

# MacroModel 9.6

## User Manual

MacroModel User Manual Copyright © 2008 Schrödinger, LLC. All rights reserved.

While care has been taken in the preparation of this publication, Schrödinger assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions, or for damages resulting from the use of the information contained herein.

Canvas, CombiGlide, ConfGen, Epik, Glide, Impact, Jaguar, Liaison, LigPrep, Maestro, Phase, Prime, PrimeX, QikProp, QikFit, QikSim, QSite, SiteMap, Strike, and WaterMap are trademarks of Schrödinger, LLC. Schrödinger and MacroModel are registered trademarks of Schrödinger, LLC. MCPRO is a trademark of William L. Jorgensen. Desmond is a trademark of D. E. Shaw Research. Desmond is used with the permission of D. E. Shaw Research. All rights reserved. This publication may contain the trademarks of other companies.

Schrödinger software includes software and libraries provided by third parties. For details of the copyrights, and terms and conditions associated with such included third party software, see the Legal Notices for Third-Party Software in your product installation at `$SCHRODINGER/docs/html/third_party_legal.html` (Linux OS) or `%SCHRODINGER%\docs\html\third_party_legal.html` (Windows OS).

This publication may refer to other third party software not included in or with Schrödinger software ("such other third party software"), and provide links to third party Web sites ("linked sites"). References to such other third party software or linked sites do not constitute an endorsement by Schrödinger, LLC. Use of such other third party software and linked sites may be subject to third party license agreements and fees. Schrödinger, LLC and its affiliates have no responsibility or liability, directly or indirectly, for such other third party software and linked sites, or for damage resulting from the use thereof. Any warranties that we make regarding Schrödinger products and services do not apply to such other third party software or linked sites, or to the interaction between, or interoperability of, Schrödinger products and services and such other third party software.

Revision A, September 2008

# Contents

---

Document Conventions .....	xi
Chapter 1: MacroModel Overview .....	1
1.1 MacroModel User Manual .....	1
1.2 MacroModel .....	1
1.3 MacroModel and Maestro Interaction .....	2
1.4 Calculation Preparation and Submission .....	2
1.5 Command-Line Utilities .....	3
1.6 Running Schrödinger Software .....	4
1.7 Citing MacroModel in Publications .....	4
Chapter 2: Basic Molecular Modeling .....	5
2.1 MacroModel Force Field Implementation .....	5
2.2 Parameter Quality Considerations .....	7
2.3 MacroModel Solvation Treatment .....	9
2.4 Truncation of Electrostatic Interactions .....	10
2.4.1 Energetics of Charges .....	11
2.4.2 Molecular Mechanics Description of Charge .....	11
2.4.3 Bond Dipole Cutoffs (BDCO) .....	12
2.4.4 BDCO and Molecular Modeling .....	12
2.5 General Guidelines for Convergence .....	13
2.5.1 Minimization .....	13
2.5.2 Conformational Searching .....	13
2.5.3 Molecular and Stochastic Dynamics .....	14
2.6 Problem Size: Limits of Operation .....	15
2.7 Nonbonded Cutoffs .....	15
2.8 Electrostatic Treatments .....	16
2.9 File Conversion .....	16

2.10	<b>Modified Force Field, Solvent, or Atom Type Files</b>	17
2.11	<b>Hydrogen Treatment</b>	17
2.11.1	Hydrogens on Hetero Atoms	17
2.11.2	Hydrogen Treatment in the AMBER* Force Field	17
<b>Chapter 3: Running MacroModel From the Command Line</b>		<b>19</b>
3.1	<b>Preparing for MacroModel Calculations</b>	19
3.1.1	Environment Variables	19
3.1.2	The Command File	19
3.1.3	The MacroModel Command File Format	20
3.2	<b>Submitting Jobs From the Command Line</b>	21
3.2.1	Using an Executable Command File	22
3.3	<b>Running Remote and Distributed Calculations</b>	23
3.4	<b>Interacting With a Running MacroModel Job</b>	24
<b>Chapter 4: General Settings</b>		<b>25</b>
4.1	<b>General MacroModel Calculation Setup</b>	25
4.1.1	Specifying Job Input Source	25
4.2	<b>The Potential Tab</b>	26
4.3	<b>Fixing and Freezing Atoms in Large Systems</b>	27
4.3.1	Methods for Freezing and Fixing Atoms	28
4.3.2	Freezing and Fixing Individual Atoms	29
4.3.3	The Substructure Facility	29
4.4	<b>The Constraints Tab</b>	31
4.4.1	Constraining Atoms, Distances, Angles, and Torsions	31
4.4.2	Freezing Atoms	33
4.5	<b>The Substructure Tab</b>	33
4.5.1	Defining a Substructure	33
4.5.2	Creating a Shell of Atoms	35
4.5.3	Reading and Writing Substructures	36
4.6	<b>Setting Up, Running, And Monitoring Jobs</b>	36

---

Chapter 5: Current Energy Calculations.....	39
5.1 The Current Energy Panel.....	39
5.2 The ECalc Tab .....	39
5.3 Command File Examples .....	40
5.4 The Force Field Viewer .....	42
5.5 Checking and Interpreting Results .....	43
Chapter 6: Minimizations.....	45
6.1 The Minimization Panel .....	45
6.2 Performing a Minimization Calculation .....	45
6.2.1 Minimization Methods .....	46
6.2.2 Convergence Parameters .....	47
6.2.3 Using Multiple Minimization Stages During a Minimization.....	48
6.3 Command File Examples .....	48
6.3.1 Constrained Minimization.....	49
6.3.2 Multiple-Method (MWRT) Minimizations .....	50
6.4 Checking and Interpreting Results .....	51
Chapter 7: Multiple Minimizations.....	53
7.1 The Multiple Minimization Panel.....	53
7.2 Setting Up Multiple Minimization Calculations .....	53
7.3 Partition Coefficient Estimation.....	56
7.4 Automatic Setup (AUTO) .....	57
7.5 Preparation of Multi-Ligand Structure Files With premin .....	58
7.6 Command File Examples .....	59
7.6.1 Energy Minimization of Multiple Non-Conformers.....	59
7.6.2 Multiple Conformer Minimization With Automatic Redundant Conformer Elimination .....	61
7.6.3 Multiple Minimization with Substructures Defined by ASL .....	62

7.6.4 Partition Coefficient Estimation .....	62
<b>Chapter 8: Coordinate Scans.....</b>	<b>65</b>
8.1 Performing a Coordinate Scan in Maestro.....	65
8.2 Command File Examples .....	67
8.3 Plotting Scan Results in Maestro .....	68
8.3.1 1D and 2D Plot Panel General Features.....	68
8.3.2 The 1D Plot Panel .....	70
8.3.3 2D Plot Panel .....	71
8.4 Checking and Interpreting Results .....	72
<b>Chapter 9: Conformational Searches.....</b>	<b>73</b>
9.1 Conformational Search Methods.....	73
9.2 Performing Conformational Searches .....	74
9.2.1 Conformational Search Method .....	75
9.2.2 Automatic Setup of Conformational Search Variables .....	77
9.2.3 Global Search Restrictions .....	79
9.2.4 Low-Mode Parameters.....	80
9.2.5 Setting Conformational Search Variables Manually .....	80
9.2.5.1 Ring Closures .....	81
9.2.5.2 Torsion Rotations.....	82
9.2.5.3 Molecule Trans/Rot .....	84
9.2.5.4 Comparison Atoms .....	85
9.2.5.5 Chiral Atoms.....	86
9.2.5.6 Distance Check .....	87
9.2.5.7 Torsion Check.....	88
9.2.5.8 Ligand Bonds .....	89
9.3 Command File Examples .....	90
9.3.1 Conformational Search Using MCMM .....	90
9.3.2 Multi-Structure Conformational Search Using LMOD .....	92
9.3.3 Mixed MCMM/Low-Mode Search Using a Substructure File .....	93
9.3.4 Conformational Searches Using Multiple Minimization Methods (MWRT).....	94

---

9.4	Checking and Interpreting Results .....	95
Chapter 10: Dynamics Calculations .....		97
10.1	The Dynamics Panel .....	97
10.2	Performing a Dynamics Calculation .....	97
10.2.1	The Monitor Tab .....	97
10.2.1.1	Surface Areas .....	99
10.2.1.2	Distances .....	99
10.2.1.3	Angles .....	100
10.2.1.4	Torsions .....	100
10.2.1.5	H-Bonds .....	100
10.2.1.6	Monitoring Output .....	102
10.2.2	The Dynamics Tab .....	102
10.3	Command File Examples .....	104
10.3.1	Stochastic Dynamics .....	104
10.3.2	Simulated Annealing .....	105
10.4	Checking and Interpreting Results .....	106
Chapter 11: MC/SD Calculations .....		107
11.1	The MC/SD Panel .....	107
11.2	Setting Up MC/SD Calculations .....	107
11.3	File Examples .....	109
11.4	Checking and Interpreting Results .....	110
Chapter 12: Minta Calculations .....		111
12.1	The MINTA Panel .....	111
12.2	Command File Examples .....	113
12.3	Checking and Interpreting Results .....	114
Chapter 13: Protein Loop Construction .....		115
13.1	Performing LOOP Calculations .....	115

13.1.1	Input Restrictions .....	115
13.1.2	Structure Handling Considerations .....	116
<b>13.2</b>	<b>Example .lsq Input File .....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>13.3</b>	<b>Command File Examples .....</b>	<b>117</b>
13.3.1	LOOP Job Using the Input Structure Sequence .....	117
13.3.2	LOOP Job Using the Sequence From a File .....	119
<b>13.4</b>	<b>Example LOOP Job Output .....</b>	<b>119</b>
13.4.1	Atom Renumbering Using Input Protein Structure .....	119
13.4.2	Conformational Search Using Input Protein Structure .....	119
13.4.3	LOOP Run Using an .lsq File as Input .....	120
<b>Chapter 14:</b>	<b>eMBrAcE .....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>14.1</b>	<b>Minimizations With eMBrAcE .....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>14.2</b>	<b>Conformational Searches With eMBrAcE .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>14.3</b>	<b>Specifying a Substructure for eMBrAcE .....</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>14.4</b>	<b>Command File Examples .....</b>	<b>128</b>
14.4.1	eMBrAcE Minimization Calculations .....	128
14.4.1.1	Interaction Mode .....	128
14.4.1.2	Energy Difference Mode .....	131
14.4.2	Conformational Searches With eMBrAcE .....	134
14.4.2.1	MCMM Conformational Search .....	134
14.4.2.2	Low-Mode Conformational Search .....	137
14.4.2.3	MCMM Conformation Search With COPY/ALGN .....	138
14.4.3	Distributed eMBrAcE Calculations .....	140
<b>Chapter 15:</b>	<b>Free Energy Simulations .....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>15.1</b>	<b>Free Energy Perturbation .....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>15.2</b>	<b>Setting Up FEP Calculations .....</b>	<b>144</b>
15.2.1	The Structure File .....	144
15.2.2	The Command File .....	145
15.2.3	Other Possible Command Files .....	147
15.2.4	The Output File .....	148



---

15.3	Criteria for a Successful Simulation .....	150
15.4	Other Types of Free Energy Calculations .....	152
15.4.1	Hydrogen Bonding Preference of a Glycyl Lactam in Organic Solution .....	152
15.4.2	Conformational Free Energies for a Diamide in Solution .....	153
15.5	Literature .....	154
Chapter 16: Molecular Clustering with XCluster .....		157
16.1	The XCluster Panel .....	157
16.1.1	Selecting the Structure Source .....	157
16.1.2	Selecting the Clustering Criterion .....	158
16.1.3	Defining the Comparison Atoms or Torsions .....	159
16.2	Command File Examples .....	160
16.3	XCluster Output .....	161
Chapter 17: Redundant Conformer Elimination .....		163
17.1	Eliminating Redundant Conformers Using Maestro .....	163
17.2	Command File Examples .....	165
Chapter 18: Additional Features .....		167
18.1	Geometry Calculations .....	167
18.2	Calculating Interaction Energies Using ASET .....	167
18.3	Rewinding the Output File for Additional Minimization .....	168
18.4	Visualizing Vibrational Modes .....	169
18.5	Alignment of Structures .....	170
18.6	autoref: Restrained Minimizations .....	171
18.7	serial_split: Split Serial Search Output Structure Files .....	172
18.8	Python Scripts .....	172
Getting Help .....		175

References ..... 179

Index ..... 183

---

# Document Conventions

In addition to the use of italics for names of documents, the font conventions that are used in this document are summarized in the table below.

Font	Example	Use
Sans serif	Project Table	Names of GUI features, such as panels, menus, menu items, buttons, and labels
Monospace	<code>\$SCHRODINGER/maestro</code>	File names, directory names, commands, environment variables, and screen output
Italic	<i>filename</i>	Text that the user must replace with a value
Sans serif uppercase	CTRL+H	Keyboard keys

Links to other locations in the current document or to other PDF documents are colored like this: [Document Conventions](#).

In descriptions of command syntax, the following UNIX conventions are used: braces { } enclose a choice of required items, square brackets [ ] enclose optional items, and the bar symbol | separates items in a list from which one item must be chosen. Lines of command syntax that wrap should be interpreted as a single command.

File name, path, and environment variable syntax is generally given with the UNIX conventions. To obtain the Windows conventions, replace the forward slash / with the backslash \ in path or directory names, and replace the \$ at the beginning of an environment variable with a % at each end. For example, `$SCHRODINGER/maestro` becomes `%SCHRODINGER%\maestro`.

In this document, to *type* text means to type the required text in the specified location, and to *enter* text means to type the required text, then press the ENTER key.

References to literature sources are given in square brackets, like this: [10].



# MacroModel Overview

## 1.1 MacroModel User Manual

This manual contains an introduction to the MacroModel molecular mechanics program. For detailed information about command-line MacroModel and MacroModel operation codes, see the *MacroModel Reference Manual*. For tutorial exercises that demonstrate Maestro and MacroModel functionality, see the *MacroModel Quick Start Guide*. For information on using Maestro, the graphical user interface (GUI) for MacroModel, see the Maestro online help, the *Maestro Overview*, or the *Maestro User Manual*.

[Chapter 1](#) provides a brief introduction to MacroModel and describes how it interacts with Maestro. A good general background to force-field-based molecular modeling, particularly as it is implemented in MacroModel, is given in [Chapter 2](#). Running MacroModel from the command-line (without Maestro) is described in [Chapter 3](#). [Chapters 4-17](#) provide more information on how to run particular classes of calculations. [Chapter 18](#) describes additional features: geometry queries and interaction energy calculations. Information on how to get additional help for performing MacroModel calculations is given at the back of this manual.

## 1.2 MacroModel

MacroModel is a general purpose, force-field-based molecular modeling program with applicability to a wide range of chemical systems. MacroModel provides researchers with multiple advanced methods to aid the understanding of chemical structure, energetics, and dynamics. A large selection of force fields is available in MacroModel, including the latest technical advances introduced into OPLS\_2005, a force field that Schrödinger is actively developing. Numerous minimization methods are available, enabling geometry optimizations for a broad selection of structural classes. A wide range of methods is available for conformational searching, allowing efficient sampling of the potential energy surface for low-energy structures, for systems ranging from small molecules to entire proteins. Solvation effects can be accounted for using the efficient continuum solvation models employed by MacroModel. Additional advanced features include molecular dynamics simulations, free energy perturbation simulations, and pure and mixed methods for ensemble sampling.

## 1.3 MacroModel and Maestro Interaction

Maestro is the graphical user interface for MacroModel. MacroModel runs calculations as independent tasks and consequently does not tie up Maestro during lengthy computations. Maestro monitors MacroModel tasks so that both numerical and structural information may be viewed while the tasks are running. Such monitoring is the default mode of operation for newly started tasks, although monitoring can be broken off and reestablished at a later time. Thus, you can initiate several MacroModel tasks, disconnect from them, carry out graphical modeling operations, and periodically reconnect to and examine the progress of each of the previously submitted MacroModel tasks.

## 1.4 Calculation Preparation and Submission

MacroModel calculations can be prepared and launched from the Maestro GUI or from the command line. To run a MacroModel job on a remote computer, you must first set up the remote hosts according to the instructions in the *Job Control Guide*. An overview of each job submission type is provided in this section.

### From Maestro

Separate panels for current energy, energy minimization, dihedral driving, conformational search, ligand torsion search, multiple minimization, dynamics, MC/SD, and MINTA are available in Maestro. To set up a calculation, display the relevant panel and adjust the settings as desired, then click Start to set up and launch the job.

Alternatively, you can choose to write out the structure and command files that you will need to launch the job later from the command line by clicking Write.

### From the Command Line

Some types of MacroModel calculations cannot be prepared or submitted from Maestro. For these jobs, you must manually create the necessary command file, which provides MacroModel with the instructions it needs to perform the related calculation. For this task, you might find it easiest to use a Maestro-generated command file as a template.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either Start or Write, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. These files are required to run MacroModel calculations. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

The *MacroModel Reference Manual* contains detailed information about all of the MacroModel commands and operational codes. Examples of command files for various standard

modeling operations are provided at the end of relevant chapters in this manual. Because all jobs are now handled by the Schrödinger Job Control facility, MacroModel jobs can be monitored from Maestro even when jobs are launched from the command line.

## 1.5 Command-Line Utilities

MacroModel is distributed with the following command-line utilities, which are stored in the `utilities` directory of the software installation.:

<code>autoref</code>	Performs a restrained minimization of a protein-ligand structure using MacroModel. See <a href="#">Section 18.6 on page 171</a> .
<code>para_bmin</code>	Provides an easy-to-use method of distributing serial MacroModel searches across multiple processors. See <a href="#">Section 3.3 on page 23</a> .
<code>premin</code>	Robustly minimizes ligand structures in a multistructure Maestro file using MacroModel. Problematic structures are separated out for examination. See <a href="#">Section 7.5 on page 58</a> .
<code>serial_split</code>	Splits the output structure file from a serial search into separate structure files for each individual search performed. See <a href="#">Section 18.7 on page 172</a> .

The following command-line utilities, which are installed with the software, may be useful in conjunction with MacroModel calculations:

<code>applyhtreat</code>	Adds or removes hydrogen atoms, dummy atoms, and lone pairs to structures in a MacroModel or Maestro file and produces a new Maestro file.
<code>maemmod, mmmodmae</code>	Convert between Maestro and MacroModel file formats.
<code>mmmodmol, molmmmod</code>	Convert between MacroModel and Sybyl Mol2 file formats.
<code>maesubset</code>	Selects a subset of the structures from a multistructure Maestro file.
<code>propfilter</code>	Selects structures from a multistructure Maestro file based on properties.
<code>proplist</code>	Lists selected properties present in structures within a multistructure Maestro file.
<code>sdconvert</code>	Converts between SD and Maestro or MacroModel file formats.
<code>sdssubset</code>	Selects a subset of the structures from a multistructure SD file.

For more information on these utilities, see [Appendix D](#) of the *Maestro User Manual*.

## 1.6 Running Schrödinger Software

To run any Schrödinger program on a UNIX platform, or start a Schrödinger job on a remote host from a UNIX platform, you must first set the `SCHRODINGER` environment variable to the installation directory for your Schrödinger software. To set this variable, enter the following command at a shell prompt:

```
csh/tcsh:      setenv SCHRODINGER installation-directory
bash/ksh:     export SCHRODINGER=installation-directory
```

Once you have set the `SCHRODINGER` environment variable, you can start Maestro with the following command:

```
$SCHRODINGER/maestro &
```

It is usually a good idea to change to the desired working directory before starting Maestro. This directory then becomes Maestro's working directory. For more information on starting Maestro, including starting Maestro on a Windows platform, see [Section 2.1](#) of the *Maestro User Manual*.

To run remote jobs, you must have access to a hosts file, named `schrodinger.hosts`, that lists hosts on which Schrödinger software is installed for execution. Details of setting up this file can be found in [Chapter 2](#) of the *Job Control Guide*.

## 1.7 Citing MacroModel in Publications

The use of this product should be acknowledged in publications as:

MacroModel, version 9.6, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2008.



# Basic Molecular Modeling

The potential energy model used for MacroModel energy calculations is the classical one known as molecular mechanics. It is an empirical model which is parameterized to reproduce known data from experiment or quantum mechanical calculations. The equation and parameter sets which allow calculation of energy from a molecular geometry are known as force fields.

Generally, MacroModel uses equations and parameters from published standard force fields. However, the MacroModel implementations differ in various ways from the authentic force fields. We distinguish the MacroModel implementation from the original force fields by adding a “\*” to the end of the force field name. MacroModel force field parameters and equations selectors are found in force field files having suffixes `.fld` (e.g., `mm2.fld`). Differences between the MacroModel force fields and the standard fields are summarized below.

## 2.1 MacroModel Force Field Implementation

All MacroModel force field files contain the authentic parameter set published by the original authors of the force field. In addition to these parameters are other parameters from other sources (e.g., the literature or work at Columbia). Parameters in the force field files are labeled as to their origin (O = original from the force field authors, M = modified from the original values, and A = added from some other source where no original parameter exists). They are also labeled by quality (1 = high quality final value, 2 = tentative value based on more than one experimental or quantum calculation, 3 = crude low quality parameter). Sources of A and M parameters are given at the ends of the lines in the force field files and recent additions to the force fields are documented in the *MacroModel Technical Manual*.

The MacroModel implementations of standard force fields differ from the authentic force fields in the following ways:

**MM2\***—All force field equations are identical with those of authentic MM2 from Allinger [1], with the exception of the following:

- The electrostatic equation (MM2\* uses partial charges and Coulomb’s law, whereas MM2 uses bond dipoles and the Jeans equation).
- The out-of-plane bending equation (MM2\* uses an improper torsion while MM2 uses a pyramidalization distance—the difference being insignificant except for substantially distorted  $sp^2$  systems).

- Handling of conjugation (MM2\* uses specific V1-V3 torsional terms for various conjugated systems, whereas MM2 uses an SCF  $\pi$  calculation in uncommon systems).

**MM3\***—All force field equations are identical to those of authentic MM3 from Allinger [2], except for those differences listed above for MM2.

**AMBER\***—All force field equations are identical to those of authentic AMBER from Kollman. The MacroModel default for hydrogen bonding uses Kollman's recent 6,12-Lennard Jones treatment [3] and an improved peptide backbone parameter set [4].

**OPLS\***—All force field equations are identical to those of OPLS/AMBER from Jorgensen [5].

**OPLS\_2001**—Also referred to as OPLSAA, this force field, developed by Professor W. Jorgensen of Yale University, is probably the best available for condensed-phase simulations of peptides. All force-field equations are identical to those of authentic OPLSAA [6]. MacroModel's implementation has been validated by comparison to BOSS OPLSAA calculations for a wide variety of organic systems. Comparisons to ab initio calculations and experiment show that OPLS\_2001 reproduces conformational energies well for systems for which it has been specifically parameterized. Especially good results can be expected for proteins. With the exception of improved charge, van der Waals and torsion parameters for sulfur in thiols and thiol ethers [7], all parameters are native OPLS\_2001. The new thio parameters, which use appreciably smaller charges on sulfur and which have been validated in liquid-phase simulations on thiols and thiol ethers, significantly improve the conformational energetics of CYS and MET residues in proteins.

**OPLS\_2005**—OPLS\_2005 is an enhanced version of the OPLSAA all atom force field developed by Schrödinger to provide a larger coverage of organic functionality. In particular all torsional parameters have been refit to reproduce the conformational energetics derived at a higher level of quantum theory and additional charges have been fit to support additional organic functionality. The parameters for proteins have been updated to the ones published more recently (Kaminski, G. A.; Friesner, R. A.; Tirado-Rives, J.; Jorgensen, W. J. J. *Phys. Chem. B* 2001, 105, 6474).

**AMBER94**—All force field equations and parameters are the same as in Cornell et al. [8], with the following small exceptions:

- In MacroModel partial charges are specified by bond dipoles rather than as charge values. The partial charges may differ slightly between the two implementations; these differences are typically in the fifth significant figure.
- The atoms defining improper torsions are not specified by the AMBER protocol in situations of high local symmetry. This may sometimes give rise to small differences in molecular energies or geometries between the two programs.

- The paper gives the two nitrogen types different van der Waals parameters, but the AMBER 4.1 program uses the same parameters for both. We follow the program's convention.

**MMFF**—Our implementation is identical to that described by Halgren [9–15]. We supply both MMFF94 and MMFF94s; the latter enforces planarity about delocalized  $sp^2$  nitrogens.

OPLS\_2001 and OPLS\_2005 have much in common. In this document we refer to them collectively as OPLSAA where necessary.

Whenever a current energy calculation (ECalc) is carried out, a listing file (*jobname.mmo*) can be produced which contains all parameters used in the calculation along with the origin and quality of each parameter. Note that any torsion parameter where  $V_1$ - $V_3$  are all set to zero will not be included in the output. To include these in the listing it is necessary to include the DEBG 56 command in the command file: see the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for details. This automatic parameter referencing feature provides important information on the quality and reliability of the calculation.

Different force fields use different defaults for their electrostatic treatment (constant or distance-dependent dielectric) and their cutoff distances (van der Waals and electrostatic). It is possible to set such options exactly as in the authentic fields using the Electrostatic Treatment and Cutoff option menus in the Potential tab within Maestro's MacroModel panels.

## 2.2 Parameter Quality Considerations

Molecular mechanics force fields are empirical, and the accuracy of the results rest entirely on the ability of the parameters and functional forms used to mimic the real potential energy of molecules. If the parameters are deficient, is it impossible to obtain agreement with experiment or to make useful predictions.

When MacroModel performs an energy calculation, the program checks the quality of each parameter in use. Use of low quality (quality = 3) parameters, especially torsional ones, may result in inaccurate conformational energy differences and geometries. Low quality stretches often indicate crude partial charges since charge information often originates from bond dipoles. Using such low quality parameter values can cause charges and solvation energies to be inaccurate. Consequently, whenever MacroModel initiates an energy calculation, a warning and the numbers of low quality stretch, bend, and torsional parameters in use are listed in the MacroModel *jobname.log* file and in the Maestro Monitor panel viewing window. An example is shown below:

```
WARNING - Conformational Energies May Not Be Accurate
WARNING - Solvation Energies/Charges May Not Be Accurate
Low quality force field parameters in use:
```

Number of low quality stretches, bends & torsions = 1 1 8

The above message indicates that eight low quality torsions, one low quality bend, and one low quality stretch are in use in the calculation. Consequently, conformational energy differences and solvation energies may be unreliable. By looking in the job's *jobname.log* file, you can see which line(s) in the force field file is the source of the low quality parameters. Listings of the specific torsions having low quality, type 3 parameters can be found in the job's *.mmio* file after running the job with a "Complete" energy listing.

If the file notes that low quality parameters are being used, first attempt to find improved parameters by trying the system of interest with each MacroModel force field. MacroModel supports a number of different force fields, and each has its own strengths. If you are unable to find a force field with acceptable parameters for your particular system, you should seriously consider developing your own parameters, or try to obtain parameters from other practitioners in the field.

Typically, low quality torsional parameters are generalized ones (e.g., any bond between two  $sp^3$  carbons has a 3-fold rotational barrier of  $\sim 3$  kcal/mol). Thus for a specific torsion involving, for example  $Cl-C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)-O$ , a new torsional parameter line would be added to the force field file with specific V1-V3 terms which reproduce reliable experimental data or high quality ab initio calculations (e.g., 6-31G\*) on, for example,  $\beta$ -chloroethyl methyl ether. To make the calculation more reliable, new parameters that fit experimental or high quality ab initio data need to be determined and added to the force field file. However, if you are comparing conformations that do not involve significant changes in the torsions having low quality parameters, then errors may not be large.

Obtaining correct torsional profiles around rotatable bonds is one of the most commonly encountered problems in reparameterizing a potential energy surface. For example, if a new charge set is introduced, the non-bonded interactions on either side of a rotatable bond may alter the torsional profile. It is important to test that the relative energies of the minima and maxima are in agreement with the results of high-level molecular-orbital calculations on model compounds. In order to make this sort of comparison, the molecular mechanics calculations should be performed in the gas phase; that is, with the solvation model turned off and with constant-dielectric electrostatics. Once the potential-energy surface is correctly described in the gas phase, the solvation model should correctly describe the potential-energy surface in solution.

To determine the force-field quality for the problem at hand, check the summary of low quality parameters in use by using Maestro's Force Field Viewer panel, which is opened from the Tools menu. Additional information on developing parameters can be found in the *MacroModel Technical Manual*.

## 2.3 MacroModel Solvation Treatment

While many molecular modeling studies are carried out without including the effect of solvent, the omission is largely one of expedience. Most experimental studies are carried out in solvent, and the solvent medium can have a major effect on molecular structures and energies. For some molecular types such as a small organic molecule with only one polar functional group, solvation does not appear to be a major determinant of conformational energies. However, for molecules having several polar functional groups, the effect of solvent can be dramatic since the electrostatically least stable structures are often the most heavily solvated (stabilized) in a polar solvent.

Using an explicit solvent model is one approach; however, it has its own disadvantages. In particular, “explicit solvation” calculations run much more slowly because there are so many particles when hundreds of explicit solvent molecules are included. Furthermore, convergence is a problem in that longer simulations or different solvent starting configurations often give different final energies. Consequently, simple energy minimization is not useful in an explicit solvent.

MacroModel uses an alternative solution model which treats the solvent as a fully equilibrated analytical continuum starting near the van der Waals surface of the solute. The model is termed the GB/SA model and is described in the literature [16]. MacroModel is provided with parameter files for water (`water.slv`), octanol (`octanol.slv`), and chloroform (`chcl3.slv`). Using the GB/SA model slows calculations by a factor of approximately three relative to the gas phase. However, because of the increased accuracy of modeling in solvent, it is suggested that the GB/SA continuum solvation model be used in all calculations for molecules for aqueous solutions. Solvation is controlled by the Solvent: option menu, located in the Potential tab of the MacroModel panels within Maestro, or by the `SOLV` command in MacroModel command files.

The calculation of Born radii in the GB part of the GB/SA model is performed by doing a volume integral. Stretch, bend, and non-bonded pairs (including 1-4 interactions) contribute to this integral. For large systems, such as proteins in which non-bonded cutoffs typically are used and not all non-bonded pairs are included on the non-bonded pair list in order to expedite calculation, Born radii are subject to systematic error. This is because the volume integration is performed by using pairs on the stretch, bend, and non-bonded pair lists, and the latter excludes longer range interactions when non-bonded cutoffs are in use. To correct for this error, MacroModel calculates the contribution from such longer range non-bonded pairs every time a non-bonded pair list update is done. This contribution is taken to be a fixed value and is used in energetic and derivative calculations. Although not an exact correction, inclusion of this contribution in this manner does help significantly. The correction is enabled by default;

see the description for debug flags 830 and 832 under the [DEBG](#) opcode description in the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for information on disabling the correction.

In calculations using continuum solvation, MacroModel uses an approximate solvent accessible surface area function for derivatives and then computes final energies with a more exact area function at the end of the calculation. Consequently, the intermediate energies which are listed during energy minimization iterations will differ from the final energies.

See the *MacroModel Technical Manual* for a discussion on the performance of the GB/SA model with different sources of partial atomic charge.

## 2.4 Truncation of Electrostatic Interactions

For large systems, interactions between non-bonded pairs separated by more than a given distance need to be ignored in order to make simulations tractable. Such treatment for van der Waals interactions is less necessary since the interaction dies off as  $1/r^6$  where  $r$  is the inter-atomic distance. Electrostatic interactions, on the other hand, die off as  $1/r$ , and pose a greater challenge because of their long range nature.

MacroModel versions prior to 8.1 used residue-based cutoffs for systems that had residue information in their coordinate files and atom-based cutoffs for systems with only one residue or without such information. MacroModel versions from 8.1 on include a new method for the truncation of electrostatic interactions. It is termed “Bond Dipole Cutoffs” (BDCO) and leverages the physics of charge-charge, charge-dipole, and dipole-dipole interactions to give very accurate absolute electrostatic and generalized Born energies. An example is the benzamidine/trypsin complex (PDB code 3ptb), which contains 3245 atoms in an all-atom representation, for which results are given in [Table 2.1](#). In addition to a smaller error in energy by two orders of magnitude than that seen with residue-based cutoffs, the BDCO calculation uses fewer non-bonded pairs. Because of this, the BDCO calculation runs slightly faster than the residue-based cutoff calculation. Similar results are seen for generalized Born solvation polarization energies.

Table 2.1. Use of different cutoffs in a calculation on the benzamidine/trypsin complex.

Method	Number of Non-bonded Pairs in Energy Calculation	Total Electrostatic Energy (kJ/mol)	Error (kJ/mol)
BDCO	2731027	-28697.73	2.08
res <sup>a</sup>	3628479	-29179.45	479.64
all <sup>b</sup>	5254184	-28699.81	0.0

a. Residue-based cutoffs

b. All non-bonded pairs included in calculation

The following subsections provide an overview of the physical basis and implementation of this novel method for truncating electrostatic interactions.

### 2.4.1 Energetics of Charges

Coulomb's law states that the potential energy of two point charges separated by a distance  $r$  scales as  $1/r$ . In contrast, the energy of a point charge interacting with a dipole scales as  $1/r^2$  and the energy of two interacting dipoles scales as  $1/r^3$ . These physical properties determine the length scales for which truncation of the various interaction types is appropriate in order to achieve a given level of accuracy.

### 2.4.2 Molecular Mechanics Description of Charge

Common molecular mechanics force fields utilize Coulomb's law to describe the electrostatic interaction between atoms due to the uneven distribution of electron density across bonded atoms with different electronegativities. A carbonyl moiety, for example, is described with a partial negative charge located at the oxygen atom center and an equal but opposite charge located at the carbon atomic center. These fixed partial charges can be directly used in Coulomb's law to give molecular mechanics electrostatic energies and the associated forces.

For groups with net charge, such as a carboxyl moiety, the formal charge of the group must also be accounted for. This can be done by delocalizing the formal charge over the appropriate atoms and adding this delocalized formal charge to the partial charges due to the dipolar nature of bonds between different atoms. Thus, for the carboxyl moiety, one can think of the system as two dipoles, one across each C-O bond, as well as point charges of  $-0.5$  located in the oxygen atoms to account for the net negative charge of the group.

Some force fields, such as MMFF, have been conceptualized using this scheme, and the charge parametrization for an arbitrary molecule is achieved by assigning dipoles across bonds as well as delocalized formal charges on atomic centers and summing up these values to obtain fixed partial charges on atomic centers. Other force fields, such as AMBER\*, assign charges to arbitrary molecules by dividing a given molecule into small groups of atoms with unit charge, like amino acids, for which there exist fixed partial charge data. Even in the latter case, the fixed partial charges can be transformed into a system of bond dipoles and delocalized formal charges.

In addition to assigning partial charges based on the selection of a force field, MacroModel allows you to specify custom partial charges for a system in the input structure file (see the [CHGF](#) opcode in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*). MacroModel is capable of decomposing this list of partial charges into delocalized formal charges and bond dipoles. In the event that the partial charges so specified for a molecule do not sum to the net formal charge of that mole-

cule, the input structure partial charges will be modified to meet this constraint before the decomposition is performed.

### **2.4.3 Bond Dipole Cutoffs (BDCO)**

Since a system of atoms can be described as consisting of bond dipoles and atom-centered delocalized formal charges, the energetics of the charges can be considered as due to charge-charge, charge-dipole, and dipole-dipole interactions. This conceptualization is at the heart of the Bond Dipole Cutoff (BDCO) method. By applying different cutoff distance criteria to these three different types of interactions based on how they scale with distance, energetic accuracy is preserved while retaining the benefits of having a short non-bonded pair list. The implementation of BDCO *does not* involve any change in the functional form of a molecular mechanics force field. Coulomb's law in its familiar form is preserved. Rather, the BDCO algorithm applies different, successively shorter, cutoff distances to charge-charge, charge-dipole, and dipole-dipole interactions, where the charges are delocalized formal charges and the dipoles are bond dipoles. The resultant list of interactions is then used to generate charge products for pairs of atoms; the "charge product" is defined as the numerator in Coulomb's law. The charge product for a given pair of atoms is used directly in Coulomb's law to give the electrostatic energy and associated force for that pair.

