WhenToStop Frestimate Module User's Manual

4.0 OVERVIEW	3
4.1 GENERAL INPUTS	5
4.2 INPUT FAILURE DATA	8
4.2.1 Record Failures Per Day 4.2.1.1 Buttons	8
4.2.2 Importing Data	13
4.3 PARAMETERS ESTIMATED	16
4.3.1 Observed data	17
 4.3.2 How parameters are estimated 4.3.2.1 Estimated inherent defects and initial failure rate	
4.3.3 Bypassing estimates for inherent defects, initial failure rate	20
4.4 SUMMARY RESULTS FOR ALL MODELS	21
4.5 DETAILED RESULTS	22
4.5.1 Select the model that you want to see results for	22
4.5.2 Select the curve fitting method	22
 4.5.3 Outputs 4.5.3.1 Defect and test data	24 25 25 26 26 26
4.6 MODEL SENSITIVITY	29
4.6.1 NHPP Model	31
4.6.2 Binomial Model	32

WhenToStop Software Users Manual Copyright SoftRel, LLC, 2008

4.6.3 Exponential Time to Failure Model	33
4.6.4 Logarithmic (Time) Model	34
4.6.5 Logarithmic (fault count) Model	35
4.6.6 Exponential fault count model	36
4.6.7 Bayesian model	37
4.6.8 Weibull Model	38
4.7 COMPARE	39
4.8 DEFECT TRENDS	41
4.8.1 Failure Rate/MTTF	42
4.8.2 All Actuals to Estimates	44
4.8.3 Most recent actuals to estimates	45
4.8.4 Defect Trend	46
4.8.5 Test Time Trend	47
4.8.6 What will MTTF be after this many more testing hours	48
4.8.7 Testing needed to remove % defects	49
4.8.8 Staffing trend	50
4.8.9 Cumulatives Trend	52
4.8.10 Testing needed to reach some objective	53
4.9 ADDENDUM	54

4.0 Overview

WhenToStop used to be a standalone software estimation product. It is now an integrated module in the Frestimate software tool set. This module is purchased as part of the Frestimate Manager's Edition. If you have purchased the Manager's Edition the "Test data/growth" button shown below will be enabled. Otherwise it will be dimmed.

Results filtered for critical defects only Results for all defect types that results for all defects and the test defect and test failure rate and the test defects and the test defect and test failure rate and the test defects and the test defect and test failure rate and the test defect and test failure rate and the test defect and test failure rate and telease and telea	File Tools Help							
Select an Exception of testing to predicting defects from Labs model predicting end of test defects Predicting defects from Labs model prediction (critical defects) Defect 0 Size .0151 I defect .0151 defect .0151 defect 0 Size .3019 defect .3019 bounds as % Results filtered for critical defects only Results for all defect types that result on Results filtered for critical defects only Results filtered for critical defects on Results filtered for critical defects on R	inputs inputs for report pro	ofile rate pr		profile Trend	IS Reports Result	s scenarios gro		
Select a model for predicting decide tarmodel predicting end of test defects Bounds on defect density prediction (all defect types) Size OO? Intercent instead of predicting decide tarmodel predicting end of test defects Bounds on defect density prediction (critical defects) density Bounds on defect density prediction (all defect types) Size sounds on defect density prediction (all defect types) Size sounds on defect density prediction (all defect types) This button how you access the reliability density Results filtered for critical defects only Results for all defect types that result for test defects Nominal Upper Bound Defect density predictions are for test defects Nominal Upper Bound Start of test defects 413 417 410 Defect density predictions are failure rate predictions Nominal Upper Bound Nominal Upper Bound Size predictions are for test defects Nominal Upper Bound Nominal Upper Bound <td>this model</td> <td>pronie</td> <td></td> <td>Selec</td> <td>t an Extrapolation</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td> f t time t-</td>	this model	pronie		Selec	t an Extrapolation	•		f t time t-
Image: Noncold biological productive desidences in the second of the		1.1. P. P.	1 41 1 1 4 1		Bo	inde loose l		
Defect 0 Size .0151 Total defect .0151 Total defect .0151 Size density Size density .019 Size density .019 Size density Size density <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ma Ne</td> <td>ult</td>	,						ma Ne	ult
Defect 0 Size .0151 defect .0151 defect .0151 density .3019 defect .3019 bounds 50 This button Results filtered for critical defects only Results for all defect types that results Nominal Upper Bound Lower Bound Defect density Results for all defect types that result Nominal Upper Bound Defect density Results for all defect types that result Nominal Upper Bound Defect density Results for all defect types that result Nominal Upper Bound Defect density Results for all defect types that result Results for all defects Bounds at result Stat of test defects All of test defects <	Bounds on defect density prediction		Bounds	s on defect density				\sim
Mensity density density as % IniS Dutto Results filtered for critical defects only Results for all defect types that result how you access the reliability Start of test defect density 2.032 2.052 2.022 End of test defects 413 417 400 400.736 estimation are in terms of defects 9 3 Failure rate predictions 6 9 3 as % Nominal Upper Bound Lower Bound End of test defects 413 417 400 0 .604 estimation models in predictions are in terms of defects per KSLDC 9125 1.853e-1 2.779 estimation models in When ToS Failure rate at next release 1.817e-4 2.559e-4 7.754e-5 1.853e-1 2.779 estima of failures per Koll Collectors are in terms of failures per Koll Collectors are in terms of failures per Koll Collectors are in terms of failures are treated treat eat next release 1.057e-2 1.057e-2 <t< td=""><td>Defect 0 Size .015⁻</td><td></td><td>51 Defect</td><td>0 Size</td><td></td><td></td><td>50</td><td></td></t<>	Defect 0 Size .015 ⁻		51 Defect	0 Size			50	
NominalUpper BoundLower BoundDefect densityaccess the reliabilityStart of test defect density2.0372.0522.022NominalUpper BoundAccess the reliabilityStart of test defect density0.03.045.015Items of defects604Start of test defects604End of test defects413417410Object Oriented9125Start of test defects9125Start of test defects9125Start of test defectsEnd of test defects693Start of test failure rate8.396e-31.259e-24.198e-3StargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageFailure rate predictions1119.10879.405238.216StargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageMTF predictions1119.10879.405238.216MTF/MTBIStargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageMTF at next release5503.7133907.62512896.875MTF/MTBIStargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageAverage MTF during release1100.743781.5252579.375StargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageStargagageMTB at next release93.50401e-290.41602e-296.69747e-298.8724e-298.83799e-2Average reliability during release99.79548e-299.83799e-2Average reliability predictions93.50401e-299.61768e-299.8724e-299.837	density	401001	density				/ -	This button is
NominalUpper BoundLower BoundDefect densityaccess the reliabilityStat of test defect density2.0372.0522.022NominalUpper BoundAccess the reliabilityStat of test defect density0.03.045.015Items of defects604StatEnd of test defects413417410Object Oriented9125Stat </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>/ H</td> <td></td>							/ H	
Nominal Upper Bound Lower Bound Defect density Nominal Upper B Start of test defect density .03 .045 .015 2.022 2.022 .045 .005 .604	Results filte	ered for critica	l defects only		Results for all	defect types that	resu/	
Start of test defect density 2.037 2.052 2.022 predictions are in terms of defects per KSL0C 140.736 1604 1761101111 Start of test defects 413 417 410 1604 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Manipal</td><td>Line of Doursel</td><td>Laura Darmad</td><td></td><td>Nervisel</td><td> F</td><td></td></td<>		Manipal	Line of Doursel	Laura Darmad		Nervisel	F	
Start of test defect density 0.03 0.045 0.015 ierms of defects 604 End of test defects 4.13 4.17 4.00 0bject Diented Language 1.35 models in WhenToS Failure rate predictions	Start of test defect density					<u> </u>		eliability
Start of test defects 413 417 410 Per KSLOC 9125 models in WhenToS End of test defects 6 9 3	-							estimation
End of test defects 6 9 3 Ubject Uriented Language 135 IntOdels In WhenToS Failure rate predictions 8.396e-3 1.259e-2 4.198e-3 1.853e-1 2.779 Failure rate at next release 1.817e-4 2.559e-4 7.754e-5 1.853e-1 2.779 Average failure rate during release 3.192e-4 4.788e-4 1.596e-4 4.01e-3 5.649e-3 MTTF predictions - - - 5.397 3.598 10.794 MTTF at next release 5503 713 3907.625 12896.875 7.849e-3 1.41.951 94.634 283.902 MTB predictions -					per KSLOC			
Initial constructions Image of the set of							—∖ r	models in
End of test failure rate 8.396e-3 1.259e-2 4.198e-3 Failure rate at next release 1.817e-4 2.559e-4 7.754e-5 Average failure rate during release 3.192e-4 4.788e-4 1.596e-4 MTTF predictions - - - End of test MTTF 119.108 79.405 238.216 Average MTTF during release 5503.713 3907.625 12886.875 Average MTTF during release 3132.708 2088.472 6265.417 MTBI predictions - - - End of test MTBI 23.822 15.881 47.643 MTBI at next release 1100.743 781.525 2579.375 Average MTBI during release 626.542 417.694 1253.083 Reliability predictions - - - End of test mElability release 626.542 417.694 1253.083 Reliability predictions - - - End of test reliability 93.50401e-2 99.659747e-2 99.93799e-2 Average reliability during release 99.87486-2 99.8724e-2 99.8724e-2					Language	100	— \ I	WhenToStop
Failure rate at next release 1.817e-4 2.559e-4 7.754e-5 predictions are in terms of failures per Hour Average failure rate during release 3.192e-4 4.788e-4 1.596e-4 terms of failures per Hour End of test MTB1 119.108 79.405 238.216 MTTF/MTB1 Average MTIF during release 3132.708 2088.472 6265.417 MTB1 predictions		8.396e-3	1.259e-2	4.198e-3	Epiluro roto	1.853e-1		
Mitty predictions Integer lability predictions Integer lability predictions MTFF predictions Integer lability predictions Integer lability predictions End of test MTEI 23.822 15.881 47.643 MTB predictions Integer lability predictions Integer lability predictions End of test MTBI lat next release 1100.743 781.525 2579.375 Average MTBI during release 626.542 417.694 123.003 Reliability predictions Integer lability predictions Integer lability predictions End of test reliability a maxt release 99.85475e-2 99.93793e-2 Average reliability are lease 99.74496e-2 99.61768e-2 99.8724e-2 Availability predictions Integer lability predictions Integer lability predictions	Failure rate at next release					4.01e-3		
MTTF at next release 119.108 79.405 238.216 5.397 3.598 10.794 MTTF at next release 5503.713 3907.625 12896.875 MTTF/MTBI 249.387 177.064 584.39 Average MTTF during release 3132.708 2088.472 6265.417 MTTF/MTBI 141.951 94.634 283.902 MTBI predictions 21.55 MTBI at next release 1100.743 781.525 2579.375 49.877 35.413 116.878 Average MTBI during release 626.542 417.694 1253.083 28.33 18.927 56.78 Reliability predictions 99.8746-2 99.93799-2 45.69747-2 84.69747-2 84.897 35.413 116.878 Reliability at next release 99.85475-2 99.937948-2 99.93799-2 39.93799-2 39.93799-2 39.93799-2 39.93799-2 39.93798-2 39.93799-2 39.81768-2 99.937942-2 39.93799-2 39.93799-2 39.93799-2 39.9379-2	Average failure rate during release	3.192e-4	4.788e-4	1.596e-4		7.045e-3	1.057e-2	\searrow
MTTF at next release 5503.713 33907.625 12896.875 MTTF/MTBI 249.387 177.064 584.39 Average MTTF during release 3132.708 2088.472 6265.417 Image: Stress and Stres	MTTF predictions				per Hour			
Average MTTF during release 3132.708 2088.472 6265.471 Internet of predictions are in terms of hours 141.951 94.634 283.902 MTBI predictions	End of test MTTF	119.108	79.405	238.216		5.397	3.598	10.794
MTBI predictions Interms of hours Interms of hours<					MTTF/MTBI			
Interpretation 100		3132.708	2088.472	6265.417		141.951	94.634	283.902
End of less (MTB) 23.822 13.661 47.643 1.073 72 2.133 MTB1 at next release 1100.743 781.525 2579.375 49.877 35.413 116.878 Average MTBI during release 626.542 417.694 1253.083 28.33 18.927 56.78 End of test reliability 93.50401e-2 90.41602e-2 96.69747e-2 39.33799e-2 39.93799e-2 Average reliability predictions 99.74496e-2 99.61768e-2 99.8724e-2 49.877 49.877 49.877								
Average MTBI during release 626.542 417.694 1253.083 28.39 18.927 56.78 Reliability predictions					nouis			
Reliability predictions 93.50401e-2 90.41602e-2 96.69747e-2 End of test reliability 93.50401e-2 90.79548e-2 99.33799e-2 Average reliability during release 99.74496e-2 99.61768e-2 99.8724e-2 Availability predictions 99.74496e-2 99.8724e-2								
End of test reliability 93.50401e-2 90.41602e-2 96.69747e-2 Reliability at next release 99.85475e-2 99.79548e-2 99.33799e-2 Average reliability during release 99.74496e-2 99.61768e-2 99.8724e-2 Availability predictions 59.61768e-2 59.8724e-2		626.542	417.694	1253.083		28.39	18.927	56.78
Reliability at next release 99.85475e-2 99.73548e-2 99.33739e-2 Average reliability during release 99.74496e-2 99.61768e-2 99.8724e-2 Availability predictions 99.74496e-2 99.8724e-2		00 50401 - 0	00.41002- 0	00 00747- 0				
Average reliability during release 99.74496e-2 99.61768e-2 99.8724e-2 Availability predictions 4 4 4	2							
Availability predictions description descripti description description description description descrip								
		33.744308-2	33.017008-2	33.07248-2				
End of test availability 95 15659e-2 92 90667e-2 97 51819e-2	End of test availability	95.15659e-2	92.90667e-2	97.51819e-2				
Availability at next release 99.88997e-2 99.84509e-2 99.95301e-2	-							
Average availability during release 99.806856-2 99.71055e-2 99.90338-2								
Average availability during release 35.00603e-2 35.71003e-2 35.30335e-2	Average availability during release	33.006038-2	33.710008-2	33.303338-2				

