

Information Systems Analysis

Temporal Logic and Timed Automata

(8)

System model verification in NuSMV

© *Paweł Głuchowski, Wrocław University of Technology*
version 2.3

Contents of the lecture

System modelling

- Indirect modelling
 - Direct modelling
 - FAIRNESS constraints
- Synchronous and asynchronous model of a system
 - Nondeterminism
- Example: aircraft–intruder model

Contents of the lecture

Mistakes in system modelling

- Different definitions of a variable
- Recursive definition of a variable
- Mutual dependency of variables
- Contradictions in expressions INIT, INVAR and TRANS

Contents of the lecture

System verification

- Possibilities
 - Property kinds to verify
- Counting a minimal and maximal path of states
 - Example for the aircraft–intruder model

Contents of the lecture

Interactive work

- Initial operations
- Model verification
- Model simulation
- Restart and end of work
- Executions of a script with operations
- Description of operations performed by NuSMV

System modelling

- Indirect modelling
 - Direct modelling
 - FAIRNESS constraints
- Synchronous and asynchronous model of a system
 - Nondeterminism
 - Example: aircraft–intruder model

System modelling

Indirect modelling

```
MODULE main

VAR    a : boolean;
       b : 0..4;

ASSIGN  init(a) := TRUE;
        next(a) := !a;
        init(b) := {0,2,4};
        next(b) := case
            next(a) : {0,2,4};
            !next(a) : {1,3};
        esac;

CTLSPEC AG(a -> b in {0,2,4})

INVARSPEC (!a -> b in {1,3})
```

Direct modelling

```
MODULE main

VAR    a : boolean;
       b : 0..4;

INIT   a = TRUE &
       b in {0,2,4};

TRANS next(a) = !a;
TRANS next(b) in case
    next(a) : {0,2,4};
    !next(a) : {1,3};
esac;

CTLSPEC AG(a -> b in {0,2,4})

INVARSPEC (!a -> b in {1,3})
```

System modelling

Indirect modelling

- Behaviour of an automaton is defined by specifying initial and next values of state variables.
- Example:

```
ASSIGN  init(a) := TRUE;
        next(a) := !a;
        init(b) := {0,2,4};
        next(b) := case
            next(a) : {0,2,4};
            !next(a) : {1,3};
        esac;
```

- Operator `init` defines the initial value of a variable.
- Operator `next` defines the value of a variable in the next state.

System modelling

Indirect modelling

- If the initial value of a variable is not given, it will get any value from its range of values.
(There exists at least 1 initial state.)
- If the next value of a variable is not given, it will get any value from its range of values.
(There exists at least 1 next state for every state.)

Remark

- Every model defined indirectly can be defined directly.
- Not every model defined directly can be defined indirectly.

System modelling

Direct modelling

- Behaviour of an automaton is defined by logic expressions.
- Logic expressions express:
 - initial states,
 - reachable states,
 - transitions between states.
- Results of lack of expressions or of their mutual contradiction:
 - an empty set of initial states,
 - unreachable states,
 - lack of reachable states.

System modelling

Direct modelling

- Specification of initial values of variables:

```
INIT logic_expression
```

- The expression given after `INIT` describes initial values of variables.
- Example of specification of values of variables `a` and `b`:

```
INIT a = TRUE &  
      b in {0,2,4}
```

- If the initial value of a variable is not given, it will get any value from its range of values.
- If an untrue expression is given, then there are no initial states (model verification may be incorrect).
- Using the operator `next` is not allowed.

System modelling

Direct modelling

- Specification of reachable states by state invariants:

```
INVAR logic_expression
```

- The expression given after `INVAR` describes the values of variables, that characterise every state.
- Example of specification of values of variables `a` and `b`:

```
INVAR a=TRUE | a=FALSE
```

```
INVAR !a -> b in {1,3}
```

- If an untrue expression is given, then there are no reachable states (model verification may be incorrect).
- Invariant definitions are not mandatory.
- Using the operator `next` is not allowed.

System modelling

Direct modelling

- Specification of allowed transitions between states:

```
TRANS logic_expression
```

- The expression given after TRANS describes allowed values of variables in the next state.
- Example of specification of next values of variables a and b:

```
TRANS next (a) = !a;  
TRANS next (b) in case  
    next (a) : {0, 2, 4};  
    !next (a) : {1, 3};  
esac;
```

- If an untrue expression is given, then there may be no next state (model verification may be incorrect).