### **2.4.4 BDCO and Molecular Modeling**

The reason BDCO works is that although long cutoffs are used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, there are relatively few such interactions in proportion to the number of dipole-dipole interactions in systems commonly modeled using molecular mechanics. For example, of the 20 types of amino acids seen in proteins, only 5 usually have charged (Lys, Arg, His, Glu, Asp) side chains. For a uniform distribution of amino acid types, 1/4 of the amino acids in a sequence will be charged. Assuming approximately 15 atoms per amino acid, the delocalized formal charge for a charged amino acid will on average reside on 2 of the 15 atoms.  $1/4 * 2/15 = 1/30$ , so only 1 of every 30 atoms in an average protein is expected to have delocalized formal charge. The number of dipoles in the system is approximately equal to the total number of atoms. Since delocalized formal charges occur on 1/30 of the atoms, the proportion of charge-charge interactions is  $1/30 * 1/30 = 1/900$  of the total number of charge-charge, charge-dipole, and dipole-dipole interactions. Likewise, the proportion of charge-dipole interactions is  $1/30 * 1 = 1/30$ . The number of charge-charge and charge-dipole interactions in such a system is very small compared to the number of dipole-dipole interactions, and assigning long cutoffs to the former two types of interactions will not impact the size of the non-bonded list. However, use of long cutoffs for such interactions will positively impact the accuracy of the calculation due to the long range nature of these interactions.

Refer to the [BDCO](#) opcode in the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for more information.



## 2.5 General Guidelines for Convergence

It is as important to fully explore the potential energy surface as it is to describe that surface correctly by means of a high-quality force field. We use the term “convergence” to describe this issue. A common problem in modeling is obtaining unconverged results, i.e., the calculation gives a significantly different answer if the calculation continues or is repeated from different initial conditions. The term “convergence” has somewhat different implications, depending on the nature of the procedure that is being carried out, and for each type of energy calculation, there are different convergence issues. These issues are discussed in the following sections.

### 2.5.1 Minimization

It is important that, during energy minimization, the energy is minimized to a low gradient norm. Energy minimization calculations generally converge readily, although there is no guarantee that final structures are low in energy relative to the actual global minimum. By default, we set a convergence criterion for minimization of a gradient to  $< 0.05$  kJ/Å-mol, and this is usually satisfactory. However, the default setting for the maximum number of iterations for a minimization calculation may not be sufficient to meet the convergence criterion, particularly for large structures, or ones with poor starting geometries. The latter situation often arises during conformational searching. For this reason, we suggest that all structures located in a conformational search be reminimized with a large value (5000) for the maximum number of iterations and with all the tests for uniqueness in place. This will help ensure that the set of conformations obtained in the conformational search represent unique conformations and not structures that would be duplicates of other structures if completely minimized. The final report for a conformational search highlights with an asterisk any structure that did not converge to the criterion in force during minimization.

### 2.5.2 Conformational Searching

Conformational searches involving structures with up to a dozen rotatable bonds generally converge easily, but convergence can be problematic with more flexible structures. When performing conformational searches with these more flexible molecules, it is therefore wise to carry out multiple searches with different starting geometries to verify that the same final structures are found.

In addition to ensuring that the final set of conformers obtained from a conformational search is completely minimized, there is another issue of convergence which affects the stochastic searching methods (LMOD, LLMOD, MCMM, and SPMC). For these methods, it is important to establish that the structures obtained in a given search represent a thorough sampling of all

possibilities. There is no way to prove that the search was fully convergent, but there are several methods which can at least indicate that it was not.

As the search approaches convergence, the number of new unique conformations which are found should begin to approach zero. That is to say, after the search has been in progress for some time, many, many trials should elapse between the finding of previously unobserved unique structures. In practice, however, this may not be a good test unless all conformations found minimized to low gradients, as described in the previous section.

We generally recommend the following procedure to ensure that a stochastic search has been exhaustive:

- Conduct a search of approximately 1000-2000 MC steps for every variable degree of freedom.
- Ensure all the structures saved are thoroughly minimized as described above.
- Run a second search (possibly “seeded” with the selected structures from the of the first search—see the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for details on how to do this). Ensure that a `SEED` command is used so that a different sequence of random numbers will be used in the search.
- Combine the results of the two searches and reminimize keeping only the unique conformations.
- Repeat this procedure of searching and combining the results until the total number of accumulated conformations (especially those in the low energy region) becomes constant.

In any modeling study, it is up to you to demonstrate that results are converged and what the bounds of uncertainty are. *Unconverged results are meaningless.*

### 2.5.3 Molecular and Stochastic Dynamics

Obtaining convergence in dynamics simulations has traditionally been problematic, because of the slow frequency at which systems undergoing these processes cross barriers between the various minima on the potential energy surface. Stochastic dynamics may search conformational space more efficiently than does regular molecular dynamics, but neither method gives frequent crossing of barriers much larger than 3 kcal/mol (13 kJ/mol). A much higher rate of convergence can be obtained by the use of the mixed-mode Monte Carlo/Stochastic Dynamics (MCSD) procedure. We therefore recommend using this procedure whenever appropriate, such as for simulations of acyclic systems in which generation of the canonical ensemble is desired and no time-dependent information is required. For cyclic systems, the Jumping Between Wells (JBW) method can be used. However, even with these methods, it is important to test

that converged results have been obtained. Converged simulations will satisfy the criteria listed below. Satisfaction of these criteria, like those described in the last section, are necessary, but not sufficient, to prove convergence:

- Average quantities—for example, potential energy, or the fraction of times a hydrogen bond appears—should exhibit numerical stability. For example, once the simulation is converged, doubling the length of the simulation should not change the averages. It is possible to obtain the average values of geometric and hydrogen bond monitors periodically during the simulation.
- Dihedral angle distributions in achiral compounds should be symmetric. For example, dihedral angle distributions produced using the MDDA command should exhibit the same populations of +gauche and -gauche values for three-way torsions.
- Simulations starting from different starting geometries should give the same results.

## 2.6 Problem Size: Limits of Operation

MacroModel is distributed with a number of arbitrary fixed limits on its operation. Some of these restrictions have been lifted since the program has gone to dynamic memory allocation, but some still remain. For example, we distribute a version of MacroModel that can perform Monte Carlo conformational searches on up to 500 rotatable bonds; however, these limits usually far exceed the size of a calculation that can be reasonably performed. It is highly unlikely that a converged conformational search could be performed in a reasonable amount of time on a system with even 100 degrees of rotational freedom. Because of the wide variety of platforms on which MacroModel is used—ranging from Linux-based PCs to super computers or a network of scores of workstations—and also because chemical problems differ greatly, we cannot make categorical pronouncements setting forth the “largest” problem one can reasonably address. Instead, we encourage you to consider the issues of convergence as described below, and use the principles described there as a guide.

## 2.7 Nonbonded Cutoffs

By default, MacroModel energy calculations are performed with cutoff distances in place for nonbonded interactions. If the distance between any nonbonded pair of atoms is greater than the cutoff distance, then the non-bonded term (electrostatic or van der Waals energies) for that pair will be ignored. These terms decrease in magnitude with increasing interatomic distance, and so terms for nonbonded atom pairs separated by a distance greater than a reasonable cutoff distance will not contribute greatly to the overall energy. The introduction of nonbonded cutoffs greatly reduces the time it takes to compute the energy for a large molecular system. Several implications of using cutoffs, however, deserve special mention.

- For systems with formally charged atoms, the default cutoff distances (7 Å for van der Waals interactions and 12 Å for electrostatics) are probably too small for accurate results. Better values might be 8 Å and 20 Å, respectively. These values can be set by selecting Extended from the Cutoff option menu in the Potential tabs of the MacroModel panels.
- The original MM2 and MM3 programs do not use non-bonded cutoffs. When making comparisons with these programs, MacroModel calculations should be performed using non-bonded cutoff distances which are effectively infinite; that is, the values should be larger than the largest molecular dimension. See [Section 2.1 on page 5](#) for other considerations involving comparisons between MacroModel results and results from the standard implementations of other force fields.
- We recommend that calculations performed using the MacroModel solvation model be carried out with effectively infinite cutoffs.

## 2.8 Electrostatic Treatments

By default, MacroModel uses a constant dielectric constant during the evaluation of electrostatic interactions between atoms. In most situations the use of a constant dielectric is appropriate for such calculations. One such place is when making comparisons with gas phase ab initio molecular orbital calculations. Additionally, use of the MacroModel GB/SA continuum solvation model also requires the constant-dielectric electrostatic treatment. When this model is utilized, electrostatic interactions are automatically calculated using this method. Alternatively, a distance dependent dielectric electrostatic treatment may be specified by selecting Distance Dependant from the Electrostatic Treatment option menu in the Potential tab of the MacroModel panels.

## 2.9 File Conversion

Maestro reads Protein Data Bank (PDB), MDL SD (.mol) files, and Sybyl mol2 formatted structure files. You can then use these structures in MacroModel calculations. Note, however, that Maestro may have some difficulty with some of these files. It may be necessary to examine the file in detail and to make repairs. If you have problems reading a PDB file, see [Section 3.1.3](#) of the *Maestro User Manual*, which explains Maestro's color-coded warning system. File conversion can also be performed from the command line using the `pdbconvert`, `sdconvert`, `molmmod`, and `mmodmae` scripts in the `$SCHRODINGER/utilities` directory.

## 2.10 Modified Force Field, Solvent, or Atom Type Files

When a job is launched, MacroModel searches in the current working directory for a copy of the force field (\*.fld), solvent(\*.slv), and atom type(atom.typ) files. If it finds any of these files there, it uses them to perform the energy calculation. If MacroModel does not find copies of these files locally, it then looks in \$HOME/.schrodinger/macromodel. Only if MacroModel does not find the files in .schrodinger does it use the global settings in \$SCHRODINGER. If you do create locally modified files, manage them closely. The unintentional use of forgotten locally modified files can give surprising energetic results.

## 2.11 Hydrogen Treatment

### 2.11.1 Hydrogens on Hetero Atoms

While the AMBER\* and OPLS force fields can be used without explicit hydrogen atoms on carbon atoms, all force fields require the use of explicit hydrogen atoms on hetero atoms. Note also that AMBER\* requires explicit lone pairs on sulfur. Original MM2 implementations have lone pairs on  $sp^3$  oxygen; however, we recommend removing them for best results in MM2\*.

In Maestro, you can modify a structure's hydrogen treatment using settings in the Hydrogen Treatment panel, which you open from the Build menu. See [Section 4.9](#) of the *Maestro User Manual* for more information.

### 2.11.2 Hydrogen Treatment in the AMBER\* Force Field

The AMBER\* force field file contains charge sets appropriate to either united-atom or all-atom representations; however, by default, the united-atom charges are used. This means that even those carbons having explicit hydrogens attached will, by default, get united-atom charges. In practice, this makes little difference in energetic results, but in some situations—for example, when making comparisons with the original AMBER program, and also when calculating absolute solvation free energies—it is important to use all-atom charges if such carbons exist. This can be done by selecting Force Field Defined from the Electrostatic Treatment option menu in the Potential tab of the MacroModel panels.

When using the united-atom models in AMBER\* or OPLS\*, the best results are obtained when explicit hydrogen atoms are used on  $sp^2$  carbons. The command:

```
$SCHRODINGER/utilities/applyhtreat in.mae out.mae
```

where *in.mae* is the name of a file containing structures to which hydrogen atoms should be added produces a file called *out.mae* containing the corresponding structures containing the additional hydrogen atoms.



# Running MacroModel From the Command Line

Running MacroModel from the command line gives you the ability to customize batch processing. In addition, some types of MacroModel calculations are not supported by the Maestro GUI. This chapter gives instructions on how to launch MacroModel jobs from the command line. For information on starting MacroModel jobs from the Maestro graphical user interface, see the chapter in this manual that corresponds to the particular type of job you want to submit.

## 3.1 Preparing for MacroModel Calculations

Before you can submit MacroModel jobs from the command line, you must set the `SCHRODINGER` environment variable and must have a valid input structure file and a command (*jobname.com*) file.

### 3.1.1 Environment Variables

The `SCHRODINGER` environment variable specifies the installation directory for your Schrödinger software. These files include the force-field files, the solvent files, and the `atom.typ` file. To run MacroModel as a stand-alone application, you must first define this environment variable. See [page 6](#) of the *Maestro Overview* for more information on setting `$SCHRODINGER`. Whether you start MacroModel from the UNIX shell or from Maestro, local versions of the force-field, solvent, and `atom.typ` files override versions in the location specified by `$SCHRODINGER`.

You might also want to specify the default location for temporary (scratch) files. The default scratch directory is set in a `schrodinger.hosts` file, which should exist on each host on which you want to run MacroModel. This file can be in a local directory, in `$HOME/.schrodinger`, or in `$SCHRODINGER`. You can override the default by setting the `SCHRODINGER_TMPDIR` environment variable.

### 3.1.2 The Command File

Every time job files are written or a job is started from Maestro, a MacroModel command file and a structure file are created. The command file contains all necessary information for MacroModel to perform the job as specified. Most MacroModel tasks can be executed from

Maestro. However, there are a few tasks that still involve manually setting up and executing a command file.

In this chapter, examples of common command files are given that are set up through Maestro and provide some insight to the different commands included in these files. At the end of the chapter, more advanced command files are presented, including tasks that can not currently be set up from Maestro.

Many of the commands presented in the command files below have additional arguments available. For more information on any of the commands, refer to the [MacroModel Reference Manual](#).

An alternative simplified input file is available for certain kinds of calculations. Jobs using this simplified input file can be run with the `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel` command. For details, see [Chapter 3](#) of the *MacroModel Reference Manual*.

### 3.1.3 The MacroModel Command File Format

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes, or *opcodes*, for the calculations.

A generalized form of a MacroModel command file is given below:

```
jobname.mae
jobname-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCCO      0      0      0      0      20.0000 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      500      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

This file must have a name in the form of *jobname.com*, where *jobname* is replaced with the actual name of the job. Thus, a job that was actually named “jobname” could be submitted from the command prompt with a command such as

```
$SCHRODINGER/bmin jobname
```

Maestro uses a similar command for computations prepared with the interface. The first line of the instruction file above is the name of the input structure file. The input structure file can be named with any valid UNIX filename. The resulting output structure file is given the name listed on the second line of the instruction file. The full path to the structure files may be given if the files are not in the current directory.



In addition, any input substructure (.sbc) or velocity (.vel) files should contain the same prefix as the input structure file. Similarly the output energy listing (.mmo), substructure, and dihedral drive (.grd) files have the same base name as the output structure file. The *jobname*.log file contains text messages tracing the progress of the job. Experienced users of MacroModel should be aware that this mechanism has not changed from previous versions of MacroModel.

There are some default file naming changes, indicated in the sample file above, that are used by the Maestro interface due to the advent of Maestro formatted structure files. Although not mandated, to be consistent, all Maestro formatted structure files are given the suffix .mae. This is a different default behavior from the former MacroModel user interface and the older MacroModel structure format. Previously, input files were given the names *jobname*.dat and *jobname*.out. Now that all Maestro formatted structure files are, by default, named with the .mae extension, Maestro automatically names the input structure file *jobname*.mae and the output structure file *jobname*-out.mae.

The remaining lines in the command file provide the instructions to MacroModel concerning the type and order of calculations to be performed. The opcode lines must be of the following fixed format:

```
#OPCD 123456 123456 123456 123456 FFFFF.FFFF FFFFF.FFFF FFFFF.FFFF FFFFF.FFFF
```

Each opcode has four letters, and is preceded by a blank space. A specific opcode can be ignored (commented out) in a command file by placing a character other than blank space before the opcode. The eight fields after the opcode are referred to as *arguments*, and are often referred to simply as “arg”s. Arg1 through arg4 are integer arguments (Fortran I6 format), while arg5 through arg8 are floating point arguments (Fortran F10.4 format). The opcodes indicate individual energetic calculations or options and the arguments indicate additional options or quantify the parameters of the calculation. Many arguments have default values, which are indicated by a value of zero as the argument. Thus it is unnecessary to indicate explicitly all arguments in the instruction file if the default values are sufficient. The default values are included with the opcode descriptions in the [MacroModel Reference Manual](#). It is important to strictly adhere to the format of the command file. We recommend using an existing command file as a template, rather than to build one from scratch. Tabs are not allowed in MacroModel instruction files.

## 3.2 Submitting Jobs From the Command Line

The bmin shell script located in the \$SCHRODINGER directory should be used to launch stand-alone MacroModel jobs from any directory, once the SCHRODINGER environment variable has been set. To launch a job, enter the following command in a terminal window:

```
$SCHRODINGER/bmin [options] jobname
```

replacing *jobname* with the stem of the input file name (not needed if the `-TEST` or `-HELP` options are specified), and including any of the following options.

Table 3.1. Options for the `bmin` command.

Option	Meaning
<code>-DEBUGGER <i>debugger_name</i></code>	Run <code>bmin</code> in the foreground with a debugger called <i>debugger_name</i> .
<code>-DEBUG2</code>	Print out debugging information from the scripts used to start <code>bmin</code> .
<code>-HELP   -help   -h</code>	Print the <code>bmin</code> command syntax, options, and their definitions and exit.
<code>-HOSTFILE <i>hostfilename</i></code>	The name of the hosts file to use for this run.
<code>-INTERVAL</code>	The maximum time in seconds for updating the monitoring files.
<code>-LOCAL</code>	Do not place files in a temporary directory. Keep files in the local directory.
<code>-NO_REDIRECT</code>	Run in the foreground and send output to standard output.
<code>-TEST</code>	Run the standard test suite ( <i>jobname</i> not needed).
<code>-WAIT</code>	Do not return a prompt until the job finishes or is submitted to a batch queue.

The options for the `bmin` command are listed in Table 3.1. The standard Job Control options are also supported—see Section 4.3 of the *Job Control Guide*. Additional diagnostic options, which do not run the job but provide information, are also given in the section mentioned. In particular, you should note the syntax of the `-HOST` option, which is used to specify the list of hosts used for the job.

Launching a MacroModel job using the `bmin` script creates the output structure file and the *jobname.log* file. The *.log* file contains MacroModel job information, such as job number and C-shell timings, and any system errors, as well as output from the job.

### 3.2.1 Using an Executable Command File

If you have a command file that must be run repeatedly (such as the MacroModel test scripts), you can embed the contents of the command file in an executable shell script. Below is an example, though we generally recommend using shell scripts, as described in the previous section.

```

#!/bin/csh -f
cat <<EOC > a_run.com
jobname.mae
jobname-out.mae
  FFLD
  READ
  ELST      2
EOC
$SCHRODINGER/bmin a_run

```

If the above contents were contained in an executable file called `mm2-ELST`, you could run the included commands by entering the file name on the command line:

```
mm2-ELST &
```

### 3.3 Running Remote and Distributed Calculations

Remote MacroModel jobs run on a different host from the one on which the command is entered to start the job. Distributed MacroModel allows certain types of calculations to be run in a distributed fashion across a number of different hosts. Remote MacroModel jobs can be run from Maestro, but at present, distributed MacroModel jobs can only be run from the command line. Remote and distributed jobs can only be run on Unix hosts, not on Windows.

Schrödinger's Job Control facility controls both remote and distributed MacroModel jobs. The Job Control facility enables remote and distributed MacroModel jobs to run reliably on a wide range of computer platforms. If you intend to run jobs on various hosts, you must set up the hosts for remote access and provide information on the hosts to the Job Control facility through a file named `schrodinger.hosts`. How to provide this information is described in the [Job Control Guide](#). Brief instructions for setting up the required information on remote hosts and for configuring batch queues is given in the [Installation Guide](#).

Any MacroModel job can be run remotely. The normal Unix commands are used to run the job, with additional information specifying which host from the hosts file to use:

```
$SCHRODINGER/bmin -HOST remote_host_name jobname
```

MacroModel can divide some types of calculations into “tasks”. These tasks may be distributed over a number of processors to reduce the calculation time. Calculations that can be distributed using MacroModel include many of the conformational searching methods as well as Free Energy Perturbation (FEAV/FESA) and eMBrAcE calculations. For a thorough description of the distributed MacroModel calculations, including the specific types of calculations supported, see the [MacroModel Reference Manual](#). Below are two simple examples that illustrate how to perform these calculations. To run these examples, first ensure that the hosts and accounts have been prepared and an appropriate `schrodinger.hosts` file is available.

For distributing calculations focused on a single input structure, internal distributed calculations are used. For example, to distribute a conformational search of a protein-ligand complex across two processors, add the line:

```
NPRC      2      20      10      1      0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
```

just after the `MMOD` command in the example `.com` file given in [Section 9.3.3 on page 93](#). The job can then be started just like any other MacroModel job:

```
$SCHRODINGER/bmin jobname
```

Another type of job that can be distributed is MCMM serial searches in which each input structure is subjected to a separate search (see [Section 9.3.2 on page 92](#)). You can do this with the `para_bmin` command, which can be run directly on the unmodified non-distributed files:

```
$SCHRODINGER/utilities/para_bmin -NJOBS 5 -HOST "comp1:1 comp2:2" serial-lmcs
```

This command divides the job into five tasks, all of which are run on computers `comp1` or `comp2`. These computers must be described in the `schrodinger.hosts` file. At any one time, up to one task is running on `comp1` and up to two tasks are running on `comp2`.

For distributed MacroModel calculations that involve a random or accumulated aspect (e.g. MCMM conformational searches) the results may differ from those obtained from an equivalent non-distributed calculations. However, the different results from either type of calculation are valid.

### 3.4 Interacting With a Running MacroModel Job

The Schrödinger Job Control facility allows you to interact with running MacroModel jobs. Because all jobs submitted, either from Maestro or the command line, are controlled by the Job Control facility, all jobs can be monitored using the Monitor panel in Maestro or using the `jobcontrol` script from the command line. For specific instructions on using the Monitor panel or the `jobcontrol` script, see [Chapter 5](#) of the *Job Control Guide*.

# General Settings

## 4.1 General MacroModel Calculation Setup

All Maestro energetic calculation panels have the same basic setup process:

1. Specify the job input source from the Use structures from option menu (see [Section 4.1.1](#) below).
2. Specify settings in the tabs:
  - For the Potential tab, see [Section 4.2 on page 26](#)
  - For the Constraints tab, see [Section 4.4 on page 31](#)
  - For the Substructure tab, see [Section 4.5 on page 33](#)
  - For tabs specific to the energetic calculation, see the appropriate chapter
3. Click Start to set up and launch the job (see [Section 4.6 on page 36](#)) or click Write to write the files for future use (see [Section 4.6 on page 36](#)).

### 4.1.1 Specifying Job Input Source

For most MacroModel jobs run from the Maestro interface, you can use as input either the structures included in the Workspace or the entries selected in the Project Table. For these jobs, if you have a file containing the structures of compounds you want to evaluate, first import the structures into a project using the Import panel, and then select the desired structures in the Project Table. In addition, there are some MacroModel calculations, such as eMBraCE Minimization, that allow you to use an input file directly, without having to import the structures into the Project Table.


The default setting for MacroModel job input is Workspace (included entries). If you want to perform MacroModel calculations only on the structures currently appearing in the Workspace, you do not need to change the Use structures from setting. However, if you want to use Project Table entries as input, change the Use structures from setting to Project Table (selected entry) or Project Table (selected entries), depending on which of these is present. When using this setting, make sure that you have selected the desired entries in the Project Table panel.

## 4.2 The Potential Tab

The Potential tab appears on all of the MacroModel energy panels. This tab contains tools for specifying a force field, a solvent treatment, and an electrostatic treatment for the calculation. The Potential tab is displayed by default when the energetic panel is opened.

**Selecting a Force Field:** To specify a force field for a MacroModel calculation, select the desired force field from the Force field option menu. The default force field is OPLS\_2005. For information about MacroModel implementation of the supported force fields, see [Section 2.1 on page 5](#).

**Selecting a Solvation Treatment:** MacroModel calculations are carried out with water as solvent by default, but you may carry out the calculation in the gas phase or with another solvent. MacroModel provides the GB/SA continuum solvation treatment, and water, octanol, or chloroform may be chosen. To change the solvent, select the name of the desired solvent from the Solvent option menu.



**Figure 4.1. The Potential tab.**

**Selecting an Electrostatic Treatment:** The default electrostatic treatment for all built-in force fields is to use a dielectric constant of 1.0. You can also select a distance-dependent dielectric from the Electrostatic treatment option menu. You can set the dielectric constant; the default value is 1.0, which corresponds to Coulomb's law in a vacuum. Selecting the force field defined treatment is equivalent to choosing a constant dielectric with a value of 1.0. The MMFF and MMFFs force fields use a "buffered" constant dielectric treatment; see Halgren [9,10].

**Using Charges From a Structure File:** The charges used in the electrostatic portion of an energy calculation can either be assigned by the force field or obtained from the structure. Regardless of the source, charges are written to the structure file when a job is started. By default, the Force field option is used. To use charge information from the structure, select Structure file from the Charges from option menu.

**Using an Existing Command File:** To read a MacroModel command file and have the settings on the energetics panel update to reflect all potential energy settings, click Read Settings From Command File. Setting up MacroModel calculations in this way is helpful because you can easily reproduce your potential energy settings for multiple calculations.

**Choosing a Nonbonded Cutoff Setting:** The maximum distances over which hydrogen bonding, van der Waals, and electrostatic contributions to the molecular potential energy are computed may be controlled using the Cutoff option menu. Default cutoff distances are 4 Å for hydrogen bonding, 7 Å for van der Waals and 12 Å for electrostatics. The van der Waals and electrostatic cutoff distances are the center of a soft cutoff that starts at 1 Å smaller than the specified distance and ends at 1 Å larger than the specified distance.

## 4.3 Fixing and Freezing Atoms in Large Systems

Two important reasons for imposing constraints on molecular systems are to force the modelled system to meet desired geometric conditions, and to reduce the cost of the calculation by eliminating interactions that are expected to have little influence on the results. When modeling molecular systems, you might want to constrain some of the degrees of freedom, such as atom positions or distances, angles and dihedral angles. Constraints are useful in the following situations:

- When it is inherently hard to get the system to adopt the desired geometries in the absence of constraints
- When the potential functions are inadequate for the system
- When parts of the system that would normally lead to the appropriate geometries are not represented

When modelling a large system, it is often desirable to focus calculations on important regions and ignore any large portions of the system from which no significant influence is expected. For example, in the minimization of a protein-ligand complex, the region of interest is the ligand and the area surrounding the ligand. The large outer regions of the protein may not significantly influence the structure of the minimized ligand or its vicinity, and these regions may therefore be excluded from the calculation. Fixing or freezing remote portions of the system can help further reduce computational time and provide buffer zones between fully moving and ignored regions.

Frozen atoms cannot move, while fixed atoms are restrained to lie close to the requested positions. Moving atoms interact with all other moving, fixed and frozen atoms in the usual way. However, among the fixed or frozen atoms only stretch interactions involving fixed atoms are considered. Eliminating most of the interactions among the fixed or frozen atoms can save a great deal of computer time and is a useful approximation provided that the potentials restraining the fixed atoms are strong enough to prevent dramatic distortions of the molecular structures.

### 4.3.1 Methods for Freezing and Fixing Atoms

In MacroModel there are two ways of constraining parts of a molecular system:

- **Using constraints:** Manually fixes atoms (and also distances, angles, or torsions) through the use of `FXAT` (or `FXDI`, `FXBA`, or `FXTA`) commands, either using the Constraints panel in Maestro or by directly modifying a command file. Only the geometry of the items explicitly specified is affected. All other parts of the system interact and move normally.
- **Using substructures:** Uses Maestro's substructure facility to define, within a molecular system, flexible substructures and fixed and frozen shells. You can also directly modify a command file to create or modify substructures. Atoms that are not in the substructure or specified as fixed or frozen are ignored.

To constrain only a few atoms (the first method) using `FXAT` commands is best, and is also easily achieved through point-and-click operations in Maestro. To constrain a larger number of atoms, you will find it is much more efficient to use substructures.

**Note:** Constraints can be violated if you use automatic setup (see [Section 9.2.2 on page 77](#)). If so, MacroModel will fail.

With either method, a positive force constant can be specified for the restraining harmonic potential for fixed atoms. Free movement of the atoms within a specified range can be facilitated with the use of flat-bottomed potentials in which the two halves of the harmonic potential are separated by a specified distance within which the potential is zero.



### 4.3.2 Freezing and Fixing Individual Atoms

Individual atoms can be constrained either by picking the desired atoms using the tools in the Constraints tab of the MacroModel panels within Maestro, or by using the `FXAT` command in a `jobname.com` file.

Atoms are fixed or frozen in their original position by using tools in the Constraints tab within the various MacroModel energy panels in Maestro. The desired atom is selected through on-screen picking, and is then assigned values for how much it should be allowed to move (half-width, in Å) and the penalty for moving outside the defined limits (force constant, in kJ/mol-Å<sup>2</sup>). This process is repeated for all atoms to be constrained. See [Section 4.4 on page 31](#) for more information on specifying constraints using Maestro.

For additional information about using the `FXAT` command when manually constructing MacroModel command files (`jobname.com`), refer to the pages describing the `FXAT` opcode in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*.

All atoms not defined by a `FXAT` command remain fully flexible, free to sample the potential energy surface defined by the force field.

### 4.3.3 The Substructure Facility

You can define substructures by manually placing the appropriate lines in the MacroModel command file (`jobname.com`), or by using the Substructure tab in the MacroModel energy panels to define groups of flexible, fixed, and frozen atoms. The settings are saved in a substructure file consisting of `SUBS` commands for the flexible atoms and `FXAT` commands for fixed/frozen atoms.

Note that atoms not defined by either `SUBS` or `FXAT` commands are completely ignored (as if they had been deleted) during the calculation.

After defining the flexible part of the system (typically the ligand and atoms in its near vicinity), you can define a combination of fixed/frozen atom shells (having different force constants) around the movable part. These shells are defined based on a user-selected radius (in Ångstroms) from the flexible part of the system. To avoid cutting amino acid residues in two by the radius definition, Maestro offers a Fill residues option that ensures that if any atom of a residue is within the defined radius, the full residue is included in the shell. Additionally, there is an option for adding isolated atoms to any shell. Each shell can be assigned a different force constant (for a fixed shell), or can be completely frozen (force constant takes a negative value).

Looking at a protein-ligand complex as an example, the flexible part is most easily defined by picking. By choosing Molecules from the Pick menu in the Freely moving atoms (substructure) section, you can select an atom in the ligand to define the whole ligand as part of the substructure.

ture. Atoms belonging to the substructure are identified by white markers on screen. If parts of the active site are to be included in the substructure as well, selected residues can easily be picked by choosing Residues from the Pick menu and clicking on an atom in each residue to be included. Alternatively, a shell of flexible residues can be defined using the Atom Specification Language (ASL) in the ASL text box or in the Atom Selection dialog box. Assuming that the ligand is molecule number one and the protein is molecule number two, the following command in the substructure definition field places the ligand and a 3.5 Å shell of filled out residues in the substructure part:

```
m. 1 or fillres within 3.5 m. 1
```

The different shells defined around the substructure have markers of different colors (orange, purple, etc.), in order to easily visualize the various selections on screen.

After the substructure and shell selections are made, the substructure (*filename.sbc*) file can be written to disk. The name of the substructure file must match the input file. The substructure file has the following format:

Command	arg1	arg2	arg3	arg4	arg5	arg6	arg7	arg8
SUBS	1	2	3	4	0.	0.	0.	0.
SUBS	5	6	7	8	0.	0.	0.	0.
[...]								
FXAT	123	0	0	0	200.	0.	0.	0.
[...]								
FXAT	124	0	0	0	-1.	0.	0.	0.
[...]								

For the **SUBS** part of the substructure file:

arg1-4: Atom numbers of atoms included in the substructure (flexible) part of the system.

arg5-8: Not normally used.

For the **FXAT** part of the substructure file:

arg1: Atom number of atom to be included in fixed/frozen part of the system.

arg2-4: Not used.

arg5: Force constant (kJ/mol Å<sup>2</sup>) used for atom listed in arg1. If the force constant is negative, the atom is frozen.

arg6-8: Desired X, Y, Z coordinates of atom defined in arg1. If all are zero (the default), the starting coordinates are used.

Note that the SUBS command in the MacroModel command file takes on two meanings, depending on whether or not a substructure file is to be used. If arg1 of SUBS is zero in the command file, MacroModel looks for a substructure file to obtain information on flexible and

fixed/frozen parts of the system. There are other possible uses of the SUBS command: in particular it may be useful to combine the use of substructures both in a *filename.sbc* file and separate SUBS lines in the MacroModel command file. Please refer to the SUBS command in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*.

The substructure file also supports the use of ASL expressions, with the commands ASL1 and ASL2. The syntax of their use is described in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*. ASL1 performs the same function as SUBS to specify atoms for a substructure, and ASL2 performs the same function as FXAT, to specify atoms to be fixed or frozen.

For more information on using Maestro's substructure facility, see [Section 4.5 on page 33](#).

## 4.4 The Constraints Tab


In MacroModel, subsets of atoms can be constrained in almost all energetic calculations, and the constraints can be of two basic types. The atoms can be completely frozen and not allowed to move, or atoms can be fixed, which means that the atoms in question are tethered in place using a constraint. Fixed atoms have the ability to move, frozen atoms do not. The following two sections describe how to prepare MacroModel computations from Maestro that include fixed and frozen atoms. For more information, see the *MacroModel Reference Manual* sections on of FXAT, FXDI, FXBA, FXTA, and SUBS.

Making selections in the Constraints tab adds the appropriate opcode entries into the MacroModel command file (*jobname.com*) for the computation being prepared. When you make selections in the Substructure tab, however, the constraints are added to a separate input substructure file, usually named *jobname.sbc*. This mechanism allows large numbers of constraints to be shared between different energetic jobs for the same structural system. Complementing this feature, Maestro can read and write substructure files. For more information about the Substructure Facility, see [Section 4.3 on page 27](#). Structural constraints can be added in both the Constraints tab and the Substructure tab for a single computation.

**Note:** Constraints can be violated if you use automatic setup (see [Section 9.2.2 on page 77](#)). If so, MacroModel will fail.

### 4.4.1 Constraining Atoms, Distances, Angles, and Torsions

Individual atom positions, bond distances, bond angles, and torsion angles can all be defined from the Constraints tab. When you click the button corresponding to the structural element you want to constrain, a panel is displayed, which you can use to pick the desired elements and define the relevant settings. The panels for defining constrained atoms, distances, angles, and torsions are generally the same. Exceptions are noted below.



**Figure 4.2. The Constraints tab.**

**Specifying atoms to be constrained:** To constrain an atom, distance, angle, or torsion, display the appropriate constraining panel by clicking on the corresponding button in the Constraints tab: Atoms, Distances, Angles, or Torsions. Choose a structural unit from the Pick menu and click on the desired atom(s) in the Workspace. The picked atoms appear in the list in the upper portion of the panel. To constrain many atoms simultaneously, you can use an ASL expression. ASL expressions can be built using the Atom Selection dialog box, which you open using the Select button. For more information on ASL, see the online help or the [Maestro Command Reference Manual](#).

**Specifying the force constant and atom flexibility:** By default the constrained structural elements have harmonic potentials with a force constant of  $100 \text{ kJ/mol-Å}^2$  with the current value of the element used for the potential minimum. The force constant can be modified. For fixed distances, angles, and torsional angles, the position of the potential minimum can be adjusted. A flat-bottom potential, essentially a harmonic potential with the two halves separated by a region of zero potential, can be requested by specifying the half width for the flat region in the +/- text box.

**Specifying a nondefault force constant and half width prior to selecting atoms:** If atom-centered constraints are being applied, constraint parameters can be set up beforehand with the Maestro command `constrainedset`. For example, to set the force constant to 50 and the width to 0.8, enter the following command in the Command Input Area of the main window:

```
constrainedset constant=50 width=0.8
```

Constrained atoms that are subsequently selected use these constraint parameters.

**Removing constraints:** To remove one constraint, select it from the list at the top of the specific constraints panel and click **Delete**. To remove all constraints of a particular type, click **Delete All** in the corresponding constraints panel. To remove all constraints of all types, click **Reset All** in the Constraints tab.

### 4.4.2 Freezing Atoms

“Frozen” atoms have no forces acting on them and do not move from their initial position in the structure. Frozen atoms do influence other, non-frozen atoms in the structure, mostly through nonbonded interactions. Frozen atoms differ from constrained atoms in that constrained atoms may move slightly.

To freeze atoms, click **Atoms** in the **Freeze** section of the energy panel. The **Frozen Atoms** panel is displayed. To select the atoms, choose a structural unit from the **Pick** menu and pick an atom in the **Workspace**. You can constrain many atoms simultaneously using an ASL expression in the **Atom Selection** dialog box, which you open with the **Select** button. To remove constraints from an atom, select its name from the list and click **Delete**. To remove constraints from all currently frozen atoms, click **Delete All**.

## 4.5 The Substructure Tab

The **Substructure** tab is used to set the definition of a substructure and any shells of constrained or frozen atoms that surround it.

If any substructure or shell atoms are specified, an input substructure file, *jobname.sbc*, is created and used. All instructions relating to the fixed and frozen atoms are entered in the input substructure file, and read from this file when the calculation is launched.

### 4.5.1 Defining a Substructure

You can select the atoms you want to include in a substructure definition by picking the desired atoms, chains, residues, molecules, or entries from the **Workspace** structure. To do this, select the structural unit you want to use from the **Pick** menu in the **Freely moving atoms** (substruc-


ture) section, and then pick atoms in the Workspace. If Show markers is selected, the atoms in the substructure are marked with white markers.

In addition to defining substructure atoms by picking them from the structure, you can specify many atoms simultaneously by entering an appropriate ASL expression in the ASL text box in the Atoms for substructure section. For example, the expression:

```
fillres within 6.0 mol.n 3
```

picks all the atoms within 6 Å of molecule number 3, and also adds to the selection the remainder of any residues partially selected using the 6 Å proximity criterion. You can also construct ASL expressions using the Atom Selection dialog box. To open this dialog box, click Select.

Once you have picked atoms from the Workspace structure or have specified substructure atoms using ASL, you can expand the “shell” of atoms to a specified distance from the defined substructure atoms. To expand the shell, enter the desired radius in the Expand to atoms within radius of text box.



**Figure 4.3. The Substructure tab.**

To ensure that the substructure consists of only complete residues, select **Complete residues**. The defined substructures then include all the atoms in the residues to which the defined atoms belong.

Interactions between constrained atoms (both fixed and frozen) are normally not calculated, which saves time but excludes these interactions from the final energy. You can include these interactions in the calculation by selecting **Calculate constrained-atom mutual interactions**.

### 4.5.2 Creating a Shell of Atoms

Defined substructures indicate which atoms may freely move during the energy calculations. Often, subsequent sets of atoms surrounding the substructure are intended to be fixed and/or frozen during the computation. This is accomplished by defining shells around the substructure in the **Shells (constrained and frozen atoms)** portion of the **Substructure** tab. To create a shell around a defined substructure, click **New** in the **Substructure** tab of the relevant **MacroModel** panel, under the **Shells** list. If **Show markers** is selected, the shell atoms are indicated in the **Workspace** by colored markers: orange, purple, yellow, green, and so on.

Once you have created one or more shells, you can define and modify their contents in the following ways, by selecting a shell from the **Shells** list and using the controls in the **Selected shell** section:

**Specifying a Shell Radius:** You can assign a radius by entering a value into the **Radius** text box. The shell includes all atoms within the given radius of the previous shell, or the substructure if it is the first shell.

**Picking Additional Shell Atoms:** To add individual atoms to a shell, select a structural unit from the **Pick** menu, and pick **Workspace** atoms. You can also add shell atoms by entering an ASL expression in the **Additional atoms for shell** section, or by constructing an ASL expression in the **Atom Selection** dialog box, which you open with the **Select** button. Atoms may be added even if a substructure has not been defined.

**Setting the Force Constant for a Shell:** Shell atoms that are to be constrained rather than frozen are allowed to move a finite distance based on the force constant value you supply. Enter the desired force constant in the **Force constant** text box.

**Freezing Atoms in a Shell:** To freeze atoms in a shell so that the atoms cannot move at all, select **Freeze atoms**.

**Filling Out Residues in a Shell:** You can include in the shell all atoms in residues having any members in the original shell definition by selecting **Complete residues**.

**Deleting a Shell:** To delete an existing shell, select the shell number in the **Shells** list and click **Delete**.

### 4.5.3 Reading and Writing Substructures

You may want to write your substructure definitions to a separate file so that they can be used in subsequent calculations. To write a substructure file, click **Write .sbc File**, specify the desired file name, and click **OK**. Include the `.sbc` suffix in the name. You can choose to include the ASL expressions in the substructure file by selecting **Write ASL formatted .sbc file**. For more information on use of ASL in substructure files, see [page 66](#) of the *MacroModel Reference Manual*.

The atom coordinates written to the substructure file can be absolute coordinates or relative coordinates. If you select **Write absolute atom coordinates**, writes the absolute atom coordinates from the current structure are written to the substructure file, and these coordinates will be used whenever you use this substructure file. If you want to define the substructure for use with more than one structure, leave this option unselected. In this case, zeroes are written to the substructure file for the coordinates. These zeroes are interpreted as relative coordinates: that is, the coordinates are taken from whichever structure the constraints in the substructure file are applied to.

To read an existing substructure file, click **Read .sbc File**, navigate to the desired file and select it, then click **OK**. If you want, you can then edit the substructure to create a new substructure, using the controls in the **Substructure** tab.

## 4.6 Setting Up, Running, And Monitoring Jobs

When you have finished with all the settings for the calculation, click **Start** to open the **Start** dialog box and choose options for how and where the job is run. The **Start** dialog box provides a standard interface to the **Job Control** facility, which manages all jobs submitted from **Maestro**. This dialog box has a standard set of controls, but is configured to include application-specific or task-specific controls when needed. For example, for distributed jobs, the **Host** menu is replaced by a table that allows maximum flexibility in selecting hosts and processors. For more information on this dialog box, see [Section 4.2](#) of the *Job Control Guide*.

Distributed MacroModel calculations can be started from **Maestro** for the following job types:

- Multiple minimization of non-conformers
- Redundant conformer elimination
- Ligand torsional search
- Serial conformational searches using MCMM, LMOD, or MCMM/LMOD

You may want to set up a MacroModel calculation and save the generated input files without actually submitting the job. This may be the case, for example, if you want to use MacroModel from the command line. To create the structure file and the command (`.com`) file needed to



launch the job at a later time, specify the desired values using the settings on the desired MacroModel panel, and then click the Write button, located in the lower right corner of the panel.

After you click the Start button in the Start dialog box, the Monitor panel is displayed, and the contents of the *jobname.log* file are displayed as it is written. While jobs are running, the Detach, Pause, Resume, Stop, Kill, and Update buttons are active. When there are no jobs currently running, only the Monitor and Delete buttons are active. You can choose to monitor or delete the currently selected job by clicking the corresponding buttons. To monitor or delete another job in the database, first choose the job in the list and then click the appropriate button. You can also monitor jobs from other projects. For more information on the Monitor panel, see [Section 5.2](#) of the *Job Control Guide*.



# Current Energy Calculations

Determining the current energy for a structure is a basic type of calculation in molecular modeling. Setting up a current energy calculation in MacroModel involves many of the same steps as other calculations within MacroModel, so be sure to read this chapter carefully.

In addition to calculating the total energy for the structure, MacroModel can be instructed to produce an `.mmo` file during a current energy calculation. An `.mmo` file contains detailed information on the various contributions to the potential energy and can lead to a number of insights. Maestro's Force Field Viewer is an excellent tool for interactively examining this information.

## 5.1 The Current Energy Panel

You can use the Maestro Current Energy panel to prepare and submit MacroModel Current Energy calculations. The Current Energy panel contains 4 tabs, each of which contains tools and settings related to a different aspect of a Current Energy calculation—Potential, Constraints, Substructure, and ECalc. The first three tabs were described in [Chapter 4](#), so only the ECalc tab is described in this chapter.

To open the Current Energy panel, choose Current Energy from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu in the main menu bar.

## 5.2 The ECalc Tab

The Energy Listing option menu allows you to select the amount of information in the energy listing for the current job. Selecting the **None** setting results in the total molecular mechanics energy being listed in the `jobname.log` file. Selecting **Minimal** prints in addition a minimal summary in the Energy Summary file (`jobname.mmo`). Selecting **Complete** lists the complete energy output to the Energy Summary file, including all internal coordinate components. A “complete” energy listing is required to use Maestro's force field viewing tool. You should note, however, that performing calculations with a complete energy listing can create large output files.




Figure 5.1. The ECalc tab of the Current Energy panel.

## 5.3 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either Start or Write, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

Below is an example of a command file for an energy calculation with solvation. A description of the opcodes and their arguments follows.

```

ecalc.mae
ecalc-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCO      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV      3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ELST      1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

**MMOD:** Creates and updates an intermediate structure file so that structures can be displayed in Maestro as the job progresses.

**FFLD:** Force field selection. Arg1 denotes the actual force field used in the calculation (in this case MMFF94). Arg2 defines the electrostatic treatment for the calculation. Default (arg=0) is to use the dielectric treatment encoded in the force field, however, in this case a constant dielectric is used due to the use of solvation model 3 (see SOLV below). Arg4 is MMFF94 specific. Arg4=1 defines the MMFF94s version of the force field, which ensures planarity around delocalized sp<sup>2</sup> nitrogens.

**EXNB:** Extended non-bonded interaction cut-off. This is set by default when solvation is used in a calculation. The default values for extended cut-off are: 8 Å vdW (arg5); 20 Å charge-charge electrostatic (arg6); 4 Å hydrogen bonding (arg7).

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (BDCO) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 are used to specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**SOLV:** Specify the implicit solvation treatment to be used in the calculation. Arg1 defines the type of solvation model to be used. Arg1=3 means that the GB/SA solvation model will be used. Arg2 defines what type of solvent is used. Arg2=1 selects water as the solvent.

**READ:** Directs MacroModel to read the input file.

**ELST:** Calculates the single point energy of the input structure(s). Arg1 determines the extent of output listing, and to which files the output will be written. Arg1=1 gives a listing of the total molecular mechanics energy to the log file and a complete listing with all internal coordinates to the .mmo file. Arg2 allows for switching between kJ/mol and kcal/mol units for the energies listed.

## 5.4 The Force Field Viewer

If you want to view details of the force field used in a MacroModel calculation, you can use the Force Field Viewer panel in Maestro. You first ensure that **Complete** is selected from the Energy Listing option menu before you run the job. The data displayed by the Force Field Viewer is located in `.mmo` files, which are created when energy operations are performed using Complete energy listings. To read an `.mmo` file, click **Browse** and navigate to the desired file.

The Force Field Viewer panel has a number of buttons that represent the various types of interactions that can be present in a calculation (stretch, bond angle etc.). After an `.mmo` file has been read, buttons that correspond to types of interactions present in that particular file become active. Each button, when selected, displays a corresponding panel. In each panel, the interactions are listed, and selection of an interaction in the list marks the interaction in the displayed structure with a magnifying glass icon and a line or an asterisk. By default the first item in the list is selected. These panels are described in detail in the online help.

The Wilson Angle button and the Improper Torsion buttons are never active simultaneously, since they perform similar operations, but are associated with different force fields. Wilson angles are used in MMFF and MMFFs force field calculations, and improper torsions are used in the others.

A brief summary of each button and its corresponding panel is given below.

### Stretch

The Stretch panel is used to display the stretching interactions for a given calculation. The selected interaction is marked with a dashed yellow line.

### Bond Angle


The Bend panel, opened by clicking Bond Angle, is used to display the bending interactions for a given calculation. The selected interaction is marked with a dashed red line.

### Torsion Angle

The Torsion Angle panel displays the torsion interactions for a calculation. The selected interaction is marked with a pale green dashed line.

### Improper Torsion

In the Improper Torsion panel, the improper torsion interactions associated with a particular calculation can be viewed. The selected improper torsion in the displayed structure is marked with a gold-colored asterisk.



**Figure 5.2. The Force Field Viewer panel.**

### Wilson Angle

The Wilson Angle panel displays the Wilson angle interactions for a given calculation. The selected Wilson angle is marked with a peach-colored asterisk.

### GB Solvation

The GB Solvation panel can be used to view the Generalized Born (GB) portion of the solvation interactions for a given calculation. The selected atom in the displayed structure is marked with a yellow asterisk.

### SA Solvation

The SA Solvation panel can be used to view the Surface Area (SA) solvation interaction components for a given calculation. The selected entry in the SA Solvation List is marked with a peach-colored line.

### Van der Waals

The Van der Waals panel can be used to view all van der Waals interactions for a given calculation. The selected interaction in the displayed structure is marked with a dashed orange line.

### Electrostatic

The Electrostatic panel can be used to view all electrostatic interactions for a given calculation. The selected interaction is marked with a dashed purple line.

## 5.5 Checking and Interpreting Results

The energy estimate for an energy calculation depends critically on the nature of the structure it is applied to. It is often crucial that the particular structure was produced using a calculation, such as a minimization or a dynamics simulation, employing the same force field. Otherwise, the energy may contain significant contributions due to strain resulting from differences in the equilibrium structures for the different force fields.

You should also bear in mind the points raised in [Section 2.2 on page 7](#).

Detailed energy listings can be useful, particularly in conjunction with Maestro's Force Field Viewer tool, for the following reasons:

- To gain insight into what interactions are key within the system
- To understand how important parameters of limited quality are for a particular calculation
- To examine problematic structures



# Minimizations

Energy minimization is a key type of calculation in molecular modeling, in part because it gives a distinct structure that is often related to a subset of conformers found under thermal conditions. It also plays an important role in many compound calculations, such as conformational searching, and thus this chapter is a useful one to review carefully. MacroModel provides a number of well-tested and efficient minimization methods.

## 6.1 The Minimization Panel

The MacroModel Minimization panel is used to set up and submit minimization calculations from within Maestro. The Minimization panel consists of five parts. The first part, the upper portion of the panel, contains controls for general aspects of job set up, such as job name and job source. This portion of the panel also appears on the other MacroModel energy panels. The Minimization panel contains four tabs, the first three of which also appear in the other MacroModel panels. The controls in these tabs, and those in the upper portion of the panel, are discussed in detail in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#).

To open the Minimization panel, choose Minimization from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu in the main menu bar.

## 6.2 Performing a Minimization Calculation

**To set up a minimization calculation:**

1. Select the entry that you want to use as input, or display the structure you want to use in the Workspace.
2. Open the Minimization panel
3. Set the controls in the upper portion of the panel and in the first three tabs—Potential, Constraints, and Substructure.
4. In the Mini tab, select a minimization method, the maximum number of iterations, and the convergence criterion and threshold.

The features of the Mini tab are described in detail in the next few sections.



**Figure 6.1.** The Mini tab of the Minimization panel.

### 6.2.1 Minimization Methods

From the Method option menu, select a minimization method for your calculation. Choose from the following supported methods:

- PRCG (Polak-Ribiere Conjugate Gradient)

This is a conjugate gradient minimization scheme that uses the Polak-Ribiere first derivative method with restarts every 3N iterations [17]. This is the best general method for energy minimization, but it should not be used to find transition states.

- TNCG (Truncated Newton Conjugate Gradient)

TNCG uses second derivatives and line searching and is highly efficient for producing very low gradient structures. It generally converges in one tenth the number of iterations necessary for a PRCG, but each iteration takes more time. Often FMNR re-minimization of TNCG structures gives the lowest final gradients [18].

- OSVM (Oren-Spedicato Variable Metric)

This is a variable metric minimization that uses the Oren-Spedicato modification [19] of the Fletcher-Powell quasi-Newton method. Convergence to saddle points is possible. Typical convergence occurs in  $3N - 6N$  iterations, but note that iteration speed is relatively slow. OSVM is not recommended for structures with poor starting geometries.

- SD (Steepest Descent)

This is a steepest descent minimization method. The “SD” should not be used to find saddle points, and convergence is poor towards the end of minimization. This is a good method for starting geometries that are far from the minimum, but switching to another method is recommended when derivatives fall below 10 kJ/mol-Å or so. It is generally not optimal to use SD minimization. PRCG is usually a better choice.

- FMNR (Full Matrix Newton Raphson)

With this method, convergence to saddle points is not uncommon. Use FMNR with pre-minimized structures having RMS gradients of less than 0.1 kJ/mol-Å. Use line searching (select after prompt) for problematic cases, or if the RMS First Derivative is greater than 0.1. The preminimization requirement derives from the Newton Raphson assumption of a quadratic potential surface. The method works only if the assumption is valid. FMNR is the most effective method for fully converging structures, but the computational resources required are significant for large structures.

To find saddle point structures, you will need to start very close to the saddle point, and you will have to disable line searching.

FMNR has excellent convergence properties, and typically converges in two to ten iterations.

- LBFGS (Low-memory Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno)

A method that performs well with large structures.

- Optimal

This choice uses the TNCG method if the number of unfixed atoms is less than 1000 and solvation is employed, otherwise it uses the PRCG method. (Sets [MINI](#) arg1 to 20).

## 6.2.2 Convergence Parameters

You can specify convergence criteria for your calculation using the Converge on option menu in the Mini tab. Choose from Gradient, Energy, Movement, or Nothing.

Gradient is the default value. With the Energy setting, MacroModel determines when to halt the calculation based on the energy difference between iterations. With the Movement setting,

MacroModel determines when to stop the calculation based on the maximum atomic movement at each iteration. When the Nothing option is selected, the calculation runs for the maximum number of iterations as specified in the Max # Iterations text field.

The value in the Maximum iterations text box determines when MacroModel should end the calculation if the specified convergence criterion hasn't been met. The default value is 50 for SD and FMNR minimization methods, and 500 for the remaining methods. To specify a different number of iterations, enter it into the Maximum iterations text box.

The value entered in the Convergence threshold text box determines the threshold applied to the Converge on method. The default convergence setting is convergence on Gradient with a threshold of 0.05. These settings will suffice in most cases.

### **6.2.3 Using Multiple Minimization Stages During a Minimization**

The MWRT feature of MacroModel enables multiple minimizer methods to be automatically applied during the minimization stage of a MacroModel computation. Each phase of an MWRT minimization can use a different minimizer method. For instance, an initial steepest descent minimization could be followed by an all-purpose method, with the process concluded by a minimizer method expected to result in final convergence, like FMNR. The minimization sequence ceases when the convergence criteria (as defined by the CONV opcode) is reached. MWRT can be applied to minimizations and conformational searches, including multi-structure computations. This feature is supported with a particular set of choices as the Optimal minimization method in Maestro. To make your own choices, use the MWRT: opcode in the command file. [Section 6.3.2 on page 50](#) contains examples of MWRT minimizations, and [section 9.3.4](#) contains examples of MWRT conformational searches.

## **6.3 Command File Examples**

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either Start or Write, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

### 6.3.1 Constrained Minimization

Below is an example command file for a minimization calculation. Explanations of the opcodes that appear in the file follow.

```
mini-constr.mae
mini-constr-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCO      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FXTA      14     15     16     17     100.0000 -44.7270      5.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      9      0     500      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**MMOD:** Creates and updates an intermediate structure file so that structures can be displayed in Maestro as the job progresses.

**FFLD:** Force field selection. Arg1 denotes the actual force field used in the calculation (in this case MMFF94). Arg2 defines the electrostatic treatment for the calculation. Default (arg=0) is to use the dielectric treatment encoded in the force field. However, in this case a constant dielectric is used due to the use of solvation model 3 (see [SOLV](#) below). Arg4 is MMFF94-specific. Arg4=1 defines the MMFF94s version of the force field, ensuring planarity around delocalized sp2 nitrogens.

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (BDCO) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 are used to specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**READ:** Directs MacroModel to read the input file.

**FXTA:** Constrains a torsional angle. Arg1–arg4 give the atom numbers of the four atoms defining the dihedral angle that will be constrained. The angle is kept in place through a force constant, defined in arg5 (kJ/mol). Arg6 lists the desired value for the fixed torsion, and arg7 gives the half-width of the flat-bottom potential used (i.e., the “flexibility” of the constrained angle).

**CONV:** Defines convergence criteria. Arg1=2 signifies derivative convergence (if no CONV command is present, default criterion is 0.05 kJ/mol-Å; this value is set in arg5).

**MINI:** Starts the minimization. Arg1 defines the type of minimization algorithm to be used. Arg1=9 means that Truncated Newton-Raphson Conjugate Gradient will be used. In arg3, the number of minimization steps is defined. Arg3 can be set to a large number since the calculation stops automatically as soon as the convergence criterion has been reached.

### 6.3.2 Multiple-Method (MWRT) Minimizations

MWRT minimizations enable multiple minimizer methods to be applied to a structure during the minimization stage. This can enhance the performance of the minimization process.

The first example below demonstrates the efficient minimization of a small protein domain.

```
mwrt_mini.mae
mwrt_mini-out.mae
FFLD      14      1      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV       3      1
EXNB       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC       0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ
CONV       2      0      0      0      0.0020      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MWRT       0
MINI       0      1     100      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
MINI       1      1     300      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
MINI       9      1      50      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
MWRT       1
MINI       4      1      30      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
```

**MWRT:** arg1 value of zero stores the MINI minimization parameter data.

**MINI:** In order, the MINI commands request 100 SD iterations, 300 PRCG iterations, 50 TN iterations, and 30 FMNR iterations. The last MINI command is after the second MWRT command, and actually initiates the minimization.

**MWRT:** arg1 value of 1 instructs computaton to run sequential minimizations after the next MINI command.

The second example performs MWRT minimization on multiple drug candidate structures.

```
mwrt_multmin.mae
mwrt_multmin-out.mae
FFLD      14      1      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV       3      1
EXNB       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC       0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN
READ
CONV       2      0      0      0      0.0020      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MWRT       0
MINI       0      1     100      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
MINI       1      1     300      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
MINI       9      1      50      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
MWRT       1
MINI       4      1      30      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000     10.0000
END
```

**BEGIN/END:** Defines the start/end of a loop over the input structures. All commands between the BEGIN and END lines are performed for each structure in the input file.

## 6.4 Checking and Interpreting Results

Any individual minimization is intended to minimize the structure in the local minimum which may not be the global minimum of the system. This fact can make it hard to put the minimized system in the proper context without additional calculations (e.g., conformational searches). Typical output for a MacroModel minimization looks like this:

```
Starting conjugate gradient minimization.
Minimization converged; gradient = 0.387E-01 .LT. 0.500E-01
Iterations = 110 out of 500
Conf 1 E = -594.116 ( 0.039) kJ/mol
BatchMin normal termination
Total number of structures processed = 1
BatchMin: normal termination                20-Feb-2002
15:31:29
```

The line:

```
Minimization converged; gradient = 0.387E-01 .LT. 0.500E-01
```

indicates that the minimization met the convergence conditions. In this case the gradient attained, 0.0387 kJ/mol-Å, was less than the level required, 0.05 kJ/mol-Å.

If the calculation had not converged, the minimization converged line would be missing and the number of iterations would match the total specified.

Unconverged results typically have little or no value. If the minimization does not converge within the specified number of iterations, you may need to repeat or continue the minimization with more iterations.

Most MacroModel calculations consider minimizations converged when the RMS gradient of the energy is less than 0.05 kJ/mol-Å. While this is adequate for most types of calculations, this value may be modified or another property, such as atomic motion, may be used to detect when a minimization is sufficiently converged.

The results of a minimization are force-field-dependent: minimizing the same initial structure using different force fields usually results in similar but not identical structures.





# Multiple Minimizations

Multiple minimization is a tool for automatically minimizing a collection of structures. The collection of structures can be different molecules or they can be conformations of the same molecule. If the structures are conformers, then it is possible to do the minimization in conjunction with the elimination of redundant conformers. MacroModel uses multiple minimization a number of ways, some of which are described in this chapter.

## 7.1 The Multiple Minimization Panel

The Multiple Minimization panel is used to set up and submit minimization jobs that use as input either a Maestro- or MacroModel-formatted file containing the structures to be minimized. If the structures are conformers, then redundant conformers are eliminated if comparison atoms are specified. The Multiple Minimization panel can also be used to perform partition coefficient estimation.

The Multiple Minimization panel consists of several parts, four of which—the upper portion, Potential, Constraints, and Substructure tabs—are common to all MacroModel energy panels. These components are described in detail in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#). The Mini tab is common to all of the MacroModel panels except Current Energy. For an explanation of the controls in this tab, see [Section 6.1 on page 45](#). The Mult tab, which includes access to the Comparison Atoms panel, is unique to the Multiple Minimization panel, and is described in the next section.

To open the Multiple Minimization panel, choose Multiple Minimization from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu on the main menu bar.

## 7.2 Setting Up Multiple Minimization Calculations

In the Multiple Minimization panel, set the options in the upper portion of the panel and in the Potential, Constraints, and Substructure tabs. See [Section 4.1](#) through [Section 4.5](#) for a detailed discussion of these settings. Then set the options in the Mini tab as described in [Section 6.2 on page 45](#).

With these portions of the job setup complete, set the parameters of the multiple minimization calculation in the Mult tab.

If you want to use structures from a file, rather than from the project, you can use the Input file text box and associated Browse button to select a file. Either enter the name of the input structure file in the text box, or click Browse to display a file selector that can be used to browse the file system for the desired input file. The file must be in either Maestro or MacroModel format, and contain one or more valid structures. Often these structures are the results from a previous conformational search, which are to be reminimized. If no file is entered, the structural input is taken from the source indicated by the Use structures from menu choice at the top of the panel.

There are three choices of minimization mode: Minimization of non-conformers, Minimization of conformers, and LogP estimation. If you choose the first of these, the setup is complete, and you can proceed to write the job files or start the job. Estimation of partition coefficients is described in the next section. The remaining controls in this tab are for the second choice, Minimization of conformers, and are described below.





Figure 7.1. The Mult tab of the Multiple Minimization panel.



**Figure 7.2. The Comparison Atoms panel.**

### Comparison Atoms

During multiple minimizations of conformers, redundant structures are eliminated if comparison atoms are specified. The structures are compared against other low-energy structures that have already been minimized. The comparison is performed by rigid superposition, comparing only those atoms specified as “comparison atoms” in the setup, taking into account the topological symmetry of the molecule.

To define comparison atoms, click the Comparison Atoms button and use one or more of the following options:

- Click Heavy Atoms + O-H,S-H

This adds all the non-hydrogen atoms and the hydrogen atoms attached to oxygen and sulfur to the list of comparison atoms.

- Click Heavy Atom

This adds only the non-hydrogen atoms to the list of comparison atoms.

- Pick atoms in the Workspace

Choose a structural unit from the Pick menu in the Define comparison atoms section, and pick atoms in the Workspace to add the atoms in the structural unit to the list of comparison atoms.

- Select atoms using the Atom Selection dialog box

For more complex combinations of comparison atoms, you can select atoms using the Atom Selection dialog box to build an Atom Specification Language (ASL) expression for the required atoms. See [Section 5.3](#) of the *MacroModel User Manual* for more information on the Atom Selection dialog box.

- Select all atoms

Click **All** to add all the atoms in a structure to the list of comparison atoms.

When comparison atoms are picked, Maestro places light green “=” labels on them. To distinguish the currently selected atom, the program colors its label turquoise. To hide these markers, clear the **Show Markers** button.

To delete a defined comparison atom, select it in the list of comparison atoms, then click **Delete**. To redefine a comparison atom, pick a new atom while the comparison atom is selected in the list. To delete all the defined comparison atoms, click **Delete All**.

### Distance cutoff for redundant conformers

The threshold for determining whether structures should be considered to be equivalent is set by default to 0.25 Å. When the structures are compared, the maximum distance between pairs of corresponding atoms for all such pairs must be less than this threshold for the structures to be considered equivalent. You can change the threshold in this text box. This text box is only available when comparison atoms have been defined.

### Distinguish mirror-image conformations

If this option is selected, mirror-image conformations are treated as separate conformers, and are not eliminated as redundant. This option is only available when comparison atoms have been defined.

### Energy window for saving structures

If a structure is minimized and found to be above the global minimum by more than the energy window value (in kJ/mol), it is rejected and not included in the output file.

### Maximum number of structures to save

You can limit the number of structures returned in the output structure file by selecting this option and entering a value in this text box.

## 7.3 Partition Coefficient Estimation

Multiple minimization calculations can also be used to obtain estimates of the partition coefficients of a set of solutes between two solvents. After you have set up other parameters for a multiple minimization, including a primary solvent, select **LogP estimation** in the **Mult** tab and choose the secondary solvent from the **Secondary Solvent** menu.

Each molecule in the input file is minimized twice, once in each solvent. The results of each minimization are used to evaluate the free energy difference for the two solvents. Hence the logarithm of the partition coefficient,  $\log P_{\text{solv1,solv2}}$ , which is defined by the relationship

$$\log P_{\text{solv1,solv2}} = (\Delta G_{\text{solv2}} - \Delta G_{\text{solv1}}) / (2.30RT)$$

where  $P_{\text{solv1,solv2}} = [\text{Solute}]_{\text{solv1}} / [\text{Solute}]_{\text{solv2}}$ ,  $\Delta G_{\text{solvN}}$  is the free energy of solvation of the molecule in solvent  $N$ ,  $R$  is the gas constant, and  $T$  is the temperature in kelvin. The results are collected in a table at the end of the log file.

The solvation models are parametrized for ambient conditions. If the temperature deviates significantly from these conditions the solvation energy estimates and hence the calculated  $\log P_{\text{solv1,solv2}}$  become less reliable.

## 7.4 Automatic Setup (AUTO)

You can use automatic setup for multiple minimizations of a collection of conformers with redundant conformer elimination. Automatic setup is enabled by adding the **AUTO** opcode to the command file for a calculation. You should place the **AUTO** opcode, with appropriate arguments, just before the **MINI** opcode in the command file. You must also add a **MULT** opcode to the command file, as seen in the example in [Section 7.6.2 on page 61](#). **AUTO** is available from Maestro only for conformational searches. Automatic setup should not be used with minimizations of single structures or minimizations with multiple non-conformers as input.

**AUTO** selects comparison atoms (**COMP**), so that redundant conformers can be identified and removed without explicitly designating comparison atoms in the command file or from Maestro. In addition, chiral atoms (**CHIG**) and torsion checks (**TORC**) are automatically identified by **AUTO**. **AUTO** applies the desired structural criteria to the minimized conformers as if the **CHIG** and **TORC** opcodes were present in the command file. **AUTO** has options to adjust or turn off these features. See the **AUTO** opcode in the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for more information.

In addition, **AUTO** uses the information in any substructure specified for the computation, including information on fixed or frozen atoms. The substructure must be specified in a substructure file and read in with a **SUBS 0** command, and cannot be specified in the command file. Likewise, fixed or frozen atoms must be specified in the substructure and cannot be specified independently.

Finally, if only automatic comparison atom setup is required for a multi-conformer multiple minimization with redundant conformer elimination, then **COMP arg1=0** may also be used in the command file. Examples are given in [Section 7.6.2 on page 61](#).

[AUTO](#) is not currently compatible with [LOOP](#), and must be used carefully with eMBrAcE. For more information on the parameters that [AUTO](#) is assembling, use [DEBG 520](#) or [521](#).

## 7.5 Preparation of Multi-Ligand Structure Files With premin

MacroModel's multiple minimization capabilities are used in a script named `premin`, which is designed to prepare multi-ligand structure files for use in Glide and other applications. Database files sometimes contain problematic ligand structures that are either chemically incorrect or have species that are not covered by the force field parameters. This script culls out such problematic structures and minimizes the energy of all other structures. The problematic structures and the minimized structures are saved in separate files. You can also filter out problematic structures without doing a preminimization.

The preminimization employs a 4 $\sigma$  distance-dependent dielectric model and uses MacroModel's efficient truncated-Newton minimizer. As well as the recommended default force field, MMFFs, `premin` also supports the OPLS\_2001 and OPLS\_2005 force fields.

The syntax for `premin` is shown below:

```
$SCHRODINGER/utilities/premin [options] input.mae
```

where `input.mae` is the input file that contains the ligand structures. The options are described in [Table 7.1](#).

*Table 7.1. Options for the `premin` script.*

Option	Description
-h	Print help text and exit.
-lic ligprep	Use LigPrep license instead of MacroModel license
-s output	Output file for successfully processed structures. Default is <code>input-min.mae</code> for minimizations, and <code>input-filtered.mae</code> for filtering.
-u bad	Output file of structures that could not be minimized. Default is <code>input-bad.mae</code> .
-v	Print version number and exit.
-doc	Print a brief guide to using <code>premin</code> .
-f 11	Use OPLS_2001 instead of the default force field, MMFFs.

Table 7.1. Options for the `premin` script. (Continued)

Option	Description
<code>-f 14</code>	Use OPLS_2005 instead of the default force field, MMFFs.
<code>-custom</code>	Use custom <code>filter.com</code> and <code>goodmin.com</code> files from the current working directory.
<code>-filter </code> <code>-m filter</code>	Filtering only: skip minimization.

By default, successfully minimized structures are saved in `input-min.mae`, and unsuccessful structures are saved in `input-bad.mae`. If you choose to filter out problematic structures without minimization (`-filter` or `-m filter`), successfully filtered structures are saved in the file `input-filtered.mae`, and problematic structures are again saved in `input-bad.mae`.

The script creates two MacroModel command files, `filter.com` and `goodmin.com`, and writes them to the current working directory. You can then customize these files and use them in place of the default files with the `-custom` option. If you perform filtering only, the file `goodmin.com` is not used.

This script uses the [SPAT](#) opcode to filter out problematic structures that contain metal ions or generalized atom types.

## 7.6 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either **Start** or **Write**, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

### 7.6.1 Energy Minimization of Multiple Non-Conformers

Below is an example of the command file for an energy minimization of multiple non-conformers and explanations of the opcodes used in the file.

```

mult-min.mae
mult-min-out.mae
MMOD    0    1    0    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
FFLD    10    1    0    1    1.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
BDCO    0    0    0    0    41.5692 99999.0000    0.0000    0.0000
DEMX    0    0    0    0    50.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
BGIN    0    0    0    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
READ    0    0    0    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
CONV    2    0    0    0    0.0500    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
MINI    9    0    500    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000
END      0    0    0    0    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000    0.0000

```

**MMOD:** Creates and updates an intermediate structure file so that structures can be displayed in Maestro as the job progresses.

**FFLD:** Force field selection. Arg1 denotes the actual force field used in the calculation (in this case, MMFF94). Arg2 defines the electrostatic treatment for the calculation. The default (arg=0) is to use the dielectric treatment encoded in the force field. Arg4 is MMFF94-specific. Arg4=1 defines the MMFF94s version of the force field, ensuring planarity around delocalized  $sp^2$  nitrogens.

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (BDCO) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**DEMX:** This command prevents saving of high-energy conformers during the search. Arg5 defines the allowed energy window above the current global minimum. New conformers that are not within arg5 kJ/mol are discarded. Additionally, a preliminary energy test can be performed during the energy minimization to ensure that a reasonable structure has been found. Arg2 sets the number of energy iterations to perform before the preliminary test (a good value is approximately 1/3 of the total number of energy iterations), while arg6 defines the energy above which conformers are discarded (a value of about 1.5–2 times arg5 is recommended).

**BGIN/END:** Defines the start/end of a loop. All commands between the BGIN and END lines are performed for each structure in the input file.

**READ:** Directs MacroModel to read the input file.

**CONV:** Defines convergence criteria. Arg1=2 signifies derivative convergence. The default criterion, if no **CONV** command is present, is 0.05 kJ/mol-Å; this value is set in arg5.

**MINI:** Starts the minimization. Arg1 defines the type of minimization algorithm to be used. Arg1=9 means that Truncated Newton-Raphson Conjugate Gradient will be used. In arg3, the number of minimization steps is defined. Arg3 can be set to a large number since the calculation will automatically stop as soon as the convergence criterion is reached.



## 7.6.2 Multiple Conformer Minimization With Automatic Redundant Conformer Elimination

Below are two examples of command files for energy minimization of multiple conformers with redundant conformer elimination. The first uses the **AUTO** opcode; the second uses the **COMP** opcode with `arg1=0`. Explanations of the opcodes used in the file that are essential to this example are given.

```
mult_conformers.mae
mult_auto-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV      3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CRMS      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.2400      0.0000      0.0000
DEMX      0      0      0      0      51.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MULT      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0100      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
AUTO      1      0      0      0      -1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      5000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**MULT**: Mandatory for this computation, due to the presence of the **AUTO** opcode.