WhenToStop differs from the other modules in Frestimate because it does estimation as opposed to prediction. To use WhenToStop you must have observed failure data from testing or operation. Predictions, on the other hand, can be performed without observed failure data.

The prediction models in Frestimate including full-scale, ShortCut, and Rome Labs, compliment WhenToStop in that you can use WhenToStop to validate the predictions made without observed failure data. You can also use the results of the predictive models as seeding values for the WhenToStop models. It should

be noted that you can use the estimation models without doing a prediction and vice versa.

Estmation resu	Its for growth model selected			
General Inputs	Estimated MTTF, failure ra Estimated Inherent Defects	513.911e0	Defects estimated between now and end of test	0
Input failures by day	Defects found so far in testing Estimated Current Failure Rate	119 1.110403e-1	End of testing Failures Per Hour	4/26/2002
Import Failure data	Estimated Current MTTF	900.574e-2	Hours	
Parameter	End of Test Failure Rate	1.110403e-1	Failures Per Hour	
estimation	End of Test MTTF	900.574e-2	Hours	
Summary results	Operational Failure Rate	3.129175e-3	Failures Per Hour	
of all models	Operational MTTF	319.573e0	Hours	
	Other projections			
Compare results	Estimated reliability for mission t Estimated reliability for mission t Estimated reliability for mission t	ime specified and EC)T failure rate	4.113454e-1 4.113454e-1 9.752773e-1
Reports	Estimated availability for curren Estimated availability for end of Estimated availability for operat	test MTTF	specified in prediction	0.8182766 0.8182766 0.9937806
	MTSWR Objective delivered MTTF			2 1000
	Test hours needed to reach ob Defects to discover to meet ob			167.5e2 391.4e0
	The failure rate and MTTFs are f	or these type:Only ca	tastrophic and critical	
	Select the model that you want to	o see results for	Binomial	-
	Select the curve fitting method		Best Straight Line	
		Н	elp Update re:	sults

When you select the "Test data/growth" button the below WhenToStop main page will be displayed.

4.1 General inputs

Select the "General Inputs" button from the WhenToStop main page shown in section 4.0.

📕 General Inputs
General Inputs Start of Testing 4/28/2001 End of Testing 4/26/2002
Post Delivery Usage Number of Months in Growth Period 4 Number of hours operating per month 900 Mission time period (in hours) over which reliability will be measured 8
Desired MTTF after growth period 1000 Desired MTTF at end of test 200
Analyze Save Print

The start of test date affects the calculations for:

- Estimated inherent defects N₀
- Estimated current failure rate λ_p
- Estimated current MTTF MTTF_p

Start of test is the milestone in which the software has been integrated and is now ready for a system level verification and validation. The start of test milestone is denoted by the $_0$ subscript

By this milestone, the developer unit tests and integration tests should already be complete for the particular software package. If you have incremental or spiral releases, this would be the point in time for which this increment or spiral is ready for a system level test. You will probably need to create a new project database for each spiral or increment as well.

The start date will always be equal to the earliest date in the failure database. If you had no defects on the earliest date(s) of testing, you need to add records for these dates and set the defects fields to 0. This will allow the models to take into account periods of time with no failures. The end date defaults to the most recent date in the failure database. You can override this in this dialog as long as it is not less then the start date.

