Linguistic Fuzzy Logic Forecaster

Software documentation (user's manual)

LFL Forecaster is a specialized software tool for an analysis and forecasting time series developed by the Institute for Research and Applications of Fuzzy Modeling (IRAFM), University of Ostrava, Czech Republic. It is based on two methods originally developed by members of IRAFM. The first method is the fuzzy transform and the second one is the perception-based logical deduction.

1 The software

Figure 1 displays the interface of the software.

bell View Trend Cycle Season Linguist Jata frequency In-sample Monthly Validation Set: 18	c Variables Out-sample Cut-off Testing Forecasting horizon: 18	Name	Comment	
-10 -9 -8 -7 -6	-5 -4 -3 -2	-1 0 1 2 3	4 5 6 7	8 9 11
	Features Descrip	tion Stats		Forecast Input Data

Figure 1: LFL Forecaster

There are the following four icons in the main menu:

• Open icon that is used to select a time series (file upload)

- *Save* icon that is used to save the forecasts to files
- *ZoomFit* icon that is used to zoom-out the graph if it has been zoomed-in manually by mouse before
- *Compute* icon that is used to run the implemented forecast methods.



Figure 2: Main menu icons

LFLF software package allows to open the text files of the following formats

```
1. # Comment
Title 66 70 74 63...
i.e., the data is in a row. See Example 1.
```

or

```
2. # Comment

Title

1 66

2 70

3 74

4 63

\vdots \vdots
```

i.e., the data is in the column where each value is preceded by its index. See Example 2.

Title is a name of a time series that is followed by an unlimited number of real numbers delimited by a blank space (TAB, space, etc.). *Comment* is a description of a time series that is preceded symbol # delimited by a space. *Comment* and *Title* are not obligatory.

Example 1

# M	onthly o	car sales	in $Quebe$	ec 1960-1	968				
Car	sales	8728	12026	14395	14587	13791	9498	8251	7049
9545	7237	9374	11837	13784	15926	13821	11143	7975	7610

Example 2

Monthly car sales in Quebec 1960-1968 Car sales 1 8728 2 12026

- 3 14395
- 4 14587
- 5 13791
- *6 9498*
- 7 8251
- 8 7049

1.1 Main menu

The interface of the software contains five tab-pages which serve a user to set up details for a prediction process:

- Global
- View
- Trend Cycle
- $\bullet~{\rm Season}$
- Linguistic Variables

1.1.1 Global

Global View 1	Frend Cycle Season Linguist	ic Variables		
Data frequency	In-sample	Out-sample	Name	Comment
Monthly 🔻	Learning Set: 84 Validation Set: 12	Cut-off Testing Forecasting horizon: 12	Car_sales	Monthly car sales in Quebec 1960-1968

Figure 3: Tab-page "Global"

Global tab-page serves users to set up:

- Data frequency This option allows to choose frequency of the data (e.g. monthly, daily, etc.)
- Validation set A user sets up a length of this set here.
- Forecasting horizon Forecasting horizon is the number of values to be forecasted.
- Check-box *Cut-off Testing* It can be ticked if a testing set is available. In this case, values of the testing set are not used for computation. These data are purely used for evaluation of prediction error.

1.1.2 View

Global	View	Trend Cycle	Season	Linguistic Variables
🔽 Trer	nd-Cycle			
🔽 Part	ition			

Figure 4: Tab-page "View"

- Check-box *Trend-Cycle* Trend-cycle is displayed on the graph if this option is ticked.
- Check-box *Partition* Fuzzy partition is displayed on the graph if this option is ticked.

1.1.3 Trend Cycle

Global	View	Trend Cycle	Season	Linguisti	c Variables	
Lingui:	stic pred nple re steps	ictor type	Partition auto subser	period	Linguist min rule	tic description es: 10

Figure 5: Tab-page "Trend Cycle"

• Linguistic predictor type

There are two ways how to forecast the future components of the fuzzy transform:

- Check-box Simple

By ticking this check-box the next component of the fuzzy transform will be forecasted from previous n components and their first and second differences. It means that we forecast from forecasted values (one step ahead).

- Check-box More steps ahead

By ticking this check-box one may avoid the problem of "forecasting from forecasted values". This is due to the construction of several independent models: one model forecasts one step ahead, another one forecasts two step ahead etc. up to the desired number of models (steps ahead to be forecasted).

If both are ticked the software selects the better one.

• Partition period

Partition period determines width of basic functions. By this we mean the number of time series values covered by one basic function.

- Radio button auto

By choosing this radio button the software automatically determines the partition period.

