0	119.5	0.0	11.3	1.0	300.0					
15	75.600	9879	6.71	6.09	5.70	5.23	4.69	3.49	2.01	1238.0	46.9	0.0	12.3	1.1	226.0					
16	75.700	9671	7.10	6.35	5.91	5.27	4.69	3.53	1.97	944.0	72.9	0.0	12.4	0.6	189.6					
17	75.800	9471	7.87	6.95	6.30	5.57	4.87	3.44	1.76	747.0	21.2	0.0	13.9	1.0	147.1					
18	75.900	9375	8.47	7.25 olno	6.55 7.00	5.75 c pc	4.96 5 05	3.49	1.76	543.U	55.4 20 4	0.0	13.1	0.9	141.4					
20	76.000	9383	10.39	0.20 8.66	7.30	6.65	5.55	3.71	2.01	413.0	30.4 17.4	0.0	12.9	0.5	178.0					
21	76,200	9407	12.10	9.65	8.42	7.12	5.89	3.84	1.93	286.0	23.7	0.0	13.1	0.6	151.6					
22	76.300	9279	14.20	10.42	9.02	7.50	6.06	3.88	1.97	174.0	39.7	0.0	12.5	0.8	158.4					
23	76.400	9390	12.13	9.63	8.39	6.94	5.64	3.68	1.69	280.0	16.4	0.0	14.2	0.2	116.4					
24	76.500	9342	12.69	10.00	8.75	7.18	5.83	3.73	1.72	259.0	15.3	0.0	13.9	0.2	119.3					
25	76.600	9283	14.88	10.93	9.42	7.65	6.05	3.73	1.71	175.0	19.6	0.0	13.4	0.7	119.6	-				
20	76.700	9271	10.40	12.07	9.07 9.90	7.09	6.20	3.77	1.75	137.0	20.9	0.0	13.1	0.4	123.0					
28	76,900	9513	11.79	9.26	8.16	6.79	5.53	3.60	1.70	291.0	20.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8					
29	77.000	9561	11.94	9.48	8.37	6.95	5.68	3.66	1.72	302.0	15.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.6					
30	77.100	9418	12.90	9.99	8.76	7.23	5.79	3.63	1.74	248.0	15.3	0.0	14.3	0.6	131.9					
31	77.200	9338	14.55	10.81	9.17	7.43	5.85	3.60	1.63	180.0	17.7	0.0	14.1	0.4	115.4					
32	77.300	9597	12.28	9.50	8.26	6.89	5.57	3.68	1.69	250.0	31.7	0.0	14.0	0.3	114.9					
33	77.400	9009 ut/	12.23	9.63	0.30	0.95	5.60	3.60	1.00	2/5.0	17.1	0.0	14.0	0.3	120.7					▼ ►
Re	ady	,																		
	Start 🛛 🧭	<i>(</i> 🔅 🔅	8 1 5 1	x »	🔀 Wa	ordPerfect	9 - [C:\Mb	эр\ 🚺	Microso	oft Excel -	106 👌	untitled - I	Paint		a V	<u>ا</u> ر ا	<a>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •) & 🗘	10:05 PM

Figure 5. Viewing the MODULUS Summary Output File.

- 1. district and county where FWD measurements were taken;
- 2. pavement layer thicknesses;
- 3. allowable range of the backcalculated modulus for each layer;
- FWD load, sensor deflections, and backcalculated layer moduli at each test location along with the absolute error per sensor between the predicted and measured deflections;
- 2. depth to bedrock estimated from the measured deflections at each station; and
- computed means, standard deviations, and coefficients of variation for the measured deflections, backcalculated moduli, depths to bedrock, and absolute errors per sensor.

In addition, the column labeled *Limit* in the worksheet gives an indication of whether any of the backcalculated moduli reached the limits set by the user during the backcalculation. If moduli reach any limit, the program shades the cell for that particular station red under the *Limit* column. Otherwise, the cell is shaded green. To the right of the *Limit* column are blank cells where temperature data taken during FWD testing are entered. Air and surface temperatures are normally measured from sensors built into the FWD and are recorded at each test location. These measurements are written in the same data file where the deflections are saved. For the purpose of predicting pavement temperatures using the methods built into the program, surface temperatures taken with an infrared sensor are required. Consequently, researchers recommend that infrared sensors be installed in TxDOT's FWDs to implement the computer program developed from this project. In practice, attention must be given to maintaining the infrared sensor in good operating condition and checking the sensor calibration to ensure the validity of the temperature measurements.

In addition, the operator collects pavement temperatures at specific locations using a temperature probe. For this purpose, researchers recommend that temperatures be measured at half the depth of the surface layer if the thickness is known at the time of FWD testing, or at a depth of 1.6 inches (4 cm) from the surface if the thickness is not known. These recommendations are based on the findings from this study (Fernando and Liu, 2001).

Since layer thicknesses are needed to analyze the FWD deflections using MODULUS, users may obtain this information beforehand and use it in planning the FWD testing. For this purpose, researchers strongly suggest a Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey on the route to establish the variations in layer thicknesses from the profiles obtained. Specifically, the GPR survey should be conducted to:

- detect changes in pavement layer thicknesses and divide the project into analysis segments,
- 2. establish the locations of FWD measurements consistent with pavement thickness variations identified from the radar data, and
- establish the need for cores or Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) data to supplement the radar survey and identify locations where coring and DCP measurements should be made.

	licrosoft E	xcel - 10	68.xls																_	₽×
	<u>E</u> ile <u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>I</u> nse	ert F <u>o</u> rma	at <u>T</u> ools	<u>D</u> ata <u>V</u>	<u>V</u> indow <u>H</u>	elp												_	Ð×
	🖻 🔒	🖨 🖪 🖇	** **	B B	10	- Σ	f≈ ≜ ↓	100	% 🖣 🤇	👌 🙄 🛔 Arial		- 1	0 - B	ΙU	≣≣	≣ ඕ	◆.0 .0	8 📃 - 👌	• • <u>A</u> •	•
		•	= T	TI MOD	IULUS .	ANALYS	IS SYS	STEM (S			D		(Versio	n 5.1)	1					
	A	B	С	D	E	F	G	H	1	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P Q	F	₹ S	T	
1			T	ET MO	ודרוב	TTO 0	****	TTATA	ATTOP					N PTT			- W	ersion 5	1)	
2	Dietriet	1	1		5001	Please s	elect th	e FWD fi	ile				1							
3	County	139			Thick (Loc	k in:	🗋 Mto	P		-	🗕 🗈	$ $ \otimes \times	👛 📰	⋆ Tools ⋆					
4				Surface	10.9		<u></u>	Name				3	5ize Type		Modifi	ed				
5				Base	C.			1068	3.fwd			3	32 KB FWD I	File	9/14/0	00 3:04 PM				
6				Subbas	Userro	Hist	ory	anne 📓 anne	ex.fwd			5	54 KB FWD I	File	9/5/00) 4:53 PM	- H			- 1
7				Subgra																
a	Station	Lood	D1	D D														UKE (F) faca Davam	e Toet ti	mo
10	75,100	9375	6.76	6.09		My Dog	ments										- 12		erestin	
11	75.200	9279	6.84	6.05		11, 500	21110/1102													
12	75.300	9263	7.06	6.17			<u> </u>													
13	75.400	9167	7.14	6.26	Г															
14	75.500	9807	6.46	5.87		Desk	top													
15	75.600	9879	5.71	6.09																
10	75.700	9671	7.10	6.35 6.95	Γ															
18	75,900	9375	8.47	7.25		Favo	rites													
19	76.000	9391	9.88	8.28	_		<u> </u>													
20	76.100	9383	10.39	8.66				, File name								2 Onen				
21	76.200	9407	12.10	9.65	-	Web F	olders								╡╚	<u>open</u>				
22	76.300	9279	14.20	10.42	Г			Files of ty	/pe: FW	D Test Files ('	*.FWD)				· _	Cancel				
23	76.400	9390	12.13	9.63		Predic	C Paveme	int remper	atures			EXI	the Progra	m						
25	76.600	9283	14.88	10.93																
26	76.700	9271	16.48	11.83	9.07	7.09	0.20	3.11	1.70	137.0	20.9	0.0	13.1	0.4	123.0					
27	76.800	9168	17.17	12.07	9.90	7.90	6.13	3.72	1.73	119.0	23.0	0.0	13.0	0.5	123.7					
28	76.900	9513	11.79	9.26	8.16	6.79	5.53	3.60	1.70	291.0	20.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8					
29	77.000	9561	11.94	9.48	8.37	6.95	5.68	3.66	1.72	302.0	15.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.6	_				
30	77,100	9410	12.90	9.99	0.70 Q 17	7.23	5.79	3.60	1.74	∠40.0 180.0	15.3	0.0	14.5	0.6	115.4					
32	77.300	9597	12.28	9.50	8.26	6.89	5.57	3.68	1.69	250.0	31.7	0.0	14.0	0.4	114.9					
33	77.400	9609	12.23	9.63	8.38	6.95	5.60	3.60	1.68	275.0	17.1	0.0	14.8	0.3	120.7					
34	77.500	9549	12.30	9.62	8.37	6.87	5.56	3.61	1.69	258.0	22.1	0.0	14.5	0.2	120.8					
35		9624 itout /	13 33	10 19	8 93	7.28	5.83	3.65	1.68	236.0	15.5		14.6	0.5	118 7					• •
Dra	w + b f	Autos	Shanes 🛪		ПО	▲ ▲	7 8	// -	A . =	= ≓∎										
Rea	adv			``			and the		_	····· ↔ •	• •							NUM		

Figure 6. Dialog Box for Specifying the FWD Data File.

Pavement temperatures measured during the FWD survey should be properly recorded into the data file consistent with the FWD operator's manual (TxDOT, 1996). In this way, all temperature data may be read from the file and imported directly into the MTCP spreadsheet without having to manually key in the pavement temperatures. The air, surface, and pavement temperatures taken during the survey may be imported into the spreadsheet by clicking on the *Read FWD Test File & Get Temperatures* button of the main menu given in Figure 2. The dialog box in Figure 6 is then displayed for you to specify the name of the FWD data file. After selecting the FWD file, click on the *Open* button of the dialog box to import the temperature data into the spreadsheet as illustrated in Figure 7.