The end of test date affects the calculations for:

• End of Test MTTF - MTTF_{del}

- End of Test Failure Rate λ_{del}
- Estimated end of test reliability

The start and end date are very key pieces of information. The end date must be greater then or equal to the start date. This milestone is denoted by the $_{DEL}$ subscript in this manual. If you set the start and end dates so that no date in the failure database falls within the range between the start and end dates, an error message will be displayed.

The Post Delivery Usage inputs directly affect the calculations that are output. The prediction menu has a wizard to help you determine the below inputs.

Number of months in growth period - This field must be a non-negative integer. This is the number of months after delivery in which you expect the failure rate to go down or the MTTF to go up. Usually this is equal to the number of months between this release and the next major release. While 0 is a valid input, it is not recommended. If the growth period is set to zero this is equivalent to stating that all inherent defects will be found immediately upon delivery. The months in the growth period is used by the following calculations.

- Operational MTTF
- Operational Failure Rate
- Estimated operational reliability
- •

Number of hours operating per month - This field must be a positive numeric value. It is the duty cycle and is used to normalize the testing time observed to the operational time that will be observed by the end users. If the software will operate continuously by the end user then the default is approximately 730 times the number of fielded units that are running this software. The results of these outputs are directly related to what you input for operating hours per month:

- Operational MTTF
- Operational Failure Rate

• Estimated reliability for mission time specified and operational failure rate **Mission time period (in hours) over which reliability will be measured** - This field must be a non negative numeric value. Mission time should not be confused with testing time. Mission time is a finite period of time over which reliability will be measured. Let's say your product is a dishwasher with an average cycle time of 60 minutes. Then the mission time would be 60 minutes. If your product is a medical therapy with an average therapy injection time of 8 hours then the mission time would be 8 hours. The results of these outputs are directly related to what you input for mission time.

- Estimated current reliability
- Estimated end of test reliability
- Estimated operational reliability

Desired MTTF - The desired MTTF is often abbreviated as $MTTF_{obj}$ and the desired failure rate as λ_{obj} . Each of these fields must be a positive and finite numeric value. The end of test objective is the objective with no growth at delivery day. The desired MTTF after the growth period is the desired MTTF after whatever number of months you have input for the growth period. If you enter 0 months of growth then these two values should be the same as there is no growth.

Desired MTTF is generally computed based on system reliability, software reliability, system availability, software availability objectives or a requirement to remove some specific percentage of the defects in the software prior to shipment. The results of these two outputs are directly related to the desired MTTF:

- Test hours needed to reach objective MTTF_{obj}
- Defects to discover to meet objective MTTF_{obj}

4.2 Input Failure Data

To use the estimation models, you must input failure data from systems testing. There are two ways to input this data. You can enter it directly one day at a time (Record failures per day) or you can import several days worth of testing data at once.

4.2.1 Record Failures Per Day

Select the "Input Failures By Day" button from the WhenToStop main page shown in section 4.0.

Input the daily test time	and failure information	
Daily inputs Date 8 / 30 / 2001	Number of catastrophic defects found today	1
	Number of serious defects found today	0
Testing hours during this day 24	Number of moderate defects found today	0
damig and day	Number of negligible defects found today	0
Previous Next	First Last Record 89	Append
Record Record	record record number	Delete
Print Close Bypass c	alculations Cumulatives table Tab	le view

WhenToStop Software Users Manual Copyright SoftRel, LLC, 2008

Input the daily test time a	and failure information	
Daily inputs Date 8 / 30 / 2001	Number of catastrophic defects found today	R
Testing hours 24 during this day	Number of serious defects found today	
30	Number of moderate defects found today 0 Number of negligible defects found today 0	
		ncep
Previous Record	Next Record First record Last record	
Print Close Bypa	ass the system calculations Append Delete	
2	🔂 🔣 🚛 🚠	

The following information is input for each day in the testing cycle:

Date: Enter the date in which either testing was done and no failures occurred or testing was done and failures occurred. If you do not record data for a particular date, then WhenToStop will presume that no testing was done on that date.

Time: This is the amount of time spent testing that day. Do not include development time or other time that did not result in operation of the software. Decide whether to use calendar time, CPU time or operational time in advance and be consistent in defining time from one record to the next. The default value for this field is 8 hours.

If you leave zero in the time field, the system will assume that no testing transpired on this day and will ignore any information in the following fields.

For each of the following fields these are the default, minimum and valid values:

Default values - 0 Minimum values - 0 Valid values - Positive integers

Number of catastrophic defects: You will need to determine what catastrophic means to your project. This classification is generally used for the "show stopper" defects that make the software unusable or have some effect on the end user, which is completely unacceptable.

Number of catastrophic defects: You will need to determine what critical means to your project. This classification is generally used for the serious defects that are "show stoppers" but do not have a catastrophic impact on either your company or your end users.

Number of moderate defects: You will need to determine what moderate means to your project. This classification is generally used for defects that have a workaround.

Number of negligible defects: You will need to determine what negligible means to your project. This classification is generally used for the defects that are not very visible to your end users.

Record number: The record number is shown on the left hand side of this dialog. It is read only and is used to help you toggle through the records in your database.

4.2.1.1 Buttons

Print - This button prints out the contents of the current failure record. It is not enabled in the demonstration version.

There are several functions available for finding particular records in the failure database:

Next - This button will display the next record in the database.

Previous- This button will display the previous record in the database.

Top - This button will display the first record in the database.

Bottom - This button will display the last record in the database.

Bypass the System Calculations - If you have a lot of records in your database, it may take a while for the system to update the calculations every time you enter date. You can bypass the system calculations while you are entering data and then update them later by pressing the Estimation->Recalculate menu item.

Append - This will add a new record to your database. The date will default to the latest date from your database.

Delete - This will delete the record which is currently shown.

Close - To close the Failure database and update all system parameters calculated by WhenToStop press the "Close" button at the bottom of the window. If there is a lot of data in your database, this can be a time consuming process. Therefore there is another option for closing. This option is "Bypass the system calculations>". It will close the database and save it, but will not update the system calculations. You can later update the system with the close button.

Table View - This shows the defect data as a list, allowing you to see all of the records at once.

Date	Test hours	Catastrophic	Critical	Moderate	Negligible	1
4/28/2001	8	1	0	0	0	
5/3/2001	16	1	0	0	0	
5/5/2001	16	3	0	0	0	
5/6/2001	8	2	0	0	0	
5/10/2001	16	2	0	0	0	
5/12/2001	16	2	0	0	0	
5/13/2001	8	1	0	0	0	
5/25/2001	64	2	0	0	0	
5/26/2001	8	9	0	0	0	
5/27/2001	8	1	0	0	0	
6/1/2001	24	1	0	0	0	
6/2/2001	8	5	0	0	0	
6/3/2001	8	2	0	0	0	
6/4/2001	8	2	0	0	0	
6/5/2001	8	2	0	0	0	
6/6/2001	8	4	0	0	0	
6/7/2001	8	1	0	0	0	
6/17/2001	64	2	0	0	0	
6/23/2001	40	1	0	0	0	
6/25/2001	16	1	0	0	0	
7/6/2001	56	1	0	0	0	
7/23/2001	40	2	0	0	0	
7/26/2001	8	1	0	0	0	
7/30/2001	32	1	0	0	0	
8/3/2001	16	1	0	0	0	
8/5/2001	8	2	0	0	0	
8/11/2001	32	3	0	0	0	
0.10.4.10004	C4	2	0	0	0	

WhenToStop Software Users Manual Copyright SoftRel, LLC, 2008

Cumulative defect data				
Defects	Serious defects	Test time	Failure intensity	~
1	1	8	0.125	
2	2	24	8.33334E-02	
5	5	40	0.125	
7	7	48	0.1458333	
9	9	64	0.140625	
11	11	80	0.1375	
12	12	88	0.1363636	
14	14	152	9.210526E-02	
23	23	160	0.14375	
24	24	168	0.1428571	
25	25	192	0.1302083	
30	30	200	0.15	
32	32	208	0.1538462	
34	34	216	0.1574074	
36	36	224	0.1607143	
40	40	232	0.1724138	
41	41	240	0.1708333	
43	43	304	0.1414474	
44	44	344	0.127907	
45	45	360	0.125	
46	46	416	0.1105769	
48	48	456	0.1052632	
49	49	464	0.1056034	
50	50	496	0.1008065	
51	51	512	9.960938E-02	
53	53	520	0.1019231	
56	56	552	0.1014493	
<u>ro</u>		010	0.4155045.00	
	C	ose Pr	int	

Cumulatives Table - This table shows the cumulative defect data, cumulative testing time and cumulative failure intensity for each point in time in which any of these values changed. The failure intensity is the cumulative defects divided by the cumulative time. When you select the Inherent defects trend, the failure intensity shown below is plotted on the x axis and the cumulative defects shown below is plotted on the y axis. If you have selected the global preferences option to filter only the serious defects then the serious defects column is plotted on the y axis.