- Radio button *user* By ticking this radio button a user determines the partition period manually.
- Linguistic description

Here a user sets up the minimal number of fuzzy rules that should occur in a winning linguistic description. This parameter prevents an extremely small linguistic description, consisting of number of fuzzy rules that is below a critical number, to win (to be selected).

1.1.4 Season

Global	View	Trend Cycle	Season	Linguistic	Variables
Seaso	n depth	Decompo	sition	Periodicit	y
from:	1	Additi	ive	🔘 auto	
to:	4	🗧 🔽 Multip	licative	🔘 user	12

Figure 6: Tab-page "Season"

Season tab-page allows to set up:

• Season depth

Season depth determines minimal and maximal number of whole seasonal period that may be used for forecasting next seasonal period (e.g. for monthly time series the season depth is one year and the next seasonal values are forecasted using seasonal values from 1 to 4 years, see Figure 6).

• Decomposition

Models, that are searched for, are given as compositions of trend-cycle and seasonal components. Hence, particular type of (de)composition has to be chosen.

- Check-box Additive

By ticking this check-box models using additive decomposition will be searched for. - Check-box *Multiplicative*

By ticking this check-box models using multiplicative decomposition will be searched for.

If both are ticked the software selects better one.

• Periodicity

Periodicity is the length of whole seasonal period.

- Radio button *auto*

By choosing this radio button periodicity is automatically determined by the software.

- Radio button user
 - By ticking this radio button a user sets up the periodicity manually.

1.1.5 Linguistic Variables

Global	View	Trend Cycle	Season	Linguisti	c Variables		
Value		Differenc	e	2nd Diff	erence	Total	i
from:	1	from: 1	*	from: 1	*	from:	2
to:	3	to: 3	×	to: 🛛		to:	3

Figure 7: Tab-page "Linguistic Variables"

This tab-page allows to set up minimal and maximal numbers of the input variables that may occur among antecedents of automatically generated fuzzy rules.

• Value

By values we directly mean the components of the fuzzy transform.

• Difference

In this block we set up a number of first order differences of fuzzy transform components that are given as follows differences between components

$$\Delta X_i = X_i - X_{i-1}$$

• 2nd Difference

These are values of second order differences of components of the fuzzy transform that are given as follows

$$\Delta^2 X_i = \Delta X_i - \Delta X_{i-1}$$

• Total

This block is used to set up a total number of input variables.

1.2 Outputs

Figure 2 displays the interface of the LFLF after a time series is forecasted.



Figure 8: LFL Forecaster

There is a windows with three tab-pages in the right bottom area of the interface. These tab-pages, particularly:

- Features
- Description
- Stats

display distinct information related to the results, used models, measured errors etc.

1.2.1 Features

This tab-page presents the main features of a winning model, see Figure 9. It contains the following features:

• *Trend-cycle type* Trend-cycle type determines the method that was used for modelling the trend-cycle.

Features	Description	Stats
Trend-cycl Partition p Predictor t Variables: Season typ Season ap Season de Decomposi	e type: Inver: eriod: 12 ype: Steps ah S(t) & dS(t) be: LMS linear periodicity: 12 pendency dep titon: Multiplic:	se FT head linguistic > d5(t+1) combination oth: 3 ative

Figure 9: Tab-page "Features"

(Remark: So far, the inverse fuzzy transform denoted by "inverse FT" is the only method that is at disposal. The LFLF is ready to be enriched by other methods.).

• Partition period

Partition period displays the partition period of the winning model, i.e., the number of time series values that is covered by any basic function of the model that is used to describe and forecast a given time series.

• Predictor type

Predictor types describes whether *Simple* (denoted by the word "*Linguistic*") or *More steps ahead* (denoted by "*Steps ahead linguistic*") trend-cycle prediction was used.

(Remark: The LFLF is ready to be enriched by other methods hence the word "linguistic" appear in the trend-cycle predictor name.)

• Variables

This feature displays antecedent and consequent variables that appear in fuzzy rules describing the trend-cycle model. Particularly, S denotes the trend-cycle components, dS their differences and d2S their second order differences. The argument (t), (t-1), etc. denotes the time lag of the component.

For example, taking $S(t)\&dS(t) \to dS(t+1)$ from Figure 9 denotes the fact that X_i and ΔX_i are the antecedent variables and ΔX_{i+1} is the consequent variable of the winning model and hence, we deal with rules of the form:

IF X_i is \mathcal{A}_i AND ΔX_i is $\mathcal{A}_{\Delta i}$ THEN ΔX_{i+1} is \mathcal{A}_{Deltai}

• Season type

This feature denotes the model (and consequently the method) that is used to forecast seasonal components.