**AUTO**: Automatic parameter assignment. Arg1 turns on **AUTO** only for the first conformer. Arg5 turns off torsional parameters, which are not needed for this minimization.

```
mult_conformers.mae
mult_comp-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV      3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CRMS      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.2400      0.0000      0.0000
DEMX      0      0      0      0      51.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0100      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      5000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**COMP:** Arg1=0 specifies that all heavy atoms are to be used as the comparison atoms when redundant conformer elimination is performed.

### 7.6.3 Multiple Minimization with Substructures Defined by ASL

The following example illustrates the use of ASL in a multiple minimization calculation that constrains heavy atoms of the molecules. The file `asl_test.mae` file consists of two molecules, cyclopentane and cyclohexane.

```
asl_test.mae
asl_test-out.mae
FFLD      14      1      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCCO      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SUBS      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ     -1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CRMS      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.2500      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      500      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**READ:** Arg1 is set to -1 to clear all molecular information for the previously read molecules.

The substructure file used for this example is as follows:

```
ASL1      -1      all
FXAT      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FXAT      0      0      0      0      -1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASL1      0      atom.ele H
ASL2      200.0    not (atom.ele H)
```

The first three lines clear all free, fixed atom and frozen atom definitions respectively. The fourth line adds all hydrogens to the substructure. The fifth line constrains all heavy atoms to their starting positions with a constraining force constant of  $200 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$ .

### 7.6.4 Partition Coefficient Estimation

Below is an example of a command file for conducting  $\log P_{\text{octanol,water}}$  calculations on a series of molecules. It is very similar to that used for multiple minimization of non-conformers.

```
logP.mae
logP-out.mae
FFLD      11      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCCO      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV      3      9      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
LOGP      1      1      1      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

---

```

READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
AUTO      0      -1      0      0      0.0000      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      5000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

**SOLV:** Specifies the first, or primary, solvent. Arg2 = 9 corresponds to octanol.

**LOGP:** Turns on partition coefficient estimation and specifies the second solvent. Arg2 = 1 corresponds to water.

**AUTO:** Necessary for the proper processing of LOGP calculations. Arg2 = -1 instructs **AUTO** not to make a list of comparison atoms, and arg6 = 1.0000 indicates that this is a serial calculation.

In the .log file produced when such a calculation is run, a report appears for each ligand processed:

```

=====
LOGP(octanol,water) Calculation for ligand # 1
Ligand Name: Case74C
LOGP(octanol,water) = 1.41024
=====

```

and a table appears at the end of the .log file:

```

=====
LOGP(octanol,water) Results

Ligand      Solvation Free Energies      LOGP(octanol,water)  Converged
              octanol      water
              kJ/mol.      kJ/mol.
      1      -26.99      -18.94      1.41      T
      2      -20.93      -12.48      1.48      T
      3      -20.02      -11.02      1.58      T
      4      -29.41      -16.19      2.32      T
      ...
      12      -16.84      -15.40      0.25      T
=====

```

Here, T or F in the Converged column signifies whether or not the minimizations (one in each solvent for each molecule) converged. If they did not converge, the results should not be considered reliable.



# Coordinate Scans

Coordinate scans can be used to probe the energy of a molecule as a function of a small number of geometric parameters: distances, angles, or dihedral angles. The process involves stepping through the specified parameters, restraining the parameters and performing a minimization on the remaining geometric parameters. For example, dihedral angle scans (formerly known as dihedral drives) are used to map out the potential energy for one or two dihedral angles, such as a Ramachandran  $\phi$ - $\psi$  plot for amino acids, and can be very useful in understanding conformational behavior. Coordinate scans can be performed either from Maestro or from the command line. [Section 4.7](#) of the *MacroModel Reference Manual* contains information on the commands required for a command-line coordinate scan.

## 8.1 Performing a Coordinate Scan in Maestro

You can use the MacroModel Coordinate Scan panel to set up and submit coordinate scan calculations on either one coordinate or two coordinates of the same type. The tools in the Scan tab allow you to define the coordinates for the calculation, to set the range through which the coordinates are to be scanned, and to specify the increment for each point in the scan.

All of the components of the Coordinate Scan panel, with the exception of the Scan tab, appear on other MacroModel energy panels. For information about the upper portion of the Coordinate Scan panel, the Potential, Constraints, and Substructure tabs, see [Section 4.1 on page 25](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#). For information about the controls in the Mini tab, see [Section 6.1 on page 45](#).


To open the Coordinate Scan panel, choose Coordinate Scan from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu on the main menu bar.

### To set up a coordinate scan:

1. Choose a coordinate type from the Coordinate type option menu.

You can choose Distance, Angle, or Dihedral. This choice fixes the coordinate type for the scan: if you scan two coordinates, they must be of the same type.

2. Choose Atoms or Bonds from the Pick menu.
3. Pick atoms or bonds in the Workspace to define the coordinate.



**Figure 8.1. The Scan tab of the Coordinate Scan panel.**

You should pick two atoms or one bond for a distance, three atoms or two bonds for an angle, and four atoms or three bonds for a dihedral. The picked atoms are marked with purple cubes until the last pick is made. The cubes are then replaced with a line that marks the coordinate and a symbol for the scan type. Distances are marked in purple, angles in green, and dihedrals in aquamarine.

You can also click the **Select** button to select protein dihedrals by their standard names (psi, phi, omega, etc.).

4. Enter values for the range and step size in the **Initial**, **Final**, and **Increment** text boxes.

An increment can be negative, but only if the initial value is larger than the final value. For distances, the default values are 1.0 and 10.0 angstroms for the initial and final values, and 1.0 angstroms for the increment. For angles and dihedrals, the default initial and final values are 0° and 360°, and the default increment is 30°. The minimum angle or dihedral increment is 1°, but an increment of 10° is usually sufficient.

5. (Optional) Repeat the picking operations to define a second coordinate.

To change the initial and final values and the increment for the scan calculation, select a coordinate entry from the list at the top of the tab, then enter values in the Initial, Final, and Increment text boxes.

To delete a defined coordinate, select it in the list of coordinates and click **Delete**. To delete all defined coordinates, click **Delete All**.

## 8.2 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either **Start** or **Write**, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

Below is an example command file for a dihedral scan calculation and explanations of the opcodes used in the files.

```
dscan.mae
dscan-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
DRIV      1     14     15     16     0.0000     360.0000     30.0000     0.0000
DRIV      6     25     26     27     0.0000     360.0000     30.0000     0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      9      0     500      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**MMOD**: Creates and updates an intermediate structure file so that structures can be displayed in Maestro as the job progresses.

**FFLD**: Force field selection. Arg1 denotes the actual force field used in the calculation (in this case MMFF94). Arg2 defines the electrostatic treatment for the calculation. The default (arg2=0) is to use the dielectric treatment encoded in the force field. In this case a constant dielectric (arg2=1), with a dielectric constant of 1 explicitly requested (arg5). Arg4 is

MMFF94-specific. Arg4=1 defines the MMFF94s version of the force field, ensuring planarity around delocalized  $sp^2$  nitrogens.

**READ:** Directs MacroModel to read the input file.

**BGIN/END:** Defines the start/end of a loop. All commands between the BGIN and END lines will be performed for each structure in the input file.

**DRIV:** Directs MacroModel to carry out a coordinate scan using the indicated dihedral angles (arg5=0° to arg6=360°) and step sizes (arg7=30°).

**CONV:** Defines convergence criteria. Arg1=2 signifies derivative convergence. The default criterion, if no CONV command is present, is 0.05kJ/mol-Å; this value is set in arg5.

**MINI:** Starts the minimization. Arg1 defines the type of minimization algorithm to be used. Arg1=9 means that Truncated Newton-Raphson Conjugate Gradient will be used. In arg3, the number of minimization steps is defined. Arg3 can be set to a large number since the calculation automatically stops as soon as the convergence criterion is reached.

## 8.3 Plotting Scan Results in Maestro

You can display the results of a coordinate scan in the 1DPlot panel or the 2DPlot panel in Maestro, depending on how many parameters you chose. These panels were designed for dihedral scans, but can be used for any kind of scan. The panels and their use is described below. Since there are many common features of these panels, the general features are described first. The information given below is also available in the online help.

To open the 1D Plot panel or the 2D Plot panel, choose 1D Plot or 2D Plot from the Tools menu in the main window.

### 8.3.1 1D and 2D Plot Panel General Features

Each panel consists of a plot area on the right and a set of controls on the left. The common controls are described below; the controls specific to a given plot are described in later sections.

Minimum Energy, Maximum Energy

These text boxes allow you to set the energy range for the plot.

Full Scale

Restores a plot whose range has been altered to its full scale.



### Energy Units

These options allow you to display data in either kJ/mol or kcal/mol energy units.

### Energy Scale


These options allow you to display the energy as either an absolute or a relative value. The Relative option is useful for estimating rotational barriers.

### Constrain to Square

This option forces the plot to be displayed as a square, regardless of how the panel is resized.

### Coordinate, Energy

These text boxes (Coordinate 1 and Coordinate 2 for 2D plots) display the values of the coordinate or coordinates and the energy at the pointer position when you middle-click and hold in the plot area. In addition, the structure corresponding to the given coordinates is displayed in the Workspace.



**Figure 8.2. The 1D Plot panel.**

### Decimal places

Specify the number of decimal places to be displayed for the values on the *x* and *y* axes.

### PostScript


Opens the 1D Plot PostScript panel or 2D Plot PostScript panel, which allow you to save a PostScript image of the plot area. When you have entered the file name, and selected a paper size and orientation, click Write to write the file.

## 8.3.2 The 1D Plot Panel

After a scan calculation with a single geometric parameter has been successfully completed, the resulting *.grd* file can be displayed graphically using this panel. To open a *.grd* file, click the Open button, navigate to the file, select it, and click Open. The data from the *.grd* file is displayed in the plot area. The corresponding structures are read into Maestro as a scratch entry, and one of the structures is displayed in the Workspace.

The appearance of a displayed plot can be changed using the controls on the left side of the panel and the controls in the 1D Data panel, which is opened using the Data Sets button.

- The ranges of the axes can be changed by entering values in the Minimum Coordinate, Maximum Coordinate, Minimum Energy and Maximum Energy text boxes.
- The symbol shape and color and the curve style, color, and width are all controlled from the 1D Data panel. To change these attributes, select the data set in the list at the top of the panel, then choose the attributes from the option menus.



**Figure 8.3. The 1D Data panel.**

You can display multiple plots from `.grd` files in the 1D Plot window. Each plot is added by clicking the Open button and selecting the `.grd` file. The data sets are listed in the 1D Data panel. You can delete a plot by selecting it in the list and clicking Delete.

The plot area itself is an interactive tool as well as a display area. If you middle-click in the plot area, the structure in the Workspace is updated to reflect the coordinate values that correspond to the pointer position on the plot, and the energy and coordinate values are displayed in the Energy and Coordinate text boxes. You can drag horizontally with the middle mouse button, and the structure changes as you move the mouse. Note that the displayed structure's movement is rigid-rotor. The original calculations used to obtain the data for the plot, however, are performed with all other degrees of freedom minimized. Even so, the molecule's movement should give some idea of the geometry at various points on the plot. If you have more than one plot in the plot area, only the last structure added is displayed and updated.

To create a tab-separated file that can be read by a spreadsheet, click Tabular Data. A dialog box opens, in which you can enter a file name for the file. The data for all available plots is written to this file.


### 8.3.3 2D Plot Panel

After a 2D scan calculation has been successfully completed, the resulting `.grd` file can be displayed as a contour plot using this panel. To open a `.grd` file, click the Browse button, navigate to the file, select it, and click Open. The data from the `.grd` file is displayed in the plot area as a contour map. The corresponding structures are read into Maestro as a scratch entry, and one of the structures is displayed in the Workspace. Only one `.grd` file can be displayed at any given time. Reading in a second file clears the data from the first.

The appearance of a displayed plot can be changed using the controls on the left side of the panel. You can set the number of contour lines in the Number of Contours text box, the line thickness in the Contour Width text box, and use dashed lines for negative contour values by selecting Negative Dashed. You cannot, however, change the range of coordinates displayed.

To determine the contour intervals, Maestro divides the range of energy values specified in the Minimum Energy and Maximum Energy text boxes (or the maximum and minimum values in the file) by  $n+1$ , where  $n$  is the specified number of contour lines. This means that the maximum and minimum energy values are not represented by contour lines.

The plot area itself is an interactive tool as well as a display area. If you middle-click in the plot area, the structure in the Workspace is updated to reflect the coordinate values that correspond to the pointer position on the plot, and the energy and coordinate values are displayed in the Energy and Coordinate text boxes.



**Figure 8.4.** The 2D Plot panel.

## 8.4 Checking and Interpreting Results

Coordinate scan calculations involve minimizations, and you should check that minimizations for all coordinate values converge.

# Conformational Searches

A frequent question in molecular modeling studies is, What conformations are important in this system? One way to address this question is to perform a conformational search in order to find a set of low energy conformers. MacroModel excels at conformational searching with a number of state-of-the-art conformational searching methods, described in the sections below. Conformational searches typically cycle through the process of generating a new structure, minimizing it, and then determining if the structure should be retained. Structure retention can be based upon energy relative to that of the lowest energy conformer found so far in the search and redundancy with other generated structures.

## 9.1 Conformational Search Methods

A variety of conformational search methods is available. The methods available in MacroModel are described below.

### Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum (MCM)

The Monte Carlo method (MCM) implemented in MacroModel is highly efficient in performing global searching, exploring close as well as distant areas of the potential energy surface (PES). Random changes are made in torsion angles during the search. Although there is no limit to the number of variable torsions allowed in the search, more than about 10 or 15 flexible torsions greatly increases the complexity of the search. You should therefore expect increased searching times, and possibly also non-convergence of the search. See References [20](#) and [21](#) for more information.

### Systematic Pseudo-Monte Carlo (SPMC)

If the task is to locate all possible conformational minima on the surface, MacroModel's systematic method (SPMC) ensures the exploration of unusual torsional values. SPMC allows for high efficiency throughout the search, even at the end where other methods tend to revisit already found conformers. The SPMC searching method is most efficient for smaller molecules. See Reference [22](#) for more information.

### Low-Mode Conformational Search Methods

If you have little or no prior knowledge of the system to be searched, the Low-Mode Conformational Search (LMCS) [[23](#)], based on the principles behind saddle point searching, allows

for automatic searching without the need to define parameters to be varied during the search. One important consequence of this is that LMCS allows for a serial search of multiple different molecules without user intervention.

A related method, Large Scale Low-Mode (LLMOD) [24, 25], has been developed for large-scale conformational searching, such as protein loop optimization, homology model refinement, and fully flexible docking for induced fit modeling. LLMOD is similar to LMOD, but computes low-mode eigenvectors of a Hessian matrix that is referenced only implicitly, through its product with a series of vectors. LLMOD is the first conformational search method that can be applied to fully flexible, unconstrained protein structures. Serial LLMOD calculations are not currently supported.

### **Mixed MCMM/Low-Mode Conformational Search Methods**

A pure LMCS search is local in scope, but performs exceptionally well in global searches through hybridization with MCMM [26]. By defining key torsions to be varied through MCMM steps, the mixed MCMM/LMCS search has proved faster and more efficient than any other searching algorithm for a variety of systems. MCMM/LMCS has successfully been applied to difficult tasks, such as searching the conformational space of a ligand in the active site of a protein. Mixed MCMM/LMC2 searches are also supported.


## **9.2 Performing Conformational Searches**

You can use the Conformational Search panel to set up and submit MacroModel conformational search calculations. The panel has six parts, five of which are common to other MacroModel panels. For more information about the controls in the Mini tab, see [Section 6.1 on page 45](#). For information about the upper portion of the Conformational Search panel and the Potential, Constraints, and Substructure tabs, see [Section 4.1 on page 25](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#).

The CSearch tab is unique to the Conformational Search panel. Controls in this tab allow you to choose a search method; define an energy window for saving structures; set up torsion, chiral center, and distance checks; and define other search parameters.

To open the panel, select Conformational Search from the MacroModel menu in the main menu bar. If the MacroModel menu is not in the main menu bar, choose MacroModel from the Applications menu.

To set up a conformational search, you must first select any entries that you want to use as input from the Project Table, or display the structure you want to use in the Workspace. In the Conformational Search panel, set the options in the upper portion of the panel and in the Potential, Constraints, and Substructure tabs, then set the options in the Mini tab.



**Figure 9.1. The CSearch tab of the Conformational Search panel.**

With these portions of the job setup complete, set the parameters of the conformational search in the CSearch tab. The controls in this tab are described below. When you have finished adjusting the settings in the CSearch tab, either click Start to set up and launch the job, or click Write to write the job commands to a file to be run or manually edited later.

### 9.2.1 Conformational Search Method

You can select the conformational search method to be used during a calculation from the Method option menu. The conformational search method options are described below.

#### Torsional sampling (MCMM)

This is the recommended conformational search method. The input structure is modified by random changes in torsion angles and/or molecular position as specified in the panels opened by the Torsion Rotations or Molecule Trans/Rot buttons. Ordinarily, whether a single structure

or multiple structures appear in the input file, they will first be read in, minimized, and treated as if already found by the MCMM procedure. This allows a new search to be initialized from the output of a previous search, by using the output file of the old search as input for the new one. See [page 73](#), and the [MCMM](#) opcode description in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*, for a detailed description of this method.

Serial torsional sampling (MCMM) is an automated procedure for performing a separate MCMM search on each structure in the input structure file, and is enabled by selecting Multi-ligand, next to the Method option menu. For this procedure, Perform automatic setup during calculation is selected and cannot be deselected.

#### Systematic torsional sampling (SPMC)

This method is similar to MCMM, but uses a systematic search instead of a random search. The search begins at low torsional resolution (120°), searches all angles without duplicating coverage, then doubles the resolution. This method has the advantage of not retracing its path and consequently converges the final stages of the conformational search more efficiently than MCMM. Like MCMM, the method is effectively open-ended: it will search conformational space until you stop it. See [page 73](#), and the [SPMC](#) opcode command description in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*, for a detailed description of this method.

#### Low-mode sampling

This method, termed LMOD, is highly efficient and has the advantage that ring structures and variable torsion angles do not need to be specified. This conformational search method works by exploring the low-frequency eigenvectors of the system, which are expected to follow “soft” degrees of freedom, such as torsions. LMOD methods search conformational space aggressively enough to switch the chirality of atoms within the structures provided. Chirality checking should be used for chiral atoms for which such chirality switching is undesirable (see [Section 9.2.2 on page 77](#)). See [page 73](#), and the [LMCS](#) opcode description in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*, for a detailed description of this method.

Serial low-mode sampling is an automated procedure for performing a separate low-mode search on each structure in the input structure file, and is enabled by selecting Multi-ligand, next to the Method option menu. For this procedure, Perform automatic setup during calculation is selected and cannot be deselected.

#### Mixed torsional/Low-mode sampling

This method uses a combination of the random changes in torsion angles and/or molecular position from the MCMM method, together with the low-mode steps from the LMOD method used in pure low-mode. See [page 74](#), and the [MCMM](#) and [LMCS](#) opcode descriptions in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*, for a detailed description of this method.



You can use this method to perform a separate search on each structure in the input structure file by selecting Multi-ligand, next to the Method option menu. For this procedure, Perform automatic setup during calculation is selected and cannot be deselected.

#### Large scale low-mode sampling

Large Scale Low-Mode (LLMOD) is similar to Low-Mode (LMOD), except that it uses techniques to reduce the memory requirements so that it can be applied to much larger systems such as protein-ligand complexes. Like LMOD, LLMOD methods search conformational space aggressively enough to switch the chirality of atoms within the structures provided. Chirality checking should be used for chiral atoms for which such chirality switching is undesirable (see [Section 9.2.2 on page 77](#)). See the [LMC2](#) and [ARPK](#) opcode descriptions in the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for a detailed description of this method.

Large-scale low-mode sampling can use a large amount of memory. If memory issues are encountered, consider eliminating the use of solvation. In addition, reducing non-bonded cutoffs also reduces the memory required for the computation. You can do this in the Potential tab by selecting Normal from the Cutoff option menu, or by selecting User defined and entering the appropriate reduced values in the text boxes.

#### Mixed torsional/Large scale low-mode sampling

This method uses a combination of the random changes in torsion angles and/or molecular position from the MCMM method, together with the low-mode steps from the LLMOD method used in Large scale low-mode. See the [MCMM](#), [LMC2](#), and [ARPK](#) opcode descriptions in the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for detailed descriptions of this method.

### 9.2.2 Automatic Setup of Conformational Search Variables

Before you start a conformational search, the values for certain variables need to be set, such as which torsions are to be rotated and which rings are to be opened. There are three ways to set up conformational search variables from the CSearch tab: using Perform Automatic Setup, using Perform automatic setup during calculation, or setting variables manually. Setting conformational search variables manually is described in the next section.

The automatic setup procedures select comparison atoms and other conformational search parameters for you. For MCMM and mixed MCMM/LMOD calculations, comparison atoms, chiral atoms, torsion checks, molecule moves, variable torsions, and ring closures are automatically set up. For low-mode and large-scale low-mode calculations, comparison atoms, chiral atoms, and torsion checks are automatically set up.

### Perform Automatic Setup

Use the Perform Automatic Setup button to automatically generate all the necessary variables for the search. The settings chosen by the automatic setup procedure can then be reviewed by choosing a variable type from the Search variables option menu and clicking Edit. The corresponding panel opens, in which you can define and edit the conformational search variables. These panels are described in [Section 9.2.5 on page 80](#). Automatic setup may not be available for all conformational search methods. If it is not available, the Perform Automatic Setup button is dimmed. Perform Automatic Setup applies only to the structures in the Workspace. To set up conformational search variables for other structures, use Perform automatic setup during calculation.

If you perform an automatic setup on an entry that contains a substructure, only the atoms in the substructure are automatically set up with MCMM parameters and comparison atoms. Atoms in fixed and frozen regions are not included in automatic setup.

To clear any previously set conformational search variables before performing an automatic setup, click Reset All Variables.

### Perform automatic setup during calculation

Perform automatic setup during calculation performs the same tasks as Perform Automatic Setup, but it permits you to set up parameters for all the structures that are processed when the search is performed. This option disables the controls used with Perform Automatic Setup. You can use this option with any of the conformational search methods, but you must use it with serial MCMM searches. By default, it is selected. This option inserts AUTO opcodes into the command file. See [Section 7.4 on page 57](#) and the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for more information on AUTO.

**Note:** Automatic setup is not intended for use with constraints. If you set constraints and use automatic setup, MacroModel can fail because the automatic setup can request movement of atoms that have constraints.

### Torsion sampling options

You can use the options on this menu, in the Customize the search section, to determine which of the torsions around amide and ester linkages and other planar groups (azo and imido groups) are selected for sampling during Automatic Setup. The options are as follows:

- **Restricted**—Do not sample amide and ester derivatives or other planar groups. Torsional constraints are applied to ensure that the relative conformation of these groups is maintained during the MCMM and minimization process. (Sets AUTO arg8=0.)

- **Intermediate**—Sample the torsions of amide or ester linkages of non-standard groups like anhydrides, carbamates, hydrazones, and so on. Normal ester and amide linkages are not sampled, nor are azo and imido linkages. (Sets AUTO arg8=1.)
- **Enhanced**—Sample all amide-like and ester-like linkages, including standard amides and esters. Azo and imido linkages are not sampled. (Sets AUTO arg8=2.)
- **Extended**—Sample rotations around C=N and N=N bonds, in addition to all amide and ester derivatives. (Sets AUTO arg8=3.)

### 9.2.3 Global Search Restrictions

In the Customize the search section, you can set restrictions on the scope of the search and its output. In addition, you can specify the criterion for equivalent structures in the lower portion of the panel.

#### Retain mirror-image conformations

By default, the search algorithm compares each trial structure and its mirror image with the list of unique structures to determine if the trial structure is unique. If you select this option, mirror images are considered to be separate structures and both structures are retained.

#### Maximum number of steps

For each search method, specify the number of steps to be performed in the Maximum number of Steps text box. When the number of generated trial structures matches the value in field, the conformational search is terminated.

#### Use *N* steps per rotatable bond

Use this text box to specify the number of unique structures to save per rotatable bond. The total number of structures is still limited by the Maximum number of steps setting. This option is useful for serial searches, where the structures might have different numbers of rotatable bonds. The default is 100.

#### Number of structures to save for each search

Use this text box to specify the number of unique structures to save when the search is complete, counting from the structure that is lowest in energy. If the value is zero, all unique structures are saved.

#### Energy window for saving structures

The default value is set to 21 kJ/mol. The value in this text box is used to compare trial structures. Any new structures generated and minimized are saved as results of the search only if

they are within the energy window value above the current global minimum. Lowering this value results in the search saving fewer structures.

Eliminate redundant conformers using

If comparison atoms are chosen or Perform automatic setup during calculation is selected, structures produced during a conformational search are compared to see if they are unique. Two options are available for the conditions for structures to be considered different:

- Maximum atom deviation—consider structures to be different if the maximum atom deviation for any atom exceeds the threshold given in the Cutoff text box.
- RMSD—consider structures to be different if the RMS deviation for all compared atoms exceeds the threshold given in the Cutoff text box.

The default cutoff is 0.5 Å for both options. Unless there are fixed or frozen atoms, the structures are superimposed prior to calculating the distances.

### 9.2.4 Low-Mode Parameters

In the lower portion of the Customize the search section are three text areas that are relevant only to low-mode searches. These are active only when any of the four methods involving Low-Mode Conformational Searching is the current search method.

Probability of a torsion rotation/molecule translation

This control is available only with the mixed methods. This text box allows you to set a probability that any defined torsion rotations and molecule translations will be made during the search. The value should be a number from 0.0 to 1.0.

Minimum distance for low-mode move

Maximum distance for low-mode move

Used for setting the minimum and maximum distance for a low-mode move. During a search, the fastest moving atom is moved at randomly generated distances that are between the minimum and maximum values specified in these text boxes.

### 9.2.5 Setting Conformational Search Variables Manually

Automatic setup of search variables can be used for most purposes. There are occasions in which you may want to set conformational search variables manually, or to examine them. To do so, select the search variable from the Search variables option menu, and click Edit. This button opens a panel that can be used to set the chosen kind of variable for a conformational search.

A description of each of these panels is given below. Each panel has some common features: a text box at the top that lists the defined features; a **Show markers** button, which controls display of the defined features; a **Delete** button, which deletes the selected definition from the list; and a **Delete All** button, which deletes all the defined features in the list. In any of the subpanels, you can edit a defined feature by selecting it in the list and picking Workspace atoms.

Markers can be displayed in the Workspace to identify the conformational search variables. Most of the panels have a **Show markers** option to control the display of markers for the variables set in that panel. When you close the panel, the markers are undisplayed. You can also display or undisplay markers for all variables by clicking **Display All Markers** or **Undisplay All Markers**.

To clear any previously set conformational search variables, click **Reset All Variables**.

### 9.2.5.1 Ring Closures


During a Monte Carlo-based conformational search (MCMM or SPMC), rings must be opened before varying their torsions. Then, after random torsion variations have been evaluated, the ring must be re-closed. The appropriate location for ring closures must be defined before a calculation can be started. “Ring Closures” consist of four atoms that define the bond to be broken (and then re-closed) during an MCMM or SPMC step.

The simplest way to create ring closures is to use the **Perform Automatic Setup** button. MacroModel makes reasonable decisions about where a ring should be opened. The list of atoms in the ring that will be opened appears in the text box at the top of the Ring Closures panel. Each ring closure is defined by four atoms. The opening is made between the second and third atoms. If **Show markers** is selected, the ring closure is marked by a light green line, which is broken between the second and third atoms. A lightning bolt is also placed between the second and third atoms to show where the opening will be made.

The functions of the Ring Closures panel are described below.

#### Minimum distance

You must specify the minimum acceptable distance between the second and third ring closure atoms. If the distance between the ring closure atoms is less than this minimum distance, then the ring is reopened and a different set of random variations is performed. The default value for this is 0.5 Å. This value should suffice for most searches.



**Figure 9.2. The Ring Closures panel.**

#### Maximum distance

The maximum acceptable distance between the second and third atoms of a re-closed ring can be specified. If the distance between the ring closure atoms is greater than this maximum distance, the ring is reopened and a different set of random variations is performed. The default value for this is 2.5 Å. This value should suffice for most searches.


#### Define ring closures

To define the location of a ring closure manually, choose Atom or Bond from the Pick menu and pick four atoms or three bonds from the ring. Picked atoms are marked by purple boxes. The bond is broken between the second and third picked atoms. Once four atoms have been picked, a new entry is displayed in the list and the ring closure is marked by the green line and lightning bolt, as described above.

### 9.2.5.2 Torsion Rotations

During a Monte Carlo conformational search, random changes are performed on the structure of the molecule. Structure energies are then evaluated. To set up a Monte Carlo-based search using either the MCMC or SPMC method, you must specify which torsions in the molecule can be rotated. These torsions are defined by pairs of atoms (defining bonds around which the structures can be rotated) using the Torsion Rotations panel.

The simplest way to define torsion rotations is to use the Perform Automatic Setup button. MacroModel detects torsions that need to be rotated and generates a list of torsion rotation definitions. This list appears in the text box at the top of the Torsion Rotations panel.



**Figure 9.3. The Torsion Rotations panel.**

If Show markers is selected, the bonds defined as torsion rotations are indicated in the Workspace by a purple line and an icon (a purple horizontal line encircled by an arrow).

The functions of the Torsion Rotations panel are described below.

#### Minimum rotation

The minimum acceptable rotation of a torsion must be specified. Each time that a torsion must be rotated, an increment for rotation larger than this value is selected. The default minimum rotation is 0°.


If a torsion rotation is added for a double or amide bond (i.e., to search both E- and Z- isomers around these bonds), the minimum value for rotations should be set to 90°. This maximizes the probability that interconversion between the two isomers will occur.

#### Maximum rotation

This text field allows you to specify a value for the maximum acceptable torsion rotation increment. Each time that a torsion must be rotated, an increment for rotation smaller than this value is selected. The default maximum rotation is 180°.

#### Define torsions to rotate

To define torsion rotations manually, choose Atom or Bond from the Pick menu, and pick two atoms or one bond in the Workspace structure to define the torsion rotation. When you pick the first of a pair of torsion rotation atoms, Maestro places a crimson box around it. Once both the atoms have been picked, a new entry is displayed in the list at the top of the panel, and the bond is marked as described above if Show markers is selected.



**Figure 9.4.** *The Molecule Trans/Rot panel.*

### 9.2.5.3 Molecule Trans/Rot

During a Monte Carlo conformational search, random changes are performed on the structure of the molecule. Structure energies are then evaluated to find the lowest-energy structure possible. To set up a Monte Carlo-based search using either the MCMM or SPMC method, you must specify which molecules will be translated and rotated. The Molecule Trans/Rot panel facilitates the specification of molecules to be rotated and translated during a Monte Carlo (MCMM or SPMC) conformational search.

The simplest way to define molecules for translation and rotation is to use the Perform Automatic Setup button. MacroModel locates molecules that need to be translated and rotated, and generates a list of molecule translation and rotation definitions. This list appears in the text box at the top of the Molecule Trans/Rot panel.

Maestro labels the selected molecules with peach-colored vertical arrows encircled by “rotation” arrows if Show markers is selected. The currently selected molecule is distinguished by a turquoise label.

The controls of the Molecule Trans/Rot panel are described below.

#### Minimum rotation

The minimum acceptable rotation of a molecule must be specified. Each time a molecule must be rotated, an increment for rotation that is larger than this value is selected. The default minimum rotation is 0°.



### Maximum rotation

The Maximum rotation text field allows you to specify a value for the maximum acceptable molecule rotation increment. Each time a molecule must be rotated, an increment for rotation that is smaller than this value is selected. The default maximum rotation is 180°.

### Minimum translation

The value in the Minimum translation text box sets the lower limit for molecule translation. Each time a molecule must be translated, a random increment larger than this value is used. The default value is 0.0.

### Maximum translation

This text box sets the upper limit for molecule translation. Each time a molecule must be translated, a random increment smaller than this value is used. The default value is 1.0.

### Define molecules to translate/rotate

To define molecules to be translated or rotated manually, click on an atom in the Workspace structure. (Define molecules to translate/rotate is selected by default.) A new entry is displayed in the list at the top of the panel. The atom is colored peach and the vertical arrow icon is placed by the atom in the Workspace. Although only the picked atom is marked, the entire molecule is selected. You should not pick more than one atom in any molecule, even though this operation is allowed.

#### 9.2.5.4 Comparison Atoms

During conformational searches, new structures are generated and minimized. The structures are compared against other low-energy structures that have already been found in the search. The comparison is performed by rigid superposition, comparing only those atoms specified as “comparison atoms” in the setup.


The simplest way to define comparison atoms is to click Perform Automatic Setup. MacroModel locates comparison atoms and generates a list of the atoms. This list appears in the text box at the top of the Comparison Atoms panel.

The features of the Comparison Atoms panel work in the same way as for multiple minimization (see [page 55](#)).

To define comparison atoms, use one or more of the following options:

- Click Heavy Atoms + O-H,S-H.

This adds all non-hydrogen atoms and the hydrogen atoms attached to oxygen and sulfur to the list of comparison atoms.



**Figure 9.5. The Comparison Atoms panel.**

- Click Heavy Atoms.

This adds only the non-hydrogen atoms to the list of comparison atoms.

- Pick atoms in the Workspace.

Choose an object from the Pick menu, and click atoms in the Workspace to add the atoms to the list of comparison atoms.

- Select atoms using the Atom Selection dialog box.

For more complex combinations of comparison atoms, click Select to select atoms using the Atom Selection dialog box.

- Select all atoms.


Click All to add all atoms to the list of comparison atoms.

Comparison atoms are marked in light green in the Workspace with an “=” icon beside them. The currently selected comparison atom is marked in aquamarine.

### 9.2.5.5 Chiral Atoms

Because Monte Carlo conformational searches can generate and then minimize highly strained structures, chiral atoms in a molecule might be inverted. To prevent structures with inverted centers from appearing on the final list of optimized structures, you must identify a molecule's chiral atoms before beginning a search. Once defined, the chirality of each center is compared against that in the starting structure. If inversion has occurred, the search result is rejected.

The simplest way to define chiral atoms is to click Perform Automatic Setup. MacroModel locates chiral atoms and generates a list of the atoms. This list appears in the text box at the top of the Chiral Atoms panel.




**Figure 9.6. The Chiral Atoms panel.**

If it is necessary to define chiral atoms manually, check that Define chiral atoms is selected (the default), and pick the atoms in the Workspace to add them to the list.

Chiral atoms are marked in peach with “RIS” labels beside them. The currently selected atom is colored turquoise. To hide these markers, deselect Show markers.

#### 9.2.5.6 Distance Check

Occasionally you might want to restrict the scope of a conformational search to generate only structures that are consistent with certain geometric constraints—for example, when experimentally obtained results such as NOE constraints are available. Distance checks are used to reject structures in which certain distances do not meet the specified criteria.



**Figure 9.7. The Distance Check panel.**

Distance checks cannot be set using the automatic setup process. They must be added by picking atoms from the structure in the Workspace.


To define a distance for checking, choose either **Atom** or **Bond** from the **Pick** menu, then pick two atoms or one bond in the Workspace. A new entry is added to the list at the top of the Distance Check panel. Maestro marks the defined distance check with a purple dotted line and a “check” icon. The currently selected distance check is distinguished by two solid lines on either side of the dotted line.

For each pair of atoms that you select, you must define the minimum and maximum distances in the Minimum distance and Maximum distance text boxes. Structures with distances that lie outside this range are rejected.

### 9.2.5.7 Torsion Check

Because highly strained structures may be generated during Monte Carlo conformational searches, the geometry around double or amide bonds may be changed (from E- to Z- isomers, for example). You might want to restrict the scope of the conformational search to structures that retain the original torsions around these bonds. Torsion checks can be used to reject structures that do not retain the original geometry for these special cases.

The simplest way to define torsion checks is to click **Perform Automatic Setup**. MacroModel generates a list of the amides and double bonds in a structure. This list appears in the text box at the top of the Torsion Check panel.



**Figure 9.8.** The Torsion Check panel.

Torsion checks can be added by picking atoms in the Workspace. To define a torsion for checking, choose either Atom or Bond from the Pick menu, then pick four atoms or three bonds in the Workspace. A new entry is added to the list at the top of the Torsion Check panel, and the torsion check is marked with a solid red line and a check mark. The currently selected torsion check is marked by solid lines on either side of the red line.


For each torsion check, the minimum and maximum torsional angle must be defined. Any search structures in which the checked torsional angle is not between the minimum and maximum angle is rejected and not included in search results.

### 9.2.5.8 Ligand Bonds

Conformational searches of inorganic complexes produce structures in which ligand positions vary with respect to the metal center. Maestro permits the specific definition of the ligand bonds around which the reorientation takes place.

To use this feature, choose Atom or Bond from the Pick menu and click on the desired bonds or atom pairs that define these bonds in the Workspace. A new entry appears in the list at the top of the panel, and Maestro marks the bond with a purple dotted line and a scissor icon. The selected bond is distinguished by purple lines on either side of the dotted line.

After the bonds have been selected, click Perform Automatic Setup in the Conformational Search panel. Maestro generates molecular rotation and translation commands for each fragment created by the defined ligand bonds. In addition, it produces torsion rotations, torsion checks, and chiral atom definitions. To view or edit the automatically generated settings, open the Torsion Rotations, Torsion Check, or Chiral Atoms panels.



**Figure 9.9.** The Ligand Bonds panel.

## 9.3 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either **Start** or **Write**, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs, you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

### 9.3.1 Conformational Search Using MCMM

An example command file appears below for a conformational search calculation that uses the MCMM search method. Descriptions of the opcodes in the file follow.