🖾 Microsoft Excel - 1068.xls																				
Be Edit View Insert Format Tools Data Window Help														_ 8 ×						
	🖻 🔒 🖨	<i>a</i>	*** X	B 🗎	s 💅 🕒	0 v Ci	- 😫 :	Σ <i>f</i> * 💈		100% 🚯 🐌	6 🔹 🙎	🕆 -								
	 Security. 	👌	🔊 🔛	🥢 🗸 🖡	Arial MT Bl	ack	• 16	• B	ΙŪ		\$	%,	◆.0 .00 .00 ◆.0	ti ti	-	🕭 -	<u>A</u> - ,			
-		•	= TTI	MODUL	US AN	ALYSIS	SYSTE	EM (SUI	MMARY	REPORT)		(Version 5	5.1)						
	A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T 1 TTI MODULUS ANALYSIS SYSTEM (SUMMARY REPORT) (Version 5.1)															<u> </u>				
1 TTI MODULUS ANALYSIS SYSTEM (SUMMARY REPORT) (Version 5.1)															.)					
District Modulus Range (ksi) Trick (in) Min Max Prisson																				
3	3 County 139 Thick (in) Min Max Poisson Image: Constraint of the second s																			
4				Surfa Us Base	serForm1										x					
6				Subbi																
7				Subgr	M	ODU	LUS	S TE	MPE	RATU	JRE (CORI	RECT	[0]	N					
8	Chatien	Land	D1	D D					DD	OCDA	NR.						TEMPE	RATUR	E (F)	To at the
9	5tation 75 100	9375	6.76	60						UGN	1141					irrin	48 0	53 D	Faverne 51 0	10·24
11	75.200	9279	6.84	6.0													49.0	56.0	53.0	12:19
12	75.300	9263	7.06	6.1		Read MO	DULUS Re	sult ASCI	[File		Mod	ulus Tempe	erature Con	rection			52.0	62.0	55.0	14:04
13	75.400	9167	7.14	6.2						J							55.0	66.0	57.0	15:33
14	75,500	9807	6.46	5.8						1	M	ionthly Mod	dulus Predic	tion			31.U /11.0	40.0 59.0	37.0	0:25
16	75,700	9671	7.10	6.3	Rei	ad FWD Te	est File &	Get Temp	eratures								54.0	62.0	51.0	9:30
17	75.800	9471	7.87	6.9								Plot	Output				51.0	58.0	64.0	7:37
18	75.900	9375	8.47	7.2		Intern	olate Ter	merature	s	1							68.0	81.0	69.0	10:18
19	76.000	9391	9.88	8.2]		Clear Pro	gram Sheet	s			65.0	75.0	83.0	6:46
20	76.100	9303	10.39	0.0 9.6						1							75.0 83.0	95.0 104.0	02.U 91.N	0:59
22	76.300	9279	14.20	10.4		Predict P	avement '	Temperati	ures			Exit the	e Program				87.0	128.0	100.0	14:36
23	76.400	9390	12.13	9.6						-							81.0	79.0	95.0	7:31
24	76.500	9342	12.69	10.0	0.40	7.05	0.05	0.70	4.74	475.0	40.0		10.1	0.7	440.0		85.0	84.0	97.0	9:19
25	76,600	9283	14.88	10.93	9.42 0.97	7.65 7.90	6.U5 6.00	3.73	1.71	175.U 137.0	19.6 วก.a	0.0	13.4	0.7	119.6		91.U ac n	96.U 107.0	105.0	12:01
20	76,800	9168	17 17	12.07	9.90	7.05	6.13	3.72	1.73	119.0	20.5	0.0	13.1	0.4	123.0		97 N	104.0	114.0	16:24
28	76.900	9513	11.79	9.26	8.16	6.79	5.53	3.60	1.70	291.0	20.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8		78.0	75.0	92.0	6:40
29	77.000	9561	11.94	9.48	8.37	6.95	5.68	3.66	1.72	302.0	15.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.6		82.0	80.0	91.0	8:45
30	77.100	9418	12.90	9.99	8.76	7.23	5.79	3.63	1.74	248.0	15.3	0.0	14.3	0.6	131.9		88.0	89.0	95.0	10:57
31	77.200	9558 9597	14.55	9.50	9.17	6.89	5.85	3.60	1.63	250.0	31.7	0.0	14.1	0.4	115.4		91.0 77.0	99.0 80.0	96.0	6:54
33	77.400	9609	12.23	9.63	8.38	6.95	5.60	3.60	1.68	275.0	17.1	0.0	14.8	0.3	120.7		80.0	81.0	95.0	8:58
	▶ ► \outp	ut /													_					
Rea	dy																			
🚮 S	itart 🛛 🌌 (🧭 😂 月	👯 🐼 👂	s »	🛛 💐 Wo	rdPerfect !	9 - [C:\Mto	:p\	Microso	ft Excel - 10)6 <u>¥</u> 1	untitled - Pa	aint		₫₩,	\$ 5	< @6	9 🗙 ji 🗸	🔊 🕺 💙	10:18 PM

Figure 7. Temperature Data Imported from the FWD Data File.

PERFORMING THE TEMPERATURE CORRECTION

Before corrections to a reference temperature may be made, the pavement temperatures you are correcting from must first be established. These pavement temperatures are referred to herein as the base temperatures for the modulus correction and refer to the pavement temperatures at which the FWD deflections were taken. There are two functions available in MTCP to establish the base temperatures. One allows you to estimate the pavement temperature at a given FWD station by interpolating between pavement temperatures measured at two neighboring stations that bound it.

In practice, pavement temperatures will not normally be measured at each test location. By clicking on the *Interpolate Temperatures* button in the main menu, you can fill in the missing information by interpolation from the available pavement temperature measurements. As a minimum, pavement temperatures should be measured at the beginning and end of the FWD survey for a given project. The program uses a linear interpolation based on the time of the FWD measurement. This is given in the *Test Time* column of the spreadsheet illustrated in Figure 7. No extrapolation is done for locations that are outside the range of stations where pavement temperatures were measured. Stations preceding the first temperature measurement are assigned that pavement temperature while stations following the last measurement are assigned the last value.

If you did not enter the pavement temperatures at the time of the FWD survey, you may manually key in the data along the *Pavement* column of the spreadsheet inside the cells corresponding to stations where pavement temperatures were taken. After manually entering the data, you may then click on *Interpolate Temperatures* to fill in the rest of the cells along the *Pavement* column with interpolated pavement temperatures.

Alternatively, if pavement temperatures were not measured during the survey, the base temperatures for the correction may be established using one of three options available within MTCP for predicting pavement temperature. All three options require the infrared surface temperatures taken with the FWD and the average of the previous day's minimum and maximum air temperatures at the vicinity of the project. The first two options are the BELLS2 and BELLS3 equations which were developed using data from Seasonal Monitoring Program (SMP) sites located in North America. The development of these equations are documented in a report by Lukanen, Stubstad, and Briggs (1998) and in a paper by Stubstad et al. (1998). BELLS2 is the equation for the FWD testing protocol used in the Long-Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) program. On the other hand, BELLS3 is intended for routine testing and was developed from efforts made to consider the effects of shading on the infrared surface temperatures measured on the SMP sites. The functional form of the BELLS2 and BELLS3 equations is given by:

$$T_{d} = \$_{0} + \$_{1} IR + [log_{10}(d) - 1.25] [\$_{2} IR + \$_{3} T_{(1-day)} + \$_{4} sin(hr_{18} - 15.5)] + \$_{5} IR sin(hr_{18} - 13.5)$$
(1)

where,

 T_d = pavement temperature at depth, d, within the asphalt layer, °C

IR = surface temperature measured with the FWD infrared temperature gauge, °C

d = depth at which the temperature is to be predicted, mm

Coefficient	BELLS2	BELLS3
\$ ₀	+2.780	+0.950
\$ ₁	+0.912	+0.892
\mathbf{s}_2	-0.428	-0.448
\$ ₃	+0.553	+0.621
\mathbf{s}_4	+2.630	+1.830
\$ ₅	+0.027	+0.042
\mathbb{R}^2	0.977	0.975
SEE	1.8 °C	1.9 °C
N _{obs}	10,304	10,304

 Table 1. Coefficients of the BELLS2 and BELLS3 Equations.

 $T_{(1-day)}$ = the average of the previous day's high and low air temperatures, °C hr₁₈ = time of day in the 24-hour system but calculated using an 18-hour asphalt temperature rise and fall time as explained by Stubstad et al. (1998)

The coefficients of Eq.(1) are given in Table 1 for both the BELLS2 and BELLS3 equations. Also shown are the R^2 , standard error of the estimate (SEE) and the number of observations (N_{obs}) used to develop each equation. Note that the average of the previous day's high and low air temperatures is the only variable not collected during routine FWD testing that the user needs to provide to predict pavement temperatures with BELLS2 or BELLS3. Researchers recommend that pavement temperatures be predicted at half the depth of the surface layer.

The third option available within MTCP to predict pavement temperature uses the same variables as BELLS2 and BELLS3 but has the functional form given by Eq.(2) below:

$$T_{d} = \$_{0} + \$_{1} (IR + 2)^{1.5} + \log_{10}(d) (\{ \$_{2} (IR + 2)^{1.5} + \$_{3} \sin^{2}(hr_{18} - 15.5) + \$_{4} \sin^{2}(hr_{18} - 13.5) + \$_{5} [T_{(1-day)} + 6]^{1.5} \} +$$

$$\$_{6} \sin^{2}(hr_{18} - 15.5) \sin^{2}(hr_{18} - 13.5)$$
(2)

where the terms are as defined previously and the coefficients are:

0 =	6.460	$_1 = 0.199$	$\$_2 = -0.083$	$\$_3 = -0.692$
\$ ₄ =	1.874	$_{5} = 0.059$	\$ ₆ = -6.783	

Equation (2) has an R² of 0.931 and a standard error of the estimate of 3.1 °C with 1575 observations. It was developed using data collected from SMP sites in Texas, New Mexico, and Oklahoma and from two flexible pavement sections located at the Texas A&M Riverside Campus. If the pavement temperatures at these sites are predicted using the original BELLS2 and BELLS3 equations, standard errors of the estimate of 4.1 °C and 4.9 °C are obtained, respectively (Fernando and Liu, 2001). To improve the predictive accuracy, researchers undertook to calibrate the BELLS2 and BELLS3 equations using data that are representative of conditions within Texas. These efforts led to the development of Eq.(2) which is referred to as the Texas-LTPP equation.

You may access the available options for predicting pavement temperature by clicking on the *Predict Pavement Temperatures* button of the main menu. This will display the dialog box illustrated in Figure 8 where you will specify:

- 1. the depth, in inches, at which the temperature is to be predicted;
- 2. the average of the previous day's high and low air temperatures in °F; and
- the method for predicting pavement temperature, i.e., BELLS2, BELLS3, or the Texas-LTPP equation given by Eq.(2).

You may select the equation to use by clicking on the down arrow in the *Select Equation* field of the dialog box to display the list of available options (see Figure 9). Select the equation by clicking on it. Note that the surface thickness from the MODULUS output file is displayed in the dialog box for your reference when you specify the depth at which pavement temperatures are to be predicted. It is also important that you specify the depth in inches and the average of the previous day's high and low air temperatures in °F as noted in the dialog box. The program automatically converts these inputs to the corresponding metric units used in the equations.

After entering the required data in Figure 8, click on the *OK* button of the dialog box to proceed with the temperature prediction. The results are written into the spreadsheet immediately to the right of the *Test time* column as shown in Figure 10. This column is labeled *Predicted Temperature*. If you bring the pointer inside the cell for this label, a comment box is displayed (Figure 10) which gives information on the equation selected for predicting pavement temperatures, the depth at which the temperatures were predicted, and