(Remark: So far, only the "LMS linear combination" method that assumes an entire seasonal period to be a linear combination of previous periods. The LFLF software is ready to be enriched by other methods.) • Seasonal periodicity

Seasonal periodicity denotes the detected periodicity that was used for the forecasting the seasonal component.

• Season dependency depth

Seasonal dependency depth determines number of whole seasonal periods used for forecasting next seasonal periods. Recall, that users specifies the minimal and the maximal number of such periods, see Figure 6. This value already denotes the optimal number of periods within the range defined by a user.

• Decomposition

This feature specifies whether the chose decomposition was either Additive or Multiplicative.

1.2.2 Description

Steps ahead lin	auistic[Line	uistic[Rules	count:	14.					
Signature: S(t)	& dS(t):	> dS(t+1){							
1	8.	ml sm	84	gr sm	8.	>	8.	-me	
2	8.	ze	84	-me	8.	>	8.	gr bi	
3	84	ro sm	84	gr bi	8.	>	8.	si sm	
4	84	gr sm	84	si sm	8	>	8	vr bi	
5	8.	ml me	8.	vr bi	8.	->	8	-ml me	
6	84	vr sm	84	-me	8.	>	8.	ro bi	*
		10000 10000		1.5				2000000	

Figure 10: Tab-page "Description"

This tab-page presents the linguistic description containing fuzzy rules generated from fuzzy transform components of a given time times series. Abbreviations of evaluative linguistic expressions, that are used in the tab-page, are introduced in Table 1.

Every single fuzzy rule can be taken as a sentence of natural language. For instance, the very first fuzzy rule appearing in the generated linguistic description displayed on Figure 10:

IF X_i is ml sm AND ΔX_i is qr sm THEN ΔX_{i+1} is -me

may be read as follows:

If the number of cars sold in the current year is more or less small and the half-year sales increment is quite roughly small then the upcoming half-year increment will be negative medium.

1.2.3 Stats

Stats tab-page provides users with distinct forecasting error. Basically, there is only one accuracy measure, the well known SMAPE (Symmetric Mean Absolute Percentage Error), implemented in the software so far. Note, that further

Abbreviation	Ev.expression
sm	small
me	medium
bi	big
ve	very
si	significantly
ex	extremely
\mathbf{ml}	more or less
ro	roughly
qR	quite roughly
vR	very roughly

Table 1: Evaluative linguistic expressions and their abbreviations

Features	Stats	
valid. erro trend-cycle test. error	r: 0.10005 e valid, error: : 0.08707	0.07081

Figure 11: Tab-page "Stats"

criterions and accuracy measures are under the consideration. The following information is at disposal:

- Validation error Validation error is the forecasting error computed on the validation set.
- Trend-cycle validation error

Trend-cycle validation error is the forecasting error of the trend-cycle values computed on the validation set. This serves for the determination of the winning model that is to be used for the trend-cycle forecast.

• Testing error

Testing error is the error computed on the testing set if a testing set was available and used. This error is never used to determine the winning model! The LFLF software is equipped with the ability to compute the testing error in order to provide users with a high users comfort. Without this functionality, users would have to export their forecasts and measure the precision manually.

1.3 Saving outputs

Generated linguistic description, model features and time series forecasts can be saved using the *Save* icon, see Figure 2.

Exported MS Excel file contains forecasted values, values of the trend-cycle and some features of the winning model such as a validation error, partition period etc. Exported text file contains the forecasted values of a time series, the forecasted trend-cycle components, trend-cycle predictor (linguistic description) etc.

2 Appendixes

2.1 The fuzzy transform

The idea of the fuzzy transform is to transform a given function defined in one space into another, usually simpler space, and then to transform it back. The simpler space consist of a finite vector of numbers. The reverse transform then leads to a function, which approximates the original one. More details can be found in [3].

The fuzzy transform is defined with respect to a fuzzy partition, which consists of basic functions.