```
mcomm.mae
mcomm-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      10     1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCMM      100     0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCNV      2       4      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCSS      2       0      0      0      50.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCOP      1       0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
DEMX      0      166     0      0      50.0000      100.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM      0       0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      1       2      3      4      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      5       6      7      9      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      10      14     15     16     0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      17      25     26     27     0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      28      29     30     31     0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      1       14     0      0      0.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      6       25     0      0      0.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      14      15     0      0      0.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      15      16     0      0      0.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      25      26     0      0      0.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2       0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      9       0      500     0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**MMOD:** Creates and updates an intermediate structure file so that structures can be displayed in Maestro as the job progresses.

**FFLD:** Force field selection. Arg1 defines the force field used in the calculation (in this case MMFF94). Arg2 defines the electrostatic treatment for the calculation. In this case a constant dielectric is used. Arg4 is MMFF94 specific: arg4=1 defines the MMFF94s version of the force field, ensuring planarity around delocalized  $sp^2$  nitrogens.

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (BDCO) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 are used to specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**READ:** Read the input file.

**MCMC:** Use Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum searching. Arg1 defines the number of MC steps for the search.

**MCNV:** Sets the number of degrees of freedom to be varied at each MC step. If arg1 and arg2 differ, the search varies a random number of degrees of freedom between the numbers defined in arg1 and arg2. We recommend setting arg1=2 and arg2=maximum number of degrees of freedom.

**MCSS:** Select starting structure for the search steps. Arg1=2 defines use-directed selection of starting structures, where the least used structures will be used as starting geometries, as long as they are low enough in energy (as defined in arg5). This is more efficient in exploring new areas of the potential energy surface than, for instance, a random-walk starting geometry scheme. Arg5 gives the energy window for selecting a new starting structure. The new starting structure must be within arg5 kJ/mol of the lowest energy conformer found in the search.

**MCOP:** Monte Carlo options determine what and how often data is written to the log file. Arg1=1 ensures printing to the log file at every search step.

**DEMX:** This command is used to prevent saving of high-energy conformers during the search. Arg5 defines the allowed energy window above the currently found global minimum. New conformers that are not within arg5 kJ/mol will be discarded. Additionally, a preliminary energy test can be performed during the energy minimization, to ensure that a reasonable structure has been found. Arg2 sets the number of energy iterations to be performed before the preliminary test (a good value is approximately 1/3 of the total number of energy iterations), while arg6 defines the energy above which conformers will be discarded (a value of about 1.5–2 times arg5 is recommended).

**MSYM:** Invokes the numbering symmetry library `mmsym`, which automatically and more generally identifies a suitable numbering order for use in comparing molecular conformations.

**COMP:** Arg1-arg4 list the atom numbers of atoms to be used in structural comparison with all previously found conformers. A maximum of 200 atoms can be used in the comparisons. For

arg1=0, all heavy atoms are compared. By default, structures that have equivalent atoms separated by more than 0.25 Å upon superposition are considered different.

**TORS:** Defines the variable torsions in the molecule. Arg1 and arg2 are the atom numbers of the two central atoms defining a variable torsion. Arg5 and arg6 define the minimum and maximum dihedral angle variations (in both directions).

**CONV:** Defines convergence criteria. Arg1=2 specifies derivative convergence (default criterion is 0.05 kJ/mol-Å, and this value is set in arg5).

**MINI:** Starts the minimization. Arg1 defines the type of minimization algorithm to be used. Arg1=9 means that Truncated Newton-Raphson Conjugate Gradient will be used. In arg3, the number of minimization steps is defined. Arg3 can be set to a large number since the calculation automatically stops as soon as the convergence criterion has been reached.

### 9.3.2 Multi-Structure Conformational Search Using LMOD

An example command file appears below for a conformational search calculation using low-mode conformational searching. Descriptions of the opcodes in the file follow. However, opcodes that are also in the MCMC command file example are not repeated. See the explanations in [Section 9.3.1](#).

```
serial-lmcs.mae
serial-lmcs-out.mae
FFLD      10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
LMCS      100     0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      3.0000      6.0000
MCSS      2      0      0      0      50.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCOP      1      0      0      1      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
DEMX      0      166     0      0      50.0000      100.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      500     0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**LMCS:** Use the Low-Mode Conformational Search method. Arg1=100 means that 100 Monte Carlo steps will be carried out before the calculation stops.

**MCSS:** Select starting geometries for Monte-Carlo search steps. Arg1=2 tells MacroModel to use as starting geometries structures whose energies are allowed by arg2 and arg5, and are used the fewest times as starting structures.

**MCOP:** Arg1=1 prints search results every step. Arg4=1 signifies that this is a low-mode serial search. A separate conformational search will be performed on all molecules in the input file.



Arg5=0 implies a pure low-mode conformational search, rather than a mixed-mode, as in a previous example.

**COMP:** Setting arg1=0 allows for all heavy atoms to be compared for each structure in the input file. This removes the need to use atom numbers for the individual structures.

### 9.3.3 Mixed MCMM/Low-Mode Search Using a Substructure File

This example uses the CDK2 structure 1e1v with the co-crystallized ligand cmg. Alternate binding modes of the ligand are sampled using a mixture of torsional moves, low-mode moves, and rotation and translation of the ligand, in a conformational search. This example was prepared with Maestro. A substructure is used, in which the freely moving region includes the ligand and any residues with atoms within 3.0 Å of the ligand. A fixed and frozen region was also set up in the Substructure tab. The conformational search parameters were initially set by using the Perform Automatic Setup button in the CSearch tab. The parameters were then modified using the individual parameter panels in the CSearch tab to arrive at the sample instruction file below. Only torsions in the ligand are being explicitly sampled in this example.

Descriptions of the opcodes in the file follow. However, opcodes in the previous command files in the chapter are not repeated. See the previous examples for those explanations.

subs-mcmm-lmod.mae

subs-mcmm-lmod-out.mae

MMOD	0	1	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
FFLD	11	1	0	0	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
EXNB	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BDCO	0	0	0	0	89.4427	99999.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SUBS	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CRMS	0	0	0	0	10.0000	0.5000	0.0000	0.0000
LMCS	10	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000	6.0000
MCNV	2	4	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCSS	2	0	0	0	50.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOP	1	0	0	0	0.5000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
DEMX	0	666	0	0	50.0000	100.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	117	121	128	133	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	136	140	158	162	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	165	169	174	176	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	223	225	231	236	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	439	445	466	470	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	473	476	482	487	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	1291	1296	1299	1303	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	1307	1309	1313	1318	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	1326	1362	1366	1370	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	1372	2105	2109	2115	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	2120	2155	2159	2164	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	2172	2323	2327	2331	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

COMP	2333	4599	4600	4601	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	4602	4603	4604	4605	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	4606	4607	4608	4609	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	4610	4611	4612	4613	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COMP	4614	4615	4616	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MSYM	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CHIG	117	119	165	167	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CHIG	223	439	468	1291	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CHIG	1311	1364	2107	2157	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CHIG	2325	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
TORS	4600	4610	0	0	0.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
TORS	4604	4605	0	0	0.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
TORS	4605	4606	0	0	0.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
TORS	4606	4611	0	0	0.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MOLS	4633	0	0	0	0.0000	180.0000	0.0000	1.0000
TORC	135	134	132	133	90.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
TORC	164	163	161	162	90.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
TORC	1310	1309	1307	1308	90.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
TORC	2118	2117	2115	2116	90.0000	180.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CONV	2	0	0	0	0.1000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	9	0	1000	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**SUBS:** With `arg1=0` look for an `.sbc` file that contains information on how the substructure is set up in the system.

**MCOP:** `Arg1=1` print search results every step. `Arg5=0.5` specifies that this mixed Monte Carlo/Low-Mode search will attempt Monte Carlo torsional and/or molecular translation moves half the time. The rest of the attempted moves will be generated using low-mode moves.

**MOLS:** `Arg1-4` specifies one atom from each molecule to be moved using molecular translation/rotation moves. `Arg5` and `arg6` specify the minimum and maximum rotation angles while `arg7` and `arg8` specify the minimum and maximum translation distances.

### 9.3.4 Conformational Searches Using Multiple Minimization Methods (MWRT)

MWRT minimizations enable multiple minimizer methods to be applied to a structure during the minimization stage, which can enhance the performance of the minimization process. This method can be used in a conformational search. Examples are given in the examples directory,

`$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`

The example `mwrt_csearch` demonstrates an efficient small-molecule conformational search using MWRT. The example `mwrt_sersearch` is a multi-structure example. In this second example, `AUTO arg6=1` indicates a multi-structure conformation search. More information about MWRT is available in [Section 6.2.3 on page 48](#).

## 9.4 Checking and Interpreting Results

Like other methods that involve minimizations, it is important to check that all of the minimizations for the conformers generated are converged. If some of the results are not converged, they can be minimized further using multiple minimization—see [Chapter 7](#) for details.

You can also use Jaguar for further refinement of the conformers. After you have optimized the structures with Jaguar, you should perform a redundant conformer elimination using the Jaguar energy as the source of energy, because some conformers that are considered separate by MacroModel might optimize to the same minimum in Jaguar. See [Chapter 4](#) of the *Jaguar User Manual* for more information on Jaguar optimizations, and [Chapter 17](#) of this manual for information on redundant conformer elimination. A procedure for a combined MacroModel/Jaguar conformational search is described in [Section 6.6](#) of the *Jaguar User Manual*.

Often conformational searches yield large numbers of conformers. Clustering the conformers into families of similar structures can lead to useful insights. XCluster is an excellent tool for performing clustering analysis on collections of conformers obtained from conformational searches. See [Chapter 16](#) and the *MacroModel XCluster Manual* for more information.



# Dynamics Calculations

Molecular dynamics simulations in MacroModel use classical mechanics (Newton's equations of motion) to mimic how the system would behave as a function of time, typically at or close to the temperature of interest. You can use molecular dynamics studies to learn about the thermal variations within a system or to permit it to relax out of a local minimum structure into related but more probable structures.

## 10.1 The Dynamics Panel

Using the Dynamics panel, you can set up dynamics calculations and either submit the calculation or write the job files for later use. The Dynamics panel consists of the upper, general portion that is common to other MacroModel panels. This section is discussed in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#). The panel contains six tabs: Potential, Constraints, Substructure, Mini, Monitor, and Dynamics. The Monitor and Dynamics tabs are unique to the Dynamics panel. The controls in these tabs are explained below. For information on the other tabs, see [Section 4.2 on page 26](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#).

To open the Dynamics panel, select Dynamics from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu on the main menu bar.

## 10.2 Performing a Dynamics Calculation

To set up parameters for a dynamics calculation, first set values in the upper part of the Dynamics panel and in the Potential, Constraints, Substructure, and Mini tabs, then set values in the Monitor and Dynamics tabs, which are described below.

### 10.2.1 The Monitor Tab

During a molecular dynamics simulation, you can monitor a number of geometrical parameters. These are angles, inter-atomic distances, dihedral angles, the surface areas of individual atoms, and the population of hydrogen-bonds. The Monitor tab of the Dynamics panel contains a series of buttons that open panels that allow you to define these parameters. The results of the monitoring appear in the *jobname.mmo* file. Information is also recorded in the *jobname.log* and the *jobname-out.mae* files. The latter is used to convey the property values to Maestro's project table.




**Figure 10.1. The Monitor tab of the Dynamics panel.**

You can also sample structures during a simulation. To do this, simply enter the total number of structures you want to sample in the Number of structures to sample: text box. This number of structures is selected at regular intervals throughout the simulation and written to the output (*jobname-out.mae*) file.

Five of the buttons in the Monitor tab open panels that allow you to choose what to monitor. Each of these panels is described in a section below. Each panel has a text area in which the atoms that define each geometrical parameter are listed, an atom selection tool, and Delete and Delete All buttons to delete one or all items from the list. You can redefine an item by selecting it and then picking atoms in the workspace. When parameters are defined, the atoms defining them are marked in the Workspace if Show Markers is selected. When you close the panel, the markers are automatically cleared.

The remaining button, Reset All, removes all entries from all dynamics monitors.



**Figure 10.2. The Monitored Atoms Surface Area panel.**

### 10.2.1.1 Surface Areas


Use the Monitored Atom Surface Areas panel to specify the atoms whose surface areas are to be monitored during the simulation. To monitor the surface area of specific atoms, use the selection tool to pick atoms. The selection tool allows you to specify atoms in the following ways:

- Choose a structural unit from a Pick menu and pick atoms in the Workspace belonging to structural units of the selected type.
- Choose all atoms by clicking the All button.
- Choose atoms using the Atom Selection dialog box, which creates ASL expressions that define the selected atoms. Open the Atom Selection dialog box by clicking the Select button.

After each atom is picked, a new entry appears in the list of atoms in the Monitored Atoms List located at the top of the panel. When an atom is picked, Maestro marks it and places an eye icon beside it. The currently selected atom is colored teal, the other selected atoms are colored green.

### 10.2.1.2 Distances

Use the Monitored Distances panel to specify interatomic distances for monitoring. To define a distance to be monitored, choose Atom or Bond from the Pick menu, and click on two atoms or one bond in the Workspace. When the distance is defined, the two atoms appear in the list at the top of the panel. The defined distances are marked with a purple dotted line and eye icon. The currently selected distance is distinguished by a solid line on either side of the dotted line.



**Figure 10.3.** The Monitored Distances panel (left) and the Monitored Angles panel (right).

### 10.2.1.3 Angles

Use the Monitored Angles panel to specify angles for monitoring. To define an angle to be monitored, choose Atom or Bond from the Pick menu, and click on three atoms or two bonds in the Workspace that define an angle. When the angle is defined, the three atoms appear in the list at the top of the panel. The defined angles are marked with a green solid line, a dotted line through the angle, and an eye icon. The currently selected angle is distinguished by a solid line on either side of the dotted line.

### 10.2.1.4 Torsions


Use the Monitored Torsions panel to select dihedral angles for monitoring. To define a dihedral angle to be monitored, choose Atom or Bond from the Pick menu, and click on four atoms or three bonds in the Workspace that define a dihedral angle. When the dihedral angle is defined, the four atoms appear in the list at the top of the panel. The defined dihedral angles are marked with a red solid line, a dotted line through the dihedral angle, and an eye icon. The currently selected angle has a solid line on either side of the dotted line.

### 10.2.1.5 H-Bonds

During a dynamics simulation, MacroModel periodically examines the geometry around each monitored H-bond. If the bond meets the three user-specified H-bond criteria, it is counted in the H-bond population survey.

To select H-bonds from the structure in the Workspace, click the four atoms that define the bond and the associated angles and distances. The picking order of the atoms is important. Start with the heavy atom of the donor pair (designated X), followed by the hydrogen atom (designated H), the acceptor (designated Y), and an atom attached to the acceptor (designated Z) to define an angle.






**Figure 10.4. The Monitored Torsions panel.**

For example, in a typical N–H to O=C hydrogen bond, the picking order should be N, H, O, then C. After the fourth atom has been selected, a new entry appears in list at the top of the panel. The H-bond is marked with solid yellow lines between X and H and between Y and Z, and a dotted yellow line between H and Y. The currently selected H-bond has solid lines on either side of the dotted line.

You can specify the monitoring criteria for the selected list entry by entering a maximum H...Y distance, a minimum X–H...Y angle, and a minimum H...Y–Z angle in the appropriate text boxes. If you do not specify values, the default values are used. The default value for the H...Y distance is 2.5 Å, the minimum X–H...Y angle has a default value of 120°, and the minimum H...Y–Z angle is 90°. If the distance is greater than the maximum or either of the angles is smaller than the minimum, the H-bond is not counted in the current population survey.



**Figure 10.5. The Monitored H-Bonds panel.**

### 10.2.1.6 Monitoring Output

The values associated with the monitored output of structures, energies, and temperatures from a dynamics calculation correspond to

- The instantaneous value.
- The block average since the last monitored structure.
- The average of all steps since the start of the simulation.

The distance output also includes, for distance  $r$ ,

- Block average of  $1/r^6$ .
- The average over all steps of  $1/r^6$ .

## 10.2.2 The Dynamics Tab

The Dynamics tab of the Dynamics panel contains settings for defining the dynamics calculation method and the calculation conditions.

The controls in the Dynamics tab are described below.

### Method

This menu contains two options:

- Stochastic dynamics (default): The most common method because it includes random forces that simulate the buffeting of a system by solvent molecules. In combination with damping forces, the random forces provide a simple, robust method for controlling temperature in a simulation. The random forces can also assist in sampling the potential energy surface. See Reference [27](#) for more information.
- Molecular dynamics: Uses a standard constant temperature velocity-Verlet algorithm.

### SHAKE

The SHAKE procedure [[28](#)] constrains selected bond lengths to their original values. This procedure allows the use of larger time steps than unconstrained simulations. For most simulations, the Bonds to Hydrogens choice from the SHAKE option menu should be sufficient. This choice allows time steps up to 2 fs.

### Simulation temperature (K)

The temperature at which a simulation is carried out. The default value is 300.0 K.

**Time step (fs)**


Determines the time step used in the integration of the equations of motion during the simulation. Smaller values lead to more accurate but computationally intensive simulations for a given amount of time simulated. The default value is 1.5 fs.

**Equilibration time (ps)**

Determines the length of the “settling down” period at the start of the simulation. The equilibration period is needed to allow initial velocities to stabilize before any monitoring data or sampled structures are written to output files.

**Simulation time (ps)**

The total time allowed for a given simulation. The default is 10 ps. However, if you want converged results, specify a much longer time, even for small to medium-sized systems.



**Figure 10.6.** The Dynamics tab of the Dynamics panel.

## 10.3 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either Start or Write, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

### 10.3.1 Stochastic Dynamics

Below is an example of the command file for a stochastic dynamics simulation and explanations of the opcodes that appear in the file.

```
sdyn.mae
sdyn-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      9      0      500    0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDIT      0      0      0      0      300.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDYN      0      1      1      0      1.5000      1.0000      300.0000      0.0000
MDSA      10      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      1.0000      0.0000
MDDA      14      15      16      17      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDYN      1      1      1      0      1.5000      10.0000      300.0000      0.0000
WRIT      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**MMOD:** Creates and updates an intermediate structure file so that structures can be displayed in Maestro as the job progresses.

**FFLD:** Force field selection. Arg1 denotes the actual force field used in the calculation (in this case MMFF94). Arg2 defines the electrostatic treatment for the calculation. The default (arg=0) is to use the dielectric treatment encoded in the force field, however, in this case a constant dielectric is used. Arg4 is MMFF94-specific. Arg4=1 defines the MMFF94s version of the force field, ensuring planarity around delocalized  $sp^2$  nitrogens.

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (BDCO) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 are used to specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**READ:** Read the input file.

**CONV:** Defines convergence criteria. Arg1=2 signifies derivative convergence (default, if no CONV command is present, criterion is 0.05 kJ/mol-Å; this value is set in arg5).

**MINI:** An energy minimization precedes the dynamics calculation in order to eliminate excess potential energy. Arg1=9 indicates that the TNCG minimization method should be used for arg3 minimization iterations.

**MDIT:** Apply random initial velocities corresponding to 300K (arg5) to all atoms.

**MDYN:** Perform the dynamics simulation. Arg2=1 selects the use of the SHAKE protocol to constrain hydrogen bonds to their natural values. Arg3=1 sets up a stochastic dynamics run. The time step (in fs) is set in arg5, the length of the simulation (in ps) in arg6, and the temperature (in K) of the simulation in arg7.

Two MDYN command lines appear in this command file. The first performs a short equilibration run, while the second is where the actual sampling occurs. Arg1 defines printing of energy listing. In the first MDYN line, arg1=0, meaning that a summary of the energies is printed to the log file only. In the second MDYN line, arg1=1, signifying that energies as well as monitoring results are written to the .mmo file.

**MDSA:** Perform structure sampling during the stochastic dynamics simulation. Intermediate structures generated in the dynamics simulation are saved to the output structure file at regular intervals during the calculation. Arg1 defines the total number of structures to sample (number of “snapshots” to take) during the simulation. Structures can also be written out based on time-intervals (in ps) using arg5. Arg7=1 forces deletion of all structures stored in the -out.mae file prior to each simulation.

**MDDA:** Monitor a dihedral angle during the simulation. Arg1-arg4 defines the atom numbers of the angle to be monitored. The default arg5 divides the reported results into 10-degree increments. Average values are reported in the .log file while more detailed information (including the distribution of angles) is reported in the .mmo file.

**WRIT:** Write the final structure to the output file.

### 10.3.2 Simulated Annealing

Simulated annealing is often used to relax structures into a lower energy state a dynamics technique by systematically lowering the temperature used in the simulations. Below is an example

command file for a simulated annealing calculation, where the target temperature for the system is changed in a step-wise manner. The temperature can also be changed in a continuous manner. See the description of the [MDFT](#) opcode in the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for more information.

```
sim-ann.mae
sim-ann-out.mae
FFLD      10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCO       0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV       2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI       9      0     500      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDIT       0      0      0      0     300.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDYN       0      1      1      0      1.5000     10.0000     300.0000      0.0000
MDYN       0      1      1      0      1.5000     20.0000     150.0000      5.0000
MDYN       0      1      1      0      2.0000     20.0000      50.0000      5.0000
MINI       9      0     500      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**MDYN:** Note that the three separate MDYN command lines perform different tasks. First, a 10 ps equilibrium run at 300 K (initialized by the [MDIT](#) line) is performed, followed by a 20 ps simulation coupled to a thermal bath of 150 K. Arg8 gives the time constant of the bath (in ps). This second MDYN command slowly cools the system to 150 K, while the last MDYN command cools the system to 50 K.

A WRIT command is not necessary because the final MINI command ensures that the minimized structure is written to the output file.

See [Section 10.3.1 on page 104](#) for a description of the other opcodes in this file.

## 10.4 Checking and Interpreting Results

It is often difficult to know when you have sampled enough in a molecular dynamics simulation. It usually is helpful to have some prior knowledge of the time-scales for crucial processes within the system to know if convergence may have been achieved.

While molecular dynamics simulations provide a wealth of structural and temporal information, the information is often hard to interpret. If the goal is to thermally sample the local conformational minimum of a small molecule, then you might not need to examine the results carefully provided that you have simulated sufficiently long (50 to 100 ps may be enough). However, if the system is large and the conformational variation is large, simulations likely will not adequately sample the conformations available, and careful, problem-specific consideration of the results may be needed to learn from such studies. In such circumstances, it almost always helps to examine the trajectory visually with a tool such as Maestro's ePlayer. In addition, clustering tools such as XCluster may help you identify when key events occurred during the simulation.

# MC/SD Calculations

Monte Carlo/Stochastic Dynamics (MC/SD) performs constant temperature calculations that take advantage of the strengths of Monte Carlo methods for quickly introducing large changes in a few degrees of freedom, and stochastic dynamics for its effective local sampling of collective motions. MC/SD is a good general choice for studying a system at constant temperature.

## 11.1 The MC/SD Panel

You can prepare, write job files for, and submit a Monte Carlo/Stochastic Dynamics calculation from the Maestro MC/SD panel. The MC/SD panel has a general setting section and a Potential tab, a Constraints tab, and a Substructure tab like other MacroModel energy panels. These portions of the panels are described in detail in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#). The MC/SD panel also has Monitor and Dynamics tabs. These tabs also appear on the Dynamics panel, and are discussed in [Section 10.2 on page 97](#). The MCSD tab is unique to the MC/SD panel. This tab contains reaction condition settings.

To open the MC/SD panel, choose MC/SD from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu in the main menu bar.

## 11.2 Setting Up MC/SD Calculations

The MC/SD procedure [29] differs from a normal dynamics simulation in that it uses a mixture of Metropolis Monte Carlo and dynamics steps in order to greatly increase the rate at which a simulation explores conformational space. For MC/SD simulations, you must specify torsions to be rotated and, if there is more than one molecule in the system, molecules to be translated and rotated.

The controls for MC/SD simulations are in the MCSD tab, with the exception of the temperature setting, which is in the Dynamics tab.

To define the torsions to be rotated and the molecule translation and rotation selections automatically, click the Perform Automatic Setup button. To view and edit the automatically generated settings, or to specify the settings manually, open the Torsion Rotations and Molecule Trans/Rot panels by clicking the corresponding buttons. These panels are the same as for conformational searches. For a more detailed description of these panels, see [Section 9.2.5.2 on page 82](#) and [Section 9.2.5.3 on page 84](#).



**Figure 11.1. The MCSD tab of the MC/SD panel.**

The value entered in the Ratio of SD to MC steps text box determines how many Monte Carlo trials will be performed for each dynamics time step. The default value is 1, but the number can be increased to give more dynamics steps per Monte Carlo step.

You can specify the minimum and maximum number of torsions to vary in an MC step in the corresponding text boxes. The number of torsions varied is randomly selected from the range defined by the minimum and maximum values. The default is to vary only one torsion.

We recommend against the use of SHAKE during MC/SD simulations, as it can result in less than optimal temperature control.



## 11.3 File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either **Start** or **Write**, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

This section contains an example of an MC/SD computation using a small organic molecule. The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

```
mcsd.mae
mcsd-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      41.5692 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      500    0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCNV      1      3      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      1     14      0      0      0.0000     180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      6     26      0      0      0.0000     180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS     14     15      0      0      0.0000     180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS     15     16      0      0      0.0000     180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS     16     17      0      0      0.0000     180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS     17     18      0      0      0.0000     180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS     26     27      0      0      0.0000     180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCSD      1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000     300.0000      0.0000
MDIT      0      0      0      0     300.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDYN      0      0      1      0      1.5000      1.0000     300.0000      0.0000
MDSA     20      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      1.0000      0.0000
MDYN      1      0      1      0      1.5000     10.0000     300.0000      0.0000
WRIT      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

Several opcodes have been discussed in previous chapters. Only the opcodes relevant to the MC/SD procedure are described below.

**MINI**: Minimize the structure before the dynamics simulation.

**MCNV**: The number of torsion angles to vary during the MC portion of the simulation. Here, between one and three torsion variations are specified.

**TORS:** Specify the torsion angles to vary by atom number.

**MCSD:** Specify MC/SD sampling at 300 K with an MC to SD step ratio of 1:1.

**MDIT:** Specify dynamics simulation at a temperature of 300 K.

**MDYN:** Perform equilibration dynamics run for 1 ps.

**MDSA:** Sample 20 structures during the following full dynamics run.

**MDYN:** Perform full MC/SD dynamics run for 10 ps at 1.5 fs intervals.

### 11.4 Checking and Interpreting Results

As with normal dynamics simulations, it is often difficult to know when you have sampled enough in a MC/SD simulation. It is usually helpful to have some prior knowledge of the time scales for crucial processes within the system to know if convergence may have been achieved. While MC/SD simulations provide a wealth of structural and temporal information, this information is often hard to interpret. If the goal is to thermally sample the local conformational minimum of a small molecule, then you may not need to examine the results carefully provided that you have simulated sufficiently long (50 to 100 ps may be enough). However, if the system is large and the conformational variation is large, simulations likely will not adequately sample the conformations available, and careful, problem-specific consideration of the results may be needed to learn from such studies. In such circumstances, it almost always helps to examine the monitored trajectory with a tool such as Maestro's ePlayer. In addition, clustering tools such as XCluster may help identify when key events occurred during the simulation.

If the MC/SD simulation is unstable and fails, consider turning off long-range constant derivatives, by setting **EXNB** arg1 to 1, and increasing the non-bonded cutoffs to a large number, such as 100 Å, by choosing User defined from the Cutoff option menu in the Potential tab and entering values in the text boxes.

# Minta Calculations

MINTA can be used for fast computation of the conformational free energy of small- and medium-sized molecular systems *in vacuo* and in the presence of a continuum solvent model. MINTA is an excellent tool for calculating the binding free energy of molecular complexes composed of substrate molecules bound to small receptors used in the molecular recognition field or enzyme receptor models used in pharmaceutical research. Unlike available free energy simulation programs, MINTA calculations are user-friendly and are a simple tool for medicinal chemists familiar with conformational analysis. For more information on MINTA calculations, see [Appendix G](#) of the *MacroModel Reference Manual*.

## 12.1 The MINTA Panel

You can run the MINTA program from the MINTA panel using the Workspace contents, project table entries, or structures in a separate file as input. The MINTA panel has a general setting portion, which is described in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#). The panel also contains four tabs: Potential, Substructure, Mini, and MINTA. For information on settings in the Potential tab, see [Section 4.2 on page 26](#). For substructure-related material, see [Section 4.5 on page 33](#). For the Mini tab description, see [Section 6.2 on page 45](#).


To open the MINTA panel, choose MINTA from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu in the main menu bar. The controls in the MINTA tab are described below.

### Input File

Use the first part of the panel to enter the name of the input file. This must be a Maestro-formatted file that contains one or more valid conformations. These structures are usually the results of a previous conformational search. The Open button opens a file selector for locating the desired input file. If no file is entered, the structural input is taken from the source indicated under Use structures from.

### Number of MINTA iterations

The MINTA numerical integrals are calculated in statistical blocks to achieve better convergence. The number of blocks used is referred to as the number of MINTA iterations. The default value is 5, and the minimum value is 1.



**Figure 12.1. The MINTA tab of the MINTA panel.**

Number of energy evaluations per MINTA iteration

MINTA integration is based on single point energy evaluations. The default number of energy evaluations per MINTA iteration is 2000, and the minimum value is 1.

Temperature (K)

The value entered in this text box sets the temperature for the MINTA calculations. The default value is 300 K, and the minimum value is 0 K.

Hard limit for sampling along normal modes (Å)

This value determines a distance to which sampling is limited from the equilibrium geometry of the structure along any of the normal mode directions in  $3N-6(5)$  dimensional normal mode space, where  $N$  is the number of atoms. The default value is 1.0 Å, the minimum value is 0.0 Å, and the maximum value is 3.0 Å.

Soft limit for sampling along normal modes (st. dev)

This value determines the units of standard deviation for sampling along normal modes. Sampling is limited to different distances from the equilibrium geometry along different normal mode directions. For a particular mode  $i$  sampling is limited to a particular distance equal to this value times the standard deviation of the multidimensional Gaussian function, along the particular normal mode direction  $i$ .

## 12.2 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either **Start** or **Write**, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate, but for some types of jobs you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command file and the log file for the example given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

MintaMae.mae

MintaMae-out.mae

MMOD	0	1	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
FFLD	10	1	0	1	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BDCO	0	0	0	0	41.5692	99999.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BGIN	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CONV	2	0	0	0	0.0500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	9	0	500	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MNTA	5	2000	0	0	300.0000	1.0000	3.0000	0.0000
END	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**MMOD:** Creates and updates an intermediate structure file so that structures can be displayed in Maestro as the job progresses.

**FFLD:** Force field selection. Arg1 denotes the actual force field used in the calculation (in this case MMFF94). Arg2 defines the electrostatic treatment for the calculation. The default (arg2=0) is to use the dielectric treatment encoded in the force field. However, in this case a constant dielectric is used. Arg4 is MMFF94-specific. Arg4=1 defines the MMFF94s version of the force field, ensuring planarity around delocalized sp<sup>2</sup> nitrogens.

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (BDCO) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 are used to specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**BGIN/END:** The loop reads structures from a preceding conformational search. The input file should contain only conformers of the same molecule or molecular complex.

**READ:** Read the input file.

**CONV:** Defines convergence criteria. Arg1=2 signifies derivative convergence (default criterion, if no CONV command is present, is 0.05kJ/mol-Å. This value is set in arg5).

**MINI:** Starts the minimization. Arg1 defines the type of minimization algorithm to be used. Arg1=9 means that Truncated Newton-Raphson Conjugate Gradient will be used. In arg3, the number of minimization steps is defined. Arg3 can be set to a large number since the calculation will automatically stop as soon as the convergence criterion is reached.

**MNTA:** A Minta free energy calculation will be performed. Minta numerical integrations are performed in blocks in order to achieve better convergence, and arg1 defines the number of such blocks. Arg2 gives the number of energy evaluations per block, hence the total number of energy evaluations per structure is  $\text{arg1} * \text{arg2}$ . Minta can be run in an adaptive manner, which is slightly more accurate than the non-adaptive mode. Arg3=0 specifies the use of non-adaptive mode integration. Set arg3 not equal to 0 and arg4 to values from 1 to 10 for adaptive integration. Arg4 defines the number of “soft” (or “low-frequency” vibrational modes) degrees of freedom for which numerical integration should be applied. The default is to apply numerical integration to all degrees of freedom. However, this is recommended only for very small molecules (about 20 atoms or fewer). It is strongly recommended not to use values of arg4 greater than 50. Arg5 sets the simulation temperature, while arg6 and arg7 define hard and soft limits for sampling along normal modes.

### 12.3 Checking and Interpreting Results

For many MINTA calculations, the input set of conformations must be generated in a separate calculation such as a conformational search. The set of conformations needed for accurate MINTA calculations should be fairly extensive and include all low-lying conformers.

# Protein Loop Construction

Before analyzing how a given protein-ligand pair will interact, you may want to refine the protein structure. This commonly involves examining the structure of loops—short sequences of amino acids which typically occur on the surface of the protein, but in the middle of the protein sequence.

The reasons for refining a protein structure include:

- The structure of this portion of the protein was not well-resolved.
- The conformation of the loop changed upon solvation.

In addition, when working with enzymes, you may want to examine how the structure of the loop changes as you change the sequence in the loop.

The MacroModel LOOP tool is a conformational search method for protein loops. It generates a variety of structures that are both diverse and geometrically appropriate for the protein loop, and minimizes the energy of these structures. LOOP functions in much the same manner as MCMM, and is used in conjunction with the [MINI](#), [MCOP](#), [MSYM](#), and [LPOP](#) opcodes. LOOP is best used in conjunction with other methods, like LLMOD, to sample the loop structures more thoroughly. For more information on LOOP, see the [MacroModel Reference Manual](#).

## 13.1 Performing LOOP Calculations

When performing a LOOP calculation, you can indicate the loop sequence you want to examine in one of two ways:

- Indicate that you want to use the loop sequence is that in the original protein.
- Create an auxiliary *filename.lsq* file, which lists the desired loop sequence.

### 13.1.1 Input Restrictions

For the current implementation of LOOP, input must meet the following criteria:

- Only one loop can be examined at a time, although successive calculations may focus on different loops.
- The protein loop and the residues it is immediately attached to must consist of amino acids containing the backbone atoms Np-C $\alpha$ -Cp, where Np and Cp are nitrogen and carbon atoms involved in peptide bonds.

- An all-atom representation of the protein must be used.
- No disulfide bonds are permitted within the loop or between the loop and the rest of the protein.
- No atoms in the loop can be frozen.
- LOOP will not work from a collection of input protein structures. It will work only from one such structure.

### 13.1.2 Structure Handling Considerations

When using LOOP, you should be aware of the following:

- Rings, such as the one in proline, are treated as rigid.
- If comparison atoms ([COMP](#)) are specified explicitly or implicitly (see [arg3](#)), then [MSYM](#) must be used.
- All LOOP runs renumber the atoms within the structure such that the loop atoms become the highest numbered atoms in the structure. During a run, MacroModel tracks the shifted atoms numbers for the atoms in the system. LOOP automatically produces a substructure file, *filename-out.sbc*, containing the shifted atom numbers for use in follow-up studies.