	licrosoft Exc	el - 1068:	.xls																	
	Eile Edit Vie	w Insert	Format		ata <u>W</u> ini	dow <u>H</u> elp			. 71	42- 🗇		_								느먹스
			У ф		S ≫ *	0 + CI	- 8	∑j≉ ż	i ă↓	100°	% • 🛛	1								
	 Security. 	👌	8 🔛	🚧 🗸 🕅	Arial MT B	lack	• 16	• B	ΙŪ		9	%,	•.0 •.0 •.0	ti ti	•	🔕 -	<u>A</u> • .			
		-	<u>= 111</u>	MODUL	US AN	IALYSIS	SYSTE	M (SU	MMARY	REPORT)			(Version 5	5.1)						
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H		J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	<u> </u>
1			TT	I MO	DUL	US A	NALY	YSIS	<u>SYST</u>	<u>EM (SU</u>	JMMA	<u>RY R</u>	EPOR	.T)			(Versi	<u>on 5.1</u>	.)
2	District	1				Modulu	s Range	(ksi)												
3	County	139			Thick (in) Min	Max	Poisson												
4				Surface	10.9	30	200	0.35												
6				Subbase	0		200	0.00												
7				Subgrade	118.6	Bells Eq	Jation Ai	nalysis												
8						_1.							🚛 FWD 🥿			-	TEMPE	RATUR	E (F)	
9 Station Load R1 R2 R3 Thickness of the AC 10.9 (inches) Inches DB(in) Limit Air Surface Paveme Test tin 10 75.100 9375 6.76 6.09 5.66 Depth from surface 5.45 Inches 181.2 48.0 53.0 51.0 10:24 11 75.200 9279 6.84 6.05 5.66 Depth from surface 5.45 Inches 194.9 49.0 56.0 53.0 12:19															Test tin					
10	10 75.100 9375 6.76 6.09 5.66 Depth from surface 5.45 Inches 181.2 48.0 53.0 51.0 10:24 11 75.200 9279 6.84 6.05 5.66 Depth from surface 5.45 Inches 194.9 49.0 56.0 53.0 12:19 12 75.300 9263 7.06 6.17 5.78 Previous day's Average Air Temp. 75 5 181.0 52.0 62.0 55.0 14:04															10:24				
12	1 75.200 9279 6.84 6.05 5.66 Depth from surface 5.45 Inches 194.9 49.0 56.0 53.0 12.19 12 75.200 9279 6.84 6.05 5.66 Depth from surface 5.45 Inches 194.9 49.0 56.0 53.0 12.19 12 75.300 9263 7.06 6.17 5.78 Previous day's Average Air Temp. 75. F* OK 130.0 52.0 62.0 65.0 14:04 12 75.400 9.043 7.14 6.26 57.8 F* OK 130.0 52.0 62.0 67.0 14:04															14:04				
13	75,400	9167	7.14	6.26	5.78	Toto Increasion 134.5 43.0 50.0														15:33
14	75.500	9807	6.46	5.87	5.57										300.0		31.0	40.0	37.0	8:25
15	75.600	9879	6.71	6.09	5.70	Sele	ct Equatio	n	Texas-L	TPP	•		Exit		226.0		41.0	59.0	44.0	10:26
16	75.700	9671	7.10	6.35	5.91									_	189.6		54.0	62.0	51.0	9:30
17	75.800	9471	7.87	6.95	6.30										147.1		51.0	58.0	64.0	7:37
10	75,900	9375	9.89	7.25	0.55	v	lamind	The	pavement	temperature j	prediction re	equires pav	vement surf	ace	141.4		65.0	81.0 75.0	69.0 83.0	6:46
20	76.000	9383	10.39	8.66	7.30			temp	eratures r	measured with	n a calibrate	d infrared	surface		178.0		75.0	93.0	82.0	8:59
21	76.200	9407	12.10	9.65	8.42			temp	ierature g	auge.					151.6		83.0	104.0	91.0	11:54
22	76.300	9279	14.20	10.42	9.02					··· ··- <u>-</u>					158.4		87.0	128.0	100.0	14:36
23	76.400	9390	12.13	9.63	8.39	6.94	5.64	3.68	1.69	280.0	16.4	0.0	14.2	0.2	116.4		81.0	79.0	95.0	7:31
24	76.500	9342	12.69	10.00	8.75	7.18	5.83	3.73	1.72	259.0	15.3	0.0	13.9	0.2	119.3		85.0	84.0	97.0	9:19
25	/b.600 76.700	9283	14.88	10.93	9.42	7.65	6.05	3.73	1.71	175.0	19.6	0.0	13.4	0.7	119.6		91.0	96.U	105.0	12:01
20	76,700	9271	10.40	12.07	9.07 9.90	7.09	6.20	3.77	1.75	137.0	20.9 23.0	0.0	13.1	0.4	123.0		96.0 97.0	104.0	114.0	16:24
28	76,900	9513	11.79	9.26	8.16	6.79	5.53	3.60	1.70	291.0	20.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8		78.0	75.0	92.0	6:40
29	77.000	9561	11.94	9.48	8.37	6.95	5.68	3.66	1.72	302.0	15.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.6		82.0	80.0	91.0	8:45
30	77.100	9418	12.90	9.99	8.76	7.23	5.79	3.63	1.74	248.0	15.3	0.0	14.3	0.6	131.9		88.0	89.0	95.0	10:57
31	77.200	9338	14.55	10.81	9.17	7.43	5.85	3.60	1.63	180.0	17.7	0.0	14.1	0.4	115.4		91.0	99.0	103.0	13:03
32	77.300	9597	12.28	9.50	8.26	6.89	5.57	3.68	1.69	250.0	31.7	0.0	14.0	0.3	114.9		77.0	80.0	96.0	6:54
33	77.400	9609	12.23	9.63	8.38	6.95	5.60	3.60	1.68	275.0	17.1	0.0	14.8	0.3	120.7		80.0	81.0	95.0	8:58 -
Rea	adv	ut /																		
:	Start 🛛 🌌	e 🔋	8 🔊 P	<	X Wa	ordPerfect	9 - [C:\Mto	:p\	Microso	oft Excel - 10	06 🍸	untitled - P	aint		۵V	\$.	< ac	≥ ∭∭) 🕺 🖓	10:29 PM

Figure 8. Dialog Box to Specify Input Data for Pavement Temperature Prediction.

lls Equation Analy	sis			×
Thickness of the A	кс	10.9 (inches)	Inches	FND
Depth from surfac	e	5.45	Inches	÷
Previous day's Av	erage Air Temp.	75.	F`	ок
Select Equation	Texas-L1 Bells2 Bells3 Texas-L1	PP 💌		Exit
Warning :	The pavement t temperatures m temperature ga	emperature predi easured with a ca uge.	iction require alibrated infra	s pavement surface ared surface

Figure 9. Equations Available for Predicting Pavement Temperatures.

M	licrosoft Ex	cel - 1068.	.xls															_ 8	x
	<u>Eile E</u> dit <u>V</u> i	ew <u>I</u> nsert	F <u>o</u> rmat <u>T</u>	ools <u>D</u> at	a <u>W</u> indo	w <u>H</u> el	Р											_8	×
D	🖻 🖬 🔒) 🖨 🖪	NBC 🐰	la 🔒	ダ 🗠	т т См	- 🚷	Σf_{s}	A↓ Z↓ A↓	🛍 🛷	100% •	· 🝳 🔒	-						
	 Security 	者 🔊	ð 🔛 🛷	🔉 🖕 🛛 Ari	al		- 10) - E	3 I U	[≣ ≣		\$ %	.00. 0.+ 00. + .00.		📃 • 🔕	• <u>A</u> • .			
	U9	-	= Predi	cted Ter	nperatu	re													
	A	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Х	Y	Z	AA	AB .	
1		ARY I	REPOR	RT)			(Versi	ion 5.1	1)									
2	District			- í															
3	County																		
4																			
6																			
8							TEMPE	RATUR	E (F)			Method: T	exas-LTPP						
9	Station	E(sb)	E(sg)	Err/Sen	DB(in)	Limit	Air	Surface	Paveme	∃Test tim	Predict	Previous	Day's						
10	75.100	0.0	12.6	0.6	181.2		48.0	53.0	51.0	10:24	84.4	Ave.:75. at Depth:	00(F) Temp 5 45(inches)	·					
11	75.200	0.0	12.6	0.6	194.9		49.0 52.0	55.U 62.0	53.U 55.0	12:19	84.7	ac Depen	.5.45(incres)						
13	75.400	0.0	12.0	0.4	233.0		52.0 55.0	66.0	57.0	15:33	86.1	·							
14	75.500	0.0	11.3	1.0	300.0		31.0	40.0	37.0	8:25	83.0								
15	75.600	0.0	12.3	1.1	226.0		41.0	59.0	44.0	10:26	85.1								
16	75.700	0.0	12.4	0.6	189.6		54.0	62.0	51.0	9:30	85.5								
17	75.800	0.0	13.9	1.0	147.1		51.0	58.0	64.0	7:37	85.0								
18	75.900	0.0	13.1	0.9	141.4		65.U	81.U 75.0	69.U 93.0	10:18	88.5								
20	76.000	0.0	13.2	0.5	178.0		75.0	93 D	82.0	8:59	90.8								
21	76.200	0.0	13.1	0.6	151.6		83.0	104.0	91.0	11:54	93.0								
22	76.300	0.0	12.5	0.8	158.4		87.0	128.0	100.0	14:36	98.5								
23	76.400	0.0	14.2	0.2	116.4		81.0	79.0	95.0	7:31	88.2								
24	76.500	0.0	13.9	0.2	119.3		85.0	84.0	97.0	9:19	89.1								
25	76,600	0.0	13.4	0.7	119.6		91.U GG N	96.U 104.0	105.0	12:01	91.4								
20	76,800	0.0	13.0	0.4	123.0		97 N	104.0	114.0	16:24	93.9								
28	76.900	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8		78.0	75.0	92.0	6:40	87.5								
29	77.000	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.6		82.0	80.0	91.0	8:45	88.4								
30	77.100	0.0	14.3	0.6	131.9		88.0	89.0	95.0	10:57	90.0								
31	77.200	0.0	14.1	0.4	115.4		91.0 77.0	99.0	103.0	13:03	92.0								
32	77.300	0.0	14.0	0.3 0 3	114.9		77.0 80.0	80.0 81.0	96.0 95.0	6:54 8:58	88.4								
	Nouti	out /	14.0	0.5	120.7		00.0	01.0	00.0	0.00	00.3	1						[+[Ť
Cel	I U9 comme	nted by T	TI																
	Start 🛛 🌌	<i> 🔅</i> 🖇	¥ 🕅 📉	*]	🔀 Word	Perfect	t 9 - [C:\M	tcp\	Micros	oft Exce	- 106	** MTCP	'Bells3.bmp - F	Paint	8 20	(@@)	🔬 🖉 🕷	🤣 10:36 PN	м

Figure 10. Predicted Pavement Temperatures from MTCP.

the average of the previous day's high and low air temperatures. A red triangular spot at the upper right corner identifies cells with comment boxes. Sometimes there may be more information than can be displayed inside the comment box. In this case, you may resize the box by right clicking on the cell and selecting the *Edit Comment* function (Figure 11) to view all of the information inside the box.

After the base temperatures are established, you can proceed with the modulus correction by clicking on the *Modulus Temperature Correction* button of the main menu illustrated in Figure 2. This will display the dialog box shown in Figure 12 which provides the following three options for temperature correction of backcalculated AC moduli:

- 1. the existing TxDOT equation used in the Flexible Pavement System (FPS) and load zoning analysis programs,
- 2. the Chen equation (Chen at al., 2000), and
- 3. Witczak's dynamic modulus equation.

M	icrosoft Exc	cel - 1068.	xls															_ 8 ×
	<u>Eile E</u> dit <u>V</u> ie	ew <u>I</u> nsert	F <u>o</u> rmat <u>T</u> e	ools <u>D</u> at	a <u>W</u> indo	w <u>H</u> elj	p											_ 8 ×
	🖻 🔒 🔒) 🖨 🖪	NBC X	h 🛍	ダ 🗠	▼ C4	- 😩	Σf_{π}		🛍 🚯	100% •	2 🔁 🔒 .	-					
	Security		≳ 🔬 🖉	Ari	ial		- 10) - 8	. <i>I</i> U	EE		\$ %			- 🗞 -	A		
	U9	- -	= Predi	cted Ter	nperatu	re					- 4		,					
	A		M	N	0	P	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Х	Y	Z	AA	AB 🔺
1		ARYI	REPOR	Υ Υ)				Versi	on 5 1	D I								
2	District									-/								
3	County																	
4	, í																	
5																		
6																		
7 8 TEMPERATURE (F)																		
9	Station	E(sb)	E(sq)	Err/Sen	DB(in)	Limit	Air	Surface	Paveme	Test tin	Predict							
10	75.100	0.0	12.6	0.6	181.2		48.0	53.0	51.0	10:24	84 👗	Cu <u>t</u>						
11	75.200	0.0	12.6	0.6	194.9		49.0	56.0	53.0	12:19	84 Ba	⊆ору						
12	75.300	0.0	12.6	0.4	181.0		52.0	62.0	55.0	14:04	85 🛍	Paste						
13	75,400	0.0	12.7	0.7	233.0		55.U 21.0	66.U 40.0	57.U 27.0	15:33 other	85	Paste <u>S</u> peci	ial					
14	75,500	0.0	12.3	1.0	226.0		31.0 41.0	40.0 59.0	37.0 44.0	0.20	85	Incort						
16	75,700	0.0	12.3	0.6	189.6		54.0	62.0	51.0	9:30	85	Insert Delete						
17	75.800	0.0	13.9	1.0	147.1		51.0	58.0	64.0	7:37	85	Clear Conte	ante					
18	75.900	0.0	13.1	0.9	141.4		68.0	81.0	69.0	10:18	88 -							
19	76.000	0.0	12.9	0.5	146.7		65.0	75.0	83.0	6:46	87 🗳	<u>E</u> dit Comme	int					
20	76.100	0.0	13.2	0.4	178.0		75.0	93.0	82.0	8:59	90 🏠	Delete Com	ment					
21	76.200	0.0	13.1	0.0	151.0		03.U 97.0	104.0	91.0	14:36	93	Show Comr	nent					
23	76.300	0.0	14.0	0.0	116.4		81.0	79.0	95.0	7:31	85 🔊	Format Cell	s					
24	76.500	0.0	13.9	0.2	119.3		85.0	84.0	97.0	9:19	89	Pick From Li	ist					
25	76.600	0.0	13.4	0.7	119.6		91.0	96.0	105.0	12:01	91 🎑	Hyperlink						
26	76.700	0.0	13.1	0.4	123.6		96.0	104.0	111.0	14:20	93.0							
27	76.800	0.0	13.0	0.5	123.7		97.0	108.0	114.0	16:24	93.9							
28	76.900	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8		78.0	75.0	92.0	6:40 0:45	87.5							
29	77.000	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.0		02.U 88.0	89 N	91.0 95.0	0.40	90.4 90.0							
31	77.200	0.0	14.0	0.4	115.4		91.0	99.0	103.0	13:03	92.0							
32	77.300	0.0	14.0	0.3	114.9		77.0	80.0	96.0	6:54	88.4							
33	77.400	0.0	14.8	0.3	120.7		80.0	81.0	95.0	8:58	88.5							•
44	▶ ▶ \outp	out /										•						
Rea	dy																	
18	itart 🛛 🌌	<i> 🔅</i> 🔅	x 🕺 🕅	»	💐 Word	Perfect	9 - [C:\M	tcp\	Micros	oft Excel	- 106	者 untitled	d - Paint		<u>ako</u> ,	<a>A	🎽 🖄 😓 🐧 🔦	10:40 PM

Figure 11. Resizing the Comment Box Using the *Edit Comment* Function in Excel.