When you select the inherent time trend, the failure intensity shown below is plotted on the x axis and the cumulative test time shown below is plotted on the y axis.

4.2.2 Importing Data

You have the option of importing comma separated text files that contain the same data as shown in section 4.2.1. These are called .csv files and are exported by common office applications such as spreadsheets. You can output your failure logs in the .csv format and import them into Frestimate with this function. There is an example .csv file shipped with Frestimate, which you can use for reference. If your file is not formatted properly, Frestimate will inform you of this status.

Select the "Import Failure Data" button from the WhenToStop main page shown in section 4.0. The below dialog is displayed.

Import Failure Data	
C:\	
frestimate	
compimp.csv example.csv survey.csv	
Import Cancel	

WhenToStop Software Users Manual Copyright SoftRel, LLC, 2008

Import Failure Data	P
C: [HP_PAVILION]	t to VIZ
example.csv	7
Import Cancel	t to PNT

If you do not have the last 3 fields in the csv file, they will be ignored. However, you must have the first 3 fields in the exact format as shown here.

Valid date ,Hours, Catastrophic defects,Critical defects,Moderate defects, Negligible defects

Valid date: This can be in any date format. It is the date in which either testing was performed with no defects found or testing was performed and defects were found.

Hours: The number of hours of testing performed on this date. Include hours of testing by all testers and users. This can exceed 24 hours. This field can be a floating or an integer value.

Catastrophic defects, Critical defects, Moderate defects and negligible defects: If you know the severity of the defects found on this day then you can enter them according. Otherwise, the catastrophic defects should represent the total number of defects found. These values are expected to be integers.

Short Example:

4/29/02 0:00:00,8.00,1,0,0,0 4/30/02 0:00:00,8.00,0,1,0,0 5/1/02 0:00:00,8.00,0,0,1,0 5/2/02 0:00:00,8.00,0,0,0,0 5/3/02 0:00:00,8.00,1,0,0,0 5/4/02 0:00:00,8.00,0,0,0,0 Once the data is imported it is merged with whatever data you have entered via the "record failures per day". You can use both of these options in any order at any time. Duplicate entries are merged such that the defects from duplicate dates are added together.

4.3 Parameters estimated

Select the "Parameters Estimation" button from the WhenToStop main page shown in section 4.0. The below dialog is displayed. Parameters are calculated by Frestimate directly from the defect data that you enter. Every time you enter or change data, these parameters can and will change also. If you have a lot of data, these parameters can take a while to calculate. Parameters are used by the models to calculate failure rate and MTTF.

Parameters estimated				
n (number defects detected so far)	59 Cumulative test tim	ne 640		
Growth rate (Q0) 7.47738875130	0038			
N - (estimated inherent defects)			
Nominal 256.611671857628 5	% 206.46164994895/ 95%	306.7616937663		
Initial failure rate estimate				
Nominal 1.45174e-1 52	% 1.36619e-1 95%	1.53729e-1		
Inputs used by the Logarithmic Model		Model		
Theta 5.24981e-3	k (slope parameter)	5.65733e-4		
Inputs used by the NHPP Mode	;			
a nominal 3.92414e2 52	% 2.85663e2 95%	4.99164e2		
b nominal 2.69096e-4 5	% -2.20755e-3 95%	2.74575e-3		
Inputs used by the Weibull Mod	lel			
a nominal 1.25781e0 53	% 1.25315e0 95%	1.26246e0		
b nominal 1.26369e-3 5	% -3.51032e-2 95%	3.76306e-2		
weibull k parameter 6.27114e-6 B0 parameter -6.81123e0				
You should not override these values unless you are an advanced user				
and this value for initial failure rate 1.45174e-1 Failures Per Hour				
Print	Close			

4.3.1 Observed data

These are observed data as opposed to estimated parameters. They are shown on this page mainly because they are inputs to the estimation models as are the parameters shown below them.

n- number of defects found so far. This is the cumulative total of all defects in your database between the start and end date of systems testing. If you chose to filter only the severe defects in the global preferences then this value will include only the catastrophic and critical defects in the database between the start and end of systems testing.

t- Cumulative test time - This is the total number of cumulative testing hours in your database between the start and end of systems testing.

Growth rate Q_0 - This is the observed growth rate of the defects in your database between the start and end of systems testing. If you chose to filter only the severe defects in the global preferences then this value will include only the catastrophic and critical defects in the database between the start and end of systems testing. The growth rate is determined by plotting the failure intensity for each row in the cumulatives table (shown in section 4.2) versus the cumulative defects. The observed growth rate is the natural log of the x intercept of that graph over the y intercept of that graph.

4.3.2 How parameters are estimated

4.3.2.1 Estimated inherent defects and initial failure rate

The estimated number of inherent defects - N_0 - in the software at the start of system test is estimated by WhenToStop based on your collected data.

Some models assume that inherent defects and initial failure rate is fixed and finite:

- Binomial
- Exponential fault count
- Exponential time to failure

Some models assume that inherent defects and initial failure rate is finite but not fixed:

- NHPP
- Weibull

Some models assume that inherent defects and are infinite:

- Logarithmic (time to failure)
- Logarithmic (fault count)

Some models make no assumption about inherent defects at all:

• Bayesian

Inherent defects - N₀

Therefore, the inherent defects parameter is used by the Binomial, Exponential fault count, Exponential time to failure and NHPP models. The Weibull model uses it's own parameter estimation to determine inherent defects. For the other models, the parameter is estimated by plotting cumulative defect rate vs. cumulative defects, the y intercept of this plot will be the estimated inherent defects.

Initial failure rate λ_0

The x intercept will be the estimated initial failure rate which is used by the Binomial, Exponential fault count, Exponential time to failure and NHPP models. The logarithmic models use the ACTUAL observed initial failure rate which is just the cumulative defects divided by the cumulative time for the very first time period in which there were observed defects. If you look at the cumulative table in the Record Failures Per Day page, this will be the value for failure intensity that it is in the very first row of the table.

There are 4 ways to determine the y and x intercepts. You select one of the below methods from the Estimation->Detailed Results page.

• Best Straight LineLeast Squares EstimateWeighted Least Squares EstimateMaximum Likelihood Estimate

You may do the modeling with the computed default value for inherent defects or you can modify it as discussed shortly.

4.3.2.2 Logarithmic model parameters

The exponential models presumes that there is a straight line between the inherent defects estimate N₀ and the initial failure rate λ_0 estimate when plotting the cumulative defects vs. the failure intensity. Logarithmic models, on the other hand presume that this plot is not a straight line but rather a logarithmic curve. Since slope cannot be used in this case, the rate of change of the curve is used instead. **Theta** - θ - is the rate of change between the initial failure rate and the current failure rate. This is also called the failure rate decay. If you plot the natural log of failure intensity versus cumulative defects, the slope of this plot is theta.

4.3.2.3 Binomial model parameters

k parameter - This parameter is calculated by WHENTOSTOP as the inverse of the slope of the line of cumulative defects vs. failure intensity trend. The k parameter is only used by the Binomial model.

4.3.2.4 NHPP parameters

The **NHPP a** parameter is estimated to be approximately equal to number of inherent defects N_0 in the software at the start of system test. The NHPP model assumes that inherent defects are finite but not fixed.

The **NHPP b** parameter is estimated to be approximately equal to the initial failure rate λ_0 of the software at the start of system test. The NHPP model assumes that inherent defects N₀ are finite but not fixed. Therefore, initial failure rate is also assumed to be finite but not fixed.

Simultaneous equations are used to determine the NHPP a parameter.

 $\begin{array}{l} [\mathsf{EQ} \ 1] \ a = \sum \ f(i) \ / \ (1 \ - \ e^{\ -(bt)}) \\ [\mathsf{EQ} \ 2] \ at \ ^* \ e^{\ -bt} = \\ \Sigma? \ [f(i) \ ^* \ ((t_i \ ^* \ e^{\ -bt(i)}) \ - \ (t_{i-1} \ ^* \ e^{\ -bt(i-1)})) \ / \ (e^{\ -bt(i-1)} \ - \ e^{\ -bt(i)})] \end{array}$

Hint: solve for "a" with first equation. Find values for "b" that make equation 2 equal on both sides.

4.3.2.5 Weibull parameters

a Weibull parameter

This is a slope parameter of the natural log of the defect detection times plotted on the x axis and $\ln(\ln(1/1-Fi))$ where Fi is the ni /N for each time interval in which a defect was detected. You can see this parameter estimation in the Model Sensitivity->Weibull->Weibull Parameter estimation page.

b Weibull parameter

b0 = the y intercept of the natural log of the defect detection times plotted on the x axis and ln(ln(1/1-Fi)) where Fi is the ni /N for each time interval i in which a defect was detected.

b = exp(-b0/a)

Weibull Inherent Defects parameter

When you have the Weibull model selected in the results page the below value will be displayed as the Inherent defects.