## 13.2 Example .lsq Input File

Consider the following contents of an example auxiliary file, *filename.lsq*.

```
GLY  
ALA  
SER  
THR  
ARG  
GLU  
SER
```

Based on the file contents, LOOP creates a new loop with the seven specified amino acids, starting with GLY at the N-terminus end of the loop.

The format and contents of the file meet the following criteria:

- Only alpha amino acids are used.
- The amino acids used all appear in the Maestro fragment tables, found in the Maestro Build panel.



- Standard three-letter abbreviations are used.
- Nonstandard (D) alpha amino acids from the Maestro fragment tables can also be used, but these require the four-letter abbreviation, e.g., DALA.

## 13.3 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

This section includes example command files for using LOOP, when using a LOOP sequence from an input protein structure and using the LOOP sequence from a file. The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

### 13.3.1 LOOP Job Using the Input Structure Sequence

An example command file for a LOOP sequence from an input protein structure appears below. Descriptions of the opcodes used in the file follow.

```
loop_input.mae
loop_input-out.mae
SOLV      3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      11      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SUBS      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
LOOP      423      467      0      4      0.0      0.26      0.0000      0.0000
DEMX      0      0      0      0      1000.0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCOP      1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      9      0      2000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**SOLV:** The GB/SA effective water model is being used.

**FFLD:** This example uses OPLS\_2001 with constant dielectric electrostatics, which is appropriate if GB/SA solvation is being used.

**EXNB:** Extended non-bonded cut-offs should be used with GB/SA solvation.

**SUBS:** Read in a substructure from an `.sbc` file. Currently, best results are obtained if all the non-loop atoms in the system are frozen. A shell containing complete residues for all atoms within 6 Å is usually sufficient.

**READ:** Read in the protein structure.

**LOOP:** Turn on LOOP.

arg1: N-terminus atom number for the loop. This is the atom number for the peptide Nitrogen atom that joins the loop to the protein at the N-terminus of the loop. Atom # 423 for this example.

arg2: C-terminus atom number for the loop. This is the atom number for the peptide Carbon atom that joins the loop to the protein at the C-terminus of the loop. Atom # 467 for this example.

arg3=0: Use the sequence for the loop from the protein structure supplied.

arg4=4: Generate four candidate loop structures. Typically you would want to generate many more than this.

arg5=0.0: Save up to the default number of structures (10,000).

arg6=0.26: If a given pair of atoms is closer than arg6 times the sum of their van der Waals radii after loop generation just prior to minimization then the structure is rejected and another loop structure is generated. Default: 0.25.

arg7=0.0: Automatically add all heavy atoms in the loop to the comparison list used in identifying conformations that are identical (COMP) entries. Also automatically add all chiral atoms in the loop to the list of chiral atoms that need to be checked (CHIG entries) before accepting a generated conformer.

**DEMEX:** arg5=1000.0: Keep conformers that are up to 1000 kJ/mol higher in energy than the lowest energy conformers. Such a large value might be appropriate if a follow-up study using a conformational search method like LLMOD were going to be conducted.

**MSYM:** arg2=1: Use mmsym to compare conformers. The comparison is done in-place, that is, translations and rotations of the protein as a whole are not permitted when comparing structures.

**MCOP:** Print messages to the log file concerning every conformation generated.

**CONV:** Minimizations are converged when the RMS gradient is less than 0.1 kJ/mol-Å.

**MINI:** Minimize the structure using the TNCG minimizer.

This LOOP run also writes out COMP and CHIG command lines containing the shifted atom numbers to the .log file for explicitly and implicitly specified COMP atoms and CHIG atoms in the system. These lines could be inserted into the command files for subsequent studies.

### 13.3.2 LOOP Job Using the Sequence From a File

This example is identical to the previous command file except that `arg3` of `LOOP` is 1, indicating that a *filename.lsq* is used to specify the sequence for the loop.

## 13.4 Example LOOP Job Output

### 13.4.1 Atom Renumbering Using Input Protein Structure

The excerpt below shows the atom renumbering formation from the `.log` file generated during a run in which the loop sequence from the actual protein structure was used as input.

```

LOOP being generated using sequence from input structure
Note Substructure information may have changed.
Recording Substructure information in: MCPC603-out.sbc

Beginning of new list of COMP and CHIG commands

COMP  6607   6608   6609   6610   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6611   6612   6613   6614   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6615   6616   6617   6618   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6619   6620   6621   6622   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6623   6624   6625   6626   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6627   6628   6629   6630   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6631   6632   6633   6634   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6635   6636   6637   6638   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6639   6640   6641   6642   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6643   6644   6645   6646   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6647   6648   6649   6650   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
COMP  6651   6652   6653   6654   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
CHIG  6612   6617   6623   6626   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000
CHIG  6630   6641   6650           0.0000   0.0000   0.0000   0.0000

```

End of new list of COMP and CHIG commands

### 13.4.2 Conformational Search Using Input Protein Structure

The excerpt below is from the same `.log` file as the excerpt above. This `.log` file was generated using the loop sequence from the actual protein structure.

```

Step      1 New global minimum.  E (kJ/mol) =    -3830.85
Conf      1 E = -3830.85 (  0.057) is unique and stored as structure 1
Search initialized with  1  structures from the .dat file

Conf      2 E = -3668.40 (  0.077) is unique and stored as structure 2
Conf      3 E = -3652.38 (  0.043) is unique and stored as structure 3
Conf      4 E = -3630.91 (  0.096) is unique and stored as structure 4

```

```
Final report:
  4 unique conformations found
  4 minimized with good convergence
Found      1 confs within  1.00 kcal/mol ( 4.18 kJ/mol) of glob. min.
Global minimum E = -3830.85 found      1 times.

BatchMin normal termination
Total number of structures processed = 4
Conformations with poor convergence marked with a *
Conformation      1 (  -3830.854      kJ/mol) was found      1 times
Conformation      2 (  -3668.398      kJ/mol) was found      1 times
Conformation      3 (  -3652.378      kJ/mol) was found      1 times
Conformation      4 (  -3630.911      kJ/mol) was found      1 times
      *** MC Statistics ***
Percent of minimized structures within energetic window: 100.0000000
Average number of duplicates:  1.000000000
Duplication standard deviation: 0.0000000000E+00
5  structures generated
0  rejected by ring closure
2  rejected by van der Waals
0  duplicate minimised structures
      Time in Monte Carlo generation loop:      5.8 CPU sec
      Time in energy minimizations:      153.4 CPU sec
      Time in geometry optimisation:      0.0 CPU sec
```

### 13.4.3 LOOP Run Using an .lsq File as Input

The .log file for LOOP runs that use auxiliary *filename.lsqa* files looks essentially the same as those in the previous two examples, except that when an .lsqa file is used, the following statement appears in the .log file output:

```
LOOP being generated using sequence in .lsqa file
```

# eMBrAcE

You can obtain a set of ligands that have been pre-positioned with respect to a receptor from various sources, including Schrödinger's docking program, Glide. To study the association of the ligands with the receptor further, you can use the automated mechanism of Multi-Ligand Bimolecular Association with Energetics (eMBrAcE). With eMBrAcE, complexes can be studied using simple minimizations or conformational searches.

## 14.1 Minimizations With eMBrAcE


An eMBrAcE minimization is a type of multiple minimization in which each of the specified pre-positioned ligands is minimized, in turn, with the receptor. You can perform eMBrAcE minimization calculations in two modes: Interaction Mode, in which the interaction between each ligand and the substrate is studied, and Energy Difference Mode, in which energy changes upon association are estimated.

The eMBrAcE Minimization panel is used to set up and submit eMBrAcE minimization jobs. To open this panel, choose eMBrAcE Minimization from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu in the main menu bar. The upper and lower parts of the panel and the Potential and Substructure tabs are common to all MacroModel panels. These components are described in detail in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#). The Mini tab is common to many of the MacroModel panels. For an explanation of the controls in this tab, see [Section 6.1 on page 45](#). The controls for the eMBrAcE minimization settings are located in the eMBrAcE tab.

To perform an eMBrAcE minimization, first configure the general job settings in the upper portion of the eMBrAcE Minimization panel and the Potential and Substructure tabs as described in [Chapter 4](#). Because the receptor is usually large, you should consider defining substructures and fixing or freezing atoms that are far from the active site, to speed up the calculations. Then, configure the eMBrAcE settings in the eMBrAcE tab, discussed below.

### Source of ligands

You can select ligands for an eMBrAcE calculation from the entries in the Project Table, or you can read the ligands from a file. To specify the file, you can enter the path to the file in the text box, or you can click Browse and navigate to the file.



**Figure 14.1.** The eMBrAcE Minimization panel showing the eMBrAcE tab.

### Receptor

For an eMBrAcE calculation to execute correctly, it must correctly identify which structure is to be treated as the receptor.

If you are reading the ligands from file, you can nominate the first structure in the file as the receptor, or you can specify it as an entry in the current project. If the receptor is not in the file, you must specify it as an entry in the current project. You can either type the entry name in the text box or click Choose and select an entry in the Choose Entry dialog box that is displayed. In this case, the receptor is written to a separate file for the job.

If the ligands are entries in the current project, you can nominate the first selected entry as the receptor, or you can choose another entry for the receptor. To choose an entry, type the entry name in the text box or click Choose and select an entry in the entry selection dialog box that is displayed.

### Association energy mode

The eMBrAcE calculation can function in two modes. The first mode is Energy difference mode. In this mode, the calculation is performed first on the receptor, then on the ligand, and finally on the complex. The energy difference is then calculated using the equation:

$$\Delta E = E_{complex} - E_{ligand} - E_{protein}$$

The full effects of relaxation and solvation are included in this mode.

The second is Interaction energy mode. In this mode, the atoms in the ligand and the receptor are separated into two sets, and the interaction energy between the two sets is calculated. Interaction energy mode deals with terms that can be considered pair-wise additive, so the surface energy term in the solvation energies is not included in the interaction energy. Interaction energy mode does not include the relaxation or the change in solvation of the ligand on binding.

To specify a preference for calculation of the association energy, select either Energy Difference Mode or Interaction Energy Mode.

### Structures saved


The three options for how output structures are written to the output structure file are: Complexes only (minimized complexes); Ligands only (ligand structures extracted from minimized complexes); and Receptor, ligands, and complexes, in which the receptor minimized without a ligand and ligands minimized without the receptor are written. For interaction mode, the last option is equivalent to Complexes only.

## 14.2 Conformational Searches With eMBrAcE

eMBrAcE can perform conformational searches in addition to minimizations. Energy difference mode is the only mode supported for conformational searches with eMBrAcE. Searches are conducted on the receptor, each ligand, and each ligand-receptor complex. The energies used in the energy difference equation

$$\Delta E = E_{complex} - E_{receptor} - E_{ligand}$$

for the receptor and the ligand are the values from the lowest energy conformations found for those systems. However, multiple complex conformations may be retained and a separate  $E_{complex}$  is used in the energy difference equation for each one (MCOP arg6 specifies the number of such conformations to keep).



**Figure 14.2.** The eMBraCE Conformational Search panel showing the CSearch tab.

The eMBraCE Conformational Search panel is used to set up and submit eMBraCE conformational search jobs. To open this panel, choose eMBraCE Conformational Search from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu in the main menu bar. The upper and lower parts of the panel and the Potential and Substructure tabs are common to all MacroModel panels. These components are described in detail in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#) through [Section 4.5 on page 33](#). The Mini tab is common to many of the MacroModel panels. For an explanation of the controls in this tab, see [Section 6.1 on page 45](#). The controls for the eMBraCE settings are located in the eMBraCE tab, which is described in [Section 14.1 on page 121](#). The conformational search parameters are set up in the CSearch tab. This tab contains a subset of the controls found in the CSearch tab for regular conformational searches and is described below.

eMBraCE conformation searches automatically employ the [AUTO](#) Automatic Setup mechanism. [AUTO](#) selects the MCMM and comparison atom parameters for each individual ligand-receptor complex. Computations prepared from Maestro have the [AUTO](#) opcode added to the



job's command file (*jobname.com*) automatically. In addition, [AUTO](#) is substructure-aware. Parameters are only indicated for the freely moving receptor and ligand atoms, but not for fixed or frozen regions of the receptor. Thus, only a proper receptor substructure needs to be indicated to prepare the appropriate MCMM parameters. Examples can be found in [Section 14.3 on page 127](#). In addition, only the substructure facility can be used to indicate fixed or frozen atoms in an eMBrAcE calculation, and ligand atoms cannot be fixed or frozen. Other than by adjusting the substructure, it is not possible to adjust the [AUTO](#) parameters for individual complexes in an eMBrAcE automated calculation.

Conformational searches on protein-ligand complexes are computationally intensive, and the use of substructures is strongly recommended to reduce the CPU time and memory required. Even with fairly small substructures and very short searches, such searches require hours or days for each ligand processed.

To perform an eMBrAcE conformational search, first configure the general job settings in the upper portion of the eMBrAcE Minimization panel and the Potential and Substructure tabs. Then configure the eMBrAcE settings in the eMBrAcE tab and the conformational search settings in the CSearch tab, which are described below.

#### Method

There are only three methods available for performing an eMBrAcE conformational search: Torsional sampling (MCMM), Low-mode sampling, and Mixed torsional/Low-mode sampling. Large scale low-mode (LMC2) searches are not supported at this time. Low-mode calculations are limited to a few hundred atoms and should be used for systems with smaller ligand/substructure combinations. However, for such systems, low-mode searches can be comparatively efficient. MCMM conformational searches are less memory-intensive, and thus may be used on larger portions of the system provided that the number of degrees of freedom changed at any one time is limited and the substructure carefully selected.

#### Number of steps

The number of steps that will be performed in any search is determined by the value entered in this text box. When the number of generated trial structures matches the value given, the conformational search is terminated.

#### Number of input conformations available to seed each search

The value in this text box specifies the number of conformers available for each ligand to seed the search. The file containing the ligands must have exactly the number of conformers specified for each ligand, otherwise the search will fail when it reaches the ligand that does not have the specified number. Multiple conformers could, for example, come from the use of [COPY/](#)

ALGN, from a separate conformational search in which a specified number of conformations is kept, or from Glide poses.

Number of structures to save for each search

Specify the number of structures to save for each search, counting from the lowest in energy. A zero value means “save all structures.”

Energy window for saving structures

This is the threshold value for comparison of trial structures. Any new structures generated and minimized are kept only if their energy is less than this value above the current global minimum. Lowering this value results in fewer structures saved. The default value is set to 500 kJ/mol.

Probability of a torsion rotation/molecule translation

Minimum distance for low-mode move

Maximum distance for low-mode move

These three text boxes are relevant only to the low-mode searches and are active only when a method involving low-mode conformational searching is selected.

The first text box is used only with the Mixed torsional/Low-mode sampling method and allows the setting of a probability that any defined torsion rotations and molecule translations are made at each step during the search. This should be a number from 0.0 to 1.0.

The other two text boxes are used for setting the minimum and maximum distance for a low-mode move. During a search, the fastest moving atom is moved randomly generated distances that are between these two limits.

Eliminate redundant conformers using

If comparison atoms are chosen or Perform automatic setup during calculation is selected, structures produced during a conformational search are compared to see if they are unique. Two options are available for the conditions for structures to be considered different:

- Maximum atom deviation—consider structures to be different if the maximum atom deviation for any atom exceeds the threshold given in the Cutoff text box.
- RMSD—consider structures to be different if the RMS deviation for all compared atoms exceeds the threshold given in the Cutoff text box.

The default cutoff is 0.5 Å for both options.

## 14.3 Specifying a Substructure for eMBrAcE

The use of substructures can dramatically speed up eMBrAcE calculations. Specifying substructures is described in [Section 4.5 on page 33](#). Substructures used in eMBrAcE must meet an additional requirement: the receptor atoms must be numbered starting from 1 when constructing the substructure. Below are two simple recipes for setting up substructures. In the first, all atoms in the receptor are either fixed or frozen. In the second, you can define shells of constrained atoms near the association site in the receptor. If you already have a suitable substructure, you can simply read it in by clicking Read .sbc File near the bottom of the Substructure tab.

### Creating a Substructure With All Receptor Atoms Fixed or Frozen:

1. Include the receptor, and only the receptor, in the Workspace.
2. Click New Shell.
3. In the Additional atoms for shell section, click All. By default, all atoms are fixed, that is, constrained to their current positions using a harmonic potential.
4. To freeze the atoms in place, select Freeze atoms.

### Using a Ligand to Assist in Creating a Receptor Substructure:

In this example, we will use a ligand from an entry called `our_lig` to set up a substructure for the receptor. The substructure will contain a shell of all receptor atoms from complete residues that lie within 6.0 Å of the ligand. Two additional shells, one fixed and one frozen, are also specified.

1. Include the receptor in the Workspace and then include the ligand.

It is important to include the receptor first to ensure that its atoms are assigned atom numbers starting from 1. You can now create a substructure in the usual manner. Maestro's support for the eMBrAcE utility automatically ignores atoms within the substructure that are numbered higher than the atoms in the receptor so the inclusion of ligand atoms is not a problem when you use the Maestro interface. For each ligand that eMBrAcE is applied to, all atoms in the ligand are automatically added to the substructure without constraints.

2. In the Atoms for substructure section, select Molecule from the Pick menu and pick a ligand atom.
3. Select Complete residues and enter 6.0 in the Expand to atoms within radius of text box.

Alternatively, enter the following ASL expression in the ASL text box:

```
fillres within 6.0 entry.name our_lig
```

Now add a shell of fixed receptor atoms from complete residues within 5.0 Å of the substructure atoms:

4. Click New Shell to create a new shell.
5. In the Radius text box, enter 5.0 and select Complete Residues.

To add another shell containing frozen receptor atoms from complete residues within 4.0 Å of the previously defined shell, follow the same procedure and select Freeze atoms.

## 14.4 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either Start or Write, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate. For some types of jobs, however, including eMBrAcE conformational searches, you will need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

The command files and the log files for the examples given in this section can be found in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples`.

### 14.4.1 eMBrAcE Minimization Calculations

You can set up the files for eMBrAcE minimization calculations using Maestro. This is the recommended mechanism for setting up such calculations. This section explains the structures of eMBrAcE minimization .com files if you want to customize them.

#### 14.4.1.1 Interaction Mode

Interaction mode uses the [ASET](#) mechanism to define atom sets and to calculate interaction energies within and among all such sets. All results for sets are saved in the log file for the run. Only interaction energies between sets 1 and 2 are saved as project properties within the output structure file. Note that the surface energy contribution in GB/SA calculations is associated with the interaction of a set with itself.

Below is an example command file for an eMBrAcE job run in interaction energy mode. The input file, `MBAE_Interaction.mae`, follows the Glide pose-viewer pattern, that is, the first structure in the file must be the receptor and the remaining structures should be ligands previously positioned appropriately relative to the receptor. A description of the opcodes used in the file follows, then an excerpt from the output.

```

MBAE_Interaction.mae
MBAE_Interaction-out.mae
SOLV      3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     11      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCO      0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MBAE      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SUBS      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASET      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASET      0      0      0      0      2.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASET      1    4729      0      0      2.0000     -2.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASET      1    4729      0      0      1.0000      2.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0    2000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ELST     -1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MBAE     -1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

**SOLV:** The GB/SA effective water model is being used.

**FFLD:** Use OPLS\_2001 with constant dielectric electrostatics, which is appropriate if GB/SA solvation is being used.

**EXNB:** Extended non-bonded cut-offs should be used with GB/SA solvation.

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (BDCO) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 are used to specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**MBAE:** Turn on eMBrAcE.

arg1 – 0: Using Interaction energy mode.

arg2 – 0: MINI calculations being performed.

arg3 – 0: Minimized complex structures are written out.

If arg3 were 1, only the ligand structures extracted from the minimized complex structure would be recorded.

**READ:** The first READ command obtains the structure of the receptor.

**SUBS:** Substructures may be used. All opcodes related to the substructure (**SUBS**, **FXAT**, **FXDI**, **FXBA**, and **FXTA**) should be defined in an .sbc file. The .sbc file should contain only lines referring to the receptor with the receptor atoms numbered starting from 1.

**BGIN:** Start the loop that processes each substructure in turn.

**READ:** Read in a ligand. This also produces a combined structure consisting of the receptor and the ligand with the receptor atoms being numbered lower than the ligand atoms. All ligand atoms are automatically added to the **SUBS** list at this stage.

**ASET:** This series of **ASET** opcodes places the substrate atoms in set 1 and all other atoms in set 2. If the ligand structure has multiple molecules in it, then this case would place all of the molecules for that structure in set 2. The first **ASET** line removes all of the atoms from the existing set (by placing them in set 0, which is a dummy set). The second **ASET** line places all atoms in set 2. The third and fourth **ASET** commands remove the receptor atoms (numbered from 1 to 4729) from set 2 and place them in set 1. Other **ASET** combinations may be useful depending on the system (e.g., you could leave out particular molecules, such as water molecules from the substrate CT). Note that while the interaction energies from all sets are recorded in the `.log` file, only those for sets 1 and 2 are recorded in the output structure file for eventual inclusion in Maestro's Project Table.

**MINI:** Minimize the energy of the structure using the PRCG minimizer.

**ELST:** Invokes the **ASET** mechanism for calculating interaction energies. `Arg1 = -1` causes limited information to be stored in the `.log` file only. Other values may produce very large `.mmo` files.

**END:** End the loop over ligands.

**MBAE:** When `arg1 = -1`, **MBAE** is turned off. This command is not needed in this example.

Below is the data generated by eMBrAcE for one ligand. The complete output file contains one such set for each ligand in the analysis set. Note that Conf 2 refers to the first ligand in the file, which is the second structure in the input structure file. Note also that only the energetic results between sets 1 and 2 are recorded in the output structure file.

A table is given at the end of the log file containing a summary of the results for all the ligands.

```
Conf      2 E =-14227.220 ( 0.048) kJ/mol
Using numerical surfaces and analytical Born radii
Solvation GB set energies do not include constant
contributions exclusively involving fixed/frozen atoms.
Energetic Interactions Within Atom Sets (with no. of interactions):
Atom set   1:
    Total Energy (kJ/mol) =-0.5221442D+05 ( 2513327 )
          Stretch = 0.1725957D+03 ( 3133 )
          Bend = 0.1620882D+03 ( 659 )
    Proper Torsion = 0.2545627D+03 ( 779 )
          Out-of-Plane = 0.6995831D+01 ( 90 )
    Electrostatic =-0.6687509D+04 ( 444935 ) (Part of nonbonded)
    Van der Waals =-0.6857149D+03 ( 61959 ) (Part of nonbonded)
    Solvation SA = 0.1683423D+03 ( 637 )
```

```

      Solvation GB =-0.4576560D+05 ( 1999662 )
Fixed-atom constraint = 0.1598148D+03 ( 1473 )
      Non-bonded =-0.7373224D+04 ( 506894 ) (Elect + Hbnd + vdW)
Atom set 2:
  Total Energy (kJ/mol) =-0.1137470D+03 ( 3040 )
      Stretch = 0.3625181D+01 ( 42 )
      Bend = 0.4390021D+02 ( 70 )
  Proper Torsion = 0.3172877D+02 ( 88 )
  Out-of-Plane = 0.2464711D+01 ( 14 )
  Electrostatic =-0.2183937D+03 ( 668 ) (Part of nonbonded)
  Van der Waals = 0.5127575D+02 ( 543 ) (Part of nonbonded)
  Solvation SA = 0.1506673D+01 ( 15 )
  Solvation GB =-0.2985466D+02 ( 1600 )
Fixed-atom constraint = 0.0000000D+00 ( 0 )
      Non-bonded =-0.1671179D+03 ( 1211 ) (Elect + Hbnd + vdW)
Energetic Interactions Between Atom Sets (with no. of interactions):
  Atom sets 1 and 2:
  Use these for eMBrAcE interaction energies for ligand: 4erk
    Total Energy (kJ/mol) =-0.2295194D+03 ( 212457 )
      Stretch = 0.0000000D+00 ( 0 )
  Proper Torsion = 0.0000000D+00 ( 0 )
  Out-of-Plane = 0.0000000D+00 ( 0 )
  Electrostatic =-0.9417975D+02 ( 65023 ) (Part of nonbonded)
  Van der Waals =-0.1651001D+03 ( 9236 ) (Part of nonbonded)
  Solvation GB = 0.2976043D+02 ( 138198 )
  Non-bonded =-0.2592798D+03 ( 74259 ) (Elect + Hbnd + vdW)

```

#### 14.4.1.2 Energy Difference Mode

Energy difference mode first applies the type of calculation selected (currently only minimization is supported) to the receptor by itself. For each ligand in turn, it then applies the calculation to the ligand by itself and the complex of the ligand with the receptor, and reports the energy difference.

Below is an example command file for an eMBrAcE job run in energy difference mode. A description of the opcodes used in the file follows.

```

MBAE_eDiff.mae
MBAE_eDiff-out.mae
SOLV      3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     11      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MBAE      1      0      1      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SUBS      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0    2000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

MINI	1	0	2000	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
END	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MBAE	-1	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**SOLV:** The GB/SA effective water model is being used.

**FFLD:** This example uses OPLS\_2001 with constant dielectric electrostatics, which is appropriate if GB/SA solvation is being used.

**EXNB:** Extended non-bonded cut-offs should be used with GB/SA solvation.

**MBAE:** Turn on eMBrAcE.

arg1 = 1: Using Energy Difference mode.

arg2 = 0: MINI calculations being performed.

arg3 = 1: Ligand structures extracted from the minimized complex are written to the output structure file.

If arg3 were set to 0, the minimized complex structure would be recorded.

**SUBS:** Substructures may be used. All opcodes related to the substructure should be defined in an .sbc file. The .sbc file should contain only lines referring to the receptor with the receptor atoms numbered starting from 1.

**READ:** The first READ command obtains the structure of the receptor.

**MINI:** Minimize the energy of the receptor. Arg1=1 uses the PRCG minimization technique for up to arg3 (2000) iterations.

**BGIN:** Start the loop which processes each ligand in turn.

**READ:** Sequentially read the ligand structures.

**MINI:** Minimize first the current ligand structure, then minimize the receptor-ligand complex. Record the energy differences to the \*.log file and to the output structure file.

**END:** End the loop for ligands.

**MBAE:** When arg1 = -1, eMBrAcE is turned off. In this example, this command is not needed.

Below is the eMBrAcE output from the .log file for one ligand in a job run in energy difference mode, showing the energy differences upon complexation.

```
=====
MBAE Energy Differences for Ligand # 1
Ligand Name: 4erk
    Total Energy(constraints) ==-0.8012573E+02 kJ/mol
    Total Energy(no constraints) ==-0.8160452E+02 kJ/mol
```



```

Valence Energy = 0.1018281E+02 kJ/mol
VDW = -0.1592237E+03 kJ/mol
Electrostatic = -0.9869821E+02 kJ/mol
Explicit Hydrogen Bonds = 0.0000000E+00 kJ/mol
Solvation = 0.1661343E+03 kJ/mol
Constraint = 0.1478790E+01 kJ/mol
Converged = T

```

In this example, Converged = T means that all minimization converged. If the value were F instead of T, then the energy differences would be suspect and the calculation may need to be repeated with more minimization steps.

At the end of the log file is a table summarizing the results for all the ligands.

Substructures can be specified using ASL. The substructure file has to be created by hand. An example of a substructure file that uses ASL is:

```
$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples/MBAE_Input_asl.sbc
```

This file is used with the command file MBAE\_Input\_asl.com, and is reproduced here:

```

ASL1      -1 all
FXAT      0      0      0      0 -1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FXAT      0      0      0      0 0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASL1      0 not (mol.num 2) and fillres within 2.50 ( mol.num 2 )
ASL1      -1 m. 2
ASL2      100.0 (not(fillres within 2.5 m. 2)) and (fillres within 3.0 (fillres
within 2.5 m. 2))
ASL2      -1.0 (not(fillres within 3.0(fillres within 2.5 m. 2))) and (fillres
within 3.0(fillres within 3.0(fillres within 2.5 m. 2)))

```

Substructure addition and removal are performed with the [ASL1](#) command. Fixed and frozen atom definitions are made with the [ASL2](#) command. See the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for information on the syntax of these commands.

In the above example:

The first three lines clear all free, fixed atom and frozen atom definitions respectively. Although this is not very useful in the context of eMBrAcE, it is useful when a [SUBS](#) command is used within a [BGIN/END](#) loop.

The fourth line adds the atoms defined by the ASL string to the substructure. In this case mol.num 2 (molecule number 2) is the ligand. Thus, the binding site, consisting of residues within 2.5 Å of the ligand, is added to the substructure.

The fifth line indicates that the ligand should not be part of this substructure. eMBrAcE calculations handle ligands separately.

The sixth line specifies the atoms that are to be constrained with a force constant of 100.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>-2</sup>. The ASL expression selects atoms that are within 3.0 Å of the atoms defined by the fourth line, excluding atoms defined by the fourth line.

The seventh line specifies the atoms that are to be frozen. The ASL expression selects atoms that are within 3.0 Å of the atoms defined by the sixth line, excluding atoms defined by the sixth line.

In this case the receptor is only one molecule. In cases where receptor consists of more than one molecule, use `mol.num n` or `m. n` for the ligand definition, where *n* is the molecule number of the ligand.

## 14.4.2 Conformational Searches With eMBrAcE

Below are example `.com` files for an MCMM and an LMOD conformational search with eMBrAcE. After these an example is provided using [COPY](#) and [ALGN](#) in conjunction with an MCMM/[MBAE](#) conformational search.

Like eMBrAcE minimization calculations, eMBrAcE conformational searches include a table of results at the end of the `.log` file. Energetic properties are also included in this output structure file.

eMBrAcE conformational searches can use very large amounts of computer time and memory. The memory requirements are similar to the equivalent non-eMBrAcE search of the complex of the protein with the largest ligand. Because of these requirements, for nearly all receptors it will likely be necessary to specify a substructure to reduce the required resources to a practical level. If you want to perform only the eMBrAcE search on the ligand within an essentially static receptor, then the substructure used should not include [SUBS](#) lines but only list the receptor atoms as fixed or frozen (see the [FXAT](#) opcode description for more information) and use only one step for the first MCMM or LMOD line (the one for the search of the receptor).

### 14.4.2.1 MCMM Conformational Search

This example uses Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum searching. A copy of this file is available at:

```
$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples/MBAE_MCMM.com
```

```
SEARCH_MCMM.mae
SEARCH_MCMM-out.mae
FFLD      11      1      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV       3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC       0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

---

MBAE	1	1	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCMM	50	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCNV	1	4	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCSS	2	0	0	0	500.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOP	1	0	10000	0	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
DEMX	0	0	0	0	500.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SUBS	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AUTO	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	1	0	2000	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCMM	50	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AUTO	0	0	0	0	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BGIN	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOP	1	0	10000	0	0.0000	2.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	1	0	2000	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
END	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MBAE	-1	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**FFLD:** Use OPLS\_2001 with constant dielectric electrostatics, which is appropriate if GB/SA solvation is being used.

**SOLV:** The GB/SA effective water model is being used.

**EXNB:** Extended non-bonded cut-offs should be used with GB/SA solvation.

**BDCO:** Use the Bond Dipole CutOff (**BDCO**) method for truncating electrostatic interactions. Arg5 and arg6 specify the cutoffs used for charge-dipole and charge-charge interactions, respectively.

**MSYM:** Invokes the numbering symmetry library mmsym, which automatically and more generally identifies a suitable numbering order for using in comparing conformations.

**MBAE:** Turn on eMBrAcE

arg1 = 1: Using Energy Difference mode.

arg2 = 1: Conformation searches will be performed in eMBrAcE calculations.

arg3 = 0: The lowest energy structures of the ligand-receptor complex are written to the output structure file.

**MCMM:** Use Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum searching. Arg1 defines the number of steps to use for the search of the receptor.

**MCNV:** Sets the number of degrees of freedom to be varied at each MC step. With different arg1 and arg2 values, the search varies a random number of degrees of freedom between the numbers defined in arg1 and arg2. For eMBrAcE calculations, we recommend setting arg1 to a small number and arg2 to no more than 10.

**MCSS:** MC structure selection allows for the setting of selection of starting structure for the search steps. Arg1=2 defines use-directed selection of starting structures, where the least used structures will be used as starting geometries as long as they are low enough in energy (as defined in arg5). This is more efficient in exploring new areas of the potential energy surface than, for instance, a random-walk starting geometry scheme. Arg5 gives the energy window for selecting a new starting structure, which must be within arg5 kJ/mol of the lowest energy conformer found in the search.

**MCOP:** Monte Carlo options that determine what and how often data is written to the log file and how many structures are saved.

arg1 = 1: Print information for every search step to the log file.

arg3 = 10000: Use a large number here to avoid spurious lines in the eMBrAcE summary table at the end of the run.

arg6 = 1.0: Save only the lowest energy conformation of the receptor (this value must be specified as 1.0).

**DEM5:** arg5 = 500.0 means retain only the conformations within 500 kJ/mol of the minimum energy conformation found so far.

**SUBS:** Substructures may be used. All opcodes related to the substructure (**SUBS**, **FXAT**, **FXDI**, **FXBA**, and **FXTA**) should be defined in an .sbc file. The .sbc file should contain only lines referring to the receptor with the receptor atoms numbered starting from 1.

**READ:** The first **READ** command obtains the structure of the receptor.

**AUTO:** The first **AUTO** automatically sets up the MCMM conformational search of the receptor. Here arg6 must be absent or 0.0 since the search of the receptor is not regarded as part of a serial search.

**MINI:** Minimize the energy of each conformation generated in the search of the receptor using the PRCG minimization technique, arg1=1, for up to arg3 (2000) iterations.

**MCMM:** The second **MCMM** causes Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum searching to be used in the search of each isolated ligand and each ligand-receptor complex. Arg1 defines the number of steps to use for each search. This need not match that used in the search of the receptor.

**AUTO:** The second **AUTO** sets up the MCMM search for each isolated ligand and each ligand-receptor complex. Here arg6 should be set to 1 because the searches of the isolated ligands and the complexes are regarded as part of a serial search.

**BGIN:** Start the loop which processes each ligand in turn.

**MCOP:** Monte Carlo options that determine what and how often data is written to the log file and how many structures are saved for the searches of the ligand-receptor complexes.

arg1 = 1: Print information for every search step to the log file.

arg3 = 10000: Use a large number here to avoid spurious lines in the eMBrAcE summary table at the end of the run.

arg6 = 2.0: Save only the two lowest energy conformations from the complexes for each ligand.

**READ:** Sequentially read the ligand structures.

**MINI:** Minimize the energy of each conformation generated in the search of each ligand and each ligand-receptor complex using the PRCG minimization technique, arg1=1, for up to arg3 (2000) iterations.

**END:** End the loop for the ligands.

**MBAE:** Turn off eMBrAcE and summarize the results. arg1 = -1

#### 14.4.2.2 Low-Mode Conformational Search

This example performs a low-mode conformational search. The input file is similar to that of the MCMM search example above. A copy of this file is available at:

\$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples/MBAE\_LMCS.com

The example is followed by descriptions of the opcodes. Opcode descriptions that are omitted may be read from the corresponding opcodes in the MCMM conformational search example given above, in [Section 14.4.2.1 on page 134](#).

SEARCH\_LMCS.mae

SEARCH\_LMCS-out.mae

FFLD	11	1	0	0	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SOLV	3	1	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
EXNB	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BDCO	0	0	0	0	89.4427	99999.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MSYM	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MBAE	1	1	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
LMCS	50	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000	6.0000
MCSS	2	0	0	0	500.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOP	1	0	1000	0	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
DEMX	0	0	0	0	500.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SUBS	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AUTO	0	0	0	0	-1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CONV	2	0	0	0	0.0500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	1	0	2000	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

LMCS	50	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BGIN	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOP	1	0	10000	1	0.0000	2.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	1	0	2000	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
END	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MBAE	-1	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**LMCS:** Use the low-mode conformational search method. Arg1 defines the number of steps to use for the search of the receptor.

**AUTO:** Set up comparison atom lists, torsional constraints, and chirality checks for all the LMCS searches in this calculation. Arg5 should be set to -1 so that torsional moves (TORS) are not identified, and arg6 should be 0.0 or absent as the serial aspects of the searches are controlled by the next MCOP.