🖾 Microsoft Excel - 1068.xls	_ & ×
🚇 Ele Edit View Insert Format Iools Data Window Help	_ <u>-</u>
□ 😂 🖬 🚔 💁 🖪 🕲 ♥ 🐰 🗈 🛍 ♥ ∞ ∞ ∞ × 🍓 Σ ૠ 針 🚛 🥠 100% ▼ 🕄 🖶 →	
▶ • Security 約分 M Ø - Arial MT Black • 16 • B IU 三三三 四 S % • 18 - 18 - 18 - 10 • A • .	
ITI MODULUS ANALYSIS SYSTEM (SUMMARY REPORT) (Version 5.1)	
A L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z	AA AB 🔺
1 ARY REPORT) (Version 5.1)	
3 County	
4	
5 Modulus Temperature Correction	
7 TxDot Equation Dynamic Modulus Equation Chen Equation	
8	
9 Station E(sb) E(sg) Err/Sen DB	
$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 75,100 & 0.0 & 12.6 & 0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $E_{-1} = E_{-1} = (T^{-2}, 81) / 185000$	
11 75200 0.0126 0.61 75 127 (1) 7100000 126 0.61 1275 127 (1) 7100000 126 0.61 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 1275 127	
15 75.600 0.0 12.3 1.1 2 Reference Temperature 75 F	
17 75.800 0.0 13.9 1.0 1 Select Temperature	
10 75.900 0.0 13.1 0.9 1 © Use Tested Pavement Temp.	
20 76.100 0.0 13.2 0.4 1 C Lie Docktod Temperature	
21 76.200 0.0 13.1 0.6 1 Exit Run	
22 76.300 0.0 12.5 0.8 1	
24 76,500 0.0 13.9 0.2 119.3 86,0 84,0 97,0 919 69,1	
27 76.800 0.0 13.0 0.5 123.7 97.0 108.0 114.0 16:24 93.9	
28 76.900 0.0 14.5 0.3 123.8 78.0 75.0 92.0 6:40 87.5	
29 77.000 0.0 14.5 0.3 123.6 82.0 80.0 91.0 8:45 88.4	
30 77,100 0.0 14.3 0.6 131.9 88.0 89.0 99.0 10.57 90.0	
31 77.200 0.0 14.1 0.4 115.4 91.0 95.0 103.0 92.0 32 77.300 0.0 14.0 0.3 114.9 77. 80.0 96.0 6.4 88.4	
I V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	
Ready	
😫 Start 🛛 🖉 🈂 🛱 🕅 🕅 💭 🔨 🔹 🕅 🕅 👷 WordPerfect 9 - [C:\Mtcp\ 🕅 Microsoft Excel - 106 🍸 untitled - Paint 👘 🖓 🖉 🖏	🎉 😓 💩 💙 10:56 PM

Figure 12. Dialog Box Showing TxDOT's Equation for Modulus Temperature Correction.

You can select the equation to use for temperature correction by clicking on the appropriate folder tab of the dialog box in Figure 12, where TxDOT's equation for modulus temperature correction is shown. This equation is based on a reference temperature of 75 °F. The independent variables of the equation are the FWD test temperature T in °F and the backcalculated asphalt concrete modulus E_T that is read from the MODULUS summary output file. The FWD test temperatures correspond to the base pavement temperatures established in the previous step. As shown in Figure 12, you have two options for specifying the base pavement temperatures. If pavement temperatures were measured during the FWD survey, you can use the measured temperatures at specific stations and the interpolated values at the other stations by clicking on the *Use Tested Pavement Temp.* option in the dialog box. If no pavement temperatures were measured during the survey, you can use the predicted temperatures from BELLS2, BELLS3, or the Texas-LTPP equation presented previously.

🔀 Mic	crosoft Exc	el - 1068.	xls													_ 8 ×
🖹 🖹 Ei	le <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> ie	ew <u>I</u> nsert	Format <u>T</u> o	ols <u>D</u> ata <u>V</u>	<u>V</u> indow <u>H</u> elp											_ 8 ×
	ê 🛛 🔒	a 🕒	** X 🛙	b 🖪 🝼	10 × 01	- 🎑 Σ f*		M. 🚯	100% -	2 🔒 .	•					
1	 Security 		2 🕺 🛷	Arial M	T Black	• 16 •	B 7 U			\$ %	 •.0 .0	8 € ≣ € ≣	- A -	A -		
	• secondy				ANALYSIS	SYSTEM (S			· – –	φ <i>1</i> 0	Versio	o∣===== un 5 1)	<u> </u>	•		
	А		M	N	0 P	QR	S	T	U	V	W	X	Υ	Z	AA	AB 🔺
		ARY	REPOR	T)		(Vers	sion 5	n i								
2	listrict					(• • • •	1011 01.									_
3 0	ounty															
4																
5					Modulus T	emperature Co	rrection					×				
5					TxDot Equa	tion 🛛 Dynamic N	1odulus Equ	ation Che	en Equatio	n						
8																
9 <mark>5</mark>	tation	$E(sb) = E(sg) = Err/Sen DE 100 = 0.0 = 12.6 = 0.6 = 1 E _ T = E _ T (\frac{T}{})^{2.4462}$														
10	75.100	0.0	12.6	0.6 1		E_{τ} =	= E	T (-) - ''	402					
11	75.200	0.0	12.6	0.6 1		r		1	Τ.	/						
13	75,400	0.0	12.0	0.4 1					- r			_				
14	75.500	0.0	11.3	1.0 3												
15	75.600	0.0	12.3	1.1 2	Reference ⁻	remperature	30.0 F				, The FWD	—				
16	75.700	0.0	12.4	0.6 1							<u>, 11 (</u>	<u>~~</u>				
17	75.800	0.0	13.9	0.9 1	Select T	emperature —					*					
19	76.000	0.0	12.9	0.5 1	Ouse Test	sted Pavement T	emp.									
20	76.100	0.0	13.2	0.4 1	C Use Pre	dicted Temperat	ure		Eva		Due					
21	76.200	0.0	13.1	0.6 1				_	EXIL		RU					
22	76.300	0.0	12.5	0.8 1												
23	76.400	0.0	13.9	0.2 1	19.3	85.0 84.0	97 N	9:19	89.1							
25	76.600	0.0	13.4	0.7 1	19.6	91.0 96.0	105.0	12:01	91.4							
26	76.700	0.0	13.1	0.4 1.	23.6	96.0 104.0	111.0	14:20	93.0							
27	76.800	0.0	13.0	0.5 1	23.7	97.0 108.0	114.0	16:24	93.9							
28	75.900	0.0	14.5	0.3 1.	23.8	78.0 75.0	92.U 91.0	6:40 8:45	87.5							
30	77,100	0.0	14.3	0.5 1.	31.9	88.0 89.0	95.0	10:57	90.0							
31	77.200	0.0	14.1	0.4 1	15.4	91.0 99.0	103.0	13:03	92.0							
32	77.300	0.0	14.0	0.3 1	14.9	77.0 80.0	96.0	6:54	88.4							
33	77.400	0.0	14.8	0.3 1:	20.7	80.0 81.0	95.0	8:58	88.5							
Dood	• • \outp															
Reau		<i>6</i> 8 🗠 🛚		» 🕅) (ordDorfe -)	n resultions II	Sel 14:	-0	100	- انزو ا	d Dainst				」」」 【 Kh. [b. 月月4	10.50.04
and St	arc 🛛 💋	C 🗇 🕅	a 🎶 🍢	I I <u>N</u>	wordmerrect	B - [C: \M(cp\	Micros	oft Excel	- 106	<u>untitle</u>	u - Maint		De X ≫	((AU 🗳 🎽	v 🖉 😒 🕅	🛩 10:58 PM



You may then perform the modulus temperature correction by clicking the *Run* button of the dialog box. Alternatively, you may click the *Exit* button to go back to the main menu without performing the temperature corrections.

Figure 13 shows the Chen equation. This equation was developed using FWD and pavement temperature data taken from three test sections established as part of TxDOT's Mobile Load Simulator (MLS) project (Chen et al., 2000). The equation is therefore applicable for pavements that are similar to the sections tested. Nevertheless, modulus temperature correction factors from this equation were found to agree reasonably well with corresponding factors from TxDOT's equation even though the two were developed from different studies.

The Chen equation permits the correction to be made for any user-specified reference temperature T_r . The reference temperature (in °F) is specified in the dialog box of Figure 13. Note that both the Chen and existing TxDOT equations do not require AC mixture properties

for modulus temperature correction and are thus easy to use in practice. These equations were developed for the typical mixtures used in the state. However, there may be occasions where the user may want to evaluate the temperature dependency for the specific asphalt mix used in a given project. Consequently, a third option (Figure 14) was incorporated into MTCP that is based on the prediction equation for dynamic modulus developed by Witczak and Fonseca (1996). This option requires data on the aggregate gradation and the binder viscosity-temperature relationship for the asphalt concrete mixture. The equation for modulus temperature correction is given by:

$$\log_{10} E_R = \log_{10} E_T + A \left[\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(B_R + 0.7425 \log_{10} h_R)}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(B_T + 0.7425 \log_{10} h_T)}} \right]$$
(3)

$$R^2 = 0.93$$
 SEE = 0.12 (log scale)

where,

$$A = 1.87 + 0.003 p_4 + 0.00004 p_{3/8} - 0.00018 (p_{3/8})^2 + 0.0164 p_{3/4}$$
(4)

$$B_{R} = 0.716 \log_{10} f_{R}$$
 (5)

$$B_T = 0.716 \log_{10} f_T \tag{6}$$

 E_R = AC modulus corrected for the selected reference temperature, 10⁵ psi

 E_T = backcalculated asphalt concrete modulus, 10⁵ psi

 O_R = binder viscosity corresponding to the reference temperature, 10⁶ Poises

$$O_T$$
 = binder viscosity corresponding to the FWD test temperature, 10⁶ Poises

$$p_4$$
 = cumulative percent retained on #4 sieve by total aggregate weight

 $p_{3/8}$ = cumulative percent retained on 3/8-inch sieve by total aggregate weight