System modelling

Direct modelling

- **INVAR or INIT combined with TRANS?**
 - 1st way – invariantly $a = 1$:
INVAR $a=1$
 - 2nd way – in the initial and every following state $a = 1$:
INIT $a=1$
TRANS $next(a)=1$
 - The effect seems to be the same, but the 1st way is more effective.
 - In this situation it is recommended to use an invariant.

System modelling

FAIRNESS constraints

- Constraint `JUSTICE expression`
 - Alternatively: `FAIRNESS expression`
 - Model verification consists of these paths only, where the *expression* is true infinitely many times, e.g.:

```
VAR  a : boolean;  
JUSTICE !a
```
 - It corresponds to the formula $AG(AF(\neg(a)))$.
 - Using the operator `next` in the *expression* is not allowed.

System modelling

FAIRNESS constraints

- Constraint `COMPASSION (expression1, expression2)`
 - Model verification consists of these paths only, where:
 - if the *expression1* is true infinitely many times,
 - then the *expression2* is also true infinitely many times on the same paths, e.g.:

```
VAR    a : boolean;  
        b : boolean;  
COMPASSION (!a, !b)
```

- It corresponds to the formula $AG(AG(AF(\neg(a))) \Rightarrow AG(AF(\neg(b))))$.
- Using the operator `next` in the *expressions* is not allowed.
- NuSMV does not fully support the `COMPASSION` yet.

System modelling

Synchronous and asynchronous model of a system

- In the synchronous model, in one step:
 - a change of state of every module takes place in parallel
 - a simultaneous change of values of variables (according to the specification) in every module.
- In the asynchronous model, in one step:
 - a change of state of one module (process) takes place
 - a change of values of variables (according to the specification) in one module.
 - Sequence of processes is random.
 - Variables of other processes remain unchanged in this step.
 - **Processes are not used now (they are “deprecated”).**

System modelling

Nondeterminism

- Definition of a variable requires to give a set of its values, e.g.:

```
VAR
  a : 0..10;
  b : {s1, s2, s3};
```

- If no instruction assigns any value to a variable, then the variable gets a random value of the range of its values.
- If an instruction assigns a subset of a variable's set of values to the variable, then the variable gets a random value of this subset, e.g.:

```
a := {s1, s3}
```

System modelling

Example: aircraft–intruder model

- **Description of the situation:**
 - A runway intersects a taxiway.
 - An aircraft begins moving before the intersection, accelerating.
 - The aircraft, accelerating, reaches the V_1 velocity (after time 6..8), and then takes off (after time 1..3).
 - The take-off of the aircraft may happen before, on or after the intersection.
 - An intruder may appear on the intersection at any moment.
 - The intruder, when appears on the intersection, does not disappear from it.
 - If the aircraft accelerates before, on, or after the intersection, where the intruder appears, it decelerate, if its velocity $< V_1$.
 - Decelerating aircraft stops (after time 3..4) before, on, or after the intersection.
 - If the aircraft and the intruder are on the intersection, a collision may happen.
 - Final states: the aircraft takes off, the aircraft stands, there is a collision.

System modelling

```
MODULE main
```

```
VAR
```

```
--location of the aircraft
```

```
--in relation to the intersection
```

```
location : {before, on, after};
```

```
--kind of a movement of the aircraft
```

```
movement: {accelerating, decelerating, standing, taking_off};
```

```
--time of the movement (reset to zero at the moment
```

```
--of the beginning of a new movement kind)
```

```
t : 0..9;
```

```
--intruder on the intersection
```

```
intruder : boolean;
```

```
--collision with the intruder
```

```
collision : boolean;
```

```
--aircraft's velocity  $\geq$  v1 (deceleration is forbidden)
```

```
v1 : boolean;
```

System modelling

```
--INITIAL STATE
```

INIT

```
--the aircraft is before the intersection  
location = before &  
--the aircraft is accelerating  
movement = accelerating &  
--the time of acceleration begins  
t = 0 &  
--there is no intruder on the intersection  
intruder = FALSE &  
--there is no collision  
collision = FALSE &  
--the aircraft's velocity < v1  
v1 = FALSE
```