Let $c_1 < \cdots < c_n$ be fixed nodes within [a, b] such that $c_1 = a, c_n = b$ and $n \ge 2$. We say that fuzzy sets $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}([a, b])$ are basic functions forming a fuzzy partition of [a, b] if they fulfill the following conditions for $i = 1, \ldots, n$:

- 1. $A_i(c_i) = 1;$
- 2. $A_i(x) = 0$ for $x \notin (c_{i-1}, c_{i+1})$, where for uniformity of notation we put $c_0 = c_1 = a$ and $c_{n+1} = c_n = b$;
- 3. A_i is continuous;
- 4. A_i strictly increases on $[c_{i-1}, c_i]$ and strictly decreases on $[c_i, c_{i+1}]$;
- 5. for all $x \in [a, b]$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(x) = 1.$$

Let a fuzzy partition of [a, b] be given by basic functions $A_1, \ldots, A_n, n \ge 2$ and let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function that is known on a set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_T\}$ of points. The n-tuple of real numbers $[F_1, \ldots, F_n]$ given by

$$F_{i} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} f(x_{t}) A_{i}(x_{t})}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} A_{i}(x_{t})}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

is a fuzzy transform of f with respect to the given fuzzy partition. The numbers F_1, \ldots, F_n are called the components of the fuzzy transform of f. Let $F_n[f]$ be the fuzzy transform of f with respect to $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}([a, b])$. Then the function $f_{F,n}$ given on [a, b] by

$$f_{F,n}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i A_i(x),$$

is called the inverse fuzzy transform of f.

2.2 Linguistic description (fuzzy IF-THEN rules)

Fuzzy IF-THEN rules can be understood as a specific conditional sentence of natural language of the form

IF
$$X_1$$
 is \mathcal{A}_1 AND \cdots AND X_n is \mathcal{A}_n THEN Y is \mathcal{B} ,

where A_1, \ldots, A_n and B are evaluative expressions (very small, roughly big, etc.). An example fuzzy IF-THEN rule is

IF the number of cars sold in the current year is more or less small and the half-year sales increment is medium THEN the upcoming half-year increment will be medium.

The part of the rule before THEN is called the antecedent and the part after it is called the consequent.

Fuzzy IF-THEN rules are usually gathered in a linguistic description

 $\mathcal{R}_1 := \text{ IF } X_1 \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_{11} \text{ AND } \cdots \text{ AND } X_n \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_{1n} \text{ THEN } Y \text{ is } \mathcal{B}_1,$ \dots $\mathcal{R}_m := \text{ IF } X_1 \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_{m1} \text{ AND } \cdots \text{ AND } X_n \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_{mn} \text{ THEN } Y \text{ is } \mathcal{B}_m.$

2.3 Perception-based logical deduction

Perception-based logical deduction (PbLD) is a special method of deducing conclusions on the basis of a linguistic description. This method can be described as follows: if a linguistic description consisting of fuzzy IF-THEN rules together with an observation of some value of the variable X are given, then the PbLD chooses the most specific fuzzy rule(s) among the most fired ones and derives a conclusion based on such preselected fuzzy rule(s). More details can be found in [2] or in [1].

2.4 Implementation of these techniques

Let time series $x_t, t = 1, ..., T$ is viewed as a discrete function x on a time axis t. Then $F_n[x] = [X_1, ..., X_n]$ is the fuzzy transform of the function x with respect to a given fuzzy partition. The inverse fuzzy transform then serve us as a model of the trend-cycle of a given time series. By subtracting the trend-cycle (inverse fuzzy transform) values from the time series lags, we get pure seasonal

components. This is how the fuzzy transform helps us to model and decompose a given time series.

Logical dependencies between components X_1, \ldots, X_n of the fuzzy transform may be described by the fuzzy rules. These rules are generated automatically from the given data and are used for forecasting the next components. Fuzzy transform components as well as their first and second order differences are used as antecedent variables. For forecasting future fuzzy transform components based on the generated fuzzy rules, a special inference method – perceptionbased logical deduction [2] – is used. This is how fuzzy rules and the PbLD are implemented in the software.

The seasonal components are forecasted autoregressively. Finally, both forecasted components – trend-cycle and seasonal – are composed together to obtain the forecast of time series lags.

References

- V. Novák, M. Štěpnička, A. Dvořák, I. Perfilieva, V. Pavliska and L. Vavříčková, 2010. Analysis of seasonal time series using fuzzy approach, *International Journal of General Systems*, 39, 305–328.
- [2] Novák, V. and Perfilieva, I., 2004. On the Semantics of Perception-Based Fuzzy Logic Deduction. International Journal of Intelligent Systems, 19, 1007–1031.
- [3] Perfilieva, I., 2006. Fuzzy Transforms: theory and applications. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 157, 993–1023.
- [4] M. Štěpnička, A. Dvořák, V. Pavliska and L. Vavříčková, 2010. Linguistic approach to time series analysis and forecasts. *Proc. FUZZ-IEEE*, 2149– 2157.