 $p_{3/4}$ = cumulative percent retained on 3/4-inch sieve by total aggregate weight

 f_R = reference loading frequency, Hz

 f_T = test frequency, Hz

For the impulse loading of the FWD, the test frequency may be approximated from the following relationship proposed by Lytton et al. (1990):

$$f_T = \frac{1}{2t} \tag{7}$$

M	licrosoft Exc	el - 1068.	xls.														_ 8 ×
	<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> ie	ew <u>I</u> nsert	Format <u>T</u> o	ools <u>D</u> ata <u>V</u>	<u>M</u> indow <u>H</u> e	lp											_ 8 ×
D	🖻 🖬 🔒	l 🖨 🖪	₩С 🕺 [à 🛍 ダ	6.0	a 🗸 🎑	Σ f _*		🛍 🚜	100% -	2 🔒	•					
.	Security	🔊 🕉	× 🖬 🖉	Arial M	T Black	- 10	6 - E	3 <i>I</i> U			\$ %			- 👌	A		
	A1	- -	= TTL M	IODULUS .	ANALYSI	S SYST	EM (SU	- JMMAR)	(REPOR	. – m		Versio	on 5.1)		·		
	А		M	N I	0 P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	Ŵ	X	Y	Z	AA	AB 🔺
1		ARYI	REPOR	(T)			(Versi	ion 5.1	n –								
2	District								-/								
3	County																
4																	
5					Modulus	Tempera	ture Cor	rection					X	l			
6					TxDot Equ	uation D	ynamic Mo	dulus Equ	ation Che	en Equatio	n]						
8	8 9 Station E(sg) Err/Sen DB A 11.077 P4 52.0																
9	Station	E(sb)	E(sq)	Err/Sen DB	A	11.077	P4	52.0									
10	75.100	0.0	12.6	0.6 1			P38	36.0	L		ne i						
11	75.200	0.0	12.6	0.6 1	VIS	-3.710		30.0	AC-10	<u> </u>	PG⊡	58 - 2	8 🗖 📔				
12	75.300	0.0	12.6	0.4 1	fr	10.00	P34	14.0									
13	75,400	0.0	12.7	0.7 2													
14	75,500	0.0	12.3	1.0 3		_	. 7	5.0 -				- EWD	_				
16	75.700	0.0	12.4	0.6 1	Reference	e Tempera	iture ^	F				- Here		L			
17	75.800	0.0	13.9	1.0 1	- Select	Temperat	ure —					+					
18	75.900	0.0	13.1	0.9 1	C												
19	76.000	0.0	12.9	0.5 1	🖲 Use T	ested Pav	ement Te	mp.						L			
20	76,100	0.0	13.2	0.4 1	🔿 Use P	redicted T	emperatu	re		E×it		Ru	n	L			
21	76.200	0.0	12.1	0.6 1										L			
23	76,400	0.0	14.2	0.2 1	1999-10	01.0	13.9		1.91					<u> </u>			
24	76.500	0.0	13.9	0.2 1	19.3	85.0	84.0	97.0	9:19	91.7							
25	76.600	0.0	13.4	0.7 1	19.6	91.0	96.0	105.0	12:01	94.0							
26	76.700	0.0	13.1	0.4 1:	23.6	96.0	104.0	111.0	14:20	95.6							
27	76.800	0.0	13.0	0.5 1:	23.7	97.0	108.0	114.0	16:24	96.5							
28	76.900	0.0	14.5	0.3 1.	23.8	78.0	75.0 80.0	92.U 91.0	6:40 8:45	90.1							
30	77.000	0.0	14.0	0.0 1	23.0 31.9	88 D	89 N	95.0	10:40	92.6							
31	77.200	0.0	14.1	0.4 1	15.4	91.0	99.0	103.0	13:03	94.6							
32	77.300	0.0	14.0	0.3 1	14.9	77.0	80.0	96.0	6:54	91.0							
33	77.400	0.0	14.8	0.3 1:	20.7	80.0	81.0	95.0	8:58	91.2							
•	\outp	ut /									•						
Rea	dy III			le la							m		J.,				
10	Start 🛛 🌌	C 🗊 🕅	¥ 🔊 🔨	*] 🔀	WordPerfec	st 9 - [C:\M	ITCP	Micros 🖌	oft Excel	- 106	者 untitle	d - Paint		a 20] %{{}}	🗿 🕅 💥 🐧 🔮	🤌 12:58 AM

Figure 14. Dialog Box for Temperature Correction Based on Witczak's Dynamic Modulus Equation.

where *t* is the duration of the impulse load in seconds. The duration of the impulse load for the FWD is about 30 msecs which gives a test frequency of about 16.7 Hz, the value used in MTCP for modulus correction On the other hand, f_R should correspond to the frequency of loading used in pavement design. This typically ranges from 8 to 10 Hz for the standard 18-kip single axle load traveling at typical highway speeds. The reference frequency is entered in the f_r field of the dialog box shown in Figure 14. Note that if you enter a value equal to the FWD load frequency (16.7 Hz), no correction for the effect of load duration on the AC modulus will be made.

The gradation information for the mix is entered in the fields labeled *P4*, *P38*, and *P34* corresponding, respectively, to p_4 , $p_{3/8}$, and $p_{3/4}$. The binder viscosity corresponding to the reference and base pavement temperatures are determined using the ASTM D-2493 viscosity-temperature relationship given by:

$$\log_{10} \log_{10} h = A + VTS \log_{10} T_{\circ_R}$$
(8)

where O is the binder viscosity, T_{\circ_R} is the temperature in degrees Rankine, and A and VTS are model coefficients determined from testing. In practice, A and VTS may be determined by conducting dynamic shear rheometer (DSR) tests at a range of temperatures on the binder extracted from a core taken at the project site. This extraction will also provide the gradation data needed to use the dynamic modulus equation for temperature correction.

DSR tests may be conducted at an angular frequency of 10 rad/sec and for a temperature range of 40 to 130 °F. From the binder complex shear modulus G^* and phase angle * determined at a given temperature, the corresponding binder viscosity may be estimated from the equation:

$$h = \frac{G^*}{10} \left(\frac{1}{\sin d}\right)^{4.8628}$$
(9)

The binder viscosities determined at the different test temperatures may be used in a regression analysis to get the *A* and *VTS* coefficients of Eq.(8). These coefficients are then entered in the corresponding fields of the dialog box given in Figure 14.

In the absence of actual test data to determine the coefficients, researchers have incorporated default values into MTCP to permit you to conduct an approximate analysis. The default coefficients are based on the asphalt grade and are applicable for unmodified binders. Table 2 shows the coefficients for AC-graded binders while Table 3 shows the coefficients for performance-graded (PG) asphalts. The coefficients in Table 2 are based on research conducted by Mirza (1993) and are representative of asphalts that have undergone field aging. Those in Table 3 are from unpublished data taken from the AASHTO 2002 development work. The predicted binder viscosities from these coefficients are representative of mix/laydown conditions.

If the binder on the project is AC-graded, you may specify the asphalt type by clicking on the down arrow in Figure 14 to display the list of asphalt grades for which default coefficients are available (see Figure 15). From this list, you may click on the applicable binder type to select it. The coefficients corresponding to this binder are then displayed in the *A* and *VTS* fields of the dialog box.

Viscosity Grade (Original Conditions)	Viscosity Range at 140 °F (Poises)	Α	VTS
AC - 2.5	100 - 350	11.8408	-3.9974
AC - 5	350 - 700	11.4711	-3.8557
AC - 10	700 - 1400	11.0770	-3.7097
AC - 20	1400 - 2800	10.9168	-3.6469
AC - 40	2800 - 5200	10.6528	-3.5477

 Table 2. Default A and VTS Coefficients for AC-Graded Asphalts¹.

¹ Representative of asphalts that have undergone field aging.

High						Lo	w Tempe	rature Gra	ade					
Temp.	-1	10	-1	16	-2	22	-2	28	-3	34	-4	40	-4	46
Grade	Α	VTS	Α	VTS	Α	VTS	Α	VTS	Α	VTS	Α	VTS	Α	VTS
46									11.504	-3.901	10.101	-3.393	8.755	-2.905
52	13.386	-4.570	13.305	-4.541	12.755	-4.342	11.840	-4.012	10.707	-3.602	9.496	-3.164	8.310	-2.736
58	12.316	-4.172	12.248	-4.147	11.787	-3.981	11.010	-3.701	10.035	-3.350	8.976	-2.968		
64	11.432	-3.842	11.375	-3.822	10.980	-3.680	10.312	-3.440	9.461	-3.134	8.524	-2.798		
70	10.690	-3.566	10.641	-3.548	10.299	-3.426	9.715	-3.217	8.965	-2.948	8.129	-2.648		
76	10.059	-3.331	10.015	-3.315	9.715	-3.208	9.200	-3.024	8.532	-2.785				
82	9.514	-3.128	9.475	-3.114	9.209	-3.019	8.750	-2.856	8.151	-2.642				

 Table 3. Default A and VTS Coefficients for PG-Graded Asphalts¹.

¹ Coefficients representative of mix/laydown conditions (unpublished data from AASHTO 2002 development work).



Figure 15. Drop-Down List of AC-Graded Asphalts with Default Coefficients.

If the binder on the project is PG-graded, you simply click on the up and down arrows of the PG fields in the dialog box until you get the number designations you want. The *A* and *VTS* coefficients for that PG grade are then displayed. Note that coefficients are only available for the PG grades shown in Table 3. It is also noted that the *A* and *VTS* coefficients displayed in the dialog box always correspond to those specified for the last run made. Default values for these coefficients may have been used, or alternatively, coefficients from laboratory test data may have been entered in the *A* and *VTS* fields of the dialog box shown in Figure 15. Thus, these fields will not necessarily display coefficients that correspond to the current AC and/or PG grades displayed in the dialog box.

The results from the temperature corrections are written into the worksheet along the column labeled *Corrected Modulus*. As shown in Figure 16, the column label has a comment box which gives information about the method used to perform the temperature correction. Specifically, the comment box identifies the method, as well as the reference and base pavement temperatures used for the corrections. In addition, if the dynamic modulus equation is selected, the *A* and *VTS* coefficients, reference loading frequency, and aggregate gradation are displayed in the comment box.

M	licrosoft Ex	cel - 1068	l.xls																8 ×
	<u>Eile E</u> dit <u>V</u>	iew <u>I</u> nsert	F <u>o</u> rmat]	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u> ai	ta <u>W</u> indo	w <u>H</u> e	lp												В×
	🗃 🖬 🤞	B 🖪 🖤	1 X 🗈	🖪 🝼	K) +	Σ	÷ A↓	100%	• • 🕐	» Arial			10 - B	ΙU	EEE	• • • •	8 🔲 🗸 👌	• <u>A</u> •	- »
	X9	·	=		1 1					. 11									
	A		M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	X	Υ	Z	AA	AB	
1		ARV		PT)				Werei	on 5 1	1									
		AK I .	KEFU.	KI)				(Versi	UII 5.1	<u>()</u>									
2	District																		-1
3	County																		
5															-				
6																			- 1
7																			- 1
8							TEMPE	RATUR	E (F)			Corrected	LICe:	Dynamic	<u> </u>				
9	Station	E(sb)	E(sg)	Err/Ser	DB(in)	Limit	Air	Surface	Paveme	Test tim	Predicte	Modulus	Modulus i	Equation					
10	75.100	0.0	12.6	0.6	181.2		48.0	53.0	51.0	10:24	87.0	481.9							
11	75.200	0.0	12.6	0.6	194.9		49.0	56.0	53.0	12:19	87.3	433.1	Correcter	d to 80.0'F					_
12	75.300	0.0	12.6	0.4	181.0		52.0	62.0	55.0	14:04	88.2	412.8	VTS-=-3.	077 710					
13	75.400	0.0	12.7	0.7	233.0		55.0	66.0	57.0	15:33	88.7	397.8	fr=10.0)					
14	75.500		11.3	1.0	300.0		31.0	40.0	37.0	8:25	85.6	504.3	P.4-=52.	0%					-1
15	75.600		12.3	1.1	226.0		41.0	59.U	44.U	10:26	87.7	524.6	P.38=36.	.0% .0%					-1
10	75.700		I 12.4	0.6	189.6		54.0	62.U 50.0	51.0	9:30	88.1 97.6	433.2	You use t	he pavemen	t 📃				
17	75.000		i 13.9 i 13.1	1.0 n a	147.1		68.0	90.U 81.0	64.0 69.0	10.18	97.0	429.1 350.4	temperat	ure in S colu	mn				
19	76.00	0.0	i 13.1 I 12.9	0.5	146.7		65.0	75.0	83.0	6:46	90.1	404.2		-					- 1
20	76.000	1 0.0	13.2	0.0	178.0		75.0	93.0	82.0	8:59	93.4	399.3							-7
21	76,200	0.0	13.1	0.6	151.6		83.0	104.0	91.0	11:54	95.6	373.4							
22	76.300	0.0	12.5	0.8	158.4		87.0	128.0	100.0	14:36	101.1	322.6							
23	76.400	0.0	14.2	0.2	116.4		81.0	79.0	95.0	7:31	90.8	426.2							
24	76.500	0.0	13.9	0.2	119.3		85.0	84.0	97.0	9:19	91.7	426.4							
25	76.600	0.0	13.4	0.7	119.6		91.0	96.0	105.0	12:01	94.0	396.3							
26	76.700	0.0	13.1	0.4	123.6		96.0	104.0	111.0	14:20	95.6	393.8							
27	76.800	0.0	13.0	0.5	123.7		97.0	108.0	114.0	16:24	96.5	384.6							
28	76.900		14.5	0.3	123.8		78.0	75.0	92.0	6:4U 0:45	90.1	394.5							
29	77.000		14.5 1/1-3	0.0	123.0		02.U 99.0	00.0 90.0	91.0	0.45	91.0	394.3							
30	77.100		i 14.3 I 1/1.1	0.0	115.4		91 D	99.0 99.0	99.0 103.0	10.57	94.6	376.2							
32	77.200	0.0	i 14.1 I 14.0	0.4	114.9		77.0	80.0	96.0	6:54	91.0	395.7							_
33	77 400	1 0.0	14.8	0.3	120.7		80.0	81.0	95 D	8:58	91.2	418.6							_
34	77.500	0.0	14.5	0.2	120.8		80.0	81.0	97.5	10:47	91.2	432.3							
35	77 600	مفے ا	14.6	0.5	118 7		88.0	91 N	100.2	12.47	93 N	441 1				1			
	▶ ▶ \out	put /																	
Dra	iw + 🗟 🙆	AutoSha	apes 🕶 🔨	× 🗆	\bigcirc	4	2 🖄 ·	· 🚄 • 🛔	▲ - ■	≣₿		•							
Cel	V9 comme	ented by T	TI														NUM		