System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE CLOCK t
```

```
TRANS next(t) in case
```

```
--resetting the clock when taking-off starts
```

```
movement = accelerating & next(movement) = taking_off : 0;
```

```
--resetting the clock when decelerating start
```

```
movement = accelerating & next(movement) = decelerating : 0;
```

```
--resetting the clock when standing starts
```

```
movement = decelerating & next(movement) = standing : 0;
```

```
--in other case, with any automaton state change,
```

```
--one time unit passes
```

```
TRUE : (t + 1) mod 10; esac;
```

System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE INTRUDER
```

```
TRANS next(intruder) in case
```

```
--the intruder may appear at any moment
```

```
!intruder : {FALSE,TRUE};
```

```
--the intruder cannot disappear from the intersection,
```

```
--if it already is there
```

```
TRUE : intruder; esac;
```

System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE v1 VELOCITY
```

```
TRANS next(v1) in case
```

```
--the v1 cannot be reached in the time  $t < 6$ 
```

```
!v1 & movement = accelerating & t<6 : FALSE;
```

```
--the v1 may be reached in the time  $t < 8$ 
```

```
!v1 & movement = accelerating & t<8 : {TRUE,FALSE};
```

```
--the v1 is reached at most in the time  $t = 8$ 
```

```
!v1 & movement = accelerating & t=8 : TRUE;
```

```
--once reached, the v1 velocity does not get smaller
```

```
TRUE : v1; esac;
```


System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE COLLISION

--the collision is impossible, if there is no intruder
--or the aircraft is before the intersection
INVAR !intruder | location = before -> !collision;

TRANS next(collision) in case
  --if there is the collision, it will not pass away
  collision : TRUE;
  --if there is no collision, it is possible then,
  --if the intruder and the aircraft are on the intersection
  intruder & location = on : {FALSE, TRUE};
  --other states do not affect the collision
  TRUE : collision; esac;
```

System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE LOCATION OF THE AIRCRAFT
```

```
TRANS next(location) in case
```

```
--the standing or taking-off aircraft does not change
```

```
--its location (final state)
```

```
movement = standing | movement = taking_off : location;
```

```
--the aircraft being before the intersection may enter it
```

```
location = before : {before, on};
```

```
--the aircraft being on the intersection may leave it
```

```
location = on : {on, after};
```

```
--the aircraft being after the intersection does not change
```

```
--its location
```

```
location = after: after; esac;
```

System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE MOVEMENT OF THE AIRCRAFT (1)
```

```
TRANS next(movement) in case
```

```
--the aircraft accelerating with the velocity  $\geq v1$ 
```

```
--cannot take off if there is the collision
```

```
--(no change of movement kind)
```

```
movement = accelerating & v1 & collision : accelerating;
```

```
--the aircraft accelerating with the velocity  $\geq v1$ 
```

```
--cannot take off in time  $t < 1$ 
```

```
movement = accelerating & v1 & t<1 : accelerating;
```

```
--the aircraft accelerating with the velocity  $\geq v1$ 
```

```
--may take off in time  $t < 3$  (if there is no collision)
```

```
movement = accelerating & v1 & t<3 :
```

```
    {accelerating, taking_off};
```

System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE MOVEMENT OF THE AIRCRAFT (2)

-- ...
--the aircraft accelerating with the velocity  $\geq v1$  takes off
--at last in the time  $t = 3$  (if there is no collision)
movement = accelerating & v1 & t=3 : taking_off;
--the aircraft accelerating with the velocity  $< v1$ 
--still accelerates, if there is no intruder
movement = accelerating & !v1 & !intruder : accelerating;
--the aircraft accelerating with the velocity  $< v1$ 
--decelerates, if there is the intruder on the intersection
movement = accelerating & !v1 & intruder : decelerating;
```

System modelling

```
--BEHAVIOUR OF THE MOVEMENT OF THE AIRCRAFT (3)