**MINI:** Minimize the energy of each conformation generated in the search of the receptor using the PRCG minimization technique, arg1=1, for up to arg3 (2000) iterations.

**LMCS:** The second LMCS causes low-mode conformational searching to be used in the search of each isolated ligand and each ligand-receptor complex. Arg1 defines the number of steps to use for each search. This need not match that used in the search of the receptor.

**MCOP:** Monte Carlo options that determine what and how often data is written to the log file and how many structures are saved for the searches of the ligand-receptor complexes.

arg1 = 1: Print information for every search step to the log file.

arg3 = 10000: Use a large number to avoid spurious lines in the eMBrAcE summary table at the end of the run.

arg4 = 1: Indicates that the searches are considered to be part of a serial calculation.

arg6 = 2.0: Saves only the two lowest energy conformations from the complexes for each ligand.

#### 14.4.2.3 MCMM Conformation Search With COPY/ALGN

eMBrAcE conformational searches may also be used with COPY and ALGN to position ligands using a crystal structure of a complex of a closely related ligand. While this combination of commands may be useful, the positioning is crude and the searching of conformational space is slow and quite limited compared to that available in Schrödinger's docking program, Glide.

Below is an example command file for COPY and ALGN in combination with MBAE for an MCMM conformational search. Apart from the alignment step, the input file is similar to that of the MCMM search example above. A copy of the input file is available at:

\$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples/MBAE\_ALGN.com

The example is followed by descriptions of the opcodes. Opcode descriptions that are omitted may be read from the corresponding opcodes in the MCMM conformational search example given above, in [Section 14.4.2.1 on page 134](#).

```

ALGN_SEARCH_MCMM.mae
ALGN_SEARCH_MCMM-out.mae
FFLD      11      1      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV       3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC       0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
WRIT       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COPY       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ALGN       3      1      5      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
RWND       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MBAE       1      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCMM       50      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCNV       1      4      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCSS       2      0      0      0      500.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCOP       1      0     1000      0      0.0000      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000
DEMX       0      0      0      0     1000.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SUBS       0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
AUTO       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI       1      0     2000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCMM       50      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
AUTO       0      0      0      0      0.0000      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCOP       1      0     1000      0      0.0000      2.0000      4.0000      0.0000
READ       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI       1      0     2000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MBAE      -1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

**READ:** The first **READ** reads in the receptor.

**WRIT:** Record the receptor structure in the output structure file.

**READ:** The second **READ** reads in the first (reference) ligand.

**COPY:** Copy the current structure to the reference storage area for use in aligning the ligands.

**BGIN:** Loop over all remaining ligands in the input structure file.

**READ:** Read in the next ligand structure.

**ALGN:** Align the current structure with the reference structure by center of mass and moments of inertia (arg1 = 3), weighting atom positions by mass (arg2=1), and write all 4 such alignments to the output structure file (arg3 = 5).

**END:** End of loop for aligning the ligands.

**RWND:** Rewind the output structure file and use it as the input structure file for the remainder of the calculation.

**DEMX:** arg5 = 1000.0 means retain only the conformations within 1000 kJ/mol of the minimum energy conformation found so far. We recommend using a large value to permit seed structures with different alignments to be restrained and sampled.

**SUBS:** Substructures may be used. All opcodes related to the substructure (**SUBS**, **FXAT**, **FXDI**, **FXBA**, and **FXTA**) should be defined in an .sbc file. The .sbc file should contain only lines referring to the receptor with the receptor atoms numbered starting from 1. In this case, we specify arg2 = 1 to indicate that the name of the original *jobname*.sbc file is to be used.

**READ:** This **READ** command obtains the structure of the receptor.

**MCMM:** The second **MCMM** selects Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum searching for the search of each isolated ligand and each ligand-receptor complex. Arg1 defines the number of steps to use for each search. This need not match that used in the search of the receptor.

**MCOP:** Monte Carlo options that determine what and how often data is written to the log file and how many structures are saved for the searches of the ligand-receptor complexes.

arg1 = 1: Print information for every search step to the log file.

arg3 = 10000: Use a large number to avoid spurious lines in the eMBrAcE summary table at the end of the run.

arg6 = 2.0: Save only the two lowest energy conformations from the complexes for each ligand.

arg7 = 4.0: Seed the search for each ligand using all four alignments previously generated using the **ALGN** command.

### 14.4.3 Distributed eMBrAcE Calculations

Any eMBrAcE job may be distributed across a number of processors. To distribute an eMBrAcE job, add an NPROC line early in the .com file, such as immediately above the FFLD line. See the description of the NPROC opcode in the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for more information. For example,

```
NPROC      2      16      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```



would run the job on two processors with 16 ligands processed in each subjob.

For energy difference eMBrAcE calculations, the receptor is processed first within the parent process prior to starting up any child processes.

There are two restrictions on distributed eMBrAcE jobs. First, the output structure mode, which is controlled by `arg3` of the `MBAE` opcode, must be either 0 (complexes only) or 1 (ligands only) and not 2 (receptor, ligands and complexes). Second, distributed eMBrAcE runs may not contain `COPY` and `ALGN` commands to pre-position the ligands. Instead the pre-positioning of the ligands must be accomplished in a separate non-distributed calculation. The output from that calculation is then used as input for the distributed eMBrAcE conformational search calculation.

An example command file to align ligands prior to an eMBrAcE conformational search calculation is given below. This file is available at

`$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples/ALGN_pv.com`

`COPY_ALGN.mae`

`COPY_ALGN-out.mae`

READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
WRIT	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
COPY	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BGIN	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
ALGN	3	1	5	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
END	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**READ:** The first `READ` reads in the receptor.

**WRIT:** Record the receptor structure in the output structure file.

**READ:** The second `READ` reads in the first (reference) ligand.

**COPY:** Copy the current structure to the reference storage area for use in aligning the ligands.

**BGIN:** Loop over all remaining ligands in the input structure file.

**READ:** Read in the next ligand structure.

**ALGN:** Align the current structure with the reference structure by center of mass and moments of inertia (`arg1 = 3`), weighting atom positions by mass (`arg2=1`), and write all 4 such alignments to the output structure file (`arg3 = 5`).

**END:** End of loop for aligning the ligands.

An example command file is given below for a distributed eMBrAcE conformational search that uses the output of the separate [COPY/ALGN](#) job above. The file is available at:

```
$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/samples/Examples/  
dist_ALGNed_MBAE_MCOMM.com
```

The descriptions of most of the opcodes may be read from the corresponding opcodes in the MCOMM conformational search example given above, in [Section 14.4.2.1 on page 134](#).

```
COPY_ALGN-out.mae  
MBAE_CSEARCH-out.mae
```

NPRC	2	16	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
FFLD	11	1	0	0	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SOLV	3	1	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
EXNB	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BDCO	0	0	0	0	89.4427	99999.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MSYM	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MBAE	1	1	1	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOMM	50	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCNV	1	4	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCSS	2	0	0	0	500.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOP	1	0	10000	0	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
DEMX	0	0	0	0	1000.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SUBS	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AUTO	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	1	0	10	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
AUTO	0	0	0	0	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOMM	50	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
BGIN	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MCOP	1	0	10000	0	0.0000	2.0000	4.0000	0.0000
READ	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	1	0	10	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
END	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MBAE	-1	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**NPRC:** Distribute the calculation. Arg1 is the number of processors to use and arg2 is the number of input structures to process in each subjob. Arg2 should be a multiple of 4 for ligands prepared with [ALGN](#) so that all four alignments of each ligand are sent to the same child process.

# Free Energy Simulations

This chapter provides some of the theory behind the powerful simulation method of free energy perturbation calculations, and describes how to perform a free energy perturbation with MacroModel. If you intend to perform free energy perturbations, we strongly recommend that you become familiar with the current literature on this topic (see [Section 15.5 on page 154](#)).

Note: The MM2\* and MM3\* force fields should not be used for Free Energy Perturbation.

## 15.1 Free Energy Perturbation

The fundamental expression for free energy calculations as implemented in MacroModel is:

$$G_B - G_A = \Delta G = -RT \ln \left\langle \exp \left[ \frac{-(H_B - H_A)}{RT} \right] \right\rangle_A \quad (1)$$

where  $H_A$  and  $H_B$  are the Hamiltonians for the two systems, A and B, and the  $\langle \rangle$  notation represents an ensemble average over system A. This expression is valid only when there is a very small difference between the two systems, A and B (i.e.,  $H_B - H_A \approx RT$ ). To perform free energy calculations on meaningful systems, you generally perform a series of smaller simulations (windows), which can be summed to obtain a total free energy difference.

The coupling parameter  $\lambda$  is used to define each window in terms of the two endpoints, A and B, so that at any stage the Hamiltonian over which the ensemble average will be generated for the system is described in terms of the Hamiltonians for the endpoints:

$$H(\lambda) = \lambda H_B + (1 - \lambda) H_A \quad (2)$$

Then the overall expression for performing a simulation becomes:

$$\Delta G = \sum_{i=0}^n -RT \ln \left\langle \exp \left[ \frac{-(H_{\lambda_{i+1}} - H_{\lambda_i})}{RT} \right] \right\rangle_{\lambda_i} \quad (3)$$

where  $n$  is the number of windows to be used in the simulation. At any window the “perturbation” is between a state at  $\lambda$  and that at  $\lambda + d\lambda$ . The method for performing this simulation as implemented in MacroModel is described as “single topology.” That is, at any value of  $\lambda$ , a set

of interactions is generated by mixing the interactions of the endpoints. For example, if an atom has a charge  $x$  in the starting point of the simulation and a charge  $y$  in the end point, at any given value of  $\lambda$  (i.e., at any window), the charge on the atom will be  $z = y\lambda + (1-\lambda)x$ . This process will be repeated for all the interactions in the system and the simulation will be performed with this new set of interactions. At points during the simulation, the energy,  $H(\lambda)$ , will be evaluated. Then the interactions corresponding to the “next” value of  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda+d\lambda$ ) will be generated and  $H(\lambda+d\lambda)$  will be calculated. These terms are used in the exponential of expression (3). In MacroModel we usually take advantage of the fact that for most values of  $\lambda$ , the energy at  $\lambda-d\lambda$  can also be evaluated—this procedure is known as “double wide sampling,” and effectively allows a “forward” and “reverse” simulation to be performed in one simulation.


## 15.2 Setting Up FEP Calculations

Currently free energy calculations can be set up only by direct manipulation of the MacroModel command files. There is no Maestro interface for this procedure. The individual MacroModel opcodes are described in detail in [Chapter 4](#) of the *MacroModel Reference Manual*. This section illustrates how to combine these opcodes and produce a sensible command file. To do this we will use a simple example—a calculation of the free energy difference between D and L forms of the “alanine dipeptide”—this should give a free energy difference of zero in isolation. Though this is not a very useful simulation, it illustrates free energy perturbation calculations. Note that most of the perturbations we have tested involve small perturbations with changes of up to only three atoms.

### 15.2.1 The Structure File

When you perform a free energy perturbation calculation in MacroModel, the input structure file (.mae) must contain two separate MacroModel structures. These correspond to the start and end point for the calculation. The numbering of the structures is critical—all “equivalent” atoms must have the same number in each structure. Dummy atoms (MacroModel atom type 61) must be used as place holders for atoms created or destroyed during the perturbation. The numbering of the structures used in our example is shown in [Figure 15.1](#).

Here we are performing a FEP calculation between the L and D enantiomers of the alanine dipeptide using the AMBER\* force field, so we have no explicit hydrogen on the alpha carbon. The perturbation involves changing dummy atom 13 in the starting (L) structure to a united atom methyl in the (D) structure. At the same time, atom number 9, which begins as a united atom methyl in the starting structure, is extinguished to a dummy atom in the structure at the end of the simulation. Numbering of the structures must be checked very carefully before a free energy simulation is attempted.



**Figure 15.1. Numbering system for the alanine dipeptide.**

## 15.2.2 The Command File

The command file used to perform the perturbation of the L to the D form is shown below.

```
fep.mae
fep-out.mae
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCO      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      3      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FEIA      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCNV      1      2      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCSD      1      2      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      300.0000      0.0000
TORS      5      6      0      0      30.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      6      7      0      0      30.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FEAV      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      1000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDIT      0      0      0      0      300.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MDYN      0      0      1      0      1.5000      10.0000      300.0000      0.0000
FESA      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000
MDYN      0      0      1      0      1.5000      100.0000      300.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FESU      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

**EXNB:** Extended non-bonded cutoffs should be used in free energy calculations.

**FFLD:** This example used the AMBER\* force field with the default distance-dependent dielectric treatment for electrostatics. We also recommend the use of the GB/SA solvation model in a real application.

**READ:** Required to read the first structure in the .mae file.

**FEIA:** Reads the second structure (the perturbation endpoint) from the .mae file and sets up the arrays for averaging of the interactions.

**MCNV:** Specifies that between 1 and 2 of the torsions specified by TORS commands will be varied at each MC step.

**MCS D:** In this example we use mixed-mode Monte Carlo/Stochastic Dynamics to perform the simulation. Because a successful FEP simulation requires a thorough sampling of the conformation space at each window, we strongly recommend using the mixed-mode simulation method during free energy calculations.

**TORS:** Defines the torsion angles used in the Monte Carlo part of the MCS D calculation. In this case it is the  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  angles of the dipeptide.

**BGIN:** Free energy perturbation calculations are usually performed in a BGIN/END loop, which completes all the windows from  $\lambda=0$  to  $\lambda=1$ .

**FEAV:** Generates the interactions corresponding to the value of  $\lambda$  given in arg5. The interpretation of this command can lead to some confusion. The first time it is encountered, it will generate an interaction array with the value of  $\lambda$  as given in arg5. When placed inside a BGIN/END pair, as in this example, the behavior is slightly different—when subsequently encountered, the FEAV command will increment the current value of  $\lambda$  (i.e., the middle of the double wide sampling window) by the difference between arg5 and arg6 in the following FESA command. In this case it will be incremented to 0.05 the first time through the BGIN/END loop.

**MINI:** Once the interactions are generated, it is necessary to minimize the structure with this set of interactions in order to remove any excess potential energy before beginning the dynamics part of the simulation.

**MDIT:** Sets initial random velocities corresponding to an initial temperature of the value of arg5 (in this case, 300 K).

**MDYN:** At any given value of  $\lambda$  (i.e., at the start of every window) it is good practice to first perform a short equilibration simulation before collecting data for the free energy calculation.

**FESA:** Begins the collection of free energy samples for the current value of ( $\lambda$ )—the middle of the window. The values of arg5 and arg6 indicate the values of the left side of the window and the right side of the window for double wide sampling. The difference between the values of arg5 and arg6 controls the number of windows which will be performed in the simulation—the relationship is  $\text{\#windows} = 1/(\text{arg6} - \text{arg5}) + 1$ . A maximum of 101 windows can be used in a MacroModel FEP calculation so the minimum permissible value of the difference between arg5 and arg6 is 0.01. When used inside a BGIN/END pair, as in this example, arg5 and arg6 will be incremented each pass through the loop, as illustrated in the table below.

Most simulations require at least 20 windows to ensure that the free energy difference per window (which must be  $<3$  RT) remains sufficiently small.

Pass	$\lambda(\text{left})$	$\lambda(\text{middle})$	$\lambda(\text{right})$	
1	0.00	0.00	0.05	(no middle->left sampling)
2	0.0	0.05	0.10	(middle->left and left->right)
3	0.05	0.10	0.15	(middle->left and left->right)
4	0.10	0.15	0.20	(middle->left and left->right)
20	0.90	0.95	1.00	(middle->left and left->right)
21	0.95	1.0	1.0	(no middle->right sampling)

**MDYN:** Describes the dynamics simulation in which the free energy sampling is done. In this simple case we are only doing 100 ps of mixed-mode simulation at each window. In real cases > 500 ps simulation per window will probably be required.

**END:** The end of the BGIN/END loop. The loop terminates when the value of  $\lambda$  (middle) exceeds 1.0.

**FESU:** Prepare a summary of the free energy change during the simulation.

## 15.2.3 Other Possible Command Files

### Performing specific windows:

Although it is useful to wrap free energy calculations in a BGIN/END loop and simulate with all windows, it is possible to run any specific windows. The windows are independent and free energy differences obtained from separate runs can be usefully combined. It is also sometimes useful to re-run specific windows from a simulation to obtain better statistics. The command file below performs double wide sampling for two windows with  $\lambda(\text{middle})=0.5$  and  $\lambda(\text{middle})=0.55$ :

```
fep-diala.mae
fep-diala-out.mae
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCE      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      3      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FEIA      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCNV      1      2      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCSD      1      2      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      300.0000      0.0000
TORS      5      6      0      0      30.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
TORS      6      7      0      0      30.0000      180.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FEAV      0      0      0      0      0.5000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0      1000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

MDIT	0	0	0	0	300.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MDYN	0	0	1	0	1.5000	10.0000	300.0000	0.0000
FESA	0	0	0	0	0.4500	0.5500	0.0000	0.0000
MDYN	0	0	1	0	1.5000	100.0000	300.0000	0.0000
FEAV	0	0	0	0	0.5500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MINI	1	0	1000	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MDIT	0	0	0	0	300.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
MDYN	0	0	1	0	1.5000	10.0000	300.0000	0.0000
FESA	0	0	0	0	0.5000	0.6000	0.0000	0.0000
MDYN	0	0	1	0	1.5000	100.0000	300.0000	0.0000
FESU	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

### Using the distributed MacroModel procedure to perform a free energy calculation:

For more information about distributed MacroModel, see [Section 3.3 on page 23](#). The NPRC command can be used to distribute the free energy calculation over a number of hosts in a manner similar to that described for Monte Carlo conformational searching. In this case the smallest job that can be distributed on any host is one complete FEP window, so the opportunities for load balancing are limited.

## 15.2.4 The Output File

Similar output for each window of the perturbation will be obtained in the .log file. The output obtained when the command file described above was run appears below. This output is for the value of  $\lambda(\text{middle})=0.4$

```
FEP averaged force field, lambda = 0.400000
Loading FEP coordinates 1
Starting conjugate gradient minimization.
Minimization converged; gradient = 0.335E-01 .LT. 0.500E-01
Iterations = 120 out of 1000
Conf 1 E = -138.502 ( 0.034) kJ/mol

BatchMin V8.5      Stochastic Dynamics Simulation
                  MC/SD Mixed Mode

Time      Total E      0.5ps T      Ave H      Ave T      Ave H( 300)
(ps)      (kJ/mol)      (deg K)      (kJ/mol)      (deg K)      (kJ/mol)
1.000      -60.8      297.1      -97.2      295.4      -96.5
2.001      -72.2      172.3      -103.0      260.1      -96.5
3.000      -45.2      263.5      -102.1      265.5      -96.5
4.001      -62.5      308.8      -101.7      272.9      -97.3
5.001      -70.4      252.1      -101.4      269.1      -96.4
6.000      -73.9      272.6      -102.2      267.2      -96.9
7.001      -51.8      276.7      -101.6      265.1      -96.0
8.001      -60.0      271.4      -101.2      266.0      -95.7
9.000      -55.4      266.2      -101.5      268.3      -96.4
10.000     -54.3      261.5      -101.0      268.6      -95.9

Final potential and kinetic energy =      -93.46      39.77 kJ/mol
CPU Time =      1.2 sec

Average potential energy =      -101.02 kJ/mol
```



```

Acceptance Ratio for Mixed Mode Simulation = 0.02744863
Average kinetic energy = 43.55 kJ/mol (Av temperature = 268.6 deg K)
Average total energy = -57.47 kJ/mol (Std dev = 11.13 kJ/mol)

```

```

Average potential energy <H> scaled to 300.0 deg K = -95.92 kJ/mol
      Av stretch      12.72 kJ/mol
      Av bend         15.59 kJ/mol
      Av torsion      25.90 kJ/mol
      Av van der Waals 12.57 kJ/mol
      Av electrostatic -162.70 kJ/mol
      Av solvation 1   0.00 kJ/mol
      Av solvation 2   0.00 kJ/mol

```

```

BatchMin V8.5      Stochastic Dynamics Simulation
                   MC/SD Mixed Mode

```

```

Free energy perturbation calculation
Averaged interaction array, lambda = 0.400000

```

```
FEP Window 9:
```

Time (ps)	Total E (kJ/mol)	0.5ps T (deg K)	Average T (deg K)	<G>0.35-0.40 (kJ/mol)	<G>0.45-0.40 (kJ/mol)
10.000	-35.5	306.2	284.0	-1.11	0.89
20.001	-46.5	294.7	304.7	-1.16	0.98
30.000	-19.9	292.4	302.6	-1.04	0.82
40.000	-24.2	366.7	301.7	-0.98	0.77
50.001	-57.1	282.4	300.0	-0.95	0.72
60.000	-24.3	317.0	299.3	-0.94	0.70
70.001	-24.6	265.0	302.7	-0.96	0.72
80.001	-31.0	310.1	301.0	-0.94	0.71
90.002	-31.1	287.6	300.3	-0.95	0.71
100.001	-59.1	277.9	298.9	-0.97	0.74

```

Final potential and kinetic energy = -84.41      38.78 kJ/mol
CPU Time = 12.5 sec

```

```
Average potential energy = -96.48 kJ/mol
```

```

Acceptance Ratio for Mixed Mode Simulation = 0.03341983
Average kinetic energy = 48.46 kJ/mol (Av temperature = 298.9 deg K)
Average total energy = -48.02 kJ/mol (Std dev = 15.55 kJ/mol)

```

```

Average potential energy <H> scaled to 300.0 deg K = -96.29 kJ/mol
      Av stretch      15.40 kJ/mol
      Av bend         17.81 kJ/mol
      Av torsion      27.36 kJ/mol
      Av van der Waals 13.13 kJ/mol
      Av electrostatic -169.99 kJ/mol
      Av solvation 1   0.00 kJ/mol
      Av solvation 2   0.00 kJ/mol

```

```
Free energy perturbation window 9
```

```

Lambda (left,center,right) = 0.350 0.400 0.450
Number of samples in average = 3921

```

	Bonded	Nonbonded	Solvation	Total	+/-
G( left-center) =	-0.094	-0.867	0.000	-0.967	0.134 kJ/mol
G(right-center) =	0.059	0.694	0.000	0.737	0.166 kJ/mol

Similar output was obtained for the other 20 windows of this simulation. The first part of the output shows the result of the minimization at this value of  $\lambda$  and the equilibration simulation. Then the actual free energy sampling is performed and a free energy for the “forward” and

“reverse” simulation is reported. Notice that free energy reported should become stable to a few hundredths of a kJ/mol near the end of the sampling period. Note, however, that this is a necessary but insufficient indicator of convergence during the simulation, as this may only indicate that the simulation is stuck in one of a number of accessible potential energy minima.

After the actual sampling phase is over, a summary of the free energy change for this window will be printed as shown above. *Note that the “component” (e.g., Bonded, Nonbonded, and Solvation) free energies are only approximate and do not necessarily sum to the “Total” value.* After all windows are completed, a summary is printed of the perturbation over all windows (with the “forward” and “reverse” simulations shown side by side). At the end of the file is a summary of the complete perturbation:

```
Summary of total perturbation. Lambda: 0.000 -> 1.000
      Forward      Reverse      Average
G(bonded)      =      0.10200      0.07908      0.09054 kJ/mol
G(nonbonded)   =      1.08893     -1.12791     -0.01949 kJ/mol
G(solvation)   =      0.00000      0.00000      0.00000 kJ/mol
G(total)       =      1.03701     -0.90759      0.06471 kJ/mol
Standard dev   =      0.31498      0.29871              kJ/mol
```

This is probably the most important part of the output. The average of the “forward” and “reverse” total free energies gives an estimate of the free energy change for the entire simulation. In this case it is close to zero, as expected (sampling for 500 ps per window reduces the value to 0.02 kJ/mol). The standard deviation of the free energy is useful as an estimate of the error. In this case the free energy would be reported as 0.1 0.3kJ/mol. At 500 ps sampling it is 0.02±0.14 kJ/mol. Note that we use the larger of the “forward” or “reverse” standard deviation values and not the average. As mentioned above, only the “total” free energies are strictly meaningful—the *components are only estimates*. In addition to examining the final free energy summary, it is useful to plot the progress of the perturbation.

There should be a continuous, smooth change in free energy from window to window with no large “jumps” or sudden increases in the standard deviation. If discontinuities are observed, then the simulation should probably be run with more sampling per window and possibly more windows.

## 15.3 Criteria for a Successful Simulation

The answers to the following questions will help you perform successful simulations.

### 1. Do the input structures have the same numbering with dummy atoms used for atoms which are present in one structure and not in another?

One useful way to check the input file is to set up a simple command file as follows:

```
fep-diala.mae
fep-diala-out.mae
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCO      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD      3      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FEIA      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FEAV      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0    1000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FEAV      0      0      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0    1000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

This command file performs a minimization with  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$ . Record the final minimized energies in each case. Now swap the structures in the input (.mae) file and re-run the calculation. For the second run, with the order of the structures reversed, the energy at the  $\lambda = 1$  point should be the same as the energy from the  $\lambda = 0$  point of the first run. Similarly, the energy of the  $\lambda = 0$  point in the second run should be the same as the  $\lambda = 1$  energy of the first. If these do not match exactly, then there is likely to be something wrong with the numbering of the input structure files.

## 2. Is sufficient sampling being performed?

While the expression (1) described above is exact, it is implicit that at each window complete sampling of all important conformations is achieved. In practice this is very difficult to achieve and we strongly recommend the use of the mixed-mode simulation methodology to help solve the sampling problem. Even so, you should also perform independent tests for convergence with simulations at least as long as the sampling period in the free energy calculations. Ideally you should be satisfied that you can achieve a reasonable degree of convergence for simulations of the starting structures in the length of time used for the sampling in the free energy calculations. Good tests for convergence are starting from different starting geometries and (for non-chiral molecules) monitoring the populations of “equivalent” conformations (e.g., +gauche/-gauche torsion angles). Another possibility is to compare structures sampled from a simulation with those obtained from an extensive conformational search. Most importantly note that stable values for the average free energy change over several tens of picoseconds of sampling, while required for a converged simulation, are not alone proof of convergence. If the simulation is “stuck” in one particular minimum, then the average quantities will look to be converged but the actual free energy may be incorrect.

## 3. Is the force-field adequate?

Free energy perturbation assumes that the potential energy of the system is well described by force field parameters. You cannot expect to get quantitative agreement with experimental free energies (or make useful predictions) unless you are using a high quality force field. You

should carefully check the quality of all the parameters in use in a free energy calculations. A summary of the parameter quality is printed at the top of the `.log` file.

#### **4. Are the dummy atom parameters appropriate?**


Natural bond lengths to dummy atoms may need to be set to approximate the length of the real counterpart of the dummy atom, e.g., the atom into which the dummy “grows.” In the past we have used very short (ca. 0.5 Å) natural bond lengths for dummy atoms. However, this can cause problems when the dummy atom has significant non-bonded interaction with other parts of the molecule early in the simulation. For example, in the case of perturbing axial methyl cyclohexane to equatorial methyl cyclohexane using the united atom model for AMBER\*, if the dummy atoms start at 0.5 Å the free energy difference between the two conformations is greatly overestimated. Setting the dummy atom natural bond length to ca. 1.5 Å gives a much more reasonable free energy difference. Unfortunately there is not one “perfect” length for all systems, and you should experiment with the dummy atom natural bond length to ascertain that the results obtained are independent of the dummy atom parameters.

## **15.4 Other Types of Free Energy Calculations**

Free energy calculations have been possible for some time—most of the simulation methods in MacroModel result in ensembles that actually sample the free energy surface of the molecule. In some cases actual values for free energy differences between well-defined conformational states can be obtained. This is best illustrated with two examples from our own laboratory.

### **15.4.1 Hydrogen Bonding Preference of a Glycyl Lactam in Organic Solution**

Infrared studies of the glycyl lactam in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  [30] suggest that both hydrogen bonded and non-hydrogen bonded forms are significantly populated at room temperature. In this case conformational searching (which can be considered an “Enthalpy” at 0 K) was apparently at variance with experiment because the global minimum, a hydrogen bonded form, is 2.7 kcal/mol lower in energy than the most stable non-hydrogen bonded energy minimum. When molecular dynamics simulations were performed at 300 K [31] and the hydrogen bond population was monitored during the simulation, we found that only 56% of the conformations could be considered hydrogen bonding at this temperature. Thus the hydrogen bonded populations reflect the experimentally observed populations because the simulation is exploring the “free energy surface” of the molecule. The “minima” obtained from the conformational search are the lowest points on very broad energy wells, which include many states lacking well-defined hydrogen bonds. So even using a high quality force field and solvation model was not sufficient to reproduce experiment without the use of the appropriate simulation methodology.



**Figure 15.2.** The glycyl lactam structure.

## 15.4.2 Conformational Free Energies for a Diamide in Solution


This compound was one of a series studied by Gellman et al. [32] using variable temperature IR and NMR in organic solvent. If we consider that there are two states of the molecule (hydrogen bound and non-hydrogen bound, each representing many possible conformations), we can perform a series of simulations at different temperatures in the range 200-300 K and perform a van't Hoff analysis on the results, which is directly comparable to that reported from the experiments [33]. The results of this analysis are shown in the following figure and table:

$\Delta H$ (kcal/mol)				$\Delta S$ (e.u.)		
N	$^1\text{H}$ NMR	IR	Simulation	$^1\text{H}$ NMR	IR	Simulation
<b>4</b>	-1.5	-1.4	-1.65	-8.0	-6.8	-8.6


In this system, where there are two well-defined states, we have been able to obtain thermodynamic parameters (which agree well with experiment) from direct simulation without using any perturbation techniques.

The reason that both of these simulations were able to obtain quantitative agreement with experiment is that we closely followed this procedure:

- Careful parametrization of the gas-phase conformational energy differences against ab initio calculations at the 6-31G\* level.
- Use of the GB/SA solvent model.



**Figure 15.3.** The diamide structure.



**Figure 15.4. van't Hoff analysis of the diamide.**

- Assuring converged simulations by long runs (ns time scale) using the mixed-mode MC/SD procedure. We were also careful to test for convergence by ensuring that we could obtained the same results from different starting conformations.

## 15.5 Literature

This section is not an exhaustive review of the free energy literature, but rather a set of representative reviews and papers covering both theory and applications of free energy calculations. Those marked with a “\*” are particularly important for their critical analysis of the potential problems of free energy calculations, and we urge you to read and understand these reviews before attempting free energy calculations.

Kollman, P. A. *Chem Rev.* **1993**, 93, 2395.

Beveridge, D. L.; DiCapua, F. M. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem.* **1989**, 18, 431.

Jorgensen, W. L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1989**, 22, 184.

Jorgensen, W. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, 111, 755.

Cieplak, P.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des.* **1993**, 7, 291.

\* van Gunsteren, W. F., Weiner, P. K., Eds. *Computer Simulations of Biomolecular Systems*; ESCOM: Leiden, 1990.

\* van Gunsteren, W. F., Mark, A. E. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1992**, 204, 947.

\* Mark, A. E.; van Helden, S. P.; Smith, P. E.; Janssen, L. H. M.; van Gunsteren, W. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, 116, 6293.

\* Mitchell, M. J.; McCammon, J. A. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1991**, 12, 271.

Smith, P. E.; van Gunsteren, W. F. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, 98, 13735.





# Molecular Clustering with XCluster

XCluster is a powerful structural clustering tool that uses molecular similarity as the clustering criterion. XCluster calculations can be set up in Maestro on a set of conformers contained in the Project Facility. Details about XCluster can be found in the *MacroModel XCluster Manual*.

The Maestro interface makes it easy to select atoms to be used in the XCluster analysis. Instead of typing in the atom numbers in pairs, you can pick the atoms in the Workspace or select the comparison atoms using the flexibility of selection available in the Atom Selection dialog box. This is an important feature because more meaningful clustering can be obtained by choosing the minimum number of comparison atoms that are relevant for the clustering to be performed.

XCluster calculations, including the selection of the comparison atoms or torsions, are prepared and started from the Maestro XCluster panel. When you start the calculation from Maestro, the job is transferred to the original XCluster interface, which controls the actual computation and the display of the results of the XCluster analysis. As in the past, XCluster jobs can still be prepared and submitted from the original interface or from the command line. This interface can be launched with the command `$SCHRODINGER/xcluster`.

## 16.1 The XCluster Panel


To open the XCluster panel, choose XCluster from the Applications menu.

This panel allows you to specify the source of structural input, select the distance criterion to be used, select the comparison atoms or torsions, choose the type of RMS calculation, and specify whether enantiomers are to be considered. The following sections describe the use of the XCluster panel to set up an XCluster calculation.

When you have set up the calculation, click Start. The original XCluster interface is launched, the computation transferred to its control, and the job is run. When the job is finished, you can investigate the clustering statistics and use the visualization tools from the Visualize menu of the XCluster interface.

### 16.1.1 Selecting the Structure Source

To perform an XCluster analysis, you must provide a collection of conformers as input. The input can be taken from Maestro's project facility (for instance, from the incorporated structural output of a conformational search or dynamics simulation) or from an external structure file. XCluster cannot perform clustering calculations on non-conformers.



**Figure 16.1. The XCluster panel.**

To use structures from the current project, select the entries in the Project Table, then select Selected entries in the Use structures from section. To use structures that are in a disk file, select From file and type a file name in the Input file text box, or click Open to open a file selector to choose a file. The first structure in this file is read into Maestro and replaces the contents of the Workspace, so you can use the picking tools to set up the comparison atoms or torsions.

### 16.1.2 Selecting the Clustering Criterion

In the Cluster by section, you can select Atomic RMS or Torsional RMS as the distance criterion for the clustering calculation. The atom selection tools displayed in the center of the panel depend on which of these options you select. The options in the lower section of the panel, Calculate RMS 'In-Place' (no superposition) and Compare enantiomers, affect how the clustering is performed.

### Atomic RMS

This option selects the atomic RMS displacement of corresponding atoms as the distance criterion. By default, a rigid-body superposition is performed before evaluating RMS displacements. The default is overridden by the Calculate RMS 'In-Place' (no superposition) option.

### Torsional RMS

This option selects the root-mean-square difference between corresponding torsion angles in pairs of structures as the distance criterion.

### Calculate RMS 'in-place' (no superposition)

This option is not selected by default. If this option is selected, there is no rigid-body superposition, but the distance matrix is computed without translating or rotating the input conformers. This option is useful if the input conformers have a relationship to another structure such as a receptor, for which the relative coordinates between conformers should not be adjusted in the clustering analysis.

### Distinguish mirror-image conformations

This option is selected by default. When selected, the analysis generates the mirror image of each input conformer, and uses the smallest of the distances computed for the input structure and its mirror image as the distance entry in the distance matrix for the conformer. If the option is not selected, mirror images of input conformers are not considered in the analysis.

## 16.1.3 Defining the Comparison Atoms or Torsions

The XCluster panel makes it easy to designate the comparison atoms quickly. If you are using project entries as the source of the set of conformers, ensure that one conformer (and only one) is included in the Workspace. If you are using an external file as input source, the first structure in the file is automatically imported and included in the Workspace when you open the file.

If the distance criterion is Atomic RMS, there are several ways to select the comparison atoms. The buttons Heavy Atoms and Heavy Atoms + O-H, S-H select all atoms of the type indicated. Atoms can also be defined by picking in the Workspace. The final way to select comparison atoms is with the Atom Selection dialog box, which you open with the Select button. With this tool, complex atom sets can be easily and quickly selected.

If you selected Torsional RMS, you can choose either Atom or Bond from the Pick menu in the Define comparison torsions section, and define the torsions by picking.

The Delete and Delete All buttons can be used to edit or clear the comparison list.

## 16.2 Command File Examples

A sample XCluster command file is given below for an Atomic RMS displacement calculation. A subset of heavy atoms has been chosen to serve as the comparison atoms in the RMS calculation. Five lead structures are written to the output structure file.