Figure 16. Temperature Corrected Moduli from the Analysis.

MONTHLY MODULUS PREDICTION

In certain instances, it may be necessary to model the seasonal variation in material properties. MTCP permits the user to estimate the monthly variation in asphalt concrete modulus given the mean monthly pavement temperatures for a given project. In the program, the mean monthly pavement temperatures are estimated from the mean monthly air temperatures using the following equation (Asphalt Institute, 1982):

$$MMPT = MMAT \left[1 + \frac{1}{(z+4)} \right] - \frac{34}{(z+4)} + 6$$
(10)

where,

MMPT = mean monthly pavement temperature, °F MMAT = mean monthly air temperature, °Fz = depth at which pavement temperature is to be predicted, inches As an aid in using this feature, a database of mean monthly air temperatures has been compiled that covers all counties in the state. This database is built in to MTCP and is used to estimate the mean monthly pavement temperatures. The reference modulus corresponding to the reference temperature is then adjusted to the predicted mean monthly pavement temperatures.

By default, the reference modulus is taken as the average of the corrected moduli for the different stations. Thus, in performing the temperature correction for the backcalculated asphalt concrete moduli, the user may specify a reference temperature that he or she considers representative of the year-round pavement temperatures at the project surveyed. In this instance, the corrected moduli will correspond to average yearly conditions at the site. To evaluate monthly variations, the average of the corrected moduli is adjusted to the predicted mean monthly pavement temperatures in MTCP.

Alternatively, the user may correct the backcalculated moduli to a reference temperature that he or she considers to be representative of the pavement temperatures at the time of the FWD survey. In this instance, the program will predict how the reference modulus, corresponding to conditions at the date of testing, varies with the predicted monthly changes in pavement temperatures at the site surveyed.

While the program uses the average of the corrected moduli as the default reference for evaluating monthly variations, the user may specify an alternative reference value for the analysis. This option is described later. At this point, a few guidelines about the selection of the reference modulus are in order.

The user should examine the variation in the corrected moduli for the different stations tested. If the coefficient of variation exceeds 15 percent, the user should ask whether the variability suggests possible differences in pavement materials and layer thicknesses along the route surveyed. He or she should check the measured deflections, backcalculated moduli, predicted depths to bedrock, the average absolute errors per sensor, and whether any of the prescribed limits were reached in the backcalculation. Depending on the results, there may be a need to subsection the FWD data to create homogeneous segments for the MODULUS backcalculation. For this purpose, the MODULUS program may be used to delineate segments of a specified minimum length based on the cumulative difference method. Michalak and Scullion (1995) describe how this option is used in MODULUS.

The user may have to collect additional information on the route to better characterize the pavements for the segments identified. The MODULUS and temperature correction programs are then run on each segment. Results from these runs should be reviewed to establish the need for further analyses.

To predict how the asphalt concrete modulus at the site will vary with temperature changes over the year, click on the *Monthly Modulus Prediction* button of the MTCP main menu. The dialog box shown in Figure 17 is then displayed. This dialog box shows the averages of the daily minimum and daily maximum air temperatures for each month, as determined from the weather station in the county where the project surveyed is located. The average monthly air temperatures are also shown as well as the name, elevation, and location of the weather station. The county ID displayed in the dialog box is read directly from the FWD data file. If for some reason, the county ID in the file is wrong, you may simply type the correct ID in the dialog box or use the up and down buttons beside the *County ID* field to scroll through the weather data for the different counties in Texas. A list of the counties is given in Figure 18 for your reference.

After establishing the county ID for the project, click on the folder tab labeled *Input* in the dialog box. The screen shown in Figure 19 is then displayed where you may specify:

- 1. the depth at which the mean monthly pavement temperatures will be predicted,
- 2. the reference temperature, and
- 3. the reference modulus.

For consistency, the depth and the reference temperature are the same as that specified in the correction of the backcalculated asphalt concrete moduli. For your reference, the thickness of the surface layer is also provided. The reference modulus shown is the average of the corrected moduli, as explained earlier. You may change any of these default values, but you need to make sure that the values you input are consistent with each other.

When you are through specifying the input parameters for this dialog box, click on the *Next* button to continue. The screen shown in Figure 20 is then displayed. You will notice that the mean monthly pavement temperatures are calculated and written into a new worksheet labeled *Month*. In addition, the average monthly air temperatures and the reference modulus are reported in the worksheet. There is also a dialog box where you can specify the equation you want to use for correcting the reference modulus to the predicted mean monthly pavement temperatures. The two choices available are the dynamic modulus and Chen equations. To

Ionthly Analysis													
Input County													
County ID		Day Max	Day Min.	Month									
139	Jan	51	30	41									
County Name LAMAR	Feb	56	34	45									
	Mar	64	42	53									
Weather Station PARIS	Apr	74	53	63									
	May	82	61	71									
Weather Division NORTH CENTRAL	Jun	89	69	79									
	Jul	94	73	84									
	Aug	94	71	83									
Station Level 542	Sep	87	65	76									
Station Latitude 33' 40"	Oct	78	53	65									
	Nov	64	42	53									
Longtitude 95' 34"	Dec	55	34	45									
	Anually	74	52	63									
Texas Department of Transport	avon	Exit		Next									

Figure 17. Dialog Box of Monthly Air Temperatures for Specified County.

DIST	RICT 1
(Paris) 60) Dalta
75	Fannin
81	Franklin
92	Grayson
113	Hopkins
117	Hunt
139	Lamar
190	Rains
194	Ked River
DIST	PICT 2
(Fort V	Vorth)
73	Erath
112	Hood
120	Jack
127	Johnson
182	Palo Pinto
184	Parker
215	Tarrant
249	Wise
DISTI	RICT 3
(Wich	ita Falls)
5	Archer
12	Baylor
39	Cash
169	Montanie
224	Throckmorton
243	Wichita
244	Wilbarger
252	Young
DISTI	UCT 4
(Amar	(IO) Armitrona
33	Carron
56	Dallam
59	Deaf Smith
9]	Gray
Q Q	Hansford
104	Hartley
107	Hemphill
118	Hutchinson
146	Lipscono
170	Ochiltree
180	Oldham
188	Potter
191	Randall
197	Roberts
211	Sherman
mert	were e
(Lubby	(RCI 2 vek)
9	Bailey
35	Castro
40	Cochran
54	Crosby
58	Dawson
78	Floyd
84 64	Corre
00 96	Uarza Hale
111	Hockley
140	Lamb
152	Lubboek
153	Lynn
185	Parmer
219	Swisher
223 251	Yoakum
A	

DIST (Odes	<u>RICT 6</u> sa)
2	Andrews
52	Crane
69	Ector
151	Loving
156	Martin
165	Midland
186	Pecos
195	Reeves
222	Terrell
231	Upton
238	Ward
248	Winkler
DIST (San A	RICT 7
41	Coke
48	Concho
53	Crockett
70	Edwards
88	Glasscock
119	Irion
134	Kimble
164	Menard
192	Reagan
193	Real
200	Runnels
207	Schleicher
216	Sterling
218	Sutton
226	Tom Green
DIST	RICT 8
(Abhe	ne)
17 30	BOFORI
39 222	Cananan Cishas
11	P ISHET
115	Masken
110	Toward
120	Varit
132	Mitchell
177	Nolan
208	Sourre
200	Shackelford
217	Stonewall
221	Taylor
DIST	RICT 9
(Waco)
14	Beli
18	nosque Comm ¹³
29	Coryen
/4 60	F&H5 Uomilton
98	PERMIT
110	Hill Limontona
19/	Lanestone
101	WICL CHAINII
DISTI	RICT 10
(i yier)
3	Charal
37	Cherokee
100	Uregg
198	riencerson
201	PLUSE Churchthe
212	Man Zendi
239	van Lann Wood
2.30	W 000

DIST (Lufk	<u>RICT 11</u> in)
3	Angelina
114	Houston
174	Nacogdoche
187	Polk
202	Sabine
203	San Augusti
204	San Jacinto
210	Shelby
228	Trinity
DIST (How	RICT 12
20	Brazoria
80	Fort Bend
85	Galveston
102	Harris
170	Montgomen
237	Waller
DIST (Yoak	RICT 13
8	Austin
20	Cathours
45	Colorado
67	DeWitt
76	Foverte
90	Contai
101	lashoon
141	Lations
143	Lavaus
1.00	Watagorda
232	V ICIOFIA Wilcowtow
241	44 1991 (CU)
DIST	RICT 14
(Aust	n)
11	Bastrop
16	Blanco
27	Burnett
27 28	Burnett Caldwell
27 28 87	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie
27 28 87 106	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Havs
27 28 87 106 144	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee
27 28 87 106 144 150	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llapo
27 28 87 106 144 150 157	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 222	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San /	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Intonio)
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST 7 (San / 7	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Intonio Atascosa Bandera
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Attaccosa Bandera Bexar
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Intonio Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46 83 25	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Ataccosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Guadatupe
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San A 7 10 15 46 83 95 131	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Giadalupe Kendall
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Travis Williamson RICT 15 Nutonio Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San A 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Intonio Atascosa Bandera Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Guadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San A 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Baxar Comal Frio Giadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST 7 7 (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 242	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San A 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247 DIST	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Guadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247 DIST (Corp	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Travis Williamson RICT 15 Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr Mcdma Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi)
27 28 87 106 144 150 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 232 247 DIST (Corp 4	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Gnadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi) Aransa
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247 DIST (Corp 4 13	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Intonio Atascosa Bandera Bandera Bandera Bandera Backar Comal Frio Guadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi) Aransas Bee
27 28 87 106 144 150 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247 DIST (Corp 4 13 89	Burnert Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Guadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi) Aransas Bee Goliad
27 28 87 106 144 150 227 246 DIST (San A 7 10 15 246 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247 DIST (Corp 4 13 89 126	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Travis Williamson RICT 15 Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi) Aransas Bee Goliad Jim Wells
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 247 DIST (Corp 4 13 89 2126 129	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Travis Williamson RICT 15 Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi) Aransas Bee Goliad Jim Wells Karnes
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 232 247 DIST (Corp 4 13 89 212 (Corp 4 13	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Travis Williamson RECT 15 Intonio Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Gnadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RECT 16 as Christi) Aransas Bee Goliad Jim Wells Karnes Karberg
27 28 87 106 144 150 227 246 DIST (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247 DIST (Corp 4 13 89 126 129 137 149	Burnert Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Mason Travis Williamson RICT 15 Antonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Gnadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen McMullen Karres Bee Goliad Jim Wells Karnes Kleberg Live Oak
27 28 87 106 144 150 227 246 DIST 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 163 232 247 DIST (Corp 4 13 89 126 129 137 149 178	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Travis Williamson RICT 15 Motonio) Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi) Aransas Bee Goliad Jim Wells Karnes Kleberg Live Oak Nueces
27 28 87 106 144 150 157 227 246 DIST (San / 7 (San / 7 (San / 7 10 15 46 83 95 131 133 162 247 DIST (Corp 4 13 89 126 129 137 149 129 137	Burnett Caldwell Gillespie Hays Lee Llano Travis Williamson RICT 15 Atascosa Bandera Bexar Comal Frio Ginadalupe Kendall Kerr McMullen Medina Uvalde Wilson RICT 16 us Christi) Aransas Bee Goliad Jim Wells Karnes Kleberg Live Oak Nueces Refugio