 $N_0 = (exp^{(-b0/a)})$

Weibull k parameter = K = b/N₀



4.3.3 Bypassing estimates for inherent defects, initial failure rate

If you have historical data from a previous and similar software project, you may choose to override the system calculated parameters. This option is only for advanced users who have collected historical data.

To choose this option check the "Use this value for total inherent defects" and "Use this value for initial failure rate" and then enter the inherent defects and the initial failure rate. WhenToStop will do no checking of these parameters other than to make sure that both are positive numbers. You cannot leave either field blank if you check this option.

4.4 Summary results for all models

Select the "Summary Results for all models" button from the WhenToStop main page shown in section 4.0. The below page is displayed.

Results of all models						
	Predicted MTTF (hours)			Predicted Failure Rate		
	Nominal	5%	95%	Nominal	5%	95%
NHPP	1.125e1	0.e0	4.229e0	8.889077e-2	0.e0	2.364439e-1
Binomial Time To	8.945e0	1.199e1	7.134e0	1.117954e-1	8.342388e-2	1.401669e-1
Failure	9.894e0	1.08e1	9.325e0	1.010749e-1	9.256527e-2	1.072383e-1
Fault Count	8.945e0	1.025e1	8.054e0	1.117954e-1	9.757741e-2	1.241618e-1
Logarithmic (time)	1.025e1	1.068e1	9.865e0	9.757826e-2	9.363706e-2	1.013701e-1
Logarithmic (count)	9.389e0	9.977e0	8.867e0	1.065049e-1	1.002286e-1	1.127813e-1
Bayesian	1.085e1	1.085e1	1.085e1	9.21875e-2	9.21875e-2	9.21875e-2
Weibull	1.882e2	0.e0	1.254e1	1.503e-3	0.e0	1.094e-1
Print Close Failures Per Hour						

This selection will display the inputs to all of the models and will generate output for all model calculations. You can use this function to compare the results of each of the models in one summary report. You can print this dialog or close it.

The dialog shows the nominal MTTF and failure estimates. It also shows the 5% and 95% confidence limits on these values.

The confidence bounds are determined by

- Establish confidence = .95
- Using normal charts determine Z (.95)/2
- Lower interval =

estimated parameter - Z (.95)/2 v(Variance(estimated parameter))

- Upper interval =
- estimated parameter + Z (.95)/2 v (Variance(estimated parameter))
- Use the lower and upper interval estimates in the failure rate and MTTF formulas to determine a 5% and 95% bound

The formulas used to compute the above values are shown in section 4.6 of this manual.

4.5 Detailed results

The detailed results are shown on the WhenToStop main page. If there are no results shown then that means that you need to enter failure data and/or general inputs.

C Estmation resul	ts for growth model selected		and the second	
	– Estimated MTTF, failure ra	100		
General Inputs	Estimated Inherent Defects	513.911e0	Defects estimated between now and	0
Input failures by	Defects found so far in testing	119	end of test	
day	Estimated Current Failure Rate	1.110403e-1	End of testing Failures Per Hour	4/26/2002
Import Failure data	Estimated Current MTTF	900.574e-2	Hours	
	End of Test Failure Rate	1.110403e-1	Failures Per Hour	
Parameter estimation	End of Test MTTF	900.574e-2	Hours	
Summary results	Operational Failure Rate	3.129175e-3	Failures Per Hour	
of all models	Operational MTTF	319.573e0	Hours	
Model sensitivity				
Compare results	Other projections			
	Estimated reliability for mission ti			4.113454e-1
Select a trend 👻	Estimated reliability for mission ti			4.113454e-1
	Estimated reliability for mission ti	•	-	9.752773e-1
Reports	Estimated availability for current		VR specified in prediction	0.8182766
	Estimated availability for end of			0.8182766
	Estimated availability for operati	onal MTTF		0.9937806
	MTSWR			2
	Objective delivered MTTF			1000
	Test hours needed to reach ob	jective		167.5e2
	Defects to discover to meet obj	ective		391.4e0
	The failure rate and MTTFs are for Select the model that you want to			
	ол на сел а н		Binomial	-
	Select the curve fitting method		Best Straight Line	•
			Help Update res	sults

4.5.1 Select the model that you want to see results for

You can toggle among any of the models selected here to view the most current estimates for each model. All model results are stored in the database, however, only the model that you select in this dialog is displayed on this page or the reports.

4.5.2 Select the curve fitting method

The preferred curve fitting is the technique used to estimate certain parameters used for some or all of the models. These parameters include:

- k parameter
- Inherent Defects N₀

Initial Failure Rate λ₀

Whenever you change the curve fitting options, the system will automatically recalculate the results that are shown in this page. If there is allot of data in the failure database, this may take a while.

The curve fitting options are:

- Best Straight Line. This best straight line can be viewed from the defect trends menu item. Select "inherent defects" trend and then select "linear".
- Least Squares Estimate. This technique generally takes less time then the MLE estimation and often times less time then the best straight line. Weighted Least Squares Estimate This technique is just like the LSE except that most recent data points are weighted heavier.".Maximum Likelihood Estimate This method of estimating parameters will generally take longer to perform then the other calculations.

4.5.3 Outputs

All MTTF outputs are in terms of hours. All failure rate units are defined based on the selection you made in the File->Global Preferences dialog. If you chose hours, then all failure rate outputs will be in hours. The same applies to million of hours and billion of hours. You can change this preference at any time and the failure rate outputs as well as the labels will be updated accordingly.

4.5.3.1 Defect and test data

Estimated inherent defects - This is the estimated parameter associated with the model and the curve fitting method that you selected. See section 4.3 for a description.

Defects found so far in testing - This is the actual defects in the database. See section 4.3 for a description.

End of testing date - This is the date that you entered on the general inputs page.

Defects estimated between now and end of testing - This is an extrapolation of the present defect count using the model that you selected. The extrapolation formula depends on the model selected as shown below.

Note: If the end of testing date has already passed, then the above estimate will be 0.

4.5.3.2 Estimated Current Failure Rate and MTTF

These results are calculated based on:

- The model you selected
- The curve fitting you selected
- The failure data that you entered
- The time values that you entered
- The start date you entered

The model for determining the failure rate depends on which model is selected. Refer to section 4.6 for the model formulas. Please note that MTTF is not always the inverse of the failure rate. They can be inverted only when there is a constant hazard rate such as the NHPP, exponential and binomial models.

Parameters associated with the present point in time are often denoted with a subscripted $_{p}$ in this manual.

4.5.3.3 Estimated End of Test Failure Rate and MTTF

The end of test failure rate is an extrapolation of the current failure rate up to the end date that you entered in the preferences. The number that is displayed here is calculated based on:

- The model you selected
- The curve fitting you selected
- The failure data that you entered
- The start date you entered in the preferences
- The end date you entered in the preferences

The formulas for extrapolating the present failure rate/MTTF to the future failure rate/MTTF using Δt which is the testing hours remaining between now and the end of testing milestone:

Model	Formula to extrapolate the present to end of test
Binomial and exponential	$\lambda_{\text{Del}} = \lambda_p * \exp(-k\Delta t)$ MTTF _{Del} = 1/ λ_p * exp(-k Δt)
models	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$
NHPP model	$\lambda_{\text{Del}} = \lambda_p * \exp(-b\Delta t)$
	$MTTF_{Del} = 1/\lambda_p * exp(-b\Delta t)$
Logarithmic	$MTTF_{Del} = (\theta * \Delta t) + MTTF_{p}$
models	$\lambda_{\text{Del}} = 1/(\Theta * \Delta t + \text{MTTF}_{\text{p}})$
Weibull model	$\lambda_{\text{Del}} =$
	$a^{*}b^{*}(t^{*}b)^{a-1}exp(-(t+\Delta t)^{*}b)^{a}$

Parameters associated with the end of test milestone are often denoted with a subscripted $_{DEL}$ in this manual. Parameters associated with the present time are often denoted with a subscripted $_{p}$ in this manual.

4.5.3.4 Estimated Operational Failure Rate and MTTF

The operational failure rate is an extrapolation of the end of test failure up to the end of the growth period that you specify in the General Inputs page. The model you have selected is used to extrapolate the end of test failure rate, but then the exponential model is used to extrapolate past the end of test. The number that is displayed here is calculated based on:

- The model you selected
- The curve fitting you selected
- The failure data that you entered
- The start date you entered in the general inputs

WhenToStop Software Users Manual Copyright SoftRel, LLC, 2008

- The end date you entered in the general inputs
- The growth period and duty cycle specified in the general inputs

Operational MTTF = T/ N_{del} ((exp (-Q*(i-1)/i)-exp(-Q))

Operational failure rate = $\lambda = 1$ /Operational MTTF

Where:

T = duty cycle per month that you input in the Estimation->General Inputs page. $N_{del} = N0 - n$ - number of defects estimated between now and end of test Q = growth rate computed by WhenToStop and shown on the estimation parameters page

i = number ofmonths in growth period that you entered on the General inputs page.