```
# start sample command file
Sfile: cluster.mae
Mmsym:
Enant:
Arms:
    1
    5
    8
    11
    14
    17
    33
    39
    42
    45
    48
    52

Cluster:
Writelead: -5 cluster-out.mae all
# end sample command file
```

**Sfile:** Specifies the input structure file.

**Mmsym:** The MMSYM symmetry library will be used in the RMS difference calculation. MMSYM automatically recognizes local and global molecular symmetry.

**Enant:** Enables consideration of enantiomers of each conformer.

**Arms:** Atom numbers used when generating the distance matrix.

**Cluster:** Builds clusters at all clustering levels and calculates simple statistics for the clusterings.

**Writelead:** Writes the lead structures for each of five clusters to the output structure file.

For more information on these commands, see [Chapter 4](#) and [Chapter 8](#) of the *MacroModel XCluster Manual*.

## 16.3 XCluster Output

XCluster analysis is mainly carried out using the visualization tools in the XCluster interface after the cluster calculation is finished. In addition, structural output can be generated from the Write panel, which you can open from the File menu or from the visualization panels. A log file is generated after a successful XCluster computation as *jobname*.clg.



# Redundant Conformer Elimination

Collections of conformers can contain structures that are essentially the same, based upon energetic or geometric considerations. The redundant conformer elimination facility allows you to use MacroModel to remove the extra conformers rapidly without reminimizing or re-evaluating the energy.

One important use for this facility is in the complementary use of MacroModel and Jaguar to conduct a very high quality conformational search. In this approach, MacroModel is used to perform the initial conformational search, typically using GB/SA solvation. To increase the accuracy of the geometries and energies of the structures produced, Jaguar is used to reminimize the collection of conformers. Sometimes the molecular mechanics potential energy surface differs enough from the ab initio potential energy surface that structures considered distinct in the original conformational search minimize to the same structure in the Jaguar calculations. The redundant conformer elimination facility can then be used to remove these redundant conformers without adjusting the energy or geometry of the molecules.

Another use of the redundant conformer elimination facility is to reprocess the results of a MacroModel conformational search with less strict criteria for distinguishing conformers or a smaller energy range for retained conformers. As well, on occasions MacroModel conformational searches might not eliminate all of the redundant conformers. Running the structures through this facility provides a rapid mechanism for dealing with such cases.

## 17.1 Eliminating Redundant Conformers Using Maestro

You can set up and run jobs to eliminate redundant conformers from a set of conformers with the Redundant Conformer Elimination panel. To open this panel, choose Redundant Conformer Elimination from the MacroModel submenu of the Applications menu in the main menu bar. The upper and lower parts of the panel are common to all MacroModel panels. These components are described in detail in [Section 4.1 on page 25](#). Redundant conformer elimination performs no energy calculations, so the tabs present in other MacroModel panels are not present. The remaining controls in this panel are for selecting atoms and settings for structural comparison.

### Define comparison atoms

You can define comparison atoms by picking atoms in one of the conformers, which must be included in the Workspace, or by selecting one of the predefined sets of comparison atoms: Heavy Atoms + O-H, S-H or Heavy Atoms. Heavy atoms are defined as non-hydrogen atoms.

The first option of the two predefined sets includes hydrogen atoms attached to O and S atoms. The comparison atoms are listed as atom numbers in the Comparison atoms list. To delete one or more atoms from the list, select them in the list and click **Delete**. You can delete all comparison atoms from the list by clicking **Delete All**.

Eliminate redundant conformers using

If comparison atoms are chosen or **Perform automatic setup** during calculation is selected, structures produced during a conformational search are compared to see if they are unique. Two options are available for the conditions for structures to be considered different:

- **Maximum atom deviation**—consider structures to be different if the maximum atom deviation for any atom exceeds the threshold given in the **Cutoff** text box.
- **RMSD**—consider structures to be different if the RMS deviation for all compared atoms exceeds the threshold given in the **Cutoff** text box.

The default cutoff is 0.5 Å for both options.




Figure 17.1. The Redundant Conformer Elimination panel.



### Compare structures 'in place' (no superposition)

If you select this option, the conformers are not superimposed (translated and rotated to obtain the closest alignment of atoms) in the process of comparing the structures, but the comparison is made with the structures in their given locations. By default, the structures are superimposed. This option could be used, for example, for Glide poses, where the position of the ligands with respect to the receptor is important.

### Retain mirror-image conformations

By default, both a structure and its mirror image are considered when comparing with the other structures. If one of the two matches, the structure is eliminated. To ensure that both the structure and its mirror image are kept, select this option.

### Source of energy

If you choose to include the energy as a criterion for comparison, structures whose energy differs by more than 1 kJ/mol are considered inequivalent. This test is applied before the geometries are compared and can considerably speed up the comparison. You can choose from the Jaguar energy or the energies for various force fields supplied with MacroModel, or you can disable energy comparison by selecting None. If the particular energy you selected is not available among the properties of the structure, the calculation stops. You should therefore choose an energy that has been calculated for all structures.

### Energy window for saving structures

This is the threshold value for comparison of structures. Structures are kept only if their energy is less than this value above the current global minimum. Lowering this value results in fewer structures saved. The default value is 21 kJ/mol.

## 17.2 Command File Examples

To run MacroModel calculations, a molecular structure file and a command file are required. The molecular structure file contains the structures to be used as input in the calculation. The command file contains the name of the input structure file, the name of the output structure file, and an ordered list of operation codes (*opcodes*) for the calculations.

Once you set up a job in Maestro and click either **Start** or **Write**, Maestro writes out a molecular structure file and a command file. For many types of jobs, command files written this way are complete and adequate. For some types of jobs, however, you may need to adjust the Maestro-generated command file.

Redundant conformer elimination is performed in MacroModel by the [ADDC](#) opcode. Each time ADDC is executed, it tries to add the current structure to the collection of output

conformers. The same checks for redundancy and transformations, such as net translation and rotation of the current structure, are performed as in a multiple minimization of conformers (see [COMP](#)), but no minimization or energy estimation is conducted. Energies from earlier MacroModel or Jaguar calculations may be used as part of the comparison process (see [DEMX](#) in the *MacroModel Reference Manual*). If MacroModel energies are to be used, then a [FFLD](#) line with the same force field used to calculate the energies must precede the ADDC line. See the *MacroModel Reference Manual* for information on [ADDC](#).

ADDC should be used much like MINI in a multiple minimization calculation. The command file below reads in conformers from the file `addc.mae`, orders them by increasing Jaguar energy, superimposes the structures on the lowest Jaguar energy structure, eliminates redundant conformers, and writes out the resulting structures to `addc-out.mae`.

```
addc.mae
addc-out.mae
DEMX      0      0      0      0      10.0000      10.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM      1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
AUTO      1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ADDC      -1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

[DEMX](#): Arg5 sets the energy window to 10 kJ/mol.

[BGIN](#): Begin the loop over conformers.

[READ](#): Read the next conformer.

[AUTO](#): Automatically set up comparisons. Arg1 = 1 sets up comparisons only once, and uses this set for the remaining conformers.

[ADDC](#): Check the current conformer. Arg1 = -1 indicates that Jaguar energies should be present in the input structure file and that these energies are to be used in the process that eliminates redundant conformers.

# Additional Features

MacroModel has some additional features that are not available from the Maestro interface. Command file examples of some of these types of calculations are provided in this chapter. Note that some of these command files do not have the first `MMOD` line as seen in command files generated from the interface. The `MMOD` monitoring command is not necessary because these files cannot currently be monitored from Maestro.

## 18.1 Geometry Calculations

Geometric properties such as atom positions, distances, angles, and dihedrals can be measured using the `GEOM` opcode. Below is an example of the command file for a geometry calculation and explanations of the opcodes contained in the file.

```
geom.mae
geom-out.mae
BGIN
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
GEOM      14      15      16      17      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END
```

`BGIN/END`: Geometries can be calculated for a number of input structures within this loop.

`READ`: Read the input file.

`GEOM`: Use the number of non-zero arguments in `arg1-arg4` to define whether atom coordinates, distances, bond angles, or dihedral angles should be calculated. For all of `arg1-arg4` non-zero, the value of the dihedral angle defined by the atom numbers listed in `arg1-arg4` is reported. `Arg5` is used for calculation of spin-spin coupling constants. For details of `arg1-arg5` of the `GEOM` opcode, see [Section 4.15](#) of the *MacroModel Reference Manual*.

## 18.2 Calculating Interaction Energies Using ASET

ASET can be used to examine the interaction energies between sets of atoms within a structure. In the sample below, the interaction energy between LYS 33 and the ligand in the CDK2 structure `1e1v` is computed. There is also a Python script available from the Script Center (<http://www.schrodinger.com/scripts>) for ASET calculations.

```

aset.mae
aset-out.mae
DEBG      1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     11      1      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASET     466     487      0      0      1.0000      2.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASET     4599    4633      0      0      2.0000      2.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ASNT      1      2      1      1      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
ELST     -1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

**DEBG:** Different debugging flags can be set. In this example, `arg1=1` tells MacroModel to write out the set relationships to the log file.

**ASET:** Defines atom sets. `Arg1-arg4` lists atom-numbers of atoms that participate in a set. The set number is defined in `arg5`. `Arg6` allows for numerous alternatives of defining sets using `arg1-arg4`. For instance, a range of atoms can be added to a set by using `arg1` and `arg2` (first and last atom number of atoms to be included in the set) only, with `arg6=2`, as in this example. `Arg7` and `arg8` control ASET properties written to the output structure file. For details on the ASET opcode, see [Section 4.5](#) of the *MacroModel Reference Manual*.

**ASNT:** Turn on/off set interactions. `Arg1` and `arg2` give the set numbers (as defined in ASET). `Arg3=1` turns force field interactions on and `arg4=1` turns constraint interactions on.

**READ:** Read in the structure.

**ELST:** Calculate the interaction energies between the specified sets and record only limited information on the interactions in the system to the `.log` file.

## 18.3 Rewinding the Output File for Additional Minimization

The **RWND** opcode, when included in a MacroModel command file, uses the input or output file again for a second computation. Currently this is supported only for a conformation search followed by a multiple minimization of the resulting conformers. This enables a conformation search to be performed with a higher minimization gradient specified for generated conformers, followed by minimization to a lower gradient with duplicate elimination, all in a single MacroModel computation. This workflow can be more efficient than minimizing all generated structures during the conformation search itself. The same potential settings should be used for both segments of the calculation.

The command file below combines a low-mode conformation search with a subsequent multiple minimization of the generated conformers to efficiently produce a set of low-energy conformers with a small convergence gradient.

```

rwnd.mae
rwnd-out.mae
MMOD      0      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
FFLD     11      1      0      0      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV      3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCC      0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
LMCS    1000      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      3.0000      6.0000
MCSS      2      0      0      0      50.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MCOP      1      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
DEMX      0    1666      0      0      50.0000    100.0000      0.0000      0.0000
COMP      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MSYM      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0500      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0    5000      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
RWND      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV      2      0      0      0      0.0200      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI      1      0    1300      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
END        0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

All opcodes above the RWND command are necessary for a standard low-mode search. The COMP command indicates that all heavy atoms are to be used for the redundant conformer comparison. The minimizations, after conformation generation, are continued only until a gradient of 0.05 is reached, as seen in arg5 of the CONV command.

Arg1 of the RWND command indicates that the output file of the low-mode search is to be used as the input of the subsequent multiple minimization. The second argument indicates that the intermediate conformation search output structure file is to be discarded, and only the final results of the minimization are retained.

Below the RWND command are the opcodes necessary for the subsequent multiple minimization. Another CONV is included which indicates, with the fifth argument, a tighter convergence than was used in the conformation search.

## 18.4 Visualizing Vibrational Modes

Vibrational modes from MacroModel calculations can be visualized in Maestro using the ePlayer. Two opcodes, VIBR and VBR2, generate structures for a set of selected vibrational modes. VIBR is used for small molecules, whereas VBR2 is used for larger molecules of the order of seven residues or larger. VBR2 utilizes the same techniques as a large-scale low-mode conformation search, which include using ARPACK routines to solve the large eigenvalue problem. The arguments to VIBR and VBR2 specify the first and last mode to visualize, as well

as the number of frames to include for the period of each vibration. Rotational and translational modes are automatically disregarded. The structural output consists of a series of frames (structures) that together animate the vibration when imported and played in Maestro's ePlayer.

VIBR and VBR2 computations are started from the command line with an appropriate command file and an input structure file. The input structure should be minimized to a low gradient. Below is a sample command file for a VIBR calculation.

```
vibr.mae
vibr-out.mae
FFLD      10      1      0      1      1.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
SOLV       3      1      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
EXNB       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
BDCO       0      0      0      0      89.4427 99999.0000      0.0000      0.0000
READ       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
CONV       2      0      0      0      0.0200      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
MINI       1      0      500    0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
VIBR       1      5      5      0      3.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
```

The command file specifies `vibr.mae` as the input structure file, and the output structural animations will reside in the file `vibr-out.mae`. The following four opcodes specify the potential settings, here MMFFs as the force field with solvation, extended non-bonded cutoffs, and BDCO usage for electrostatic interactions. After the structure is read, a minimization is requested (CONV, MINI). The `VIBR` opcode selects the first five non-trivial modes for animation, with five frames per quarter vibration cycle.

## 18.5 Alignment of Structures

The combination of the `COPY` and `ALGN` opcodes permits very rapid approximate alignment of ligands with a reference ligand using center of mass and moments of inertia. These commands may be used to preposition ligands crudely prior to an eMBrAcE conformational search calculation based upon the position of a reference ligand already positioned in the active site. While this combination of commands may be useful the positioning is crude, and searching conformational space is slow and quite limited compared to that available in Schrödinger's docking program, Glide.

Note that `COPY/ALGN` may require the purchase of a separate license.

Below is an example command file for a `COPY/ALGN` run to align structures in the input structure file (`algn.mae`). Opcode descriptions follow.

```

align.mae
align_all-out.mae
  READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
  COPY      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
  BGIN      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
  READ      0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
  ALGN      3      1      5      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000
  END       0      0      0      0      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000      0.0000

```

**READ:** The first READ command reads in the first structure, which is the reference structure. The remaining structures are read in a BGIN/END loop with another READ command.

**COPY:** Saves a copy of the current structure in internal reference arrays for later use.

**BGIN/END:** Loop over the remaining structures in the file.

**ALGN:** Aligns each structure with the reference structure by the center of mass and moments of inertia (arg1=3, arg2=1). All four equivalent alignment are generated (arg3=5).

## 18.6 autoref: Restrained Minimizations

A script is available for performing restrained minimizations. The primary application is for the minimization of protein-ligand structures just after hydrogen atoms have been added. In this procedure, the heavy atoms in the system are restrained using a harmonic potential while the hydrogen atoms do not have restraining potentials applied.

Before you use autoref, you must convert the structure file from Maestro format (.mae) to MacroModel format (.dat) using the structure conversion utility maemmod, as shown below.

```
$SCHRODINGER/utilities/maemmod basename.mae basename.dat
```

The syntax of the autoref command is as follows:

```
$SCHRODINGER/utilities/autoref [options] basename.dat
```

The output file is named *basename\_ref.dat*. The command options are as follows:

- k           Keep output structure files from intermediate stages.
- l *rmsd*     Specify maximum RMS deviation from the initial structure; default is 0.3.
- m           Use the MMFFs force field instead of the default OPLS\_2005.
- o           Use the OPLS\_2001 force field instead of the default OPLS\_2005.
- v           Print version number and exit.

## 18.7 serial\_split: Split Serial Search Output Structure Files

A serial search produces an output structure file that contains the collections of conformations produced from a number of different molecules. The `serial_split` utility can be used to produce separate files, each containing the conformers produced for a different molecule. The `serial_split` utility can be used on the output files of eMBRAcE conformational searches if there are only ligands or only complexes in the file. The syntax of the command is:

```
SCHRODINGER/utilities/serial_split [options] input.mae [basename]
```

where `input.mae` contains the results of the previously performed serial conformational search. The options are given in [Table 18.1](#).

*Table 18.1. Options for the serial\_split utility.*

Option	Description
-h	Print usage summary and exit.
-b <i>number</i>	The serial number of the first molecule for which conformations should be extracted. If omitted, start with the first serial number present.
-e <i>number</i>	The serial number of the last molecule for which conformations should be extracted. If omitted, continue to the last serial number present.
-p <i>property</i>	Use values of the specified property for <i>basename</i> , which can then be omitted. The property must be a string property <code>s_family_propertyname</code> .
-v	Print the version number.

The files produced are named `basename<serial number>.mae` where `<serial number>` is the `i_mmod_Serial_Number` property listed for each structure in the input structure file. This number is usually a running count of the distinct structures present. The base for the serial number can be controlled with the [SRNO](#) opcode in the MacroModel calculation.

## 18.8 Python Scripts

The MacroModel distribution contains several Python scripts that can be used for running various jobs. These scripts are stored in `$SCHRODINGER/macromodel-vversion/python`. To run a script, use the following syntax:

```
$SCHRODINGER/run script-name [options]
```

The scripts are described briefly here. You can obtain usage information with the `-h` option.



- `cluster.py`—This script provides a command line interface to run noninteractive cluster tasks under Job Control. You can run Cluster without creating a `jobname.clu` file first, but the job is restricted to a “blind” reduction. Running under Job Control facilitates using this tool in other scripts that need to do Cluster jobs.
- `converge_search.py`—This script runs a series of conformation search/multiple minimization-conformer eliminations jobs to exhaustively collect all low energy structures. It is intended as an example of the use of Python scripting with MacroModel. It has limited Job Control features.
- `get_lead_confs.py`—Runs a conformation search, then uses Cluster to produce leading collections. It is intended as an example of the use of Python scripting with MacroModel. It has limited Job Control features.
- `get_rep_confs.py`—Runs a conformation search, then uses Cluster to produce representative collections. It is intended as an example of the use of Python scripting with MacroModel. It has limited Job Control features.

In addition, the following script is available from the [Script Center](#):

- `aset.py`—Provides a graphical user interface for creating ASET .com files.

For more information on using Python for scripting, see *Scripting with Python*. See also [Chapter 13](#) of the *Maestro User Manual* for information on using scripts with Maestro.



---

# Getting Help

Schrödinger software is distributed with documentation in PDF format. If the documentation is not installed in `$SCHRODINGER/docs` on a computer that you have access to, you should install it or ask your system administrator to install it.

For help installing and setting up licenses for Schrödinger software and installing documentation, see the *Installation Guide*. For information on running jobs, see the *Job Control Guide*.

Maestro has automatic, context-sensitive help (Auto-Help and Balloon Help, or tooltips), and an online help system. To get help, follow the steps below.

- Check the Auto-Help text box, which is located at the foot of the main window. If help is available for the task you are performing, it is automatically displayed there. Auto-Help contains a single line of information. For more detailed information, use the online help.
- If you want information about a GUI element, such as a button or option, there may be Balloon Help for the item. Pause the cursor over the element. If the Balloon Help does not appear, check that Show Balloon Help is selected in the Help menu of the main window. If there is Balloon Help for the element, it appears within a few seconds.
- For information about a panel or the tab that is displayed in a panel, click the Help button in the panel. The help topic is displayed in your browser.
- For other information in the online help, open the default help topic by choosing Help from the Help menu on the main menu bar or by pressing CTRL+H. This topic is displayed in your browser. You can navigate to topics in the navigation bar.

If you do not find the information you need in the Maestro help system, check the following sources:

- *Maestro User Manual*, for detailed information on using Maestro
- *Maestro Command Reference Manual*, for information on Maestro commands
- *Maestro Overview*, for an overview of the main features of Maestro
- *Maestro Tutorial*, for a tutorial introduction to basic Maestro features
- *MacroModel Quick Start Guide*, for a tutorial introduction to MacroModel
- *MacroModel Reference Manual*, for information on MacroModel commands
- MacroModel Frequently Asked Questions pages, at [https://www.schrodinger.com/MacroModel\\_FAQ.html](https://www.schrodinger.com/MacroModel_FAQ.html)

The manuals are also available in PDF format from the Schrödinger [Support Center](#). Information on additions and corrections to the manuals is available from this web page.

If you have questions that are not answered from any of the above sources, contact Schrödinger using the information below.

E-mail: [help@schrodinger.com](mailto:help@schrodinger.com)

USPS: Schrödinger, 101 SW Main Street, Suite 1300, Portland, OR 97204

Phone: (503) 299-1150

Fax: (503) 299-4532

WWW: <http://www.schrodinger.com>

FTP: <ftp://ftp.schrodinger.com>

Generally, e-mail correspondence is best because you can send machine output, if necessary. When sending e-mail messages, please include the following information:

- All relevant user input and machine output
- MacroModel purchaser (company, research institution, or individual)
- Primary MacroModel user
- Computer platform type
- Operating system with version number
- MacroModel version number
- mmshare version number

On UNIX you can obtain the machine and system information listed above by entering the following command at a shell prompt:

```
$SCHRODINGER/utilities/postmortem
```

This command generates a file named *username-host-schrodinger.tar.gz*, which you should send to [help@schrodinger.com](mailto:help@schrodinger.com). If you have a job that failed, enter the following command:

```
$SCHRODINGER/utilities/postmortem jobid
```

where *jobid* is the job ID of the failed job, which you can find in the Monitor panel. This command archives job information as well as the machine and system information, and includes input and output files (but not structure files). If you have sensitive data in the job launch directory, you should move those files to another location first. The archive is named *jobid-archive.tar.gz*, and should be sent to [help@schrodinger.com](mailto:help@schrodinger.com) instead.

More information on the `postmortem` command can be found in [Appendix A](#) of the *Job Control Guide*.

On Windows, machine and system information is stored on your desktop in the file `schrodinger_machid.txt`. If you have installed software versions for more than one release, there will be multiple copies of this file, named `schrodinger_machid-N.txt`, where *N* is a number. In this case you should check that you send the correct version of the file (which will usually be the latest version). If Maestro fails, you can copy the information from the DOS window from which Maestro was launched. To do so, use the window manager menu (in the top left corner of the window): point to **Edit**, then **Select All**, and press **ENTER**. The text is now in the buffer and can be pasted into a message.



---

# References

1. Allinger, N. L. Conformational Analysis 130. MM2. A Hydrocarbon Force Field Utilizing V1 and V2 Torsional Terms. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 8127.
2. Allinger, N. L.; Yuh, Y. H.; Lii, J-H. Molecular Mechanics. The MM3 Force Field for Hydrocarbons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8551.
3. Ferguson, D. M.; Kollman, P. A. Can the Lennard-Jones 6-12 function replace the 10-12 form in molecular mechanics calculations? *J. Comput. Chem.* **1991**, *12*, 620.
4. McDonald, D. Q.; Still, W. C. AMBER torsional parameters for the peptide backbone. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 7743.
5. Jorgensen, W. L.; Tirado-Rives, J. The OPLS Potential Functions for Proteins. Energy Minimization for Crystals of Cyclic Peptides and Crambin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1657.
6. Jorgensen, W. L.; Maxwell, D. S.; Tirado-Rives, J. Development and Testing of the OPLS All-Atom Force Field on Conformational Energetics and Properties of Organic Liquids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 11225-11235.
7. Kaminski, G. A.; Friesner, R. A.; Tirado-Rives, J.; Jorgensen, W. L. Evaluation and Reparametrization of the OPLS-AA Force Field for Proteins via Comparison with Accurate Quantum Chemical Calculations on Peptides. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105*, 6474-6487.
8. Cornell, W. D.; Cieplak, P.; Bayly, C. I.; Gould, I. R.; Merz, K. M.; Ferguson, D. M.; Spellmeyer, D. C.; Fox, T.; Caldwell, J. W.; Kollman, P. A. A second generation force field for the simulation of proteins, nucleic acids and organic molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5179.
9. Halgren, T. A. Merck Molecular Force Field. I. Basis, Form, Scope, Parameterization and Performance of MMFF94. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 490-519.
10. Halgren, T. A. Merck Molecular Force Field. II. MMFF94 van der Waals and Electrostatic Parameters for Intermolecular Interactions. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 520-552.
11. Halgren, T. A. Merck Molecular Force Field. III. Molecular Geometries and Vibrational Frequencies for MMFF94. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 553-586.
12. Halgren, T. A.; Nachbar, R. B. Merck Molecular Force Field. IV. Conformational Energies and Geometries. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 587-615.

13. Halgren, T. A. Merck Molecular Force Field. V. Extension of MMFF94 using Experimental Data, Additional Computational Data and Empirical Rules. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 616-641.
14. Halgren, T. A. MMFF VI. MMFF94s Option for Energy Minimization Studies. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1999**, *20*, 720-729.
15. Halgren, T. A. MMFF VII. Characterization of MMFF94, MMFF94s and Other Widely Available Force Fields for Conformational Energies and for Intermolecular Interaction Energies and Geometries. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1999**, *20*, 730-748.
16. Still, W. C.; Tempczyk, A.; Hawley, R. C.; Hendrickson, T. A General Treatment of Solvation for Molecular Mechanics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 6127.
17. Polak, E.; Ribiere, G. Note sur la Convergence de Méthodes de Directions Conjuguées. *Revue Francaise Informat. Recherche Operationelle, Serie Rouge*, **1969**, *16*, 35.
18. Ponder, J. W.; Richards, F. M. An Efficient Newton-like Method for Molecular Mechanics Energy Minimization of Large Molecules. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1987**, *8*, 1016.
19. Oren, S. S.; Spedicato, E. Optimal conditioning of self-scaling variable metric algorithms. *Mathematical Programming* **1976**, *10*, 70.
20. Chang, G.; Guida, W.; Still, W. C. An internal coordinate Monte-Carlo method for searching conformational space. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 4379.
21. Saunders, M.; Houk, K. N.; Wu, Y.-D.; Still, W. C.; Lipton, M.; Chang, G.; Guida, W. C. Conformations of Cycloheptadecane: A Comparison of Methods for Conformational Searching. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 1419.
22. Goodman, J. M.; Still, W. C. Searching Conformation Space. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1991**, *12*, 1110.
23. Kolossváry, I.; Guida, W. C. Low Mode Search. An efficient, automated computational method for conformational analysis: application to cyclic and acyclic alkanes and cyclic peptides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 5011.
24. Kolossváry, I.; Keseru, G. M. Hessian-Free Low-Mode Conformational Search for Large-Scale Protein Loop Optimization: Application to c-jun N-Terminal Kinase JNK3. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2001**, *22*, 21.
25. Keseru, G. M.; Kolossváry, I. Fully Flexible Low-Mode Docking: Application to Induced Fit in HIV Integrase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 12708.
26. Kolossváry, I.; Guida, W. C. Low-mode Conformational Search Elucidated. Application to C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>80</sub> and Flexible Docking of 9-Deazaguanine Inhibitors to PNP. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1999**, *20*, 1671.



- 
27. van Gunsteren, W. F.; Berendsen, H. J. C. A leap-frog algorithm for stochastic dynamics. *Mol. Simul.* **1988**, *1*, 173.
  28. Ryckaert, J.-P.; Ciccotti, G.; Berendsen, H. J. C. Numerical integration of the Cartesian equation of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics of N-alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, *23*, 327.
  29. Guarnieri, F.; Still, W. C. A Rapidly Convergent Simulation Method: Mixed Monte Carlo/Stochastic Dynamics. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1994**, *15*, 1302-1310.
  30. Dado, G. P.; Gellman, S. H. On the Use of AM1 Calculations for the Study of Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonding Phenomena in Simple Amides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 3138.
  31. McDonald, D. Q.; Still, W. C. An AMBER\* study of Gellman's amides. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 7747.
  32. Gellman, S. H.; Dado, G. P.; Liang, G-B.; Adams, B. R. Conformation-Directing Effects of a Single Intramolecular Amide-Amide Hydrogen Bond: Variable Temperature NMR and IR Studies on a Homologous Diamide Series. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 1164.
  33. McDonald, D. Q.; Still, W. C. Conformational Free Energies from Simulation: Stochastic Dynamics/Monte Carlo Simulations of a Homologous Series of Gellman's Diamides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 11550.



## A

alignment of structures.....	170
AMBER* .....	6
compatible H-treatment .....	17
AMBER94 .....	6
angles .....	
coordinate scan .....	67
monitored in dynamics calculations .....	100
arguments, opcode .....	21
ASL, use in substructure files .....	31
atom positions .....	
constraining .....	31
fixing and freezing .....	27
atom sets, interaction energies between .....	167
automatic setup .....	
in CSearch calculations .....	78
in MC/SD calculations .....	107

## B

bond dipole cutoffs (BDCO).....	10, 12
---------------------------------	--------

## C

charges, using from structure files .....	27
chiral atoms, selecting for CSearch .....	86
command file.....	19
embedded in shell script .....	22
format .....	20
command file examples .....	
alignment of structures .....	170
ASET .....	167
coordinate scans.....	67
CSearch.....	90
current energy .....	40
dynamics.....	104
eMBrAcE.....	128
eMBrAcE conformational search .....	134
eMBrAcE, distributed.....	141
free-energy perturbation .....	145
geometry calculations.....	167
LOOP.....	117
MC/SD calculations.....	109
minimization .....	48
MINTA .....	113
multiple minimization .....	59
partition coefficient estimation .....	62
redundant conformer elimination .....	166

rewinding output file for minimization.....	168
vibrational animation .....	170
comparison atoms .....	
in CSearch calculations .....	85
defining for XCluster .....	159
in multiple minimization calculations .....	55
conformational search— <i>see</i> CSearch calculations	
conformations, mirror-image .....	56, 79, 165
constraints .....	
on bond lengths using SHAKE.....	102
for fixing atoms.....	28
on positions, distances, angles, torsions .....	31
removing.....	33
Constraints tab .....	31
conventions, document.....	xi
convergence guidelines .....	13
for CSearch jobs .....	13
for MCSD jobs .....	14
for minimization jobs .....	13
convergence threshold.....	48
Coordinate Scan panel .....	66
coordinate scans .....	
command file examples .....	67
defining range and increment .....	67
displaying results of.....	68
CSearch calculations .....	73
automatic setup of.....	78
chiral atoms .....	86
command file examples .....	90
comparison atoms .....	85
defining ring closures .....	81
defining torsion rotations .....	82
distance check.....	87
energy window.....	79
ligand bonds.....	89
markers .....	81
number of steps.....	79
number of steps per rotatable bond .....	79
resetting variables .....	78, 81
torsion check.....	88
translations and rotations .....	84
variables.....	77
CSearch methods .....	
LMCS .....	73
MCOMM.....	73
MCOMM/LMCS.....	74
selecting .....	75

- SPMC ..... 73  
 current energy calculations ..... 39  
 Current Energy panel ..... 39
- D**
- dielectric constant, modifying ..... 27  
 directory  
   installation ..... 4  
   Maestro working ..... 4  
 distances  
   atomic RMS for XCluster ..... 159  
   checked in CSearch ..... 87  
   constraining ..... 31  
   coordinate scan ..... 65  
   monitored in dynamics calculations ..... 99  
 distributed calculations ..... 23, 36  
 dynamics calculations ..... 97  
   command file examples ..... 104  
   equilibration time ..... 103  
   method ..... 102  
   monitored angles ..... 100  
   monitored dihedrals ..... 100  
   monitored distances ..... 99  
   monitored H-bonds ..... 100  
   monitored surface areas ..... 99  
   SHAKE ..... 102  
   simulation time ..... 103  
   temperature ..... 102  
   timestep ..... 103  
 Dynamics panel ..... 97  
 Dynamics tab ..... 102
- E**
- ECalc tab ..... 39  
 electrostatic interaction truncation ..... 10  
 electrostatic treatments ..... 16  
 eMBrAcE calculations ..... 121  
   association energy mode ..... 123  
   command file examples ..... 128  
   receptor entry ..... 122  
   sample output ..... 130  
 enantiomers, comparison of in XCluster ..... 159  
 entry for receptor in eMBrAcE ..... 122  
 environment variables  
   SCHRODINGER ..... 4, 19  
   SCHRODINGER\_TMPDIR ..... 19
- equilibration time ..... 103
- F**
- FEP calculations ..... 143  
   hints for success ..... 150  
   literature references for ..... 154  
   preparing ..... 144  
   sample output ..... 148  
   structure file ..... 144  
 file conversion ..... 16  
 files  
   atom type ..... 17  
   force field ..... 17  
   solvent ..... 17  
 FMNR minimization method ..... 47  
 force fields ..... 5  
   files ..... 5  
   selecting ..... 26  
 free energy perturbation calculations—*see* FEP calculations
- G**
- GB/SA ..... 9  
 geometry calculations ..... 167
- H**
- H-bonds monitored in dynamics calculations ..... 100  
 hydrogen treatment ..... 17
- I**
- interaction energies using ASET ..... 167  
 iteration limit, minimization calculations ..... 48
- J**
- job submission  
   command line ..... 21  
   remote and distributed ..... 23  
 jobs  
   general setup ..... 25  
   input source ..... 25  
   monitoring ..... 24, 37
- L**
- LBFGS minimization method ..... 47

ligand bonds checked in CSearch calculations .	89
LMCS.....	73
LOOP calculations .....	115
command file examples .....	117
example output .....	119
input restrictions .....	115
structure handling .....	116
low-mode conformational search methods .....	73
low-mode settings .....	80
.lsq file example .....	116

## M

Maestro, starting .....	4
MBAE opcode .....	129, 132
MC/SD calculations .....	107
automatic setup .....	107
command file examples .....	109
MC to SD ratio .....	108
MC/SD panel .....	107
MCMM .....	73
MCMM/LMCS .....	74
minimization calculations .....	45
command file examples .....	48
convergence parameters.....	47
minimization methods.....	46
FMNR.....	47
LBFGS.....	47
OSVM.....	47
PRCG.....	46
SD .....	47
TNCG .....	46
Minimization panel .....	45
MINTA calculations.....	111
command file examples .....	113
energy evaluations per iteration .....	112
hard limit .....	112
input file.....	111
number of iterations.....	111
soft limit.....	113
temperature .....	112
MINTA panel .....	111
mixed MCMM/Low-mode search method .....	74
MM2* .....	5
MM3* .....	6
MMFF .....	7
Monitor tab.....	97
Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum search method ...	

73

multi-ligand structure files, preminimization of	58
multiple minimization calculations.....	53
comparison atoms for .....	55
energy window.....	56
input file for.....	54
Multiple Minimization panel .....	53

## N

nonbonded cutoffs.....	15
setting .....	27

## O

opcodes .....	21
OPLS*.....	6
OPLS_2001 .....	6
compatible H-treatment .....	17
OPLS_2005 .....	6
OPLSAA .....	6
OSVM minimization method.....	47

## P

para_bmin .....	24
parameter quality considerations .....	7
partition coefficient estimation .....	57
example.....	62
Potential tab .....	26
PRCG minimization method.....	46
premin script.....	58
product installation.....	175
Python scripting tutorial.....	173

## R

receptor	
specifying entry for eMBrAcE .....	122
substructure in eMBrAcE .....	127
remote calculations .....	23
results, checking and interpreting	
CSearch.....	95
current energy .....	43
dihedral drives .....	72
dynamics.....	106
minimization .....	51
MINTA .....	114
results, dependence on task distribution .....	24

**S**

Scan tab .....	66
Schrödinger contact information .....	176
Scripting with Python .....	173
SD minimization method .....	47
shells, defining .....	35
simulation temperature .....	102
simulation time .....	103
size limitations .....	15
solvation treatment	
description .....	9
selecting .....	26
SPMC .....	73
structures, alignment of .....	170
substructure	
defining .....	29, 33
filling out residues in .....	35
for freezing or fixing atoms .....	28
shell radius .....	34, 35
shells .....	35
specifying for eMBrAcE .....	127
substructure files	
ASL use in .....	31
format .....	30
reading and writing .....	36
Substructure tab .....	33
superposition, rigid body .....	159

## Systematic Pseudo-Monte Carlo search

method .....	73
--------------	----

**T**

temperature	
dynamics calculations .....	102
MC/SD calculations .....	107
MINTA calculations .....	112
TNCG minimization method .....	46
torsions	
checked in CSearch .....	88
for comparison in XCluster .....	159
constraining .....	31
in MC/SD calculations .....	107
monitored in dynamics calculations .....	100
sampling amide, ester, azo, and imido .....	78
translations and rotations in MC/SD	
calculations .....	107

**V**

variable torsions in MC/SD calculations .....	108
vibrational modes, visualizing .....	169

**X**

XCluster calculations .....	157
-----------------------------	-----



---

120 West 45th Street  
29th Floor  
New York, NY 10036

101 SW Main Street  
Suite 1300  
Portland, OR 97204

3655 Nobel Drive  
Suite 430  
San Diego, CA 92122

Dynamostraße 13  
68165 Mannheim  
Germany

QuatroHouse, Frimley Road  
Camberley GU16 7ER  
United Kingdom

**SCHRÖDINGER.**