-- ...
--the decelerating aircraft cannot stop in the time  $t < 3$ 
movement = decelerating & t < 3 : decelerating;
--the decelerating aircraft may stop in the time  $t < 4$ 
movement = decelerating & t < 4 : {decelerating, standing};
--the decelerating aircraft will stop at last in the time  $t=4$ 
movement = decelerating & t = 4 : standing;
--the standing or taking off aircraft does not change
--its kind of movement
movement = standing | movement = taking_off : movement;
--other states do not affect the movement
TRUE : movement; esac;
```

Mistakes in system modelling

- Different definitions of a variable
- Recursive definition of a variable
- Mutual dependency of variables
- Contradictions in expressions INIT, INVAR and TRANS

Mistakes in system modelling

Different definitions of a variable

- Every variable should have one definition only, that defines its value for a given state:
 - wrong: `init(a) := TRUE;`
`init(a) := FALSE;`
 - wrong: `b := a;`
`b := a+1;`
 - wrong: `init(c) := a;`
`c := b;`
 - good: `init(a) := {TRUE, FALSE};`

Mistakes in system modelling

Recursive definition of a variable

- Value of a variable cannot depend on its value from the same state:
 - wrong: `a := a+1;`
 - wrong: `next(a) := next(a)+1;`
- But it may depend on its value from the next state:
 - good: `next(a) := a+1;`

Mistakes in system modelling

Mutual dependency of variables

- Values of variables in the same state cannot be mutually dependent:
 - wrong: `a := b+1;`
`b := a-1;`
 - wrong: `next(a) := next(b);`
`next(b) := next(a);`
- But values of variables in different states may be mutually dependent:
 - good: `next(a) := b;`
`next(b) := a;`
 - good: `next(a) := next(b);`
`next(b) := a;`

Mistakes in system modelling

Contradictions in expressions INIT, INVARIANT and TRANS

- If an untrue expression `INIT` is given, then there are no initial states.
- If an untrue expression `INVARIANT` is given, then there are no reachable states.
- If an untrue expression `TRANS` is given, then there may not be a next state.

- These mistakes are reported by NuSMV.
- These mistakes may lead to an incorrect model verification.

System verification

- Possibilities
 - Property kinds to verify
- Counting a minimal and maximal path of states
 - Example for the aircraft–intruder model

System verification

Possibilities

- Verification is automatic.
- Specification of a system is given by temporal logic formulas.
- Available logics: LTL, CTL, LTL⁻, RTCTL (with upper and lower bounds for temporal operators) and PSL.
- All well-formed formulas are allowed.
- Every formulas is verified independently of the others.
- Verification of a formula returns *true* or *false*.
- The *false* result is returned with a counterexample (a path of states), if it can be generated.
- Length of minimal and maximal path between two determined states can be counted.

System verification

Property kinds to verify

- Properties described in LTL logic (dealing with linear time):

`LTLSPEC LTL_formula`

- Properties described in CTL logic (dealing with branching time):

`CTLSPEC CTL_formula`

- Properties described in logics LTL⁻, PSL, RTCTL.

- Invariants (dealing with every state of the model):

`INVARSPEC logic_expression`

System verification

Counting a minimal and maximal path of states

- Expression `COMPUTE` counts length of a path (number of states) between two specified states.
- Specification of a state is a logic expression expressing values of selected state variables in this state.

- Counting the minimal path:

```
COMPUTE MIN[state1, state2]
```

- Counting the maximal path:

```
COMPUTE MAX[state1, state2]
```

- The result is a number of states or `INFINITY`.

System verification

Example for the aircraft–intruder model

Verification of correct behaviour of the clock:

```
-- Incrementation of the clock with every state change (mod 10)
CTLSPEC AG (t=0 -> AX (t=1))
CTLSPEC AG (t=9 -> AX (t=0))
COMPUTE MIN [t=0, t=1]          --should be 1
COMPUTE MAX [t=0, t=1]          --should be 1

-- Change of a kind of movement of the aircraft resets the clock
-- (e.g. change from decelerating to standing)
CTLSPEC AG (movement=decelerating & AX (movement=standing)
  -> AX (t=0))
CTLSPEC AG (movement=decelerating & AX (movement=standing) &t!=0
  -> AX (t=0))
```

System verification

Example for the aircraft–intruder model

Verification of behaviour of the velocity V1:

```
--Accelerating aircraft reaches the v1 velocity  
--after time 6..8
```

```
CTLSPEC EF(!v1 & movement=accelerating -> EX v1)  
CTLSPEC AG(!v1 & movement=accelerating & t=8 -> AX v1)  
CTLSPEC AG(!v1 & movement=accelerating & t<6 -> AX !v1)  
CTLSPEC AG(!v1 & movement=accelerating & t>=6 & t<8  
-> EX !v1) --correct  
CTLSPEC AG(!v1 & movement=accelerating & t>=6 & t<8  
-> AX !v1) --incorrect
```


Interactive work

- Initial operations
- Model verification
- Model simulation
- Restart and end of work
 - Executions of a script with operations
- Description of operations performed by NuSMV

Interactive work

Initial operations

The order of the operations is optimal.

- Start working with a .smv file in the interactive mode:

```
NuSMV -int file
```

- Read the model of a system:

```
read_model
```

- Create modules and processes:

```
flatten_hierarchy
```

- Show a list of input variables and state variables:
(optional)

```
show_vars
```

Interactive work

Initial operations

- Show variables that are dependent on a given expression:
(optional)

```
show_dependencies -e expression
```

- Create variables to compile the model into BDD (binary decision diagrams):

```
encode_variables
```

- Write the order of variables to a file:
(optional)

```
write_order
```

- Compile the model into BDD:

```
build_model
```

Interactive work

Initial operations

- Initialise the system ready to be verified:

```
go
```

- Read and compile the model into BDD, verify the model and count a set of reachable states:

```
process_model
```

- Count a set of reachable states:

```
compute_reachable
```

- Show reachable states:

```
print_reachable_states -v
```

Interactive work

Model verification

- Show all properties:

```
show_property
```

- Add a property of a given kind to the verification:

```
add_property -kind -p "formula"
```

- Add the property to verification in the context of a given module:

```
add_property -kind -p "formula IN module"
```

Kind: *c* (CTL formula), *l* (LTL formula), *s* (PSL formula), *i* (invariant),
q (counting a path).

Interactive work

Model verification

- Verify a CTL specification of a given number:

```
check_ctlspec -n number
```

- Verify a given formula with a CTL specification:

```
check_ctlspec -p "formula"
```

- Verify a given formula with a CTL specification in the context of a given module:

```
check_ctlspec -p "formula IN module"
```

Similarly for LTL specification: `check_ltlspec`

Interactive work

Model verification

- Check possibility of a deadlock of the system:

```
check_fsm
```

- Count length of a path between given states (for a given number of an expression):

```
check_compute -n number
```

- Count the minimal path between given states:

```
check_compute -p "MIN[state1,state2]"
```

- Count the maximal path between given states in the context of a given module:

```
check_compute -p "MAX[state1,state2] IN module"
```

Interactive work

Model verification

- Verify an invariant of a given number:

```
check_invar -n number
```

- Verify a given invariant:

```
check_invar -p "invariant"
```

- Verify a given invariant in the context of a given module:

```
check_invar -p "invariant IN module"
```


Interactive work

Model simulation

- Choose an initial state randomly:

```
pick_state -r
```

- Choose an initial state from the list of available states:

```
pick_state -i
```

Interactive work

Model simulation

- Make a simulation from a chosen state:

```
simulate [-p|-v] [-r|-i] [-k number_of_states]
```

- show changed state variables: `-p`
- show all state variables: `-v`
- randomly choose from available states: `-r`
- manually choose from available states: `-i`
- give length of path of states (e.g. 4): `-k 4`

(The simulation consists of 10–state paths by default.)

- Examples:

```
simulate -p -r -k 5
```

```
simulate -v -i
```

Interactive work

Model simulation

A chosen path of states analysis:

- Paths of states are created in result of a negative verification of a formula, and in result of a simulation.
- Show generated paths:
 - all: `show_traces -v -a`
 - a chosen one: `show_traces -v path_number`
 - a chosen one with states (from – to):
`show_traces -v`
`path_number.from_state_number:to_state_number`
- Show a number of generated paths:
`show_traces -t`

Interactive work

Model simulation

A chosen path of states analysis:

- Go to a chosen state of a chosen path:

```
goto_state path_number.state_number
```

- Show description of the current state of the current path:

```
print_current_state -v
```

Interactive work

Restart and end of work

- Restart of work (reset of adjustments):

`reset`

- End of work (reset of adjustments):

`quit`

Interactive work

Executions of a script with operations

- Automatically make a given sequence of operations from a file:

```
NuSMV -source file
```

- If an error occurs, further operations cannot be executed.

Description of operations performed by NuSMV

- Set verbosity of operations performed by NuSMV:

```
NuSMV -v N -int file
```

(N – level of verbosity: from 0 (nothing) to 4)

The end

Literature:

- K.L. McMillan, „The SMV system”, 2001
- A. Cimatti et al. „NuSMV – a new symbolic model checker”
- R. Cavada et al. „NuSMV 2.5 User Manual”, 2010
- R. Cavada et al. „NuSMV 2.5 Tutorial”