Note: The estimates for the operational milestone are denoted with no subscript.

4.5.3.5 Estimated Reliability

The estimated current reliability is computed by the following algorithm. It is the probability that the software operates over the mission time.

 $\begin{array}{l} \lambda_{p\ \text{-}} \text{ estimated present failure rate} \\ \lambda_{\text{del}} \text{-} \text{ estimated end of test failure rate} \\ \lambda \text{-} \text{ operational failure rate} \end{array}$

Using an exponential formula: Estimated current reliability = exp ($-\lambda_p$ * mission time) Estimated end of test reliability = exp ($-\lambda_{del}$ * mission time) Estimated operational reliability = exp ($-\lambda^*$ mission time)

The reliability formulas for the models that are not exponential are shown in section 4.6.

Reliability is calculated based on:

- The model you selected
- The curve fitting you selected
- The failure data that you entered
- The start date you entered general inputs
- The mission time that you entered in the general inputs

4.5.3.6 Test hours needed to reach objective MTTF

The current MTTF is extrapolated to the objective MTTF and the system determines the amount of test time needed for that extrapolation. It is calculated based on:

- The model you selected
- The curve fitting you selected
- The failure data that you entered
- The start date you entered in the General Inputs page
- The objective MTTF you entered in the General Inputs page

Additional test hours are denoted as Δt in this manual. Objective MTTF or failure values are denoted with an _{obj} subscript.

Model	Formula to determine additional testing hours needed
Binomial and exponential models	$\Delta t = (N_0 / \lambda_0^* \ln(\lambda_p / \lambda_{obj})$
NHPP model	$\Delta t = (1/b^* \ln(\lambda_p / \lambda_{obj}))$
Logarithmic models	$\Delta t = (1/\theta * ((1/\lambda_f) - (1/\lambda_p)))$
Weibull model	Solve for Δt by optimizing this formula $\lambda_{obj} = a^*b^*(t^*b)^{a-1}exp(-(t + \Delta t)^*b)^a$

4.5.3.7 Defects to discover to meet objective MTTF

The current MTTF is extrapolated to the objective MTTF and the system determines the amount of defects that would need to be discovered for that extrapolation.

It is calculated based on:

- The model you selected
- The curve fitting you selected
- The failure data that you entered
- The start date you entered in the General Inputs page
- The objective MTTF you entered in the General inputs page

Additional defects are denoted as Δn in this manual. Objective MTTF or failure values are denoted with an _{obj} subscript.

Binomial and	$\Delta n = (N_0 / \lambda_0^* (\lambda_p - \lambda_f))$
Exponential	$1/\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{N}_0 / \lambda_0$
models.	
NHPP model	$\Delta n = (1/b^* (\lambda_p - \lambda_f))$
Logarithmic	$\Delta n = (1/\theta * \ln(\lambda_p / \lambda_f))$
models	-
Weibull model	$\lambda_{obj} =$
	a*b*(t*b) ^{a-1} exp(-(t+∆t)*b) ^a
	Once you solve for Δt then compute defects associated with
	that time by solving for b and keeping a the same.

4.6 Model Sensitivity

Select the "Model Sensitivity" button from the WhenToStop main page shown in section 4.0. The model parameters that are associated with the model that you have selected will then be displayed. For example, if you have chosen the Binomial model in the pull-down menu then the inputs for the Binomial model are shown and you are able to do the sensitivity analysis on those parameters. Each of the sensitivity pages is shown in sections 4.6.1 through 4.6.8.

Present failure Present MTTF **Reliability estimation** for present or end of rate estimation estimation test milestone* λp $k(N_0-n)$ **Binomial** $1/\lambda_{\rm p}$ Time to kN_0 *e(-kt) $Exp(-\lambda_x^* mission)$ $1/\lambda_p$ Failure Mission = mission time Exponential $\lambda_0^*(1 - n / N_0)$ $1/\lambda_p$ fault count NHPP a * b * exp(-bt) $1/\lambda_{p}$ Logarithmic $\lambda_0/((\lambda_0 * \theta * t) + 1)$ () T \ 1 * (() () T ϕ 1 0 0 0 1 2 83 0 8 5 90 0 6 1 T 5 8 7 10 4 ϕ 9 2 4 3 6 2 8 9 . 8 time based () To 1* Logarithmic $\lambda_0 * \exp(-\theta n)$ defect () To 1 $0^{(0)}0^{T_{\phi}}1^{1}$ 283¹. 283¹. 348 55670. $\dot{\epsilon}8^{T_{\mu}}T_{\mu}^{(1)}$ 7. $\dot{\epsilon}\chi$ 44 T8 1 0391 based b*a*(t*b)(a-1)* exp(-mission*b)^a Weibull $N_0 \Gamma (1/a + 1) OR$ exp(-tk)^á $\Gamma (1/a + 1)/$ if a = 1 then you $(b^{(1/a)})$ have an exponential model if a = 2 then you have a Raleigh model

The following software reliability models capabilities are included in WhenToStop:

t = mission time, x = appropriate milestone subscript depending on whether we are solving for present or end of test milestone. If the milestone is the end of operational growth period then the exponential formula for reliability is used.

WhenToStop computes all parameters and model results automatically when you enter failure data. The model options in this section allow you to:

- View the parameters estimated for each model
- View the results for each model
- Temporarily change the inputs to perform sensitivity analysis

The following functions are provided for each of the models:

Reset values - You can modify the inputs for each model and view the results. If you want to clear your inputs and reset the values to those that were computed by WhenToStop then press this button.

Print- This will print the form.

Close- This will close the form but will NOT save any of your inputs. The model dialog screens allow you to perform analyses by modifying the input parameters to the models. They are not intended, however, to overwrite the system parameters computed by WhenToStop.

Calculate values - If you change the default values in these dialogs, the calculate button will recalculate the failure rate and MTTF values for you based on the new inputs. It will not, however, overwrite the system parameters calculated by WhenToStop. When you exit this dialog the changes will not be saved.

4.6.1 NHPP Model

NHPP Model Inputs	
a (intercept parameter) 392.413519	b (slope parameter) .000269
Cumulative time 640. Predicted MTTF in hours Nominal 112.531e-1	Predicted Failure Rate Nominal 8.886e-2 Failures Per Hour
Reset Values Print	Close Calculate Values

The inputs for the NHPP model are:

- a parameter
- b parameter
- Test/usage time

The outputs are:

- Predicted MTTF in hours
- Predicted failure rate in terms of the unit of time that you specified in the global preferences dialog

Estimated failure rate = a * b * exp(-bt)

Estimated MTTF = 1/ failure rate since this is an exponential model.

Note that failure rate and MTTF for this model change only when there is a defect detected and not directly with calendar time.

You can override the values of a, b and time to determine sensitivity on the MTTF and failure rate results. Your inputs and outputs are not saved once you close this dialog, however, you can print them. Section 4.8 describes the inputs for this model. The NHPP model assumes that faults do not have an equal probability of being detected. This model is typically used during the earlier phases of testing/usage.

4.6.2 Binomial Model

Binomial Model Inputs			
k (slope parameter) .000566 n (number defects detected so far) 59.			
N - (estimated inherent defects)			
Nominal 256.611672 Lower Bound 206.46165 Upper Bound 306.761694			
Predicted MTTF in hours			
Nominal 894.069e-2 Upper Bound 119.813e-1 Lower Bound 713.098e-2			
Predicted Failure Rate			
Nominal 1.118e-1 Lower Bound 8.346e-2 Upper Bound 1.402e-1			
Failures Per Hour			
Reset Values Print Close Calculate Values			

The inputs for the binomial model are:

- Number of detected defects n
- k parameter
- Inherent Defects N₀

This model assumes that all faults are equal in probability of being detected and severity and that when a fault is detected it is immediately removed (corrected).

Estimated failure rate = $k (N_0 - n)$

Estimated MTTF = 1/ failure rate since this is an exponential model.

Note that failure rate and MTTF for this model change only when there is a defect detected and not directly with calendar time.

4.6.3 Exponential Time to Failure Model

3		
Recycle Bin Fl	REstimate	
Fi	e Dradiction Estimation Fielded matrice	
1	Time To Failure Model Inputs	
	Initial failure rate .145174 Failures Per Hour	
*****	Cumulative time 640.	1
	N - (estimated inherent defects)	p.
알 -	Nominal 256.611672 Lower Bound 206.46165 Upper Bound 306.761694	
Microsoft Outlook	Predicted MTTF in hours	
-	Nominal 989.364e-2 Upper Bound 108.032e-1 Lower Bound 932.501e-2	
	Predicted Failure Rate	
	Nominal 1.011e-1 Lower Bound 9.257e-2 Upper Bound 1.072e-1	400
	Failures Per Hour	
		X
FTP_Voyag	Reset Values Print Close Calculate Values	

The inputs for the exponential model are:

- Inherent Defects N₀
- Initial Failure Rate λ_0
- Cumulative Test/Usage Time t

The exponential model assumes that the hazard rate is constant and that faults are equal in severity and probability of being detected.