11
elina
iston
ogdoches
ine
Augustine
Jacinto
aty
e.
<u>12</u>
zoria
Bend
rie
itgomery
ler
13
tin
юшп
vrado Vitt
ette
zales
SOR
agorda
oria
arton
14
rop
ico xett
lwell
espie
5
10
on
iamson
15
c05a
dera
ar Ial
dahipe dah
U2117
Aulien
lina Ida
ion
10 risti)
isas
art
Wells
ies
erg Oak
and a

DIST	RICT 17
(Brya	11)
21	Brazos
26	Burleson
82	Freestone
94	Grimes
145	Leon
154	Madison
166	Milam
198	Robertson
236	Walker
239	Washington
DIST	RICT 18
(Dalla	8)
43	Collin
57	Dallas
61	Denton
71	Ellis
130	Kaufman
175	Navarro
199	Rockwall
DIST	RICT 19
(Atlan	(a)
19	Bowie

32 Camp 34 Cass 103 Harrison 155 Marion

172 Morris

183 Panola 225 Titus 230 Upshur

36 101 Hardin 122 Jasper 124

109

245 253 Zapata DISTRICT 22 (Laredo) 64 Dimmit 67

136 Kinney

142

159

233

DISTRICT 20 (Beaumont) Chambers

Jefferson Liberty 146 176 Newton 181 Orange 229 Tyler DISTRICT 21 (Pharr) 24 Brooks 31

Cameron

Hildago 125 Jim Hogg 66

Kenedy 214 Starr Willacy

Duval

La Salle

Maverick

Val Verde Webb 240 254 Zavala

206	San Saba
215	Stephens
DIST	RICT 24
(El Pa	180)
22	Brewster
55	Culberson
72	El Paso
116	Hudspeth
123	Jeff Davis
189	Presidio
DIST	RICT 25
(Child	iress)
23	Briscoe
38	Childress
44	Collingsworth
51	Cottle
63	Dickens
65	Donley
79	Foard
97	Hall
100	Hardeman
135	King
138	Knox
173	Motley

242 Wheeler

DISTRICT 23

(Brownwood) 25 Brown Brown

Coleman

Eastland

141 Lampasas

167 Mills

Comanche

McCulloch

42

47

68

160

Figure 18. List of Texas Counties by District (TxDOT, 1998).



Figure 19. Dialog Box of Input Parameters for the Monthly Modulus Prediction.

M	licrosoft	Excel - 1068	.xls													۶×
	<u>File E</u> dit	⊻iew <u>I</u> nsert	F <u>o</u> rmat <u>T</u> ool	ls <u>D</u> ata <u>W</u> ir	ndow <u>H</u> elp											ЪХ
	🖻 🔛	🖨 🖪 💖	🐰 🖻 🛍	l 🝼 🗠 -	Σ <i>f</i> *	🛃 🛍 100	1% 👻 🤇	🤇 🙄 🕺 Arial		• 18 •	B / U		= 🖬 🕻	8 .08	- 🕭 - <u>A</u> -	• *
_	A1	•	= Monthly	Modulus F	Prediction			2								
	А	B	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	М	N	0	
1	Mor	nthly M	odulus	Pred	iction											
2						<u>.</u>										- 1
3	Month	Ave. Tem	p Pave. Tem	AC Moduli	. Corrected											
4		(F)	(F)	(ksi)	Modulus (I	ksi)										
5	Jan	41.0	47.3	411.7												
6	Feb	45.0	51.7	UserForm	1							×	L			- 1
4	Mar	53.0	60.6 74.0										L			- 1
a	Apr Mov	63.U 71.0	71.6										<u> </u>			_
10	Jun	79.0	89.3	Mod	ulus Monthl	ly Correctior						×				
11	Jul	84.0	94.9		namic Moduli	s Equation	Then Four	tion 1								
12	Aug	83.0	93.8		name nodale	is equation 1	unen Lyua					— I 🗖				
13	Sep	76.0	86.0			- P4 [52.0									
14	Oct	65.0	73.9		A 11.07		52.0									
15	Nov	53.0	60.6		VTS -3.710) P38	36.0	AC-10	-	PG÷58	- 22 -					_
16	Dec	45.0	51.7		fr 10.00	 P34 [14.0		_							- 1
17	0						14.0						L			- 1
10	Average Std Dov					0							L			- 1
20	Var Coe	f.:			TV				_							
21					ليتكروا					Exit	Run					
22						`			L							
23																- 1
24				_	Predict Pav	/ement Tempe	ratures			Exit the Pr	ogram					- 11
25				-												- 11
20]			
28																
29																
30																
31																- 1
32																- 1
33																-7
34																_
	N/N	ionth / outpu	t/							I.						• ⁻
Dra	w + 🕞	🕝 🛛 AutoSha	pes 🕶 🔪 🍾		4 🙎	🔌 - 🚄 -	<u>A</u> - ≡	■ ≓ ■	-							
Rea	idy													NUM		

Figure 20. Dialog Box to Specify Equation for Monthly Modulus Prediction. specify which equation to use, simply click on its folder tab. Note that if you used the dynamic modulus equation to correct the backcalculated AC moduli, the *A* and *VTS* coefficients you previously specified are displayed in the dialog box as well as the reference loading frequency and the gradation of the asphalt mix. While you may change these variables in the dialog box, it is important that the values you enter are consistent with the method employed to correct the backcalculated AC moduli. In most applications, it is likely that you would only have to click on the *Run* button of the dialog box to perform the monthly modulus predictions based on the dynamic modulus equation without having to change any of the data shown. To select the Chen equation, click on its folder tab and then on the *Run* button of the dialog box to perform the analysis.

Figure 21 illustrates the output from the evaluation of monthly modulus variation. The predicted modulus for each month is written in the last column of the worksheet. The label for this column also has a comment box that reports the parameters used in correcting the reference

N I	🔀 Microsoft Excel - 1068.xls													8 ×		
	<u>Eile E</u> dit <u>V</u>	jew <u>I</u> nsert	Format <u>T</u> ool	ls <u>D</u> ata <u>W</u> ir	ndow <u>H</u> elp										_	8×
ľ	🗃 🔲 é	📾 🖪 🖤	1 🖹 🖹	u 🛷 🗠 .	- Σ f*	↓ 10 10	0% 🖣 🕜 🤅	Arial		• 10 •	B <i>I</i> U		= B	+.0 .00 ····	ð - <u>A</u>	• »
	E2	· ·	= Dynami	ic Modulus	Equation										_	
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	
1	Mont	thly M	odulus	Pred	iction											
2				l loa	Dynamic N	Use:	Dynamic									-
3	Month	Ave. Temp	Pave. Tem	AC Modul	Corrected	Modulus	Equation									
4		(F)	(F)	(ksi)	Modulus (k	si Correcter	d from 80.0'F									
5	Jan	41.0	47.3	411.7	914.5	A=11. WTS-=-3	U// 710									
6	Feb	45.0	51.7	411.7	857.6	f=10.0)									
7	Mar	53.0	60.6	411.7	725.2	P.4-=52.	0%									
8	Apr	63.0	71.6	411.7	542.8	P.38=36.	.0% .0%	_								_
9	May	71.0	80.5	411.7	404.5											-
11	Jun	79.0	09.3	411.7	200.7	L										
12	Aun	04.0 83.0	93.8	411.7	200.0											
13	Sen	76.0	86.0	411.7	328.9											
14	Oct	65.0	73.9	411.7	506.7											
15	Nov	53.0	60.6	411.7	725.2											
16	Dec	45.0	51.7	411.7	857.6											
17																
18	Average :	63.17	71.83	411.70	551.97											
19	Std. Dev. :	: 15.57	17.21	0.00	256.02											
20	var Coeff.:	24.64	23.97	0.00	46.38											
22																
23																
24																
25																
26																
27																
28																
29																
31																
32																
33																
34																
25 14	b bl Mo	nth / output	1													► I
	w + 🕞 🖉	AutoShan	bes 🗸 🔪		3 🔺 🗖	ðØ .	A - = =	■ ਦ ■								
Cel	E2 comme	ented by TT	I		- taki			+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						NUM		

Figure 21. Illustration of Output from Monthly Modulus Prediction.

modulus to the predicted mean monthly pavement temperatures. You may resize the box as necessary using Excel's *Edit Comment* function to view the information.

CHAPTER III MTCP OUTPUT

GETTING OUTPUT OF ANALYSIS RESULTS

As presented in Chapter II, there are two worksheets created by MTCP which have the input data used in the analyses as well as the results. The *output* worksheet contains the summary output from the MODULUS backcalculation; the measured air, surface, and pavement temperatures from the FWD survey; the predicted pavement temperatures; and the corrected asphalt concrete moduli. The other worksheet, labeled *Month*, has the monthly average air temperatures, the predicted mean monthly pavement temperatures, and the predicted monthly variation in asphalt concrete modulus for the material tested. You may print each of these worksheets using the *Print* function available in Excel. You may also produce charts of the results by clicking the *Plot Output* button of the MTCP main menu. This will bring up the chart selection menu illustrated in Figure 22.

Table 4 describes the chart options available from the menu. The default worksheet names shown in the table are reserved for program use. You may not use any of these names to label worksheets that you manually create in the Excel spreadsheet. Note that the charts which may be produced depend on the main menu functions that have already been executed. For example, neither the FWD nor MODULUS summary output data may be plotted until the data files have been read by MTCP. Similarly, the corrected asphalt concrete moduli and the predicted monthly variations in the AC modulus cannot be plotted until the temperature corrections and the monthly modulus predictions have been made.

To select a chart from the menu, simply click on its checkbox. You may select all charts at once by clicking on the *Select All* button of the menu. If you wish to undo any selection, click again on the chart's checkbox. To undo all selections at once, click the *Clear* button of the menu. The button labeled *Reverse Select* will undo previous selections you have made while at the same time checking the boxes of charts not previously selected. Thus, *Reverse Select* has the same effect as *Clear* if all of the available charts were previously selected.



Figure 22. MTCP Plot Menu.

Chart Group	Chart Label	Description	Default Worksheet Name
	Load vs Station	Plot of measured FWD load for stations tested	Load
Basic Plot	R1 ~ R7	FWD sensor deflections plotted for each station	Deflec-1
2 4010 1 100	R1 & R7	Plot of FWD sensor 1 and sensor 7 deflections by station	Deflec-2
	Modulus vs Station	Plot of backcalculated layer moduli for each station	Modu
Modulus Result Plot	Err/Sens vs Station	Plot of the average absolute errors per sensor for the different stations tested	Err
	Depth to Bedrock vs Station	Plot of the depths to bedrock predicted from the sensor displacements measured at the different stations	DB
Monthly	Monthly Ave. Air & Pave. Temp.	Mean monthly average air temperatures and predicted mean monthly pavement temperatures at the project surveyed	Month-1
Analysis Plot	Monthly Modulus vs Month	Bar chart of predicted monthly variations in AC modulus	Month-2
	Air, Surface, & Pavement Temp. vs Station	Plot of air, surface, and pavement temperatures by station	Temp-1
Temperature Plot	Tested & Calculated Pavement Temperature vs Station	Plot of measured vs predicted pavement temperatures by station	Temp-2
	Pavement Temp. vs Modulus (before correction)	Plot of backcalculated AC modulus versus pavement temperature	Mod-Temp-1
Temperature with Modulus	Corrected & Un- corrected Modulus (station)	Plot of corrected and backcalculated AC moduli by station	Mod-Temp-3
	Corrected & Un- corrected Modulus (temperature)	Plot of corrected and backcalculated AC moduli versus pavement temperature	Mod-Temp-4

Table 4. Available Charts in MTCP.