Failure rate = $\lambda_0^* \exp(-t^* \lambda_0 / N0)$

MTTF = 1/failure rate since this is an exponential model.

4.6.4 Logarithmic (Time) Model

Logarithmic (time) model inputs			
Logarithinic (time) model inputs			
Decay parameter .00525 Cumulative time 640.			
Estimated initial failure rate			
Nominal .145174 Lower Bound .153729 Upper Bound .136619			
Failures Per Hour			
Predicted MTTF in hours			
Nominal 102.483e-1 Upper Bound 986.495e-2 Lower Bound 106.796e-1			
Predicted Failure Rate			
Nominal 9.758e-2 Lower Bound 1.014e-1 Upper Bound 9.364e-2			
Failures Per Hour			
Reset Values Print Close Calculate Values			

The inputs for the logarithmic model are:

- Observed initial failure rate λ_0
- Cumulative test/usage time t
- Theta θ

The logarithmic model assumes that some faults are likely to be detected before others. This model is typically used earlier in test/usage than other models. It assumes that inherent defects is infinite and therefore does not model this parameter. Instead it models the initial failure rate and the change in that failure rate (theta).

Estimated failure rate = observed λ_0 / ((observed $\lambda_0^* \theta * t$) + 1)

4.6.5 Logarithmic (fault count) Model

Logarithmic (fault count) model inputs
Decay parameter .00525 Cumulative defects detected 59.
Estimated initial failure rate
Nominal .145174 Lower Bound .153729 Upper Bound .136619
Failures Per Hour
Predicted MTTF in hours
Nominal 938.931e-2 Upper Bound 886.68e-2 Lower Bound 997.727e-2
Predicted Failure Rate
Nominal 1.065e-1 Lower Bound 1.128e-1 Upper Bound 1.002e-1
Failures Per Hour
Reset Values Print Close Calculate Values

The inputs for the logarithmic fault count model are:

- Observed initial failure rate λ_0
- **Theta** θ
- Number of detected defects n

The logarithmic model assumes that some faults are likely to be detected before others. This model is typically used earlier in test/usage than other models. It assumes that inherent defects is infinite and therefore does not model this parameter. Instead it models the initial failure rate and the change in that failure rate (theta). This model differs from the time based logarithmic model only to the extent that failure rate is estimated as a function of defects as opposed to a function of time.

Estimated failure rate = observed $\lambda_0 * \exp(-\theta * n)$

MTTF = θ / ((1- θ) * ((observed $\lambda_0 * \theta * t$) + 1) ^{(1-1/ θ})

4.6.6 Exponential fault count model

3	
Recycle Bin	FREstimate
	File Dediction Estimation Sielded matrice Fault Count Model Inputs
www	Initial failure rate .145174 n (number defects detected so far) 59.
2	N - (estimated inherent defects) Nominal 256.611672 Upper Bound 206.46165 Lower Bound 306.761694
Microsoft Outlook	Predicted MTTF in hours Nominal 894,489e-2 Upper Bound 964,432e-2 Lower Bound 852,861e-2
road runner	Predicted Failure Rate Nominal 1.118e-1 Lower Bound 1.037e-1 Upper Bound 1.173e-1 Failures Per Hour
FTP_Voyag	Reset Values Print Close Calculate Values

The inputs for this model are:

- Observed Initial failure rate $-\lambda_0$
- Cumulative test/usage time t
- Number of detected defects n
- Inherent Defects N₀

This model assumes that all faults are equal in probability of being detected and severity and that when a fault is detected it is immediately removed (corrected).

Estimated failure rate = $\lambda_0 * (1 - n / N_0)$

Estimated MTTF = 1/ failure rate since this is an exponential model.

Note that failure rate and MTTF for this model change only when there is a defect detected and not directly with calendar time.
4.6.7 Bayesian model

FREstimate				
File Prodiction Estimation Fielded matrice				
	Bayesian Model Inputs			
	Cumulative time 640 n (number defects detected so far) 59. Predicted MTTF in hours Predicted Failure Rate Nominal 10.8474576 Nominal 0.0921875 Failures Per Hour	1		
	Reset Values Print Close Calculate Values			

The inputs for this model are:

- Number of detected defects n
- Cumulative test/usage time t

This model is useful for measuring software projects that are not failing often. The failure rate estimate is a function of time, therefore, if there is a period of time in which there is testing, but no failures found, this model will reflect it. This model makes no assumptions about inherent defects or initial failure rate.

The formula for this model is:

Estimated failure rate = (n + 1)/t

Estimated MTTF = t/(n + 1)

4.6.8 Weibull Model

Weibull Model Inputs				
a (slope parameter) 1.257808	Ь	.001264		
k - Rate parameter .000006	Cumulative time hours	640.		
Predicted MTTF Nominal 188.131e0	Predicted Failure Rate Nominal 1.504e-3	Failures Per Hour		
Reset Values Print Close Calculate Values				

The inputs for the Weibull model are:

- Number of detected defects
- Test/usage time
- a Weibull parameter
- b Weibull parameter

This model assumes that the failure rate can be increasing or decreasing.

 $\mathsf{MTTF} = (\mathsf{b/a}) * \Gamma (1/a)$

Failure rate = a * (a /b) * (t/b) $^{a-1}$ * exp(-t/b)^a

4.7 Compare

The purpose of the "Compare" functions are to allow you to compare the estimated values for each of the models for each defect occurrence in the failure databases to the actual next time to failure. The actual next time to failure is equal to:

Time to next failure = observed time between last failure and this failure

You can view the following only when there is at least two defects recorded in the "record failures per day" database. Select the "Compare results" button from the WhenToStop main page shown in section 4.0. The model that is selected on the main WhenToStop page will be displayed for the comparison. These graphs are useful for determining if a particular model is currently estimating with the lowest relative error and/or what points in the testing phase was this model estimating with the lowest relative error.



Relative error is computed by the absolute value of:

(Estimated MTTF using this model - actual time to failure) / actual time to failure

The relative error is computed for each MTTF computed at each defect occurrence as recording in the "record failures per day" database. Estimated MTTF for each model is also computed based on the curve fitting and model selected in the Estimation->Detailed results page. The relative errors are then averaged for each model and displayed on this plot. You can print this plot or close it.

Each of the compare estimate plots has the ability for:

- Export
- Copy to Clipboard
- Print

Export This will export the graph to a predefined file name. This file name will be displayed to you and it will be saved in the same folder that Frestimate is running in. You can import this exported graph into various office applications.

Copy to Clipboard - This works just like the export function except that the graph is saved in the clipboard. You can open an office application and press "paste" and the image will be pasted from the clipboard.

Print - This will print the entire screen to your printer.

4.8 Defect Trends

Select the "Select a trend" pull-down menu from the WhenToStop main page.

Estmation results for growth model selected				
General Inputs	 Estimated MTTF, failure rate Estimated Inherent Defects 	ates	Defects estimated	0
Input failures by day	Defects found so far in testing		between now and end of test	0
	Estimated Current Failure Rate		End of testing	4/26/2002
Import Failure data	Estimated Current MTTF	157.738e-1	Failures Per Hour Hours	
	End of Test Failure Bate	6.339638e4	Failures Per Hour	
Parameter estimation	End of Test MTTF	157.738e-7	Hours	
Summary results of all	Operational Failure Rate	8.830208e-5	Failures Per Hour	
models	Operational MTTF	0.030200e-5	Hours	
Model sensitivity	Operational MTTF	113.24862	Hours	
Other projections Compare results Estimated reliability for mission time specified and current failure rate Not Available Fest time/defects Estimated reliability for mission time specified and Deprational failure rate Not Available Recent actuals Stimated reliability for mission time specified and operational failure rate Not Available Defect trend Estimated reliability for current MTTF and MTSWR specified in prediction 0.722365 Estimated availability for operational MTTF 2.601844E-06 Estimated availability for operational MTTF 0.9994649 Estimated availability for operational MTTF 0.9994649 MTSWR 6.06253 Objective delivered MTTF 1000 Test time/defects 701.4e1 Defects to discover to meet objective 247.5e5				
The failure rate and MTTFs are for these type:All severity types.				
Select the model that you want to see results for				
Select the curve fitting method Best Straight Line				-
Help Update results Print				

Each of these trends is generated from the failure data that you input for a specific project. You can print your trend by pressing the "Print" button on the trend screen.

4.8.1 Failure Rate/MTTF

Select the Failure Rate/MTTF option from the pull-down menu. (It is the very first option). Then you can toggle between the failure rate growth and the MTTF growth graphs as shown below.