Once you have made your selections, click on the *PLOT* button. Each chart selected gets drawn on a separate worksheet. Table 4 identifies the worksheets assigned to the different charts. To view the charts, first leave the plot menu by clicking on its *Exit* button. This brings you back to the program main menu. From here, click on *Exit the Program*. You may then view a particular chart by clicking on its worksheet tab which you may identify from Table 4. Figures 23 and 24 illustrate two of the charts that you may produce from MTCP. To print a given chart, simply bring that chart into view by clicking on its worksheet tab. Then use Excel's *Print* function to get a hardcopy.

The plots that you generate in the spreadsheet are automatically updated as data get changed. This situation occurs, for example, when you do another analysis using a temperature correction equation that is different from that used to generate the charts initially. In this instance, you do not have to rerun the plot function to get the same charts using the new analysis results. However, you have the option to generate additional charts that were not selected in the previous analysis.

You may also rename the chart worksheets in Excel. To use a name different from the default value given in Table 4, simply double click the tab of the worksheet you wish to rename. Then type in the label that you want that worksheet to have and press the *Enter* key. Alternatively, you may right click on the worksheet tab and select the *Rename* function in Excel to re-label the selected worksheet.

SAVING THE ANALYSIS RESULTS

Once you finish with your analysis, you may save your results by using Excel's *Save* or *Save As* function. To do this, you must first exit the MTCP main menu by clicking on *Exit the Program*. Then you may click on the *Save* icon in the top toolbar to save your results using the default file name assigned by the program. The Excel spreadsheet, with all the accompanying data and charts, is saved in a file with the same name as the MODULUS summary output file but with the extension *XLS*.

If you do not wish to use the default file name, you may save the spreadsheet using the *Save As* function in Excel's *File* menu. Click on *File* at the top of the spreadsheet, then on *Save As*. A dialog box will then be displayed where you can specify the name of the file where the results will be saved. In addition, you may specify the drive and subdirectory



Figure 23. Example Plot of Corrected and Backcalculated AC Moduli vs Pavement Temperature.



Figure 24. Example Plot of Predicted Monthly Variations in AC Modulus.

where this file will be written. By default, the file is written in the same subdirectory where MTCP was loaded. You may open the spreadsheet in Excel at a later time should you wish to conduct additional analyses with the same MODULUS and FWD input files used to generate the spreadsheet.

After saving your results, you may exit Excel by clicking on *File*, then on *Exit* or by clicking on the X button at the top right corner of the spreadsheet. Alternatively, you may conduct a new analysis with a different set of input data. To perform a new analysis, reload the main menu by pressing Ctrl+Shift+M. Then clear the results of the previous analysis by clicking on the *Clear Program Sheets* button of the main menu. The dialog box shown in Figure 25 will be displayed to confirm that you wish to delete the worksheets created by the program. If you have saved the results, you may click on *Yes* in the dialog box. Otherwise, click *No* for an opportunity to save the existing spreadsheet as described previously.

	Microsoft Excel - 1068.xls																			
	<u> </u>	⊻iew Inse	rt F <u>o</u> rmat	<u>T</u> ools <u>D</u>	ata <u>W</u> ind	ow <u>H</u> elp)													<u> - 8 ×</u>
Ľ	🖻 🚽	🖨 🖪 🖔	🖇 🖁	a 🛍 🚿	ю -	Σf_s	24 🛍	100%	- 🍳	🐥 🖁 Arial MT	Black	v 16	• B	ΙU	F B	3	.00 ■	•.00	- 👌 -	<u>A</u> - ~ ~
	A1	•	= TTI	MODUL	US AN	ALYSIS	SYSTE	EM (SUI	MMARY	REPORT;)	5.1)								
	Α	B	С	D	E	F	G	H		J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	T
1			TT	т мо	DUL	US A	NALY	YSIS	SYST	EM (S	UMM	ARYE	REPOR	RT)				(Versi	on 5.1	D
2	District	1				Moduli	is Rande	(ksi)												
3	County	139			Thick (in)	Min	Max	Poisson												
4				Surface	10.9	- 30	1500	0.4												
5				Base	6	15	200	0.35												
6				Subbase	0	0	0	0.3												
7				Subgrade	118.6	Use	Form1							×			TEL 10			_
8	0		D4		D O		_								DDC)	1.1.1.1	TEMPE	RATUR	E_(F)	+
9	Station 75.4	Load	R1	R2	R3 A CC	R Wa	rning: T	he followii eleted, D	ng sheets In vou war	will be permation	anently e?			Sen	UB(in)	Limit	Air	Surface	Faveme	10:04
11	75.1	0 9375	6.76	6.09 C 05	5.66			0,0000, 0	o you mai		0.			0.0	101.2		48.0	53.U 56.0	51.0	10:24
12	75.2	6726 OC	7.06	6.00	5.00									0.0	194.9		49.0	62.0	55.0 65.0	12.15
13	75.4	9167	7.00	6.26	5.78		List of	Sheets to	be Delete	d			1	0.4	233.0		55.0	66.0	57.0	15:33
14	75.5	9807	6.46	5.87	5.57		1. Ou	utput	9. Te	mp-1		Ye	s	1.0	300.0		31.0	40.0	37.0	8:25
15	75.6	9879	6.71	6.09	5.70		2. Mc	onth	10. T	emp-2				1.1	226.0		41.0	59.0	44.0	10:26
16	75.7	9671	7.10	6.35	5.91							No		0.6	189.6		54.0	62.0	51.0	9:30
17	75.8	9471	7.87	6.95	6.30		3. Lo	ad	11. M	10d-Temp-1				1.0	147.1		51.0	58.0	64.0	7:37
18	75.9	9375 00	8.47	7.25	6.55		4. De	flec-1	12. N	1od-Temp-2				0.9	141.4		68.0	81.0	69.0	10:18
19	76.0	0 9391	9.88	8.28	7.36		5. De	flec-2	13. M	1od-Temp-3				0.5	146.7		65.0	75.0	83.0	6:46 <u></u>
20	76.1	9383	10.39	8.66	7.74		2 M.			م ما ۳۰۰۰۰ م			4	0.4	178.0		75.0	93.0	82.0	8:59
21	76.2	9407	12.10	9.65	8.42		6. MC	au	14. 0	100-1 emp-4				0.6	151.6		83.0	104.0	91.0	11:54
22	/b.3	9279	14.20	10.42	9.02		7. Er	r	15. N	1onth-1				0.8	158.4		87.0	128.0	100.0	14:36
23	76.4	00 0240	12.13	9.65	0.39		8. DE	:	16. N	1onth-2		-		0.2	110.4		01.0	79.0	95.0	7:31
24	76.5	0 9342	14.88	10.00	9.70									0.2	119.5		91 D	96.0	105.0	12:01
26	76.7	9271	16.48	11.83	9.87									0.4	123.6		96.0	104.0	1111.0	14:20
27	76.8	9168	17.17	12.07	9,90	7.90	6.13	3.72	1.73	119.0	23.0	0.0	13.0	0.5	123.7		97.0	108.0	114.0	16:24
28	76.9	9513	11.79	9.26	8.16	6.79	5.53	3.60	1.70	291.0	20.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.8		78.0	75.0	92.0	6:40
29	77.0	9561	11.94	9.48	8.37	6.95	5.68	3.66	1.72	302.0	15.0	0.0	14.5	0.3	123.6		82.0	80.0	91.0	8:45
30	77.1	0 9418	12.90	9.99	8.76	7.23	5.79	3.63	1.74	248.0	15.3	0.0	14.3	0.6	131.9		88.0	89.0	95.0	10:57
31	77.2	9338	14.55	10.81	9.17	7.43	5.85	3.60	1.63	180.0	17.7	0.0	14.1	0.4	115.4		91.0	99.0	103.0	13:03
32	77.3	9597	12.28	9.50	8.26	6.89	5.57	3.68	1.69	250.0	31.7	0.0	14.0	0.3	114.9		77.0	80.0	96.0	6:54
33	77.4	JU 9609	12.23	9.63	8.38	6.95	5.60	3.60	1.68	275.0	17.1	0.0	14.8	0.3	120.7		80.0	81.0	95.0	8:58
34	//.5	JU 9549	12.30	9.62	8.37	6.87	5.56	3.61	1.69	258.0	22.1	0.0	14.5	0.2	120.8		80.0	81.0	97.5	10:47
N.	I D D K	r / DB / Te	mp-1 / Te	emp-2 / 1	Mod-Temp	-1 / M	od-Temp-3	Mont	h-1 / M	od-Temp-4	<u>}output</u>		14 h	11.5	1187		88.0		100.2	<u></u>
Dr	aw + 禄 I	3 AutoS	napes 🗸 🚿] 🔿 🔮	4	8 -	<u>.</u> / - <u>A</u>	• = i	≡ ≓ ∎										
Re	ady										_							NU	M	

Figure 25. Dialog Box to Delete Program Worksheets Prior to a New Analysis.

After the existing program worksheets have been deleted, the main menu is again displayed. From here, the user may read another MODULUS output file and conduct a new analysis as described in this user's guide. It is noted that the *Clear Program Sheets* function will only delete the worksheets created by the program (identified in the dialog box shown in Figure 25). Worksheets that were manually created by the user during the previous analysis are not deleted.

REFERENCES

Asphalt Institute. *Research and Development of the Asphalt Institute's Thickness Design Manual (MS-1) Ninth Edition*. Research Report No. 82-2, Asphalt Institute, Ky., 1982.

Chen, D., J. Bilyeu, H. Lin, and M. Murphy. *Temperature Correction on FWD Measurements*. Paper presented at the 79th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board (accepted for publication), Washington, D. C., 2000.

Fernando, E. G., and W. Liu. *Development of a Procedure for Temperature Correction of Backcalculated AC Modulus*. Research Report 1863-2, Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Tex., 2001.

Fernando, E. G., and W. Liu. *Program for Load-Zoning Analysis (PLZA): User's Guide*. Research Report 2123-1, Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Tex., 1999.

Fernando, E. G. *PALS 2.0 User's Guide*. Research Report 3923-1, Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Tex., 1997.

Jooste, F. J., and E. G. Fernando. *Development of a Procedure for the Structural Evaluation of Superheavy Load Routes*. Research Report 1335-3F, Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Tex., 1995.

Lukanen, E. O., R. N. Stubstad, and R. C. Briggs. *Temperature Predictions and Adjustment Factors for Asphalt Pavements*. Research Report FHWA-RD-98-085, Federal Highway Administration, McLean, Va., 1998.

Lytton, R. L., F. P. Germann, Y. J. Chou, and S. M. Stoffels. *Determining Asphaltic Concrete Pavement Structural Properties by Nondestructive Testing*. National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 327, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D. C., 1990.

Michalak, C. H., and T. Scullion. *MODULUS 5.0: User's Manual*. Research Report 1987-1, Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Tex., 1995.

Mirza, M. W. *Development of a Global Aging System for Short and Long Term Aging of Asphalt Cements*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md., 1993.

Stubstad, R. N., E. O. Lukanen, C. A. Richter, and S. Baltzer. *Calculation of AC Layer Temperatures From FWD Field Data*. <u>Proceedings</u>, Fifth International Conference on the Bearing Capacity of Roads and Airfields, Vol. 2, Trondheim, Norway, 1998, pp. 919 – 928.

Texas Department of Transportation. *Pavement Management Information System Rater's Manual for Fiscal Year 1999.* Texas Department of Transportation, Austin, Tex., 1998.

Texas Department of Transportation. *Falling Weight Deflectometer Operator's Manual*. Texas Department of Transportation, Austin, Tex., 1996.

Witczak, M. W. and O. A. Fonseca. *Revised Predictive Model for Dynamic (Complex) Modulus of Asphalt Mixtures*. Transportation Research Record 1540, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D. C., 1996, pp. 15 – 23.



APPENDIX: FLOW CHART OF MODULUS TEMPERATURE CORRECTION PROGRAM