These graphs show an extrapolation of the fielded failure rates and MTTF values given your inputs for end of testing, duty cycle and growth period. While end of test failure rates are extrapolated by using the selected model; the fielded or operational failure rates and MTTFs are extrapolated by using only the exponential model. If you have selected 0 as your growth period or duty cycle then these plots will not display a result.



WhenToStop Software Users Manual Copyright SoftRel, LLC, 2008

Serious Failure Rate over Growth Period				
Only MTTF growth catastrophic and critical defects are shown	✓ Show entire growth period			
C:\Program Files\Frestimate Manager's Edition\demoprog.mdb				
Mean 2000 Time to 1500 Failure 1000 in hours 500 0 4 4 4	▲ ▲ ▲ 10 15			
Tes	st hours			
Binomial model Best Straight Line estimate	Help Export image Copy image Print to file to clipboard Print			

4.8.2 All Actuals to Estimates

Select the "All Actuals" option from the pull-down menu. (It is the second option). This trend shows all actual time to failures versus all estimated time to failures. Generally, the average relative error of all models is more useful then this trend, however, you may want to view this trend to ensure that the model with the lowest relative error hasn't changed recently.



4.8.3 Most recent actuals to estimates

Select the "Recent Actuals" option from the pull-down menu. (It is the third option). This trend shows the last 5 computations for relative error for each of the estimation models. We can use this to compare which models are the closest to the actual MTTF shown on the trend.



4.8.4 Defect Trend

Select the "Defect trend" option from the pull-down menu. The Cumulative defects vs. Failure intensity trend is significant because it is the curve that the inherent faults, initial failure rate and k parameters are derived from. As your software testing/usage progresses you should see this curve progress towards the top left hand corner.

The y and x intercepts are determined by the curve fitting method that you selected in the Estimation->Detailed Results page. You can select from one of these 4 methods:

Best Straight Line Least Squares Estimate Weighted Least Squares Estimated Maximum Likelihood Estimate



4.8.5 Test Time Trend

Select the "Test time trend" option from the pull-down menu. The Cumulative time vs. Failure intensity trend is used to determine the total amount of testing time required to find 100% of all defects. Generally, you can count on this estimate being much larger then the time to find 95% of all of the defects.

The y intercept is determined by the curve fitting method that you selected in the Estimation->Detailed Results page. You can select from one of these 4 methods:

Best Straight Line Least Squares Estimate Weighted Least Squares Estimated Maximum Likelihood Estimate



4.8.6 What will MTTF be after this many more testing hours

Select the "Future MTTF" option from the pull-down menu. This trend shows what the MTTF will be if there are some additional test hours.

t+ additional testing hours = $(N_0 / \lambda_0) * \ln(\lambda_0 / \lambda_{obj})$ Where N_0 = Defects predicted for start of testing

 λ_{obj} = objective failure rate = 1/ objective MTTF

 λ_0 = failure predicted at start of testing

t = cumulative testing hours so far

Therefore:

(t+ additional testing hours) * $\lambda_0 / N_0 = \ln(\lambda_0 / \lambda_{obj})$ exp((t+ additional testing hours) * λ_0 / N_0) = ($\lambda_0 / \lambda_{obj}$) exp((t+ additional testing hours) * λ_0 / N_0) / $\lambda_0 = 1 / \lambda_{obj} = MTTF_{obj}$

MTTF after this many testing hours			
Testing growth rate (Q0)	7.47738875130038		
Inherent defects predicted at start of testing	256.611671857628		
Initial failure rate predicted	0.145173622421504		
If this many more hours of testing is complete	d 1000		
Calculate]		
The estimated MTTF at that point is	19.09952		
Close	Print		

4.8.7 Testing needed to remove % defects

Select the "Test time/removal rate" option from the pull-down menu. This trend shows you how many testing hours total are needed to reach some level of defect removal. The percentage is the percentage of testing defects. So, if 200 defects are predicted for testing then 95% of that would be 190 defects.

t+ additional testing hours = $(N_0 / \lambda_0) * \ln(\lambda_0 / \lambda_{obj})$ Where $N_0 =$ Defects predicted for start of testing

 λ_{obj} = objective failure rate = (1-x%) * λ_0

 λ_0 = failure predicted at start of testing

t = cumulative testing hours so far

Testing time needed to reach a % removal				
Inputs				
Inherent defects predicted at start of testing 256.61167185762				
Testing growth rate (Q0) 7.477389				
Initial failure rate predicted 0.1451736				
Percentage of defects expected to be removed 95				
Testing hours exhausted so far 640				
Additional test hours needed to reach the above defect removal 4655.313				
Failure rate predicted at the above defect removal 7.25868E-03				
Predicted MTTF at the above removal rate 137.7661				
Close Print				

4.8.8 Staffing trend

Select the "Staffing trend" option from the pull-down menu The average repair time and number of corrective actions made so far are user inputs which determine the other calculations shown. The valid input range for average repair time is any number > 0. The valid input range for the number of corrective actions made is \geq 0 and \leq number of defects detected so far.

The number of defects detected so far comes directly from the defect database and is the cumulative number that you have recorded in the failure database that are between the start and end of testing date. If you have set the global preferences to filter for only the severe types, then this will be the cumulative sum of only the defects that have a catastrophic or critical classification.

The average testing time per week is also determined directly from the defect database.

The time in hours spent so far - t- is also determined directly from the defect database.

The total time in hours required to find all defects is the y intercept of the inherent time graph (discussed next).

The time in hours required to find the remaining defects = inherent time - t.

The total weeks to find the remaining defects =inherent time / average testing time per week.

The estimated defects to be fixed = inherent defects - number of defects corrected so far.

The total corrective action time needed to fix these defects = estimated defects to be fixed * average repair time



4.8.9 Cumulatives Trend

This trend shows this cumulative defects Vs. cumulative time plot. Typically, you will see the defects detected curve level off over time when your product's testing is nearing completion. This trend should not be used to make any quantitative decisions regarding When To Stop testing as it is subjective.



4.8.10 Testing needed to reach some objective

Select the "Test time/removal rate" option from the pull-down menu.

This trend allows you to see how many more testing hours will be needed at the current rate to meet the objectives that you defined in the General Inputs page of the WhenToStop module.

t+ additional testing hours = $(N_0 / \lambda_0) * \ln(\lambda_0 / \lambda_{obj})$ Where N_0 = Defects predicted for start of testing λ_{obj} = objective failure rate = 1/ objective MTTF λ_0 = failure predicted at start of testing t = cumulative testing hours so far

Testing time needed to reach an objective MTTF				
Inputs				
Objective end of test MTTF	200			
Objective operational MTTF	1000			
Inherent defects predicted at start of testing	256.611671857628			
Testing growth rate (Q0)	7.47738875130038			
Initial failure rate	0.145173622421504			
Testing hours exhausted so far	640			
Additional test hours needed to reach objective end of test MTTF 5314.211 Additional test hours needed to reach operational MTTF objective 8159.085 Close Print				

4.9 Addendum

Best Line Estimate

The inherent defects and initial failure rate can be estimated by plotting defect rate on the x axis and cumulative defects on the y-axis. The best straight line through these points is the best line estimate. This software allows you the option of using a best line estimate for parameter estimation. There is no best line estimate if the slope of this plot is positive or if there is no positive y intercept when drawing the best straight line.

X values - cumulative detected defects / cumulative test time for each time interval in which a defect was detected Y values - detected defects for that time interval

Number of detected defects

This is exactly as the name implies. WHENTOSTOP calculates this default value as being equal to the number of defects in the fault log for this project. If you are using the failure recording method then this will be equal to the number of records in that database. If you are using the time of failure method to record failures then this will be the sum of all of the defect counts in every severity class.

Least Squares Estimate

The least squared estimate is another option for estimating inherent defects and initial failure rate.

X values - cumulative detected defects / cumulative test time for each time interval in which a defect was detected Y values - cumulative detected defects for that time interval

Maximum Likelihood Estimate

The Maximum Likelihood estimate is another method for estimating inherent defects and initial failure rate.

Operational time - this is the amount of staff hours spent solely in the activity of testing or using the software. If you choose this option do not count development time that is not spent directly testing or using the software.

Test/usage time

This is the calculated cumulative test/usage time . WHENTOSTOP calculates this based on the system start date in the General Inputs page. It uses whatever option you have chosen calendar, CPU or operational time to accumulate the time before failure.

Weighted Least Squares Estimate

The weighted LSE is another method for estimating inherent defects and initial failure rate. It is similar to the LSE except that the most recent points are weighted more heavily then earlier data points.

X values - cumulative detected defects / cumulative test time for each time interval in which a defect was detected Y values - cumulative detected defects for that time interval WhenToStop Software Users Manual Copyright SoftRel, LLC, 2008